Pacific Journal of Mathematics

EXTENSIONS OF HOMOMORPHISMS

PAUL CIVIN

Vol. 11, No. 4 1961

EXTENSIONS OF HOMOMORPHISMS

PAUL CIVIN

1. Introduction. A multiplication was introduced by R. Arens [1] [2] into the second conjugate space B^{**} of a Banach algebra, B, which made B^{**} into a Banach algebra. The algebra of the second conjugate space was studied by Civin and Yood [3], with particular attention given to the case where B was $L(\mathfrak{G})$, the group algebra of the locally compact abelian group $\mathfrak{G}$. Among the results they noted was that the algebra $M(\mathfrak{G})$ of finite regular Borel measures on $\mathfrak{G}$ was isomorphic as an algebra with a quotient algebra of $L^{**}(\mathfrak{G})$. With $\mathfrak{H}$ also a locally compact abelian group, P. J. Cohen showed [4, p. 220] that any homomorphism of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $M(\mathfrak{H})$ has an extension which was a homomorphism of $M(\mathfrak{G})$ into $M(\mathfrak{H})$.

In §3 we discuss the extensions of homomorphisms defined on a Banach algebra A into either the second conjugate algebra B^{**} of a Banach algebra B or certain of its quotient algebras. The result of Cohen quoted above is included in Theorem 3.7 when $\mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{H}$ are compact groups. In §4 we indicate, for compact $\mathfrak{H}$, a class of homomorphisms from $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $M(\mathfrak{H})$, which are induced by homomorphisms of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$.

2. Notation. The notation of Civin and Yood [3] is used throughout. If A is a Banach algebra, A^*, A^{**}, $\cdots$ denote the various conjugate spaces of A. For $f \in A^*$, $x \in A$, $\langle f, x\rangle \in A^*$ is defined by $\langle f, x\rangle (y) = f(xy)$, $y \in A$. For $F \in A^{**}$, $f \in A^*$, $[F, f] \in A^*$ is defined by $[F, f](x) = F(\langle f, x\rangle)$, $x \in A$. Also for $F \in A^{**}$, $G \in A^{**}$ the multiplication FG is defined in A^{**} by $FG(f) = F([G, f])$, $f \in A^*$.

For some purposes, Arens [2] also considers a second multiplication $F \cdot G$ defined for F and G in A^{**} in a manner similar to the above, except that at the first stage, $\langle f | x\rangle \in A^*$ is defined by $\langle f | x\rangle (y) = f(yx)$, $f \in A^*$, $x, y \in A$. Arens calls the multiplication in A *regular* provided that $F \cdot G = GF$ for all $F, G \in A^{**}$. Clearly, if A is commutative, then A^{**} is commutative if and only if the multiplication in A is regular. The same notation as above, in terms of bilinear functionals, is used in the sequel with respect to a multiplication in A^{****} which comes from the first of the above multiplications in A^{**}.

If π is the natural mapping of A into A^{**}, we say that a mapping φ defined on A^{**} into a set $\mathfrak{S}$ is an extension of a mapping ρ defined on A into $\mathfrak{S}$ if $\varphi(\pi x) = \rho(x)$ for $x \in A$.

For any subset $\mathfrak{J}$ in A^*, we use the notation $\mathfrak{J}^\perp$ for $\{F \in A^{**} | F(f) = 0, f \in \mathfrak{J}\}$.

Received December 12, 1960. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, grant NSF-G-14, 111.

For a commutative Banach algebra A, we let $\mathfrak{Y}(A)$ denote the closed subspace of A^* generated by the multiplicative linear functionals. If $A = L(\mathfrak{G})$, the group algebra of the locally compact group $\mathfrak{G}$, we write $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathfrak{G})$ in place of $\mathfrak{Y}(L(\mathfrak{G}))$.

3. **Extension of homomorphisms.** We first consider the possibility of extending a bounded homomorphism of the Banach algebra A into the Banach algebra B^{**} to a w^*-continuous homomorphism of A^{**} into B^{**}. Throughout this section we adopt the notation π for the natural mapping of A into A^{**} and σ for the natural mapping of B^* into B^{***}.

3.1 THEOREM. *Let A and B be Banach algebras. Let φ be a bounded homomorphism of A into the center of B^{**}. Then there is a unique w^*-continuous homomorphism ψ of A^{**} into B^{**} which is the extension of φ.*

Proof. Let $f \in B^{**}$, and $x, y \in A$. Then $\langle\varphi^*\sigma f, x\rangle(y) = \varphi^*\sigma f(xy) = \varphi(xy)(f) = \varphi(y)\varphi(x)(f) = \varphi(y)([\varphi(x), f]) = \varphi^*\sigma[\varphi(x), f](y)$. Thus $\langle\varphi^*\sigma f, x\rangle = \varphi^*\sigma[\varphi(x), f]$. For any $G \in A^{**}$, $[G, \varphi^*\sigma f](x)\ G(\langle\varphi^*\sigma f, x\rangle) = G(\varphi^*\sigma[\varphi(x), f] = \sigma^*\varphi^{**}G([\varphi(x), f]) = \sigma^*\varphi^{**}G\varphi(x)(f) = \varphi(x)\sigma^*\varphi^{**}G(f) = \varphi(x)([\sigma^*\varphi^{**}G, f]) = \varphi^*\sigma[\sigma^*\varphi^{**}G, f](x)$. Consequently, $[G, \varphi^*\sigma f] = \varphi^*\sigma[\sigma^*\varphi^{**}G, f]$. Therefore for any $F \in A^{**}$, $F([G, \varphi^*\sigma f]) = F(\varphi^*\sigma[\sigma^*\varphi^{**}G, f]) = \sigma^*\varphi^{**}F([\sigma^*\varphi^{**}G, f])$. Hence $\sigma^*\varphi^{**}(FG)(f) = FG(\varphi^*\sigma f) = F([G, \varphi^*\sigma f]) = \sigma^*\varphi^{**}F([\sigma^*\varphi^{**}G, f]) = \sigma^*\varphi^{**}F\sigma^*\varphi^{**}G(f)$. Thus $\sigma^*\varphi^{**}$ is a homomorphism of A^{**} into B^{**}.

