

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE WAVE EQUATION FOR DIFFERENTIAL FORMS**

AVNER FRIEDMAN

# THE WAVE EQUATION FOR DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

AVNER FRIEDMAN

**1. The Problem.** Let  $M$  be a compact  $C^\infty$  Riemannian manifold of dimension  $N$ , having a positive definite metric. The operator  $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$  (see [13] for notation) maps  $p$ -forms ( $0 \leq p \leq N$ ) into  $p$ -forms and it reduces, when  $p = 0$ , to minus the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Let  $c(P)$  be a  $C^\infty$  function which is nonpositive for  $P \in M$ , and consider the Cauchy problem of solving the system

$$(1.1) \quad \left( L + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) v \equiv \left( \Delta + c + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) v = f(P, t)$$

$$(1.2) \quad v(P, 0) = g(P), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(P, 0) = h(P),$$

where  $f, g, h$  are  $C^\infty$  forms of degree  $p$ . The main purpose of the present paper is to solve the system (1.1), (1.2) by the method of Fourier.

The Cauchy problem for second order self-adjoint hyperbolic equations was solved by Fourier's method by Ladyzhenskaya [8] and more recently (with some improvements) by V. A. Il'in [6]. In [8], other methods are also described, namely: finite differences, Laplace transforms, and analytic approximations using a priori inequalities. Higher order hyperbolic equations were treated by Petrowski [12], Leray [9] and Garding [5].

The Fourier method can be based on the fact that the series

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{\lambda_n > 0} \frac{|\varphi_n(x)|^2}{\lambda_n^\alpha}, \quad \sum_{\lambda_n > 0} \frac{|\partial \varphi_n(x) / \partial x|^2}{\lambda_n^{\alpha+1}}, \quad \sum_{\lambda_n > 0} \frac{|\partial^2 \varphi_n(x) / \partial x^2|^2}{\lambda_n^{\alpha+2}}$$

are uniformly convergent. Here  $\{\varphi_n\}$  and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are the sequences of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the elliptic operator appearing in the hyperbolic equation. In [6] the convergence of (1.3) is proved for  $\alpha = [N/2] + 1$ . Our proof of the analogous result for eigenforms is different from that of [6] and yields a better (and sharp) value for  $\alpha$ , namely,  $\alpha = N/2 + \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It is based on asymptotic formulas which we derive for  $\sum_{\lambda_n \approx \lambda} |\partial^j \varphi_n(x) / \partial x^j|^2$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

In § 2 we recall various definitions and introduce the fundamental solution for  $L + \partial/\partial t$  which was constructed by Gaffney [4] in the case  $c(P) \equiv 0$ . In § 3 we derive some properties of the fundamental solution. These properties are used in § 4 to derive the asymptotic formulas for  $\sum_{\lambda_n \approx \lambda} |\partial^j \varphi_n(x) / \partial x^j|^2$ , by which the convergence of the series in (1.3) for any  $\alpha > N/2$  follows. In § 5 we solve the problem (1.1), (1.2); first for  $f, g, h$

---

Received January 13, 1961. Prepared under Contract Nonr 710 (16) (NR 044 004) between the Office of Naval Research and the University of Minnesota.

infinitely differentiable and then under much weaker differentiability assumptions with regard to  $M, c, f, g, h$ . In § 6 we briefly treat the Cauchy problem for the parabolic system

$$(1.4) \quad Lu + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = f(P, t)$$

$$(1.5) \quad u(P, 0) = g(P) .$$

**2. Preliminaries.** The first one to use fundamental solutions of the heat equation in the study of the asymptotic distributions of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions was Minakshisundaram [11]. Gaffney [4] extended his method to derive asymptotic formulas for eigenvalues and eigenforms. We shall describe here some well known facts and some of the results of [4] which we will need later on. Slight modifications will be made due to the fact that in [4]  $c \equiv 0$ .

As is well known, there exists a sequence of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_n\}$  ( $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) and a sequence of the corresponding eigenforms  $\{\omega_n\}$  of degree  $p$  ( $0 \leq p \leq N, p$  is fixed throughout the paper) of  $L$ , that is,  $L\omega_n = \lambda_n\omega_n$ , such that the eigenforms form a complete orthonormal set in  $L^2_p(M)$  (square integrable  $p$ -forms on  $M$ ). The  $\omega_i(p)$  are  $C^\infty$  forms. The fundamental solution  $\theta(P, Q, t)$  of

$$(2.1) \quad \left(L + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\omega = 0$$

is a double  $p$ -form which is twice differentiable in  $Q$ , once differentiable in  $t$ , satisfies (2.1) in  $(Q, t), Q \in M, t > 0$ , (for any fixed  $P$ ) and, for any  $P \in M$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_M \theta(P, Q, t) * \alpha(Q) = \alpha(P)$$

for any  $L^2$   $p$ -form  $\alpha$  which is continuous at  $P$ . As in [4] one easily derives the expansion (provided  $\theta$  is known to exist)

$$(2.3) \quad \theta(P, Q, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_i(P)\omega_i(Q)e^{-\lambda_i t}$$

where the series on the right is pointwise convergent for all  $P, Q \in M, t > 0$  (that is, the series of each component is pointwise convergent).

