# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

### CENTROID SURFACES

CLINTON M. PETTY

Vol. 11, No. 4 1961

## CENTROID SURFACES

### C. M. PETTY

1. Introduction. Let  $M_1, \dots, M_{n-1}$  denote (n-1) bounded closed sets in  $E_n$ . Busemann [1] has established the expression

$$egin{aligned} (1.1) & |M_1| \cdots |M_{n-1}| = \ & rac{(n-1)!}{2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_n} \Bigl( \int_{M_1(u)} \cdots \int_{M_{n-1}(u)} T(z, \, p_1, \, \cdots, \, p_{n-1}) d\, V_{p_1}^{n-1} \cdots d\, V_{p_{n-1}}^{n-1} \Bigr) d\omega_u^n \end{aligned}$$

where  $|M_i|$  is the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure or volume of  $M_i$ . On the righthand side  $M_i(u)$  is the cross-section of  $M_i$  with the hyperplane through z normal to the unit vector u, the point  $p_i$  varies in  $M_i(u)$  and the differential  $dV_{p_i}^{n-1}$  is the (n-1)-dimensional volume element of  $M_i(u)$  at  $p_i$ . The final integration is extended over the surface  $\Omega_n$  of the solid-unit sphere  $U_n$  and  $d\omega_u^n$  is the area element of  $\Omega_n$  at point u. By  $T(z, p_1, \dots, p_r)$  we will denote the r-dimensional volume of the simplex (possibly degenerate) with vertices  $z, p_1, \dots, p_r$ .

Let

(1.2) 
$$\pi_r = \frac{\pi^{r/2}}{\Gamma(r/2+1)} .$$

For  $n \ge 3$ , Busemann also shows by Steiner's symmetrization that

$$(1.3) \quad |M_1| \cdots |M_{n-1}| \geq \frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi_n^{n-2}}{\pi_{n-1}^n} \int_{\Omega_n} |M_1(u)|^{n/(n-1)} \cdots |M_{n-1}(u)|^{n/(n-1)} d\omega_u^n$$

for nondegenerate convex bodies  $M_i$  where the equality sign holds only when the  $M_i$  are homothetic solid ellipsoids with center z. Here  $|M_i(u)|$ , of course, denotes the (n-1)-dimensional volume of  $M_i(u)$ . In this regard we will also, as a matter of convenience, not index lower dimensional mixed discriminates and mixed volumes since the dimension will be evident from the number of components.

The primary purpose of this note is to reinterpret (1.1) as an integration of the type (1.3) retaining the equality sign. This is given in § 3 by (3.20). In addition other integral expressions and inequalities are derived which are geometrically of the same type as those considered above.

2. Fenchel's momental ellipsoid. Let M be a bounded closed set with positive volume. The centroid s of M is defined by its rectangular coordinates

Received January 3, 1961.

$$s_i = \frac{1}{|M|} \int_{M} x_i dV_x^n.$$

If  $L_{\nu}$  is a  $\nu$ -flat through the origin z, then the second moment of M with respect to  $L_{\nu}$   $(0 \le \nu \le n-1)$  is defined by

$$I(M, L_{\nu}) = \int_{M} r^{2} \sin^{2} \varphi d V_{x}^{n}$$

where the distance zx is r and  $\varphi$  is the angle between the ray zx and  $L_{\nu}$  (for  $\nu = 0$ , we define  $\varphi = \pi/2$ ). By the same type of integration technique in [1, pp. 5-6], the reader may verify that

$$(2.3) I(U_n, L_\nu) = \frac{n-\nu}{n+2} \pi_n$$

where  $U_n$  has center z; a calculation which will be used later. The matrix  $A_M$  given by

$$A_{\mathtt{M}} = \left[\frac{1}{\mid M \mid} \int_{\mathtt{M}} x_i x_j dV_x^n\right]$$

is positive definite since

$$y^{\scriptscriptstyle T} A_{\scriptscriptstyle M} y = rac{1}{\mid M \mid} \int_{\scriptscriptstyle M} (\Sigma x_i y_i)^2 d \, V_x^{\scriptscriptstyle n} \; ,$$

where y is a column vector and  $y^T$  is its transpose. The ellipsoid with surface  $x^T A_M x = 1$  will be called Fenchel's momental ellipsoid and its polar reciprocal with respect to  $\Omega_n$  given by  $x^T A_M^{-1} x = 1$  will be called simply Fenchel's ellipsoid. This name is chosen since W. Fenchel first observed the affine character of this polar reciprocal (unpublished):

