

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AUTOMORPHISMS OF SEPARABLE ALGEBRAS

ALEX I. ROSENBERG AND DANIEL ZELINSKY

AUTOMORPHISMS OF SEPARABLE ALGEBRAS

ALEX ROSENBERG AND DANIEL ZELINSKY

1. Introduction. In this note we begin by noticing that for any commutative ring C , the isomorphism classes of finitely generated, projective C -modules of rank one (for the definition, see § 2) form an abelian group $\mathcal{J}(C)$ which reduces to the ordinary ideal class group if C is a Dedekind domain. In [2], Auslander and Goldman proved that if $\mathcal{J}(C)$ contains only one element then every automorphism of every central separable C -algebra is inner. Using similar techniques, we prove that for general C and for any central separable C -algebra A , $\mathcal{J}(C)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to the group of automorphisms of A modulo inner ones. We characterize both this subgroup and the factor group. For example, in the case of an integral domain or a noetherian ring, the subgroup is the set of classes of projective ideals in C which become principal in A (i.e., $\text{Ker } \beta$ in Theorem 7). If C is a Dedekind ring and A is the (split) algebra of endomorphisms of a projective C -module of rank n , the subgroup is the set of classes of ideals whose n th powers are principal.

2. Generalization of the ideal class group. Let C be a commutative ring¹ and let J be a projective C -module. Then for every maximal ideal M in C , the module² $J \otimes C_M$ is a projective, hence free, C_M -module. Following [7, § 3] we say J has rank one if for all M , $J \otimes C_M$ is free on one generator,³ i.e. $J \otimes C_M \cong C_M$ as C_M -modules.

DEFINITION. $\mathcal{J}(C)$ will denote the set of isomorphism classes of finitely generated, projective, rank one C -modules. If J is a finitely generated, projective, rank one C -module, $\{J\}$ will denote the isomorphism class of J .

We note that if $\{J\} \in \mathcal{J}(C)$ then J is faithful, for if an ideal I annihilates J then $0 = I(J \otimes C_M) \cong IC_M \cong I \otimes C_M$ for every M , and so $I = 0$ [4, Chap. VII, Ex. 11].

Received August 7, 1960. Presented to the American Mathematical Society January 28, 1960. This paper was written with the support of National Science Foundation grants NSF G-4935 and NSF G-9508.

¹ All rings will be assumed to have units, all modules will be unitary, and if R is a subring of S then R will contain the unit element of S . A homomorphism of rings will preserve unit elements.

² The unadorned \otimes always means tensor product over C . C_M denotes the ring of quotients of C with respect to the maximal ideal M .

³ $J \otimes C_M \cong C_M$ for all M does not imply that J is either finitely generated or projective. For example, let C be the ring of integers and $J = \bigcup_n C p_1^{-1} \dots p_n^{-1}$ where p_i is the i th prime.

LEMMA 1. $\mathcal{J}(C)$ is an abelian group under the operation \otimes . The identity element is C .

Proof. The only nontrivial item is the existence of inverses. If $\{J\} \in \mathcal{J}(C)$, let $J^* = \text{Hom}_C(J, C)$. Since Hom distributes over direct sums and since J is a direct summand in a finite direct sum of C 's we see that J^* has the same property. Furthermore $J^* \otimes C_M \cong \text{Hom}_{C_M}(J \otimes C_M, C_M) \cong C_M$ [4, Chap. VI, Ex. 11] so that $J^* \in \mathcal{J}(C)$. Since J is faithful, the mapping $J \otimes J^* \rightarrow C$ defined by $x \otimes f \rightarrow f(x)$ is known to be an epimorphism [1, Prop. A. 3]. If its kernel is K then $K \otimes C_M = \text{Ker}(J \otimes J^* \otimes C_M \rightarrow C \otimes C_M) = 0$ for each M . Thus $K = 0$, $J \otimes J^* \cong C$ and J^* is the inverse of J .