For $x \in A$, and $f \in B^*$, $\sigma^*\varphi^{**}(\pi x)(f) = \pi x(\varphi^*\sigma f) = \varphi^*\sigma f(x) = \sigma f(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(x)(f)$. Thus $\sigma^*\varphi^{**}(\pi x) = \varphi(x)$ and $\sigma^*\varphi^{**}$ is an extension of φ.

Let $G \in A^{**}$, $G_\alpha \in A^{**}$ and suppose $G = w^*\text{-}\lim G_\alpha$. Then for any $f \in B^*$, $\lim \sigma^*\varphi^{**}G_\alpha(f) = \lim G_\alpha(\varphi^*\sigma f) = \sigma^*\varphi^{**}G(f)$, and so $\sigma^*\varphi^{**}$ is w^*-continuous.

The assertion of uniqueness follows from the following.

3.2 LEMMA. *Let A and B be Banach algebras, and let φ be any bounded linear transformation of A into B^{**}. Then $\sigma^*\varphi^{**}$ is the only w^*-continuous extension of φ to a transformation of A^{**} into B^{**}.*

Proof. That $\sigma^*\varphi^{**}$ is a w-continuous extension was given above. Suppose that ψ is a w^*-continuous extension of φ, so that $\psi(\pi x) = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Let $G \in A^{**}$ and let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a net in A such that w^*-lim $\pi x_\alpha = G$. Then for $f \in B^*$, $\psi(G)(f) = \lim\ \psi(\pi x_\alpha)f = \lim\ \varphi(x_\alpha)(f) = \lim\ \varphi^*\sigma f(x_\alpha) = \lim\ \pi x_\alpha(\varphi^*\sigma f) = G(\varphi^*\sigma f) = \sigma^*\varphi^{**}G(f)$. Hence $\psi(G) = \sigma^*\varphi^{**}G$.

If B is commutative with a regular multiplication, an alternative proof of Theorem 3.1 may be given on the basis of the following lemma and Theorem 6.1 of [3].

3.3 LEMMA. *If B is a commutative Banach algebra with a regular multiplication then σ^* is a homomorphism of B^{****} into B^{**}.*

Proof. Since multiplication in B is regular, B^{**} is [2] a commutative algebra. Let $U, V \in B^{****}$. For $f \in B^*$, and $F, G \in B^{**}$, $\langle \sigma f, F\rangle(G) = \sigma f(FG) = FG(f) = GF(f) = G([F,f]) = \sigma[F,f](G)$, and therefore $\langle \sigma f, F\rangle = \sigma[F,f]$. Also $[V, \sigma f](F) = V(\langle \sigma f, F\rangle) = V(\sigma[F,f]) = \sigma^* V[F,f] = (\sigma^* V)F(f) = F\sigma^* V(f) = F([\sigma^* V, f]) = \sigma[\sigma^* V, f](F)$. Thus $[V, \sigma f] = \sigma[\sigma^* V, f]$. Consequently $\sigma^*(UV)(f) = UV(\sigma f) = U([V, \sigma f]) = U(\sigma[\sigma^* V, f]) = \sigma^* U([\sigma^* V, f]) = \sigma^* U \sigma^* V(f)$ and σ^* is a homomorphism a claimed.

We note that it is impossible in general to conclude that the range of the extension of φ is in the center of B^{**} even though the range of φ is in the center. For let $A = B$ be a commutative algebra whose multiplication is not regular, and let $\varphi = \pi$. Then the w^*-continuous extension of π is the identity map and B^{**} is not commutative.

One further example is in order, to see that in general a bounded homomorphim φ from A into B^{**} does not admit a w^*-continuous extension as a homomorphism from A^{**} into B^{**}. For this purpose let A be the group algebra of the integers, $\mathfrak{G}$, and let $B = A$. Let t_γ, $\gamma \in \mathfrak{G}$ be the translation operator on A^*, defined by $t_\gamma f(\alpha) = f(\alpha + \gamma)$, $f \in A^*$, and α, $\gamma \in \mathfrak{G}$. Let $e \in A^*$ correspond to the function identically one on $\mathfrak{G}$. Let $\mathfrak{J} = \{F \in A^{**} \mid F(t_\gamma f) = F(f)$, for all $\gamma \in \mathfrak{G}, f \in A^*\}$. Then as noted in formula (3.2) of [3],

$$GF = G(e)F,\ F \in \mathfrak{J},\ G \in A^{**}. \tag{3.1}$$

In particular any $F \in \mathfrak{J}$ with $F(e) = 1$ is an idempotent. As noted in [3], $\mathfrak{J}$ is a two sided ideal in A^{**} with only zero in common with the center of A^{**}. Since $\mathfrak{G}$ is a discrete group A has an identity and thus [3, Lemma 5.4] A^{**} has an identity E. Let F be a nonzero idempotent in $\mathfrak{J}$. Thus $E - F$ is also an idempotent. Let $\varphi(x) = \pi x(E - F)$. Since πA is in the center of A^{**}, $\varphi(x)$ is a homomorphism of A into A^{**}. If φ had a w^*-continuous extension as a homomorphism, the extension ψ would have the value $\psi(G) = G(E - F)$, $G \in A^{**}$. We now show that ψ is not a homomorphism. As noted above F is not in the center of A^{**}, so we may pick $H \in A^{**}$ such that $HF \neq FH$. Also pick $G \in A^{**}$ such that $G(e) = 1$. Then $\psi(GH) = GH(E - F) = GH - GHF = GH - (GH)(e)F$. Now e is a multiplicative linear functional on A, and so by Lemma 3.6 of [3], $(GH)(e) = G(e)H(e) = H(e)$. Thus $\psi\,(GH) = GH - H(e)F = GH - HF$. On the other hand $\psi(G)\psi(H) = (G - GF)(H - HF) = (G - F)(H - H(e)F) = GH - FH - H(e)GF + H(e)F = GH - FH$. Since $FH \neq HF$, $\psi(GH) \neq \psi(G)\psi(H)$ and ψ is not a homomorphism.