A  $p$ -form  $\alpha$  can be written locally as

$$\alpha = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} A_{i_1 \dots i_p} dx^{i_1} \dots dx^{i_p} = \Sigma' A_i dx^i$$

where  $\Sigma'$  indicates summation on  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$  with  $i_1 < \dots < i_p$ . The absolute value of  $\alpha$  at  $P$  is given by

$$|\alpha(P)| = [\Sigma' A_i(x)A^i(x)]^{1/2}$$

where  $x$  is the local coordinate of  $P$ . Similarly, for a double  $p$ -form having local representation  $\alpha(P, Q) = \Sigma' A_{I,J}(x, y)dx^I dy^J$  where  $y$  is the local coordinate of  $Q$ , we define the absolute value by

$$|\alpha(P, Q)| = [\sum'_{I,J} A_{I,J}(x, y)A^{I,J}(x, y)]^{1/2} .$$

The right "half-norm" is defined by

$$\|\alpha\| (P) = \left[ \int_M |\alpha(P, Q)|^2 dV_Q \right]^{1/2} .$$

Given two double  $p$ -forms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , a new double  $p$ -form is defined by

$$[\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha, \beta](P, Q) = \int_M \alpha(P, W) * \beta(Q, W) .$$

One then verifies:

$$(2.4) \quad |[\alpha, \beta](P, Q)| \leq \|\alpha\| (P) \|\beta\| (Q) .$$

The following inequalities are immediate:

$$(2.5) \quad |\alpha + \beta| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta|, \|\alpha + \beta\| \leq \|\alpha\| + \|\beta\| ,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are any double  $p$ -forms.

In order to construct  $\theta$ , one first constructs a parametrix. Gaffney [4] constructs a parametrix by generalizing the method of Minakshisandaram [11], making use of some calculation of Kodaira [7]. Given a point  $P$ , let  $y = (y^i)$  be normal coordinates about  $P$  (with coordinates  $x^i$ ). A  $p$ -form can be written as a vector  $X$  with  $\binom{n}{p}$  components and then

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta X = -\Sigma g^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j X + \Sigma A^i\partial_i X + BX$$

where  $(g_{ij})$  is the metric tensor,  $(g^{ij})$  is the inverse matrix,  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$ , and  $A^i, B$  are matrices depending on the  $g_{ij}$ , and their first two derivatives. If  $X = f(r^2)W(x, y)$  where  $r$  is the geodesic distance from  $x$  to  $y$  (each component of  $X$  is now a vector so that  $W$  is a square matrix), then

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta_v[f(r^2)W] = f(r^2)\Delta_v W - f'(r^2)\left\{2N - 4K + 4r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\}W - 4r^2 f''(r^2)W ,$$

where  $K = K(x, y)$  is a  $C^\infty$  matrix which vanishes for  $y = x$ .

There exists a  $C^\infty$  matrix  $M$  satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} M = KM \text{ (x fixed),} \quad M(x, x) = I$$

where  $I$  is the identity matrix. Using (2.8), (2.7) is simplified to

$$(2.9) \quad M^{-1}\Delta_y(fMW) = f(M^{-1}\Delta M)_y W - f' \left\{ 2N + 4r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right\} W - 4r^2 f'' W.$$

(2.9) will now be applied with

$$f(r^2, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} e^{-r^2/4t} \quad (t > 0 \text{ fixed}).$$

Setting

$$H_m = \sum_{j=0}^m f M U_j t^j, \quad U_0 = I$$

one then gets

$$\Delta H_\infty = f M \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ (M^{-1}\Delta M) U_j t^j + \frac{1}{4t} \left( 2N + 4r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) U_j t^j - \frac{r^2}{4t^2} U_j t^j \right\}.$$

Calculating also  $\partial H_\infty / \partial t$ , one then obtains

$$\left( L_y + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) H_\infty = f M \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ (M^{-1}\Delta M + c) U_j + \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + j + 1 \right) U_{j+1} \right\} t^j$$

which leads to the successive definitions:

$$(2.10) \quad U_j = -\frac{1}{r^j} \int_0^r (M^{-1}\Delta M + c) U_{j-1} dr \quad (1 \leq j < \infty), \quad \text{where } U_0 = I.$$

We conclude that, for any  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$(2.11) \quad \left( L_y + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) H_m = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{N/2}} e^{-r^2/4t} t^{m-N/2} L_y(MU_m).$$