If C is semisimple (with minimum condition) then $\mathcal{J}(C) = 1$. Using [6, Lemma 3.14] it is easily seen that if N is a radical ideal in a ring C and $\mathcal{J}(C/N) = 1$ then $\mathcal{J}(C) = 1$; therefore $\mathcal{J}(C) = 1$ whenever C is semilocal (i.e. C has only finitely many maximal ideals, but is not necessarily noetherian). This fact also follows from Serre's theorem on the structure of projective modules over semilocal rings [7, Prop. 6 and 6, Lemma 3.15].

When C is an integral domain, $\mathcal{J}(C)$ is the ordinary group of (projective) ideal classes. We proceed to (prove and) generalize this statement by considering the functorial properties of $\mathcal{J}(C)$.

If C and D are commutative rings and $C \rightarrow D$ is a ring homomorphism, there is a corresponding homomorphism $\mathcal{J}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(D)$ given by $\{J\} \rightarrow \{J \otimes D\}$: Clearly $J \otimes D$ is a finitely generated projective D -module. To prove that it is of rank one, let N be any maximal ideal of D and let M be any maximal ideal of C containing the kernel of the composite homomorphism $C \rightarrow D \rightarrow D/N$. Since every element of C not in M maps into a unit of D_N , we have a homomorphism $C_M \rightarrow D_N$. Thus $(J \otimes D) \otimes_D D_N \cong J \otimes D_N \cong (J \otimes C_M) \otimes_{C_M} D_N \cong D_N$.

If S is a multiplicatively closed subset of C containing no zero-divisors we define an analog, $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$ of the ideal class group of an integral domain as follows:

Two ideals I and I' of C are equivalent if $I' = uI$ for some unit u in the ring of quotients C_S . Then $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$ is the set of equivalence classes of projective ideals of C which meet S .⁴ Multiplication of ideals induces a product in $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$. Among other things, the following lemma shows that $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$ is a group.

LEMMA 2. $\mathcal{S}(C, S) \cong \text{Ker}(\mathcal{J}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(C_S))$.

Proof. Let the class of I belong to $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$. Then some element

⁴ The same proof as in [4, Chap. VII, Prop. 3.3] shows that such an ideal is finitely generated.

of I is not a zero divisor, and consequently $I \otimes C_M \neq 0$ for each M . Hence $I \otimes C_M$ is a nonzero projective (hence free) ideal of C_M and so $I \otimes C_M \cong C_M$. Moreover, if I' is in the same class as I then I and I' are isomorphic C -modules. Conversely, if δ is an isomorphism of I with I' , then $\delta \otimes 1$ gives an isomorphism of $C_S = I \otimes C_S$ with $C_S = I' \otimes C_S$. Thus $\delta \otimes 1$ is simply multiplication by a unit u of C_S , and so $I' = Iu$. Finally, by [4, Chap. VI, Ex. 19] $I \otimes I' \cong II'$, and therefore mapping the class of I in $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$ to $\{I\}$ in $\mathcal{S}(C)$ yields a monomorphism of $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$ into $\mathcal{S}(C)$.

As we already noted $I \otimes C_S = IC_S = C_S$ so that the image of I lies in $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{S}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(C_S))$. On the other hand, if $\{J\} \in \mathcal{S}(C)$ lies in this kernel, $J \otimes C_S \cong C_S$ and so J is isomorphic to a C -submodule of C_S . Since J is finitely generated, it is isomorphic to an ideal I of C and $I \otimes C_S = IC_S = C_S$. Hence $I \cap S \neq \phi$ and the class of I lies in $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$.

COROLLARY 3. *If C is an integral domain or a noetherian ring and S is the complement of the set of zero divisors then $\mathcal{S}(C_S) = 1$, and hence $\mathcal{S}(C, S) \cong \mathcal{S}(C)$.*

Proof. If C is an integral domain this is now clear. If C is noetherian, S is the complement of the union of the primes of zero. Since there are only finitely many of these, the standard theorems concerning the relation of ideals in C and C_S show that C_S is a semilocal ring and so by the remarks following Lemma 1, $\mathcal{S}(C_S) = 1$.