Before turning to other types of extensions we note one further item on the matter of w^*-continuity of homomorphisms.

3.4 LEMMA. *If A and B are Banach algebras and ψ is a bounded homomorphism of A^{**} into the center of B^{**}, then there is a w^*-continuous homomorphism ρ of A^{**} into B^{**} such that $\psi(\pi x) = \rho(\pi x)$ for $x \in A$.*

Proof. Since $\psi\pi$ is a homomorphism of A into the center of B^{**}, we may take $\rho = \sigma^*\psi^{**}\pi^{**}$ and apply Theorem 3.1.

Homomorphisms of A^{**} into B^{**} which are not w^*-continuous exist, as may be seen in the following example. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be an infinite compact group and let $A = B$ be the group algebra of $\mathfrak{G}$. Then by Lemma 3.8 of [3], A^{**} has a right identity E which is not an identity. Define for $F \in A^{**}$, $\psi(F) = EF$. Then $\psi(FG) = EFG = EFEG = \psi(F)\psi(G)$. However ψ although bounded is not w^*-continuous. For let $G \in A^{**}$ and let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a net such that $w^* - \lim \pi x_\alpha = G$. Then if ψ were w^*-continuous we would have $\psi(G) = \lim \psi(\pi x_\alpha) = \lim E\pi x_\alpha = \lim \pi x_\alpha = G$. However, $\psi(G) = EG$ and $EG \neq G$ for some $G \in A^{**}$.

We next turn to the question of extending homomorphisms from A into certain quotient algebras of B^{**} in the case in which both A and B are commutative. We must first characterize the w^*-closed ideals of a second conjugate algebra.

3.5 LEMMA. *Let A be a commutative Banach algebra. Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be a w^*-closed subspace of A^{**} and let $\mathfrak{J}_0 = \{f \in A^* \mid F(f) = 0, F \in \mathfrak{J}\}$. Then $\mathfrak{J}$ is an ideal of A^{**} if and only if $[G, f] \in \mathfrak{J}_0$ for all $G \in A^{**}, f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{J}$ is w^*-closed, $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{J}_0{}^\perp$. Suppose $\mathfrak{J}$ is an ideal of A^{**}. For any $F \in \mathfrak{J}, G \in A^{**}$, and $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$, $FG \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $FG(f) = 0$. Therefore $F([G, f]) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathfrak{J}$, and so by definition $[G, f] \in \mathfrak{J}_0$. Suppose next that the stated condition holds. Let $F \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $G \in A^{**}$. For any $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$, $[G, f] \in \mathfrak{J}_0$ and thus $FG(f) = F([G, f]) = 0$. Consequently $FG \in \mathfrak{J}_0{}^\perp = \mathfrak{J}$ and $\mathfrak{J}$ is a right ideal. For any $x \in A, \pi x$ is in the center of A^{**}, hence if $F \in \mathfrak{J}$, $\pi x F = F \pi x \in \mathfrak{J}$. Since πA is w^*-dense in A^{**} and left multiplication is w^*-continuous [2], we see that $GF \in \mathfrak{J}$ for any $G \in A^{**}$, and thus $\mathfrak{J}$ is an ideal of A^{**}.

3.6 THEOREM. *Let A and B be commutative Banach algebras. Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be a w^*-closed ideal of B^{**}. Suppose that φ is a bounded homomorphism of A into the center of $B^{**}/\mathfrak{J}$. Then there exists a w^*-closed ideal $\mathfrak{J}'$ of A^{**} and a homomorphism ψ of $A^{**}/\mathfrak{J}'$ into $B^{**}/\mathfrak{J}$ such that if π is the natural embedding of A into A^{**}, then $\psi(\pi x + \mathfrak{J}') = \varphi(x), x \in A$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{J}$ is w^*-closed, $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{J}_0{}^\perp$ where $\mathfrak{J}_0 = \{f \in B^* \mid F(f) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathfrak{J}\}$. Let β be the linear space isometric isomorphism of $\mathfrak{J}_0{}^*$ onto $B^{**}/\mathfrak{J}$ defined for $F_0 \in \mathfrak{J}_0{}^*$ by $\beta F_0 = F + \mathfrak{J}$ where $F \in B^{**}$ is an arbitrary extension of F_0. Define multiplication in $\mathfrak{J}_0{}^*$ so that β (and thus β^{-1}) is an algebra isomorphism. For $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$, define $\varphi_* f$ by $\varphi_* f(x) = (\beta^{-1}\varphi(x))(f)$, $x \in A$. Then $\varphi_* f$ is linear and since φ is bounded $\|\varphi_* f(x)\| \leqq \|\varphi\| \, \|x\| \, \|f\|$, and $\varphi_* f \in A^*$.