$H_m$  is a local parametrix. Note that when  $P, Q$  vary in a sufficiently small neighborhood  $V$  (contained in one coordinate patch),  $H_m$  is defined and is  $C^\infty$  in  $(P, Q, t)$  if  $t > 0$ . Let  $\eta_\varepsilon(r)$  be a  $C^\infty$  function of  $r$  which is equal to 1 for  $r < \varepsilon$  and is equal to 0 for  $r > 2\varepsilon$ . If  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small then the support of  $\eta_\varepsilon(r)H_m(P, Q, t)$  (where  $r$  is the distance from  $P$  to  $Q$ ) as a form in  $Q$  lies in  $V$ , provided  $P \in W$ , where  $W$  is a given open subset of  $V$ ,  $\bar{W} \subset V$ . We can cover the manifold  $M$  by a finite number of sets  $W$ , call them  $W_i$ . Let the  $H_m$  corresponding to (the corresponding)  $V_i$  be denoted by  $H_m^i$ . If  $\{\alpha_i\}$  is a  $C^\infty$  partition of unity subordinate to  $\{W_i\}$ , then the support of  $\alpha_i(P)\eta_\varepsilon(r)H_m^i(P, Q, t)$  as a form of  $(P, Q)$  lies in  $W_i \times V_i$  and hence this form is  $C^\infty$  in  $(P, Q, t)$  if  $t > 0$ .

The global parametrix is given by

$$(2.12) \quad \theta_m(P, Q, t) = \sum \alpha_i(P)\eta_\varepsilon(r)H_m^i(P, Q, t).$$

The fundamental solution should then formally be

$$(2.13) \quad \theta(P, Q, t) = \theta_m(P, Q, t) + \int_0^t [\gamma_m(P, U, t), \theta_m(Q, U, t - \tau)] d\tau$$

where  $\gamma_m$  is defined by

$$(2.14) \quad \gamma_m(P, Q, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^i \delta_m^i(P, Q, t)$$

$$(2.15) \quad \delta_m^i(P, Q, t) = \int_0^t [\delta_m^{i-1}(P, U, \tau), \delta_m^i(Q, U, t - \tau)] d\tau, \\ \delta_m^1 = \left( L_\nu + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \theta_m .$$

Using (2.4) and the inequality

$$(2.16) \quad \left| \int_0^t \alpha(P, Q, \tau) d\tau \right| \leq \binom{N}{p} \int_0^t |\alpha| d\tau ,$$

Gaffney establishes the uniform convergence of the right side of (2.14) and then proves that  $\theta$ , as defined in (2.13), is a fundamental solution, for any  $m \geq 0$ , written in matrix form. We shall use the matrix notation of  $\theta$  and the usual double form notation for  $\theta$  interchangeably; the same for  $\theta_m$ .

**3. Properties of the fundamental solution.** We denote by  $\partial_p^h \theta(P, Q, t)$  an  $h$ th derivative of  $\theta$  with respect to the coordinates of  $P$ , in a given coordinate system. If  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_N)$ , set  $|h| = h_1 + \dots + h_N$ . From the formulas defining  $\theta$  it is clear that  $\partial_p^h \theta(P, Q, t)$  exists and is continuous (in fact  $C^\infty$ ) in  $P, Q \in M$  and  $t > 0$ . Let

$$(3.1) \quad \partial_p^h \theta(P, Q, t) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i(P, t) \omega_i(Q)$$

be the Fourier expansion of  $\partial_p^h \theta$ , for  $(P, t)$  fixed. Then (recalling (2.3))

$$(3.2) \quad B_i(P, t) = \int_M \partial_p^h \theta(P, U, t) * \omega_i(U) = \partial_p^h \int_M \theta(P, U, t) * \omega_i(U) \\ = \partial^h \omega_i(P) e^{-\lambda_i t} ,$$

where  $\partial_p^h$  is abbreviated by  $\partial^h$  when there is no confusion.

By the (easily verified) Parseval's equality we get

$$(3.3) \quad \psi(P, Q, t) \equiv \left[ \partial_p^h \theta \left( P, U, \frac{t}{2} \right), \partial_q^h \theta \left( Q, U, \frac{t}{2} \right) \right] \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial_p^h \omega_i(P) \partial_q^h \omega_i(Q) e^{-\lambda_i t}$$

and the series is pointwise convergent for  $P, Q \in M, t > 0$ .

We need the following notations. Let  $\alpha$  be a double  $p$ -form. If it is locally represented by  $\Sigma' A_{I,J} dx^I dy^J$ , then we set

$$[\alpha(P, P)] = \Sigma' A_i^i .$$

If  $\beta$  is also a double  $p$ -form, then we define  $[[\alpha(P, U), \beta(P, U)]_\nu]$  to be  $[\gamma(P, P)]$  where  $\gamma(P, Q) = [\alpha(P, U), \beta(Q, U)]$ .