3. Separable algebras. If A is an algebra over the commutative ring C , A is said to be separable over C if the left A^e -module⁵ A is projective. Central separable C -algebras are a natural generalization of central simple algebras, and their basic theory has been given in [2] and [3]. In particular, we single out the following results which we use several times:

PROPOSITION 4. *Let A be a central separable C -algebra and X a left A^e -module. Then $X \cong A \otimes Y$ as A^e -modules where the C -module $Y = \{x \in X \mid ax = xa \text{ for all } a \text{ in } A\} \cong \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, X)$. The C -module Y is unique: If $X \cong A \otimes Y'$ as A^e -modules then $Y' \cong Y$ as C -modules. The following three statements are equivalent:*

- (a) X is a finitely generated projective C -module
- (b) Y is a finitely generated projective C -module
- (c) X is a finitely generated projective A^e -module.

Proof. The first assertion is [2, Theorem 3.1]. As for the uniqueness: $Y \cong \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A \otimes Y') \cong \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A) \otimes Y' \cong C \otimes Y' \cong Y'$ where

⁵ The algebra A^e is the tensor product over C of A and its opposite.

all the isomorphisms are C -isomorphisms; the second isomorphism follows from the statement “ φ_3 is an isomorphism” on p. 210 of [4] if Y' is identified with $\text{Hom}_C(C, Y')$; the third isomorphism follows from $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A) \cong C$ which is the condition that A is central.

For the rest, we prove the implications $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a$. Since A is a finitely generated projective A^e -module, the C -module $Y \cong \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, X)$ is a direct summand in a finite direct sum of copies of X . Thus if X is a finitely generated projective C -module, Y is also. If Y is finitely generated and C -projective then $X \cong A \otimes Y$ is an A^e -direct summand in a finite direct sum of copies of A 's and thus a finitely generated projective A^e -module. Finally, since A is a finitely generated projective C -module [2, Theorem 2.1], A^e is also, and so if X is finitely generated and projective as an A^e -module it has the same properties as C -module.

As is usual in the study of simple algebras, for any central separable C -algebra A and a pair of C -algebra automorphisms σ, τ of A we make A into a new A^e -module, ${}_\sigma A_\tau$ by defining

$$(x \otimes y)(a) = \sigma(x)a\tau(y) \quad \text{for } x \otimes y \in A^e, a \in A.$$

Of course as a C -module, ${}_\sigma A_\tau \cong A$ and so is finitely generated and projective.

Since ${}_\sigma A_\tau$ is A^e -isomorphic to ${}_{\rho\sigma} A_{\rho\tau}$ by the mapping $a \rightarrow \rho(a)$, we need only be concerned about ${}_\sigma A_\tau$ with $\tau = 1$. Proposition 4 shows that ${}_\sigma A_1$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes J_\sigma$ with $J_\sigma = \{a \in A \mid \sigma(x)a = ax \text{ for all } x \text{ in } A\}$, a finitely generated projective C -module. Moreover the chain of C_M -module isomorphisms

$$A \otimes C_M \cong {}_\sigma A_1 \otimes C_M \cong (A \otimes C_M) \otimes_{C_M} (J_\sigma \otimes C_M),$$

together with the fact that $A \otimes C_M$ is a finitely generated free C_M -module, shows that $J_\sigma \otimes C_M \cong C_M$ and so $\{J_\sigma\} \in \mathcal{L}(C)$.

LEMMA 5. $J_\sigma \cong C$ if and only if σ is an inner automorphism. Also $J_\sigma \otimes J_\tau \cong J_{\tau\sigma}$.