Let $\mathfrak{J}_0'$ be the w^*-closure of the range of φ_*, and let $\mathfrak{J}' = \mathfrak{J}'_0{}^\perp$. Clearly $\mathfrak{J}'$ is w^*-closed. We next show that $\mathfrak{J}'$ is an ideal of A^{**}. Let $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$. Then for any $x, y \in A$, $\langle \varphi_* f, x\rangle(y) = \varphi_* f(xy) = (\beta^{-1}\varphi(xy))f = (\beta^{-1}\varphi(yx))(f)$, since the range of φ is commutative. Suppose that $\varphi(y) = U + \mathfrak{J}$, and $\varphi(x) = V + \mathfrak{J}$ so that $\varphi(yx) = UV + \mathfrak{J}$. Then $(\beta^{-1}\varphi(xy))(f) = UV(f) = U([V, f])$. Since $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$, and $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{J}_0{}^\perp$ is an ideal, $g = [V, f] \in \mathfrak{J}_0$ by Lemma 3.5. Hence $(\beta^{-1}\varphi(yx))(f) = U(g) = (\beta^{-1}\varphi(y))(g) = \varphi_* g(y)$, for all $y \in A$. We therefore have $\langle \varphi_* f, x\rangle = \varphi_* g$ and so $\langle \varphi_* f, x\rangle \in \mathfrak{J}'_0$ for any $x \in A$ and $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$. Suppose next that $g \in \mathfrak{J}_0'$, and $x \in A$. Say $g = w^*\text{-}\lim \varphi_* f_\alpha$ with $f_\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}_0$. Then for $y \in A$, $\langle g, x\rangle(y) = g(xy) = \lim \varphi_* f_\alpha(xy) = \lim \langle \varphi_* f_\alpha, \mathrm{x}\rangle(y)$, and hence $\langle g, x\rangle = w^* - \lim \langle \varphi_* f_\alpha, x\rangle$. However, by the above, $\langle \varphi_* f_\alpha, x\rangle \in \mathfrak{J}_0'$, and $\mathfrak{J}_0'$ is w^*-closed so $\langle g, x\rangle \in \mathfrak{J}_0'$ for any $g \in \mathfrak{J}_0'$ and $x \in A$.

Let $G \in A^{**}$ and let $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0'$. Let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a net in A such that $w^*\text{-}\lim \pi x_\alpha = G$. Then $[G, f](x) = G(\langle f, x\rangle) = \lim \pi x_\alpha(\langle f, x\rangle) = \lim \langle f, x\rangle(x_\alpha) = \lim f(x x_\alpha) = \lim f\langle x_\alpha x) = \lim \langle f, x_\alpha\rangle(x)$ for $x \in A$. Consequently $[G, f] = w^*\text{-}\lim \langle f, x_\alpha\rangle$, and is thus in $\mathfrak{J}_0'$ as $\mathfrak{J}_0'$ is w^*-closed. Hence, by Lemma 3.5, $\mathfrak{J}' = \mathfrak{J}_0'^\perp$ is a w^*closed ideal of A**.

For $F \in A^{**}$, define $\gamma F(f) = F(\varphi_* f)$ for $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$. Clearly γF is a bounded linear functional on $\mathfrak{J}_0$, and so has an extension of the same norm which is an element of B^{**}. We again denote the extension by γF. Thus γ is a bounded linear map from A^{**} into B^{**}. Note that if $F_1 - F_2 \in \mathfrak{J}'$ and $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$, then $\gamma(F_1 - F_2)(f) = (F_1 - F_2)(\varphi_* f)\, 0$, and thus $\gamma F_1 - \gamma F_2 \in \mathfrak{J}$. Thus for any $F \in F_0 + \mathfrak{J}$, $\|\gamma F_0 + \mathfrak{J}'\| = \|\gamma F + \mathfrak{J}\| \leqq \|\gamma F\| \leqq \|F\| \, \|\varphi_*\|$ and hence $\|\gamma F_0 + \mathfrak{J}\| \leqq \|F_0 + \mathfrak{J}'\| \, \|\varphi_*\|$.

Define ψ on $A^{**}/\mathfrak{J}'$ by $\psi(F + \mathfrak{J}') = \gamma F + \mathfrak{J}$. By the above, we see that ψ is a bounded linear mapping of $A^{**}/\mathfrak{J}'$ into $B^{**}/\mathfrak{J}$. Also for $x \in A$, $\psi(\pi x + \mathfrak{J}') = \gamma \pi x + \mathfrak{J}$. Since $\gamma \pi x(f) = \pi x(\varphi_* f) = \varphi_* f(x) = (\beta^{-1}\varphi(x))(f)$ for $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$, $\gamma \pi x - \beta^{-1}\varphi(x) \in \mathfrak{J}$, and $\psi(\pi x + \mathfrak{J})' = \varphi(x)$.

Thus all that remains is to see that ψ satisfies the required multiplicative property of a homomorphism. Let $F, G \in A^{**}$. To see that $\psi(FG) = \psi(F)\psi(G)$, we must show that for $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$, $\{\gamma(F)\gamma(G) - \gamma(FG)\}(f) = 0$. Since $\{\gamma(F)\gamma(G) - \gamma(FG)\}(f) = \gamma(F)([\gamma(G), f]) - FG(\varphi_* f) = F(\varphi_*[\gamma(G), f] - [G, \varphi_* f])$, it suffices if we show that $\varphi_*[\gamma(G), f] - [G, \varphi_* f] = 0$. Let $x, y \in A$ and suppose that $\varphi(x) = U + \mathfrak{J}$, $\varphi(y) = V + \mathfrak{J}$, and thus $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx) = VU + \mathfrak{J}$. It follows that $\langle \varphi_* f, x\rangle(y) = \varphi_* f(xy) = VU(f) = V([U, f])$. Now, since $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$, $[U, f] \in \mathfrak{J}_0$ by Lemma 3.5. We therefore have $\langle \varphi_* f, x\rangle(y) =$

$\varphi_*[U, f](y)$ for all $y \in A$, and consequently $\langle\varphi_* f, x\rangle = \varphi_*[U, f]$. Thus $[G, \varphi_* f](x) = G(\langle\varphi_* f, x\rangle) = G(\varphi_*[U, f]) = \gamma G([U, f] = (\gamma G)U(f)$. On the other hand, $\varphi_*[\gamma G, f](x) = U([\gamma G, f]) = U\gamma G(f)$. Since under our hypothesis $\varphi(x) = U + \mathfrak{J}$ is in the center of $B^{**}/\mathfrak{J}$, $U\gamma G(f) = (\gamma G)U(f)$ for $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$ and we have the desired result.