Using (2.13) and the definition of  $\psi$  in (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\partial^h \omega_i(P)|^2 e^{-\lambda_i t} &= [\psi(P, P, t)] \\ &= \left[ \left[ \partial_P^h \theta_m \left( P, U, \frac{t}{2} \right), \partial_P^h \theta_m \left( P, U, \frac{t}{2} \right) \right]_\nu \right] \\ &\quad + 2 \left[ \left[ \int_0^{t/2} \left[ \partial_P^h \gamma_m \left( P, W, \tau \right), \theta_m \left( U, W, \frac{t}{2} - \tau \right) \right] d\tau, \partial_P^h \theta_m \left( P, U, \frac{t}{2} \right) \right]_\nu \right] \\ &\quad + \left[ \left[ \int_0^{t/2} \left[ \partial_P^h \gamma_m \left( P, W, \tau \right), \theta_m \left( U, W, \frac{t}{2} - \tau \right) \right] d\tau, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \int_0^{t/2} \left[ \partial_P^h \gamma_m \left( P, W, \tau \right), \theta_m \left( U, W, \frac{t}{2} - \tau \right) \right] d\tau \right]_\nu \right] \\ &\equiv J_1(P, t) + 2J_2(P, t) + J_3(P, t) . \end{aligned}$$

We proceed to estimate the  $J_i$ . We shall make use of the inequality [4]

$$(3.5) \quad [\alpha(P, P)] \leq \binom{N}{p} |\alpha(P, P)|^2 ,$$

and of the inequality [1]

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp \{-\lambda |x - z|^2 / (t - \tau)\}}{(t - \tau)^\mu} \frac{\exp \{-\lambda |z - y|^2 / \tau\}}{\tau^\nu} dz d\tau \\ \leq \text{const.} \frac{\exp \{-\lambda |x - y|^2 / t\}}{t^{\mu + \nu - 1 - N/2}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $dz = dz^1 \cdots dz^N$  and  $\lambda > 0, \mu < N/2 + 1, \nu < N/2 + 1$ . The following, easily verified, inequality will also be used:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \{-\lambda |x - z|^2 / t\} \exp \{-\lambda |z - y|^2 / t\} dz \\ \leq \text{const.} \exp \{-\mu |x - y|^2 / t\} t^{N/2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $dz = dz^1 \cdots dz^N$  and  $\lambda > \mu > 0$ . We shall denote by  $A_i$  constants which (unless otherwise stated) may depend only on  $h$  and on the manifold  $M$ .

Using (3.6) one can prove by induction on  $i$  that

$$(3.8) \quad |\partial_P^h \delta_m^i(P, U, t)| \leq \frac{A_1^{i+1}}{i!} t^{i(m+1-h/2)-1-N/2} e^{-\tau^2/5t} .$$

The case  $i = 1$  follows by (2.11), (2.12). (In deriving (3.8) we also use the elementality inequality  $\lambda e^{-\alpha\lambda} \leq \text{const. } e^{-\delta\lambda}$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ , where  $\alpha, \delta$  are constants and  $\alpha > \delta \geq 0$ .) In (3.8) it is understood that  $t^\circ$  (if it occurs) must be replaced by  $-\log t$ . From now on we take  $m$  such that

$$m + 1 - \frac{|h|}{2} > 0 .$$

Using the definition (2.14) we then conclude from (3.8) that

$$(3.9) \quad |\partial_P^h \gamma_m(P, Q, t)| \leq A_2 e^{-r^2/5t} t^{m - (|h| + N)/2} .$$

Next, from the definition of  $\Theta_m$  one derives

$$(3.10) \quad |\partial_P^h \Theta_m(P, Q, t)| \leq A_3 e^{-r^2/5t} t^{m - (|h| + N)/2} .$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.10) ( $h = 0$ ) and applying (3.6), we get

$$(3.11) \quad \left| \int_0^{t/2} \left[ \partial_P^h \gamma_m(P, W, \tau), \Theta_m \left( U, W, \frac{t}{2} - \tau \right) \right] d\tau \right| \leq A_4 e^{-2r^2/5t} t^{m+1 - (|h| + N)/2} .$$

Using (3.10), (3.11) one easily derives, applying (3.7),

$$(3.12) \quad J_2(P, t) \leq A_5 t^{m+1 - |h| - N/2} .$$

Similarily one gets

$$(3.13) \quad J_3(P, t) \leq A_6 t^{2(m+1) - |h| - N/2} .$$

**Evaluation of  $J_1(P, t)$ .** From the construction of  $\Theta_m$  it follows that for every sufficiently small neighborhood  $V$  we may take it to be of the form

$$(3.14) \quad \Theta_m(P, U, t) = H_m(P, U, t) + R_m(P, U, t) \quad \text{for all } P \in V$$

where  $H_m$  is constructed in § 2 and where, for some  $\alpha' > 0$ ,

$$(3.15) \quad |\partial_P^h R_m(P, U, t)| \leq A_7 e^{-\alpha'/t} t^{|h| + N/2} \leq A_8 t^\zeta$$