Proof. The first part of the Lemma is essentially [2, Theorem 3.6] (cf. also [5, p. 143]): If $\sigma(x) = u x u^{-1}$ then $J_\sigma = \{a \in A \mid u x u^{-1} a = a x \text{ for all } x \text{ in } A\} = \{a \in A \mid x(u^{-1}a) = (u^{-1}a)x \text{ for all } x \text{ in } A\} = uC \cong C$. Conversely, suppose J_σ is a free C -module on one generator, u . Since the isomorphism $A \otimes J_\sigma \cong {}_\sigma A_1$ is defined by $a \otimes j \rightarrow ja$, we have $A = J_\sigma A = uA = \sigma(A)u = Au$. Thus u is a unit in A lying in J_σ . The definition of J_σ then shows that $\sigma(x) = u x u^{-1}$ for all x in A .

By Proposition 4 and the remark following the definition of ${}_\sigma A_\tau$ we have the following chain of A^e -isomorphisms:

$$A \otimes J_\tau \otimes J_\sigma \cong ({}_\sigma A_1) \otimes {}_{A'} A_1 \cong ({}_\tau A_1) \otimes {}_{A'} (A_{\tau^{-1}}) \cong {}_\sigma A_{\tau^{-1}} \cong {}_{\tau\sigma} A_1 \cong A \otimes J_{\tau\sigma}.$$

The uniqueness statement in Proposition 4 then asserts $J_\sigma \otimes J_\tau \cong J_{\tau\sigma}$.

DEFINITION. If A is a central separable C -algebra, $\mathcal{O}(A)$ denotes the group of automorphisms of A modulo inner ones.

By Lemma 5 the mapping $\sigma \rightarrow J_\sigma$ induces a group monomorphism $\alpha: \mathcal{O}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(C)$.

COROLLARY 6. $\mathcal{O}(A)$ is an abelian group.

We next obtain a description of $Im \alpha$.

DEFINITION. $\mathcal{J}(A)$ is the set of left A -isomorphism classes of left A^e -modules P with the properties

- (i) P is C -projective and finitely generated
- (ii) $P \otimes C_M \cong A \otimes C_M$ as C_M -modules for all M .

For the same reasons that ${}_sA_1 \cong A \otimes J_\sigma$ with $\{J_\sigma\} \in \mathcal{J}(C)$, we have that if $\{P\} \in \mathcal{J}(A)$ then $P \cong A \otimes J$ as A^e -modules with $J \in \mathcal{J}(C)$. Since, conversely, $\{J \otimes A\} \in \mathcal{J}(A)$ whenever $\{J\} \in \mathcal{J}(C)$, we see that $\mathcal{J}(A)$ is just the set of left A -isomorphism classes of A^e -modules $A \otimes J$ with $\{J\} \in \mathcal{J}(C)$.

Note that if we had defined $\mathcal{J}(A)$ to be the set of A^e -isomorphism classes instead of left A -isomorphism classes, we would have had a set in one-to-one correspondence with $\mathcal{J}(C)$, according to Proposition 4. See also the remark after Theorem 7.

There is a natural multiplication in $\mathcal{J}(A)$: $(P_1, P_2) \rightarrow P_1 \otimes_A P_2$. If $P_1 = A \otimes J_1$ and $P_2 = A \otimes J_2$ then $P_1 \otimes_A P_2 \cong A \otimes (J_1 \otimes J_2)$. Thus the mapping $\beta: \mathcal{J}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(A)$ defined by $\{J\} \rightarrow \{A \otimes J\}$ is an epimorphism and so $\mathcal{J}(A)$ is a group.

THEOREM 7. The sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(A) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{J}(C) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{J}(A) \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact.

Proof. The only thing that still needs to be shown is that $Im \alpha = Ker \beta$. If $\{J\} \in Im \alpha$ then $J = J_\sigma$ and $\beta\{J\} = \{A \otimes J_\sigma\} = \{{}_sA_1\} = \{{}_1A_{\sigma^{-1}}\} = \{A\}$ which is the unit element of $\mathcal{J}(A)$. Thus $Im \alpha \subset Ker \beta$. Conversely, if $\{J\} \in Ker \beta$ then $P = A \otimes J$ is left A -isomorphic to A . That is, each element of P is of the form $p = aw$ for some fixed w in P and for suitable a in A , uniquely determined by p . Since P is an A^e -module, $wa \in P$ for every a in A . Hence $wa = \sigma(a)w$ where σ is a well defined mapping of A to A . It is trivial to verify that σ is a C -algebra endomorphism. Now by [2, Theorem 3.5] σ is an automorphism and so

$a \rightarrow \sigma(a)w$ is an A^e -isomorphism of ${}_{\sigma^{-1}A_1}$ to P . Thus $P \cong A \otimes J_{\sigma^{-1}}$. By the uniqueness in Proposition 4, $J \cong J_{\sigma^{-1}}$ and $\{J\} \in Im \alpha$.