It should be noted that the ideal $\mathfrak{J}'$ in general is dependent on the homomorphism φ. Two instances should be noted where this is not the case. The first, when $\mathfrak{J}' = 0$, has already been treated in the discussion of w^*-continuous extensions of homomorphisms of A into the center of B^{**}. The other is the following.

3.7 THEOREM. *Let A and B be commutative Banach algebras. Let φ be a homomorphism of A into $B^{**}/\mathfrak{Y}^\perp(B)$. Then there is a homomorphism ψ of $A^{**}/\mathfrak{Y}^\perp(B)$ such that $\psi(\pi x + \mathfrak{Y}^\perp) = \varphi(x)$.*

Proof. If in the proof of Theorem 3.6, $\mathfrak{J}_0 = \mathfrak{Y}(B)$, it follows from Lemma 3.6 of [3] that for any $f \in \mathfrak{J}_0$ which is a multiplicative linear functional on B, that $\varphi_* f$ is a multiplicative linear functional on A. Hence, the norm closure of the range of φ_* is contained in $\mathfrak{Y}(A)$. In view of Lemma 3.6 of [3], the subspace $\mathfrak{Y}^\perp(A)$ is a w^*-closed ideal of A^{**}, and if used in the role of $\mathfrak{J}'$ affords the same conclusion. Note that the homomorphism φ is not postulated to be bounded or with range in the center of $B^{**}/\mathfrak{Y}^\perp(B)$. This is legitimate since in view of Theorem 3.7 of [3], $B^{**}/\mathfrak{Y}^\perp$ is automatically commutative and semi-simple, and thus φ is automatically bounded.

If A and B are the group algebras of the compact groups $\mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{H}$, then $A^{**}/\mathfrak{Y}^\perp(A)$ and $B^{**}/\mathfrak{Y}^\perp(B)$ may be identified with the measure algebras $M(\mathfrak{G})$ and $M(\mathfrak{H})$ respectively by Theorem 3.18 of [3]. Thus Theorem 3.7 includes in the case of compact groups, the result of P. J. Cohen [4] quoted in the introduction.

4. Group algebras.

Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a locally compact abelian group. As in §3, we denote the group algebra of $\mathfrak{G}$ by $L(\mathfrak{G})$ and the algebra of finite regular Borel measures on $\mathfrak{G}$ by $M(\mathfrak{G})$. For notational purposes, it is also convenient to identify the character group $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}$ of $\mathfrak{G}$ with the subset of $L^*(\mathfrak{G})$ consisting of the nonzero multiplicttive linear functional on $L(\mathfrak{G})$. The topology of $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}$ is then in agreement with the w^*-topology of $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}$ as a subset of $L^*(\mathfrak{G})$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{H}$ is a locally compact abelian group. A continuous homomorphism ν of $\mathfrak{G}$ into $\mathfrak{H}$ is called *nonsingular* if for every Borel set E is $\mathfrak{H}$ with zero Haar measure, $\nu^{-1}(\mathfrak{E})$ is of zero Haar measure in $\mathfrak{G}$.

A complete characterization of all homomorphisms φ of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $M(\mathfrak{H})$ was given by P. J. Cohen [4]. He utilized the function φ_* from $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ into $\{\hat{\mathfrak{G}}, 0\}$ defined by $\varphi_* f(x) = \varphi(x)(f)$, $x \in L(\mathfrak{G})$, $f \in \hat{\mathfrak{H}}$.

4.1 THEOREM. (P. J. Cohen) *Let $\mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{H}$ be locally compact abelian groups, φ a homomorphism of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $M(\mathfrak{H})$, φ_* the induced map of $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ into, $\{\hat{\mathfrak{G}}, 0\}$. Then there are a finite number of sets $\mathfrak{K}_i$, which are cosets of open subgroups of $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$, and continuous maps ψ_i: $\hat{\mathfrak{K}}_i \to \mathfrak{G}$, such that*

$$\psi_i(x + y - z) = \psi_i(x) + \psi_i(y) - \psi_i(z) \tag{4.1}$$

for all x, y and z in $\mathfrak{K}_i$, with the following property: There is a decomposition of $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ into the disjoint union of sets $\mathfrak{S}_j$, each lying in the Boolean ring generated by the sets $\mathfrak{K}_i$, such that on each $\mathfrak{S}_j$, φ_* is either identically zero or agrees with some ψ_i, where $\mathfrak{S}_j \subset \mathfrak{K}_i$.

Conversely, for any map of $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ into $\{\hat{\mathfrak{G}}, 0\}$, there is a homomorphism of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $M(\mathfrak{H})$ which induces it. The map φ carries $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $L(\mathfrak{H})$ if and only if φ_*^{-1} of every compact subset of $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}$ is compact.