for any  $\zeta > 0$ .  $A_8$  depends also on  $\zeta$ . Next,

$$(3.16) \quad \partial_P^h H_m(P, U, t) = \sum_{j=0}^m t^j \sum_{|\nu|=0}^{|h|} \binom{h}{\nu} \partial_P^h f \partial_P^{-\nu}(MU_j)$$

where  $\binom{h}{\nu} = \binom{h_1}{\nu_1} \cdots \binom{h_N}{\nu_N}$ . It is easily seen that

$$(3.17) \quad \partial_P^h f(r^2, t) = \sum_{|\mu|=0}^{\nu_0} H_{\nu\mu} \left( \frac{y-x}{\sqrt{t}} \right) f(r^2, t) t^{|\nu|/2 + |\mu|/2}$$

where  $y^i, x^i$  are the coordinates of  $U, P$  respectively, and  $H_{\nu\mu}(z)$  is a polynomial in  $z = (z^1, \dots, z^N)$  with  $C^\infty$  coefficients which, for  $H_{\nu_0}$ , are



functions of  $x$  only. Substituting (3.17) into (3.16) and recalling that  $M(P, U)_{\nu_2}$  becomes  $(\delta_i^j)$  at  $P = U$ , we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \partial_P^h H_m(P, U, t) = H_{h_0} \left( \frac{y-x}{\sqrt{t}} \right) f(r^2, t) t^{-1/h_1/2} Y + S_h(P, U, t)$$

where  $Y$  is the matrix  $(\delta_i^j)$  and

$$(3.19) \quad |S_h(P, U, t)| \leq A_9 e^{-r^2/2t} t^{(1-h_1-N)/2}.$$

Combining (3.14), (3.15), (3.18), (3.19) we conclude that

$$(3.20) \quad \partial_P^h \theta_m(P, U, t) = H_{h_0} \left( \frac{y-x}{\sqrt{t}} \right) f(r^2, t) t^{-1/h_1/2} Y + T_h(P, U, t)$$

and

$$|T_h(P, U, t)| \leq A_{10} t^{(1-h_1-N)/2}.$$

Using the definition of  $J_1$ , and substituting (3.20) in the part of the integral  $[\partial_P^h \theta_m(P, U, t/2), \partial_P^h \theta_m(P, U, t/2)]_U$  taken over a coordinate patch  $V_0$  containing  $\bar{V}$ :  $y^i - x^i = \xi^i \sqrt{t}$ , we find that

$$(3.21) \quad J_1(P, t) = (C_h(P) + B_0(P, t)) t^{-1/h_1-N/2}$$

where  $C_h(P)$  is a continuous function of  $P$ , and  $|B_0(P, t)| \leq A_{11} \sqrt{t}$  for  $P \in V, 0 < t \leq b$ , for any  $b > 0$ .  $A_{11}$  depends on  $b$ .

Combining the evaluation of  $J_i$  with (3.12), (3.13), we obtain from (3.4),

$$(3.22) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\partial^h \omega_i(P)|^2 e^{-\lambda_i t} = C_h(P) t^{-|h|-N/2} + D_h(P, t) t^{-|h|-(N-1)/2}$$

where  $D_h(P, t)$  is a uniformly continuous function of  $(P, t), P \in V$  and  $0 < t \leq b$  for any  $b > 0$ . Thus

$$(3.23) \quad |D_h(P, t)| \leq A_{12}$$

where  $A_{12}$  depends on  $b$ .

Note that the  $A_i$ , in particular  $A_{12}$ , are independent of  $P$  which varies in  $V$ .

**4. Asymptotic formulas.** To derive asymptotic formulas from the equation (3.22) we use a Tauberian theorem due to Karamata, specialized to Dirichlet series [14; p. 192]. It states:

*Let  $a_k \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots$ , and assume that the Dirichlet series  $f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-\lambda_k t}$  converges for  $t > 0$  and satisfies*

$$f(t) \sim \frac{A}{t^\gamma} \text{ as } t \searrow 0 \quad (\gamma \geq 0).$$

Then the function  $\alpha(x) = \sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} a_k$  satisfies

$$\alpha(x) \sim \frac{Ax^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying it to (3.22) (using (3.23)), we get

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{\lambda_i \leq \lambda} |\partial^h \omega_i(P)|^2 = \frac{C_h(P)}{\Gamma(|h| + 1 + N/2)} \lambda^{|\hbar| + N/2} [1 + o(1)] \quad (\lambda \rightarrow \infty)$$

and  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly in  $P \in V$ .