REMARK. The proof of Theorem 7 shows that $A \otimes J \cong A \otimes J'$ as left A -modules if and only if $J \cong J' \otimes J''$ as C -modules with $\{J''\} \in Im \alpha$. Clearly the same proof will show $A \otimes J \cong A \otimes J'$ as right A -modules if and only if $J \cong J' \otimes J''$ with $\{J''\} \in Im \alpha$. Thus, given two A^e -modules P and P' , satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) in the definition of $\mathcal{J}(A)$, the following conditions are equivalent: $P \cong P'$ as left A -modules; $P \cong P'$ as right A -modules; $P \cong P'$ both as left and as right A -modules. This means that $\mathcal{J}(A)$ could equally well have been defined as the set of right A -isomorphism classes or as the set of left and right A -isomorphism classes (but not as the set of A^e -isomorphism classes).

In the theory of separable algebras the role of full matrix algebras over fields is played by the *split* algebras, i.e. algebras of the form $Hom_C(V, V)$ with V a finitely generated, faithful, projective C -module. For such algebras we give a fuller description of $\mathcal{J}(A)$.


DEFINITION. For any finitely generated, faithful, projective C -module V , let $\mathcal{J}(V)$ be the set of C -isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective C -modules W such that $Hom_C(W, W)$ is a C -algebra isomorphic to $Hom_C(V, V)$.

LEMMA 9. $W \in \mathcal{J}(V)$ if and only if $W \cong V \otimes J$ as C -modules, for some C -module J with $\{J\} \in \mathcal{J}(C)$.

Proof. Let $\{J\} \in \mathcal{J}(C)$. By [4, p. 210] there is a natural isomorphism as C -modules, and so also as C -algebras, $Hom_C(V \otimes J, V \otimes J) \cong Hom_C(V, V) \otimes Hom_C(J, J)$. But $Hom_C(J, J) = C$ since C is embedded in $Hom_C(J, J)$ by its action on the module J , and since, for every M , $C_M \cong Hom_{C_M}(J \otimes C_M, J \otimes C_M) \cong Hom_C(J, J) \otimes C_M$ [4, Chap. VI, Ex. 11]. Thus $\{V \otimes J\} \in \mathcal{J}(V)$ for $\{J\} \in \mathcal{J}(C)$. Conversely, if $\{W\} \in \mathcal{J}(V)$ then W is a module over $A = Hom_C(V, V)$. By [1, Prop. A. 3 and Prop. A. 6] there is a C -isomorphism $W \cong V \otimes J$ with $J = Hom_A(V, W)$. Since V is projective and finitely generated as A -module, $J = Hom_A(V, W)$ is projective and finitely generated as a C -module. Since both $Hom_{C_M}(W \otimes C_M, W \otimes C_M) \cong Hom_C(W, W) \otimes C_M$ and $Hom_{C_M}(V \otimes C_M, V \otimes C_M) \cong Hom_C(V, V) \otimes C_M$ are matrix rings of the same size over C_M , $V \otimes C_M$ and $W \otimes C_M$ are free C_M -modules on the same number of generators. Therefore $V \otimes C_M \cong W \otimes C_M \cong (V \otimes C_M) \otimes_{C_M}(J \otimes C_M)$, which forces $J \otimes C_M \cong C_M$, and so $J \in \mathcal{J}(C)$.