Suppse that the sets $\mathfrak{K}_i$ are cosets of the subgroups $\mathfrak{U}_i$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$. There is a closed subgroup $\mathfrak{H}_i$ of $\mathfrak{H}$, $\mathfrak{H}_i = \{h \in \mathfrak{H} \mid (h, \hat{h}) = 1, \hat{h} \in \mathfrak{U}_i\}$, such that $\mathfrak{U}_i$ may be viewed [6, p. 130] as the character group of $\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i$. Let $a_i \in \mathfrak{K}_i$, and define ψ_i': $\mathfrak{U}_i \to \mathfrak{G}$ by

$$\psi_i'(x) = \psi_i(a_i + x) - \psi_i(a_i), \qquad x \in \mathfrak{U}_i. \tag{4.2}$$

The condition (4.1) on ψ_i is then equivalent to the assertion that ψ_i' is a homomorphism of $\mathfrak{U}_i$ into $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}$, and ψ_i' is continuous along with ψ_i. We may also consider the dual homomorhism ρ_i: $\mathfrak{G} \to \hat{\mathfrak{U}}_i = \mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i$, defined dy

$$(\psi_i'(x), g) = (x, \beta_i(g)), \qquad x \in \mathfrak{U}_i = (\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i)\hat{}, g \in \mathfrak{G}. \tag{4.3}$$

In view of the Cohen theorem, the homomorphism ψ is determined by the sets $\mathfrak{K}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j$ and the functions β_i. The notation introduced above will be used in the sequel without further comment. We also use the notation ρ_* as the mapping of $L^*(\mathfrak{H})$ into $L^*(\mathfrak{G})$ which is defined by $\rho_*f(x) = \rho(x)(f)$, $x \in L(\mathfrak{G})$, $f \in L^*(\mathfrak{H})$, whenever ρ is a bounded linear map of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$.

4.2 LEMMA. *Let λ be a nonsingular homomorphism of $\mathfrak{G}$ into a locally compact abelian group $\mathfrak{K}$. Then λ induces a homomorphism ρ of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $L^{**}(\mathfrak{K})$ such that for $f \in \hat{\mathfrak{K}}$, $\rho_*(f) = f \circ \lambda$.*

Proof. For $k \in L^*(\mathfrak{K})$, define $\lambda_*(k)$ by

$$\lambda_*(k)(\alpha) = k \circ \lambda(\alpha), \qquad \alpha \in G.$$

We first must show that λ_* is a well-defined bounded linear mapping of $L^*(\mathfrak{K})$ into $L^*(\mathfrak{G})$. Suppose that K_1 and K_2 are two bounded Borel measurable functions on $\mathfrak{K}$ such that $k_1(\beta) = k_2(\beta)$ for almost all β in $\mathfrak{K}$. Let $\mathfrak{E} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{G} \mid k_1(\lambda(\alpha)) \neq k_2(\lambda(\alpha))\}$. Then $\mathfrak{E} = \lambda^{-1}(\lambda(\mathfrak{E}))$ and by the hypothesis of non-singularity $\mathfrak{E}$ has measure zero in $\mathfrak{G}$. Since it is now immediate that $|\lambda_*(k)(\alpha)| \leqq ||k||$ for almost all α in $\mathfrak{G}$, it follows that λ_* is a bounded linear map of $L^*(\mathfrak{K})$ into $L^*(\mathfrak{G})$.

For $x \in L(\mathfrak{G})$, define $\rho(x)$ on $L^*(\mathfrak{K})$ by

$$\rho(x)(f) = \lambda_* f(x), \qquad f \in L^*(\mathfrak{K}).$$

Clearly $\rho(x) \in L^{**}(\mathfrak{K})$, and ρ is a bounded linear mapping from $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $L^{**}(\mathfrak{K})$, and $\rho_* f = f \circ \lambda$.

We next show that ρ satisfies the multiplicative condition for a homomorphism. Let $x, y \in L(\mathfrak{G})$ and $f \in L^*(\mathfrak{K})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(xy)(f) = \lambda_* f(xy) &= \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \lambda_* f(\alpha) \int_{\mathfrak{G}} x(\beta) y(\alpha - \beta)\, d\beta\, d\alpha \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \int_{\mathfrak{G}} f(\lambda)(\alpha)) x(\beta) y(\alpha - \beta)\, d\beta\, d\alpha \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \int_{\mathfrak{G}} f(\lambda(\alpha + \beta)) x(\beta) y(\alpha)\, d\beta\, d\alpha .\end{aligned}$$

For any $z \in L(\mathfrak{K})$, and $\delta \in \mathfrak{K}$, it is easily seen [3] that $\langle f, z\rangle(\delta) = \int_{\mathfrak{K}} f(z + \delta) z(\gamma)\, d\gamma$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}[\rho(y), f](z) = \rho(y)(\langle f, z\rangle) = \lambda_*\langle f, z\rangle(y) &= \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \lambda_*\langle f, z\rangle(\alpha) y(\alpha)\, d\alpha \\ = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \langle f, z\rangle(\lambda(\alpha)) y(\alpha) d\alpha &= \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \int_{\mathfrak{K}} f(\gamma + \lambda(\alpha)) z(\gamma) y(\alpha)\, d\gamma\, d\alpha .\end{aligned}$$

Since the order of integration may be reversed, we see that for $\gamma \in \mathfrak{K}$, $[\rho(y), f](\gamma) = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} f(\gamma + \lambda(\beta)) y(\beta)\, d\beta$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x)\rho(y)(f) = \rho(x)([\rho(y), f]) = \lambda_*[\rho(y), f](x) &= \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \lambda_*[\rho(y), f](\alpha) x(\alpha)\, d\alpha \\ = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} [\rho(y), f](\lambda(\alpha)) x(\alpha)\, d\alpha &= \int_{\mathfrak{G}}\int_{\mathfrak{G}} f(\lambda(\alpha) + \lambda(\beta)) y(\beta) x(\alpha)\, d\beta\, d\alpha .\end{aligned}$$

Since we thus have $\rho(xy)(f) = \rho(x)\rho(y)(f)$, for all $f \in L^*(K)$, ρ is a homomorphism.