(2.5) Let M be transformed into  $\overline{M}$  by a central affinity with matrix B. If F and  $\overline{F}$  are the Fenchel ellipsoids of M and  $\overline{M}$  respectively, then this central affinity also carries F into  $\overline{F}$ .

To see this, it may be observed from (2.4) that  $A_{\overline{M}} = BA_{\underline{M}}B^{T}$  or  $A_{\overline{M}}^{-1} = (B^{-1})^{T}A_{\underline{M}}^{-1}B^{-1}$  which completes the proof.

If |F| is the volume of the Fenchel ellipsoid F of M, then

(2.6) 
$$|F|^2 = \pi_n^2 \det(A_M)$$
.

The result (2.5) enables one to prove readily that

(2.7) 
$$\pi_n^{-2} |F|^2 = \det(A_M) \ge (n+2)^{-n} \pi_n^{-2} |M|^2$$

with equality only if, except for a set of measure zero, M is a solid ellipsoid with center z. For if we transform M into  $\overline{M}$  by a unimodular central affinity so that  $\overline{F}$  is a sphere, then

$$\det\left(A_{\mathtt{M}}
ight) = \left[rac{1}{n\mid M\mid}\int_{\overline{\mathtt{M}}} r^{2}d\,V_{x}^{n}
ight]^{n}\,.$$

Comparison of  $\int_{\overline{M}} r^2 d V_x^n$  with that for a sphere with center z and volume |M| proves (2.7).

We will adopt the same notation for mixed discriminates as in [2, pp. 51-57] where the reader will find an exposition of their properties. Consider the r quadratic forms  $q_i = x^T A_i x$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , where  $A_k = [a_{ij}^{(k)}]$  is a real symmetric matrix. For any real  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ , set  $q = \lambda_1 q_1 + \dots + \lambda_r q_r = x^T A x$  where  $A = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k A_k$ . The discriminant  $D(q) = \det(A)$  can be written

$$D(q) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{r} \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^{r} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_n} D(q_{i_1}, \cdots, q_{i_n})$$

where  $D(q_{i_1}, \dots, q_{i_n})$  is independent of the order of the  $q_{i_k}$  and is called the mixed discriminant of  $q_{i_1}, \dots, q_{i_n}$ . For n forms  $q_i$  we have

(2.8) 
$$D(q_1, \dots, q_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{(i_1 \dots i_n)} \begin{vmatrix} a_{11}^{(i_1)} \dots a_{1n}^{(i_n)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}^{(i_1)} \dots a_{nn}^{(i_n)} \end{vmatrix}$$

where  $(i_1 \cdots i_n)$  is a permutation of  $(1 \cdots n)$ .

Now consider n closed and bounded sets  $M_i$  with positive volume and let  $q_i = x^T A_{M_i} x$  be the quadratic form associated with the Fenchel momental ellipsoid of  $M_i$ . By (2.4) and (2.8) we have

$$(2.9) \quad D(q_{1}, \dots, q_{n}) = \frac{1}{n! \mid M_{1} \mid \dots \mid M_{n} \mid}$$

$$\sum_{(i_{1} \dots i_{n})} \int_{M_{i_{1}}} \dots \int_{M_{i_{n}}} x_{1}^{(i_{1})} \dots x_{n}^{(i_{n})} \begin{vmatrix} x_{1}^{(i_{1})} \dots x_{1}^{(i_{n})} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n}^{(i_{1})} \dots x_{n}^{(i_{n})} \end{vmatrix} d V_{x^{(i_{1})}}^{n} \dots d V_{x^{(i_{n})}}^{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n! \mid M_{1} \mid \dots \mid M_{n} \mid} \int_{M_{1}} \dots \int_{M_{n}} \begin{vmatrix} x_{1}^{(1)} \dots x_{1}^{(n)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n}^{(1)} \dots x_{n}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix}^{2} d V_{x^{(1)}}^{n} \dots d V_{x^{(n)}}^{n}.$$