By Lemma 9 we can define a multiplication in $\mathcal{J}(V)$ by $(V \otimes J_1, V \otimes J_2) \rightarrow V \otimes J_1 \otimes J_2$. Then $\beta': \mathcal{J}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(V)$, given by $J \rightarrow V \otimes J$, is an epimorphism, and so $\mathcal{J}(V)$ is an abelian group with unit V .

LEMMA 10. $\text{Ker } \beta = \text{Ker } \beta'$. Hence if $A = \text{Hom}_\sigma(V, V)$, the mapping $\gamma: \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ given by $A \otimes J \rightarrow V \otimes J$ is an isomorphism making a commutative diagram:



Proof. If $\{J\} \in \text{Ker } \beta'$, the C -modules $V \otimes J$ and V are isomorphic. Then clearly the left A -modules⁶ $A = \text{Hom}_\sigma(V, V)$ and $\text{Hom}_\sigma(V, V \otimes J)$ are isomorphic. However the latter module is isomorphic to

$$\text{Hom}_\sigma(V, V) \otimes \text{Hom}_\sigma(C, J) \cong A \otimes J,$$

and so $\{J\} \in \text{Ker } \beta$.

Inversely, if $\{J\} \in \text{Ker } \beta$, then by Theorem 7, $J = J_\sigma$ for some automorphism σ of A . We prove $V \otimes J_\sigma \cong V$ by localizing. We first compute $J_\sigma \otimes C_M$. From the definition of J_σ , ${}_\sigma A_1 \cong A \otimes J_\sigma$ and so $A \otimes J_\sigma \otimes C_M \cong {}_\sigma A_1 \otimes C_M = {}_{\sigma \otimes 1}(A \otimes C_M)_{1 \otimes 1}$. By the uniqueness part of Proposition 4, $J_\sigma \otimes C_M \cong J_{\sigma \otimes 1}$. Furthermore, since C_M is local, $\mathcal{F}(C_M) = 1$ and thus by Theorem 7, $\sigma \otimes 1$ is an inner automorphism of $A \otimes C_M$. The last part of the proof of Lemma 5 then shows that $J_\sigma \otimes C_M = C_M u$ with u a unit in $A \otimes C_M$.

Next, since $J_\sigma \subset \text{Hom}_\sigma(V, V) = A$, there is a C -module homomorphism $\theta: V \otimes J_\sigma \rightarrow V$ defined by $\theta(v \otimes j) = vj$. Then $\theta \otimes 1$ maps $V \otimes J_\sigma \otimes C_M$ to $V \otimes C_M$ and, in fact, if we write $J_\sigma \otimes C_M = C_M u$, $(\theta \otimes 1)(v \otimes cu) = (v \otimes c)u$ for v in V , c in C_M ; here $(v \otimes c)u$ is defined because $u \in A \otimes C_M$ and $V \otimes C_M$ is an $(A \otimes C_M)$ -module. Since u is a unit in $A \otimes C_M$, $\theta \otimes 1$ is an isomorphism. Hence if U and V are the kernel and cokernel of θ respectively $U \otimes C_M = V \otimes C_M = 0$ for all M . This proves that $U = V = 0$, and θ is an isomorphism. Hence $\{J\} \in \text{Ker } \beta'$.

THEOREM 11. If $A = \text{Hom}_\sigma(V, V)$ with V a faithful, finitely generated, projective C -module, the sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(A) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F}(C) \xrightarrow{\beta'} \mathcal{F}(V) \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact.

COROLLARY 12. If $\mathcal{F}(C) = 1$ then not only is every automorphism of every central separable C -algebra inner (i.e. $O(A) = 1$ for all A), but also, for every split C -algebra $\text{Hom}_\sigma(V, V)$, the module V is uniquely determined (i.e. $\mathcal{F}(V) = 1$ for every V , and, in fact $\mathcal{F}(A) = 1$ for every A). Conversely, if for some central separable C -algebra A [resp.