4.3 THEOREM. *Let $\mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{H}$ be locally compact abelian groups, with $\mathfrak{H}$ compact. Let φ be a homomorphism of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $M(\mathfrak{H})$. Let $M(\mathfrak{H})$ be regarded as $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})/\mathfrak{Y}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{H})$, and let θ be the natural mapping of $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$ onto $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})/\mathfrak{Y}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{H})$. Then if each homomorphism β_i, determined by φ, is nonsingular, there is a homomorphism ρ of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$ such that $\varphi = \theta \circ \rho$.*

Proof. The justification for considering $M(\mathfrak{H})$ as $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})/\mathfrak{Y}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{H})$ is

Theorem 3,18 of [3].

If $\varphi_*(f) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{S}_j$, define ρ_j: $L(\mathfrak{G}) \to L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$ by $\rho_j(x) = 0$, $x \in L(\mathfrak{G})$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{S}_j \subset \mathfrak{K}_i \subset \hat{\mathfrak{H}}$, and $\varphi_*(f) = \psi_i(f)$ for $f \in \mathfrak{S}_j$. In view of (4.1), the homomorphism ψ_i' of U_i into $\hat{G}$ may be defined by $\psi_i'(k) = \psi_i(k + k_i) - \psi_i(k_i)$ for an arbitrary $k_i \in \mathfrak{S}_j$. The dual homomorphism β_i of $\mathfrak{G}$ into $\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i$ is by hypothesis nonsingular. Thus by Lemma 4.2, there is a homomorphism ρ_j' of $L(G)$ into $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i)$ such that $\rho_{j*}'(k) = k \circ \beta_i$, for $k \in (\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i)^\wedge = \mathfrak{U}_i$.

For $f \in L(\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i)$ define $\theta_i(f)$ on $\mathfrak{H}$ by $\theta_i(f)(\beta) = f(\beta + \mathfrak{H}_i)$. Suppose that the Haar measure on $\mathfrak{H}_i$ is normalized so that the measure of $\mathfrak{H}_i$ is one. The formula relating integration on a group with that on a quotient group shows that θ_i is an isometric isomorphism of $L(\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i)$ into $L(\mathfrak{H})$. Thus by Theorem 6.1 of [3], θ_i^{**} is a homomorphism of $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i)$ into $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$. Also for any $u \in L(\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i)$, and $f \in L^*(\mathfrak{H})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i^* f(u) = f(\theta_i u) &= \int_{\mathfrak{H}} f(\beta)\theta_i(u)(\beta)\, d\beta \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i}\int_{\mathfrak{H}_i} f(\beta+\gamma)\theta_i(u)(\beta+\gamma)\, d\gamma\, d\dot{\beta},\end{aligned}$$

where $d\dot{\beta}$ is the Haar measure on $\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i$. Thus

$$\theta_i^* f(u) = \int_{\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i} u(\dot{\beta}) \int_{\mathfrak{H}_i} f(\beta+\gamma)\, d\gamma\, d\dot{\beta}\ ,$$

and we conclude that $\theta_i^* f(\dot{\beta}) = \int_{\mathfrak{H}_i} f(\beta+\gamma)\, d\gamma$.

It is well known that in a group algebra the pointwise multiplication by a character is an automorphism of the algebra. We next show that the same situation prevails in the second conjugate algebra of a group algebra. Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a locally compact abelian group and define, for $\eta \in \hat{\mathfrak{T}}$, $\eta \circ g$ and $\eta \circ g$ by pointwise multiplication on $\mathfrak{T}$ if $x \in L(\mathfrak{T})$ and $g \in L^*(\mathfrak{T})$. Define $\eta \circ G(g) = G(\eta \circ g)$ for $G \in L^{**}(\mathfrak{T})$. Clearly the map $G \to \eta \circ G$ is a one-to-one bounded linear map of $L^{**}(\mathfrak{T})$ onto itself. Let $F, G \in L^{**}(\mathfrak{T})$ and $g \in L^*(\mathfrak{T})$. It remains for us to show that $(\eta\circ F)(\eta\circ G)(g) = \eta\circ(FG)(g)$. Since $(\eta\circ F)(\eta\circ G)(g) = \eta\circ F([\eta\circ G, g]) = F(\eta\circ[\eta\circ G, g])$, while $\eta\circ(FG)(g) = FG(\eta\circ g) = F([G, \eta\circ g])$, it suffices if we show that for all $x \in L(\mathfrak{T})$, $\eta\circ[\eta\circ G, g](x) = [G, \eta\circ g](x)$. Now $\eta\circ[\eta\circ G, g](x) = [\eta\circ G, g](\eta\circ x) = \eta\circ G(\langle g, \eta\circ x\rangle) = G(\eta\circ\langle g, \eta\circ x\rangle)$, while $[G, \eta\circ g](x) = G(\langle \eta\circ g, x\rangle)$, so it suffices if we show that for all $y \in L(\mathfrak{T})$, $\eta\circ\langle g, \eta\circ x\rangle(y) = \langle \eta\circ g, x\rangle(y)$. Since $\eta\circ\langle g, \eta\circ x\rangle(y) = g((\eta\circ x)(\eta\circ y)) = g(\eta\circ xy) = \eta\circ g(xy) = \langle \eta\circ g, x\rangle(y)$, the original assertion follows.

Define the mapping ρ_j by

$$\rho_j(x) = k_i^{-1}\circ\theta_i^{**}\rho_j'(\psi_i(k_i)\circ x)\ , \qquad x \in L(\mathfrak{G})\ , \tag{4.4}$$

where the dot at each occurrence indicates multiplication of the appropriate functions. Since $k_i \in \hat{\mathfrak{H}}$, and $\psi_i(k_i) \in \hat{\mathfrak{G}}$, ρ_j is a composite of four homomorphisms and is thus a homomorphism of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ and $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$.