Since  $T(z, x^{\scriptscriptstyle(1)}, \, \cdots, \, x^{\scriptscriptstyle(n)}) = \pm \, (1/n!) \det \, (x_i^{\scriptscriptstyle(j)})$  we then have

$$(2.10) D(q_1, \dots, q_n) = \frac{n!}{|M_1| \dots |M_n|} \int_{M_1} \dots \int_{M_n} T^2(z, p_1, \dots, p_n) dV_{p_1}^n \dots dV_{p_n}^n.$$

The fundamental inequality for mixed discriminants (see [2, p. 53]) is: (2.11) If the forms  $q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}$  are positive definite and Q is any sym-

metric form, then

$$D^{2}(q_{1}, \dots, q_{n-1}, Q) \geq D(q_{1}, \dots, q_{n-1}, q_{n-1}) D(q_{1}, \dots, q_{n-2}, Q, Q)$$

where the equality sign holds only if  $Q = \lambda q_{n-1}$ .

If we set

$$(2.12) D_p(q,Q) = D(q_1, \cdots, q_{n-p}, \underbrace{Q, \cdots, Q}_{p}),$$

then for n positive definite forms  $q_i$ , (2.11) generalizes to

(2.13) 
$$D^{r}(q_{1}, \dots, q_{n}) \geq \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} D_{r}(q, q_{n-k}), r = 2, 3, \dots, n$$

with equality only if  $q_{n-k} = \lambda_{n-k}q_n$  for  $k = 0, \dots, r-1$ .

The proof of (2.13) and the condition for equality proceed by induction from the case r=2. The proof is analogous to Alexandrov's generalization [2, p. 50] of a corresponding inequality for mixed volumes and consequently will be omitted here.

If we now set

$$(2.14) W(M_1, \dots, M_n, z) = \int_{M_n} \dots \int_{M_n} T^2(z, p_1, \dots, p_n) dV_{p_1}^n \dots dV_{p_n}^n$$

and

(2.15) 
$$W_p(M, M_k, z) = W(M_1, \dots, M_{n-p}, \underbrace{M_k, \dots M_k, z}_{p}),$$

then by (2.13) and (2.10) we have

$$(2.16) W^{r}(M_{1}, \dots, M_{n}, z) \geq \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} W_{r}(M, M_{n-k}, z), r = 2, \dots, n$$

with the equality sign only if the Fenchel ellipsoids of  $M_{n-k}$  are homothetic for  $k = 0, \dots, r-1$ . Applying (2.16) to the case r = n and using (2.10) and (2.7), we have

$$(2.17) [|M_1| \cdots |M_n|]^{(n+2)/n} \leq n! \pi_n^2 (n+2)^n W(M_1, \cdots, M_n, z)$$

with equality only if (except for a set of measure zero) the  $M_i$  are homothetic ellipsoids with center z.

The reader will find other inequalities of the above type in [3, pp. 70-71].

3. Centroid surfaces. As before, M is a bounded closed set with positive volume. An oriented hyperplane L(u) through z normal to the direction u ( $u \neq 0$ ) bounds a closed half-space lying on its positive side.