Let  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{q-1} = 0, \lambda_q > 0$ . Using the asymptotic formula (4.1) we shall prove:

**THEOREM 1.** *For any  $h$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the series*

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{i=q}^{\infty} \frac{|\partial^h \omega_i(P)|^2}{\lambda_i^{N/2 + |\hbar| + \varepsilon}}$$

*is uniformly convergent in  $P \in M$ .*

*Proof.* We introduce the function

$$B(P, \lambda) \equiv \sum_{\lambda_q \leq \lambda_i \leq \lambda} |\partial^h \omega_i(P)|^2.$$

Then, we can write the series (4.2) in the form

$$\int_{\lambda'}^{\infty} \frac{dB(P, \lambda)}{\lambda^{N/2 + |\hbar| + \varepsilon}} \text{ for any } 0 < \lambda' < \lambda_q.$$

Integrating by parts we get

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{B(P, \lambda)}{\lambda^{N/2 + |\hbar| + \varepsilon}} \right]_{\lambda=\lambda'}^{\lambda=\mu} - \left( \frac{N}{2} + |\hbar| + \varepsilon \right) \int_{\lambda'}^{\infty} \frac{B(P, \lambda)}{\lambda^{N/2 + |\hbar| + \varepsilon + 1}} d\lambda.$$

Since, by (4.1),  $B(P, \lambda) \leq A_{13} \lambda^{|\hbar| + N/2}$  and since  $B(P, \lambda') = 0$ , the first term in (4.3) vanishes. The integral in (4.3) converges uniformly in  $P$  in view of the bound on  $B(P, \lambda)$  just given. The proof of Theorem 1 is thereby completed.

**5. Solution of the system (1.1), (1.2).** We first derive the formal solution. Substituting

$$(5.1) \quad g(P) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \omega_n(P), \quad h(P) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \omega_n(P), \quad f(P, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(t) \omega_n(P)$$

$$(5.2) \quad v(P, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n(t) \omega_n(P)$$

into (1.1), (1.2) we arrive at the equations

$$(5.3) \quad v_n''(t) + \lambda_n v_n(t) = f_n(t)$$

$$(5.4) \quad v_n(0) = g_n, v_n'(0) = h_n.$$

If  $\lambda_n = 0$  the solution is

$$v_n(t) = g_n + h_n t + \int_0^t f(\tau)(t - \tau) d\tau.$$

If  $\lambda_n > 0$  the solution is

$$v_n(t) = g_n \cos \sqrt{\lambda_n} t + \frac{h_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_n} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \int_0^t f_n(\tau) \sin \sqrt{\lambda_n} (t - \tau) d\tau.$$

Hence, the formal solution of (1.1), (1.2) is

$$(5.5) \quad v(P, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \omega_n(P) \cos \sqrt{\lambda_n} t + \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} h_n \omega_n(P) t \\ + \sum_{n=q}^{\infty} \frac{h_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \omega_n(P) \sin \sqrt{\lambda_n} t + \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} \omega_n(P) \int_0^t f_n(\tau)(t - \tau) d\tau \\ + \sum_{n=q}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \omega_n(P) \int_0^t f_n(\tau) \sin \sqrt{\lambda_n} (t - \tau) d\tau.$$

To prove that the formal solution is a genuine one we observe that if  $\lambda_n > 0$

$$(5.6) \quad g_n = \int_M g(Q) * \omega_n(Q) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n^m} \int_M L^m g(Q) * \omega_n(Q)$$

for any positive integer  $m$ . Applying Bessel's inequality, we get

$$(5.7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2m} g_n^2 \leq \int_M L^m g(Q) * L^m g(Q) = \|L^m g\|^2.$$

Similarly,

$$(5.8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2m} h_n^2 \leq \|L^m h\|^2, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2m} (f_n(t))^2 \leq \|L^m f(\cdot, t)\|^2.$$

It will be enough to show that the part of the first series on the right side of (5.5), where summation is on  $\lambda_n > 0$ , when differentiated term-by-term twice with respect to  $P$  is uniformly convergent in  $P \in M$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq b$ , for any  $b > 0$ . Now the series obtained is majorized by

$$\Sigma |g_n| |\partial^2 \omega_n(P)| \leq \Sigma \lambda_n^k |g_n| \frac{|\partial^2 \omega_n(P)|}{\lambda_n^k} \leq \Sigma \lambda_n^{2k} g_n^2 \Sigma \frac{|\partial^2 \omega_n(P)|^2}{\lambda_n^{2k}}.$$

Hence that series is uniformly convergent if  $k > N/2 + 1$ .

It is clear that each series in (5.5) can actually be differentiated term-by-term any number of times and the resulting series is uniformly convergent.

By a solution of (1.1), (1.2) we mean a  $p$ -form which is (a) twice continuously differentiable in  $(P, t)$  for  $P \in M, t > 0$  (b) once continuously differentiable in  $t$  for  $P \in M, t \geq 0$  and (c) satisfies (1.1), (1.2).