Suppose that $f \in \mathfrak{S}_j \subset \mathfrak{K}_i$, so that $\varphi_* f = \psi_i f$. Since $\mathfrak{K}_i$ is a coset of $\mathfrak{U}_i$, there is a $k \in \mathfrak{U}_i$ such that $f = k_i + k$. We use the same notation for k when it is viewed as a member of $(\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i)\hat{}$. For any $x \in L(\mathfrak{G})$, $\rho_{j*}f(x) = \rho_j(x)(f) = k_i^{-1}\circ\theta_i^{**}\rho_j'(\psi_i(k_i)\circ x)(f) = \theta_i^{**}\rho_j'(\psi_i(k_i)\circ x)(k) = \rho_j'(\psi_i(k_i)\circ x)\theta_i^{*}(k)$. From the formula obtained earlier for θ_i^*, it is immediate that θ_i^* simply transfers k from being viewed as a member of $\mathfrak{U}_i \subset \hat{\mathfrak{H}}$, to being viewed as a member of $(\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i)\hat{} \subset L^*(\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_i)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{j*}f(x) &= \rho_j'(\psi_i(k_i)\circ x)(k) = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \rho_j'(k)(\alpha)\psi_i(k_i)(\alpha)x(\alpha)\,d\alpha \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{G}} (k, \beta_i(\alpha))\psi_i(k_i)(\alpha)x(\alpha)\,d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} (\psi_i'(k), \alpha)\psi_i(k_i)(\alpha)x(\alpha)\,d\alpha\ ,\end{aligned}$$

by use of (4.3). Thus by use of the definition of ψ_i' in terms of k_i, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{j*}f(x) &= \int_{\mathfrak{G}} (\psi_i(k + k_i) - \psi_i(k_i), \alpha)(\psi_i(k_i), \alpha)x(\alpha)\,d\alpha \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{G}} (\psi_i(f), \alpha)x(\alpha)\,d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{G}} \varphi_* f(\alpha)x(\alpha)\,d\alpha\ .\end{aligned}$$

We therefore conclude that $\rho_{j*}f(x) = \varphi_* f(x)$ for all $x \in L(\mathfrak{G})$ or that $\rho_{i*}f = \varphi_* f$ for $f \in \mathfrak{S}_i$.

Now, by the Cohen theorem, $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ is the disjoint union of the sets $\mathfrak{S}_j$. The characteristic function of $\mathfrak{S}_j$ is then the Fourier transform of an idempotent measure in $M(\mathfrak{H}) = L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})/\mathfrak{Y}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{H})$. Let F_j be any member of $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$ such that θF_j is the Fourier transform of the characteristic function of $\mathfrak{S}_j$. Then $F_j^2 - F_j \in \mathfrak{Y}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{H})$. Now, Theorem 3.15 of [3] states that $\mathfrak{Y}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{H})$ is the radical of $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$, and therefore Theorem 2.3.9 of [5] yields $E_j \in L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$ such that $E_j^2 = E_j$ and $\theta E_j = \theta F_j$.

We next show that if $i \neq j$, then $E_i F E_j = 0$ for any $F \in L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$. Suppose that $f \in \hat{\mathfrak{H}}$, then Lemma 3.6 of [3] yields

$$E_i F E_j(f) = E_i(f)F(f)E_j(f)\ .$$

For $f \in \hat{\mathfrak{H}}$, $E_k(f) = F_k(f) = \chi(\mathfrak{S}_k)(f)$, where $\chi(\mathfrak{S}_k)$ is the characteristic function of $\mathfrak{S}_k$. Thus since S_i and S_j are disjoint $E_i F E_j(f) = 0$. Hence $E_i F E_j \in \mathfrak{Y}^{\perp}$, the radical of $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$. For a compact group $\mathfrak{H}$, the radical is also the right annihilator of $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$ by Theorem 3.5 of [3]. Thus since $E_i = E_i^2$, $E_i F E_j = E_i(E_i F E_j) = 0$.

Let ρ be defined on $L(\mathfrak{G})$ by

$$\rho(x) = E_1\rho_1(x)E_1 + \cdots + E_r\rho_r(x)E_r, \qquad x \in L(\mathfrak{G}),$$

where $\hat{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{S}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{S}_r$. Clearly ρ is a bounded linear transformation of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$, and to see that ρ is a homomorphism it suffices if we show that $E_i\rho_i(xy)E_i = E_i\rho_i(x)E_i\rho_i(y)E_i$. The latter equality is ertablished by an identical argument to that used above to show $E_iFE_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Thus ρ is a homomorphism of $L(\mathfrak{G})$ into $L^{**}(\mathfrak{H})$.

To see that $\theta\circ\rho = \varphi$, it suffices if we show that $\varphi_*(f) = (\theta\circ\rho)_*(f)$ for $f \in \hat{\mathfrak{H}}$. Suppose that $f \in \mathfrak{S}_k$. Then for $x \in L(\mathfrak{G})$, $(\theta\circ\rho)_*(f)(x) = \theta\circ\rho(x)(f) = E_k\rho_k(x)E_k(f)$, since $E_i(f) = 0$ if $i \neq k$. Thus $(\theta\circ\rho)_*(f)(x) = \rho_k(x)(f) = \varphi_* f$ as was shown earlier.

REFERENCES

1. Richard Arens, *Operations in function classes*, Monat. für Math. **55** (1951), 1-19.
2. ———, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **2** (1954), 839-848.
3. P. Civin and B. Yood, *The second conjugate space of a Banach algebra as an algebra*, Pacific J. Math., **11** (1961), 820-847.
4. P. J. Cohen, *On homomorphisms of group algebras*, Amer. J. Math., **82** (1960), 213-226.
5. C. E. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
6. André Weil, *L' intégration dans les groupes topologique et ses appicationes*, Herman et Cie, Paris, 1953.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is $12.00; single issues, $3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues, $1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Reprinted 1966 in the United States of America

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 11, No. 4, 1961