The intersection of this halfspace with M will be denoted by C(u). Consider the function

(3.1) 
$$H(u) = \frac{1}{|M|} \int_{M} |u \cdot x| dV_x^n, u \cdot x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i x_i.$$

Since

(a) 
$$H(0) = 0$$
,

(b) 
$$H(\mu u) = \mu H(u)$$
 for  $\mu > 0$ ,

(c) 
$$H(u+v) \leq H(u) + H(v)$$
,

H(u) is the supporting function (s.f.) of a convex body  $K^*$  (see [4, p. 26]), which is nondegenerate and has center z. Let  $P_0$  be the supporting plane (s.p.) to  $K^*$  in the direction  $u^{(0)}$ , the supporting function of  $K^* \cap P_0$  is given by the directional derivative

$$(3.2) \qquad H'(u^{(0)}; u) = \lim_{h \to 0+} \frac{H(u^{(0)} + hu) - H(u^{(0)})}{h}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|M|} \int_{\sigma(u^{(0)})} u \cdot x dV_x^n - \frac{1}{|M|} \int_{\sigma(-u^{(0)})} u \cdot x dV_x^n.$$

Since  $H'(u^{(0)}; u)$  is a linear function of the  $u_i$ ,  $P_0$  touches  $K^*$  in a single point and thus every s.p. of  $K^*$  is regular and  $K^*$  is strictly convex. (See [4, pp. 25–26].) The derivatives  $\partial H/\partial u_i$  are continuous, homogeneous of degree 0, and if y is the point of contact of the s.p. to  $K^*$  in the direction u, then

$$(3.3) y_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_i} = \frac{1}{|M|} \int_{\sigma(u)} x_i dV_x^n - \frac{1}{|M|} \int_{\sigma(-u)} x_i dV_x^n.$$

We will call  $K^*$  the centroid body of M (with respect to z) and the surface of  $K^*$  will be called the centroid surface of M. One may observe that if M happens to have center z, then the centroid surface of M is precisely the set of all controids of C(u) for  $u \in \Omega_n$ . In general, let  $s^{(1)}$  and  $s^{(2)}$  be the centroids of C(u) and C(-u) respectively, the y is the center of mass of the two points  $s^{(1)}$  and  $-s^{(2)}$  provided with mass |C(u)|/|M| and |C(-u)|/|M| respectively. If |C(u)| = 0, we will define the centroid of C(u) to be the point z.

It is evident that if M is transformed into  $\overline{M}$  by a central affinity, then this transformation also carries the centroid surface of M into the centroid surface of  $\overline{M}$ .

We now wish to impose additional restrictions on M such that H(u) has continuous second partial derivatives and the surface of  $K^*$  has positive Gauss curvature. The following two conditions are sufficient for this purpose:

C. M. PETTY

- (a) The set M(u) has positive (n-1)-dimensional measure for all  $u \in \Omega_x$ .
- (b) For any  $u^{(0)} \in \Omega_n$  and any sequence  $u^{(i)} \to u^{(0)}$ , the  $\lim_{u^{(i)} \to u^{(0)}} M(u^{(i)})$  coincides with  $M(u^{(0)})$  except for a possible set of zero (n-1)-dimensional measure.

To simplify the calculation of the second partial derivatives at a point  $u^{(0)}$ , we introduce what Busemann [2, p. 57] calls "standard coordinates." With the same origin and orientation, the  $x_n$  axis is chosen such that  $u_1^{(0)} = \cdots = u_{n-1}^{(0)} = 0$  and  $u_n^{(0)} > 0$ . It then follows from (3.3) that

(3.4) 
$$\frac{\partial^2 H(u^{(0)})}{\partial u_k \partial u_n} = \frac{\partial^2 H(u^{(0)})}{\partial u_n \partial u_k} = 0.$$

Although standard coordinates vary from point to point, the end result (3.9) is expressed geometrically and therefore independent of the coordinate system.

For 
$$j < n$$
, let  $u = (0, \dots, 0, u_j, 0, \dots, 0, u_n^{(0)})$  and set

Except for a set of zero *n*-dimensional measure,  $N_2 = N_2^*$  and  $N_3 = N_3^*$ . By (3.3) we have for i, j < n

$$(3.5) \qquad \frac{\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_i}\right)_u - \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_i}\right)_{u^{(0)}}}{u_j} = \frac{2}{u_j \mid M \mid} \left(\int_{N_3} x_i d \, V_x^n - \int_{N_2} x_i d \, V_x^n\right).$$