The uniqueness of the solution can be proved as for the classical wave equation. Assuming  $g \equiv 0, h \equiv 0, f \equiv 0$  and using the rule  $\int du^* \omega = \int u^* \delta \omega$  one finds that if  $u$  is a solution then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_M [u_i^* u_t + \delta u^* \delta u + du^* du - cu^* u] = 0 .$$

Since the integral vanishes for  $t = 0$ , it vanishes for all  $t > 0$ . Since the integrand is nonnegative,  $u_i^* u_t \equiv 0$ , which implies  $u_t \equiv 0$  and hence,  $u \equiv 0$ .

We have thus completed the proof of the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $g, h$  be  $C^\infty$   $p$ -forms and let  $f$  be a  $C^\infty$   $p$ -form such that  $\partial_\lambda^* f$  is continuous in  $(P, t)$ , for any  $\lambda$ . Then the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) has one and only one solution. The solution is a  $C^\infty$   $p$ -form and is given by (5.5).*

The assumption that the manifold  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  can be weakened. Indeed, the theory of differential forms used above remains valid under the assumption that the metric tensor is  $C^5$  (Gaffney [3]; see also Friedrichs [2]). The assumptions on  $f, g, h$  can also be weakened without any modification of the preceding proof of Theorem 2.

We need the assumptions:

- (A) The metric tensor  $g_{ij}$  belongs to  $C^{[N/2]+2}$  and to  $C^5$ , and  $c$  belongs to  $C^{[N/2]+1}$  (recall that  $c \leq 0$ ).
- (B) The form  $g$  belongs to  $C^{[N/2]+3}$  and  $L^{[(N+4)/4]} g$  belongs to  $C^1$ .
- (C) The form  $h$  belongs to  $C^{[N/2]+2}$  and  $L^{[(N+2)/2]} h$  belongs to  $C^1$ .
- (D) The form  $f$  and its first  $[N/2] + 2$   $p$ -derivatives are continuous for  $P \in M, 0 \leq t \leq b$  (for any  $b > 0$ );  $L^{[(N+2)/2]} f$  and its first  $p$ -derivatives are continuous for  $P \in M, 0 \leq t \leq b$ .

**THEOREM 2'.** *Under the assumptions (A) – (D), there exists one and only one solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2). It is given by (5.5).*

The assertion of Theorem 2' remains valid if we further weaken the assumptions (A) – (D) by replacing the classes of continuous deriva-

tives  $C^q$  by classes of "strong" derivatives  $W_2^q$  (see [6]), assuming that  $g_{ij} \in C^5$ .

**6. The heat equation.** The method of § 5 can easily be extended to solve the system (1.4), (1.5). The formal solution is

$$(6.1) \quad u(P, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \omega_n(P) e^{-\lambda_n t} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n(P) \int_0^t f_n(\tau) e^{-\lambda_n(t-\tau)} d\tau.$$

We shall need the assumptions:

(A')  $g_{ij}$  belong to  $C^{[N/2]+1}$  and to  $C^5$ , and  $e$  belongs to  $C^{[N/2]}$ .

(B') The form  $g$  belongs to  $C^{[N/2]+1}$  and  $L^{[N/4]}g$  belongs to  $C^1$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Under the assumption (A'), (B'), (D) there exists a unique solution of the system (1.4), (1.5). It is given by (6.1).*

**REMARK 1.** The assumption  $c \leq 0$  is not needed for the validity of Theorem 3 since it can be achieved by a transformation  $u = e^{\alpha t} u$  for any constant  $\alpha \geq c$ .

**REMARK 2.** Assuming  $c \leq 0$ ,  $f \equiv 0$ , we can rewrite (6.1) as an operator equation

$$(6.2) \quad T_t = H + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\mu_k t} H_k$$

where  $\{\mu_k\}$  is the sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}$  taken without multiplicities,  $H_k$  is the projection into the space of eigenforms corresponding to  $\mu_k$ ,  $H$  corresponds to  $\mu_0 = 0$ , and  $T_t$  is the operator which maps  $g$  into the solution  $u$ , that is,  $u(P, t) = T_t g(P)$ . Formula (6.2) was derived, in a different way (for  $c \equiv 0$ ) by Milgram and Rosenbloom [10].

## REFERENCES

1. F. G. Dressel, *The fundamental solution of the parabolic equation II*, Duke Math. J., **13** (1946), 61-70.
2. K. O. Friedrichs, *Differential forms on Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **8** (1955), 551-590.
3. M. P. Gaffney, *Hilbert space methods in the theory of harmonic integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **78** (1955), 426-444.
4. M. P. Gaffney, *Asymptotic distributions associated with the Laplacian forms*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **11** (1958), 535-545.
5. L. Garding, *Cauchy's Problem for hyperbolic equations*, University of Chicago Notes, 1957.
6. V. A. Il'in, *On solving mixed problems for hyperbolic and parabolic equations*, Uspehi Math. Nauk, **15**, no. 2 (1960), 97-154.
7. K. Kodaira, *Harmonic fields in Riemannian manifolds, generalized potential theory*, Ann. of Math., **50** (1949), 587-665.
8. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *The mixed problem for hyperbolic equations*, Gosudarstv. Izdat.