⁶ We consider V a right A -module, so that $\text{Hom}_\sigma(V, X)$ becomes a left A -module.

split C -algebra $A = \text{Hom}_o(V, V)$] both $\mathcal{O}(A)$ and $\mathcal{J}(A)$ are trivial [resp., $\mathcal{O}(A) = \mathcal{J}(V) = 1$] then $\mathcal{J}(C) = 1$ and so $\mathcal{O}(A) = \mathcal{J}(A) = 1$ for every A .

If we change the base ring C the exact sequences in Theorems 7 and 11 behave in the expected way: Specifically, if $C \rightarrow D$ is a ring homomorphism and if A is a central separable C -algebra then $A \otimes_o D$ is a central separable D -algebra [2, Corollary 1.6] and Theorem 7 yields the exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(A \otimes D) \xrightarrow{\alpha_D} \mathcal{J}(D) \xrightarrow{\beta_D} \mathcal{J}(A \otimes D) \longrightarrow 1.$$

LEMMA 13. *The homomorphism $C \rightarrow D$ gives rise to a homomorphism of complexes (1) \rightarrow (2).*

Proof. The mapping $\mathcal{O}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(A \otimes D)$ is of course given by sending each automorphism class $[\sigma]$ in $\mathcal{O}(A)$ to $[\sigma \otimes 1]$ in $\mathcal{O}(A \otimes D)$. That the mapping $\{J\} \rightarrow \{J \otimes D\}$ yields a homomorphism $\mathcal{J}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(D)$ was already proved in § 2, and a similar argument shows that for $\{P\} \in \mathcal{J}(A)$ the mapping $P \rightarrow P \otimes D$ yields a homomorphism $\mathcal{J}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(A \otimes D)$. The desired commutativity properties of these maps with α, β, α_D and β_D are easily verified.

We remark that if $\mathcal{O}(A \otimes D) = 1$, then by Lemma 13, $\alpha \mathcal{O}(A) \subset \text{Ker}(\mathcal{J}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(D))$. This combined with Lemma 2, Corollary 3, Theorems 7 and 11 and Lemma 13 yields

THEOREM 14. *Let C be an integral domain or a noetherian ring, S the complement of the set of zero-divisors (or more generally suppose C is any commutative ring, S a multiplicatively closed subset containing no zero divisors such that $\mathcal{O}(A \otimes C_S) = 1$). Then $\mathcal{O}(A)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of the ideal class group, $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$, consisting of ideal classes $[I]$ such that $IA \cong A$ as left A -module.*

If besides $A \cong \text{Hom}_o(V, V)$ is the algebra of endomorphisms of a finitely generated, faithful, projective C -module V , then $\mathcal{O}(A)$ is also isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$ consisting of those ideal classes $[I]$ with $IV \cong V$ as C -modules.

THEOREM 15. *Let C be a Dedekind ring, $A = \text{Hom}_o(V, V)$ with V a finitely generated, projective module of rank n . Then $\mathcal{O}(A)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of the ideal class group of C consisting of the ideal classes whose orders divide n .*

Proof. By classical results, [8], V is isomorphic to a direct sum $I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n$ of ideals with n and the class of $I_1 I_2 \cdots I_n$ uniquely determining the C -isomorphism class of V . Thus $IV \cong V$ if and only if

$I^n \amalg I_i \cong \amalg I_i$. Since $\amalg I_i$ is an invertible ideal, $IV \cong V$ if and only if $I^n \cong C$, i.e. I^n is principal. Theorem 14 completes the proof.

REMARKS. (1) If C is any integral domain and V is a free C -module on n generators, the same proof shows that if $\{I\} \in \text{Im } \alpha$ then I^n is principal.

(2) In case V is free so that A is a matrix algebra over C , Theorem 15 was also proved by Kaplansky (unpublished).

(3) If C is the ring of integers of an algebraic number field, $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$ is well known to be a finite group. If $\mathcal{S}(C, S) \neq 1$, if n is an integer prime to the order of $\mathcal{S}(C, S)$, and if A is the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over C , we obtain an example with $\mathcal{O}(A) = 1$ but $\mathcal{S}(C) \cong \mathcal{S}(A) \cong \mathcal{S}(V) \neq 1$. It is an open question whether $\mathcal{O}(A) = 1$ for every A implies $\mathcal{S}(C) = 1$.

REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *Maximal orders*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **97** (1960), 1-24.
2. ———, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **97** (1960), 367-409.
3. G. Azumaya, *On maximally central algebras*, Nagoya Math. J., **2** (1951), 119-150.
4. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton, 1956.
5. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ., Vol. 37, Providence, 1956.
6. A. Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky, *On Amitsur's Complex*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **97** (1960), 327-356.
7. J. P. Serre, *Modules projectifs et espaces fibrés à fibre vectorielle*, Séminaire Dubreil, Algèbre et théorie des nombres, Paris, 1958.
8. E. Steinitz, *Rechteckige Systeme und Moduln in algebraischen Zahlkörpern*, Math. Ann., **71** (1912), 328-354.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Errett Albert Bishop, <i>A generalization of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem</i>	777
Hugh D. Brunk, <i>Best fit to a random variable by a random variable measurable with respect to a σ-lattice</i>	785
D. S. Carter, <i>Existence of a class of steady plane gravity flows</i>	803
Frank Sydney Cater, <i>On the theory of spatial invariants</i>	821
S. Chowla, Marguerite Elizabeth Dunton and Donald John Lewis, <i>Linear recurrences of order two</i>	833
Paul Civin and Bertram Yood, <i>The second conjugate space of a Banach algebra as an algebra</i>	847
William J. Coles, <i>Wirtinger-type integral inequalities</i>	871
Shaul Foguel, <i>Strongly continuous Markov processes</i>	879
David James Foulis, <i>Conditions for the modularity of an orthomodular lattice</i>	889
Jerzy Górski, <i>The Sochocki-Plemelj formula for the functions of two complex variables</i>	897
John Walker Gray, <i>Extensions of sheaves of associative algebras by non-trivial kernels</i>	909
Maurice Hanan, <i>Oscillation criteria for third-order linear differential equations</i>	919
Haim Hanani and Marian Reichaw-Reichbach, <i>Some characterizations of a class of unavoidable compact sets in the game of Banach and Mazur</i>	945
John Grover Harvey, III, <i>Complete holomorphs</i>	961
Joseph Hersch, <i>Physical interpretation and strengthening of M. Protter's method for vibrating nonhomogeneous membranes; its analogue for Schrödinger's equation</i>	971
James Grady Horne, Jr., <i>Real commutative semigroups on the plane</i>	981
Nai-Chao Hsu, <i>The group of automorphisms of the holomorph of a group</i>	999
F. Burton Jones, <i>The cyclic connectivity of plane continua</i>	1013
John Arnold Kalman, <i>Continuity and convexity of projections and barycentric coordinates in convex polyhedra</i>	1017
Samuel Karlin, Frank Proschan and Richard Eugene Barlow, <i>Moment inequalities of Pólya frequency functions</i>	1023
Tilla Weinstein, <i>Imbedding compact Riemann surfaces in 3-space</i>	1035
Azriel Lévy and Robert Lawson Vaught, <i>Principles of partial reflection in the set theories of Zermelo and Ackermann</i>	1045
Donald John Lewis, <i>Two classes of Diophantine equations</i>	1063
Daniel C. Lewis, <i>Reversible transformations</i>	1077
Gerald Otis Losey and Hans Schneider, <i>Group membership in rings and semigroups</i>	1089
M. N. Mikhail and M. Nassif, <i>On the difference and sum of basic sets of polynomials</i>	1099
Alex I. Rosenberg and Daniel Zelinsky, <i>Automorphisms of separable algebras</i>	1109
Robert Steinberg, <i>Automorphisms of classical Lie algebras</i>	1119
Ju-Kwei Wang, <i>Multipliers of commutative Banach algebras</i>	1131
Neal Zierler, <i>Axioms for non-relativistic quantum mechanics</i>	1151