Tehn.-Teor. Lit., Moscow, 1953.

9. J. Leray, *Lectures on hyperbolic equations with variable coefficients*, Princeton, Inst. for Advanced Study, 1952.
10. A. N. Milgram and P. C. Rosenbloom, *Harmonic forms and heat conduction I: Closed Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **37** (1951), 180-184.
11. S. Minakshisundaram, *Eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Indian Math. Soc., **17** (1953), 159-165.
12. I. G. Petrowski, *Über des Cauchysche Problem für Systeme von partiellen Differentialgleichungen*, Rec. Math. (Moscow) N.S., **2** (1937), 814-868.
13. G. De Rham, *Variétés Différentiables*, Hermann, Paris, 1955.
14. D. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1946.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California

F. H. BROWNELL  
University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE  
University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY  
M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN  
E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS  
F. WOLF

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY  
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Reprinted 1966 in the United States of America



A. V. Balakrishnan, <i>Prediction theory for Markoff processes</i> .....	1171
Dallas O. Banks, <i>Upper bounds for the eigenvalues of some vibrating systems</i> .....	1183
A. Białyński-Birula, <i>On the field of rational functions of algebraic groups</i> .....	1205
Thomas Andrew Brown, <i>Simple paths on convex polyhedra</i> .....	1211
L. Carlitz, <i>Some congruences for the Bell polynomials</i> .....	1215
Paul Civin, <i>Extensions of homomorphisms</i> .....	1223
Paul Joseph Cohen and Milton Lees, <i>Asymptotic decay of solutions of differential inequalities</i> .....	1235
István Fáry, <i>Self-intersection of a sphere on a complex quadric</i> .....	1251
Walter Feit and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Groups which have a faithful representation of degree less than <math>(p - 1/2)</math></i> .....	1257
William James Firey, <i>Mean cross-section measures of harmonic means of convex bodies</i> .....	1263
Avner Friedman, <i>The wave equation for differential forms</i> .....	1267
Bernard Russel Gelbaum and Jesus Gil De Lamadrid, <i>Bases of tensor products of Banach spaces</i> .....	1281
Ronald Kay Getoor, <i>Infinitely divisible probabilities on the hyperbolic plane</i> .....	1287
Basil Gordon, <i>Sequences in groups with distinct partial products</i> .....	1309
Magnus R. Hestenes, <i>Relative self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space</i> .....	1315
Fu Cheng Hsiang, <i>On a theorem of Fejér</i> .....	1359
John McCormick Irwin and Elbert A. Walker, <i>On <math>N</math>-high subgroups of Abelian groups</i> .....	1363
John McCormick Irwin, <i>High subgroups of Abelian torsion groups</i> .....	1375
R. E. Johnson, <i>Quotient rings of rings with zero singular ideal</i> .....	1385
David G. Kendall and John Leonard Mott, <i>The asymptotic distribution of the time-to-escape for comets strongly bound to the solar system</i> .....	1393
Kurt Kreith, <i>The spectrum of singular self-adjoint elliptic operators</i> .....	1401
Lionello Lombardi, <i>The semicontinuity of the most general integral of the calculus of variations in non-parametric form</i> .....	1407
Albert W. Marshall and Ingram Olkin, <i>Game theoretic proof that Chebyshev inequalities are sharp</i> .....	1421
Wallace Smith Martindale, III, <i>Primitive algebras with involution</i> .....	1431
William H. Mills, <i>Decomposition of holomorphs</i> .....	1443
James Donald Monk, <i>On the representation theory for cylindric algebras</i> .....	1447
Shu-Teh Chen Moy, <i>A note on generalizations of Shannon-McMillan theorem</i> .....	1459
Donald Earl Myers, <i>An imbedding space for Schwartz distributions</i> .....	1467
John R. Myhill, <i>Category methods in recursion theory</i> .....	1479
Paul Adrian Nickel, <i>On extremal properties for annular radial and circular slit mappings of bordered Riemann surfaces</i> .....	1487
Edward Scott O'Keefe, <i>Primal clusters of two-element algebras</i> .....	1505
Nelson Onuchic, <i>Applications of the topological method of Ważewski to certain problems of asymptotic behavior in ordinary differential equations</i> .....	1511
Peter Perkins, <i>A theorem on regular matrices</i> .....	1529
Clinton M. Petty, <i>Centroid surfaces</i> .....	1535
Charles Andrew Swanson, <i>Asymptotic estimates for limit circle problems</i> .....	1549
Robert James Thompson, <i>On essential absolute continuity</i> .....	1561
Harold H. Johnson, <i>Correction to "Terminating prolongation procedures"</i> .....	1571