We will calculate the limit of (3.5) as either  $u_j \to 0+$  or  $u_j \to 0-$ . In either case for  $x \in N_3$ ,  $x_j u_j \ge 0$ ,  $x_n \le 0$  and for  $x \in N_2$ ,  $x_j u_j \ge 0$ ,  $x_n \ge 0$ . For  $-\pi/2 < v_n < \pi/2$ , let the hyperplane  $x_n = (\tan v_n)x_j$  intersect M in  $M^+(v_n)$  for  $x_n \ge 0$  and in  $M^-(v_n)$  for  $x_n \le 0$ . Also the volume element  $dV_n^{n-1}$  of this hyperplane is

$$(3.6) dV_x^{n-1} = dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-1} \sec v_n .$$

We introduce new coordinates  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  by  $x_i = v_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $x_n = v_j \tan v_n$  which uniquely define the  $v_i$  with  $-\pi/2 < v_n < \pi/2$  for all x for which  $x_j \neq 0$ . The Jacobian J of this transformation is  $J = v_j \sec^2 v_n$ . Also define  $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < \pi/2$ , by  $u_n^{(0)} \tan \alpha = |u_j|$ . Then  $|J|/u_j = \pm v_j \sec^2 v_n/u_n^{(0)} \tan \alpha$  with the plus sign for  $x \in N_3$  and the minus sign for  $x \in N_2$ . The difference quotient (3.5) is, consequently, given by

$$+ \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\tt \alpha}\!\sec\,v_{\scriptscriptstyle n}\!\!\left(\!\int_{{\tt M}^+(v_n)}\!\!v_{\scriptscriptstyle i}v_{\scriptscriptstyle j}d\,V_{\scriptscriptstyle v}^{\scriptscriptstyle n-1}\!\right)\!\!dv_{\scriptscriptstyle n}\!\left.\right]$$

and since the integrands are continuous functions of  $v_n$  by assumption (b) we have

$$(3.7) \qquad H_{ij}(u^{(0)}) = \frac{\partial^2 H(u^{(0)})}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} = \frac{2}{u_n^{(0)} \mid M \mid} \int_{M(u^{(0)})} x_i x_j d \, V_x^{n-1}, \, (i,j < n) \; .$$

Now let  $H^{(i)}(u)$  be the supporting function (3.1) for the set  $M_i$ ,  $i=1,\cdots,n-1$ . Set  $H=\lambda_1 H^{(1)}+\cdots+\lambda_n H^{(n-1)}$ , then  $D_{n-1}(H)$  is defined as the sum of all principal (n-1) rowed minors of the matrix  $H_{ij}$  (with components evaluated for a unit vector) and is a homogeneous polynomial of degree (n-1) in the  $\lambda_i$ . (See [4, p. 59] or [2, pp. 45-46].) The quantity  $D(H^{(1)},\cdots,H^{(n-1)})$  denotes the factor of  $\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{n-1}$  in  $D_{n-1}(H)$  divided by (n-1)!. If we calculate (3.7) for each of the  $H^{(i)}$  using the same standard coordinates we have, because of (3.4),

$$(3.8) D(H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(n-1)}) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{(i_1 \dots i_{n-1})} \begin{vmatrix} H_{11}^{(i_1)} & \dots & H_{n(n-1)}^{(i_{n-1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_{(n-1)1}^{(i_1)} & \dots & H_{(n-1(n-1))}^{(i_{n-1})} \end{vmatrix}.$$

In the same way as we derived (2.10), we find for any  $u \in \Omega_u$ ,

$$(3.9) D(H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(n-1)}) = \frac{(n-1)!2^{n-1}}{|M_1| \dots |M_{n-1}|} \int_{M_1(u)} \dots \int_{M_{n-1}(u)} T^2(z, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}) dV_{p_1}^{n-1} \dots dV_{p_{n-1}}^{n-1}.$$

By comparison with (2.10) we observe that

$$(3.10) \quad D(H^{(1)}, \, \cdots, \, H^{(n-1)}) = \frac{2^{n-1} \, | \, M_1(u) \, | \, \cdots \, | \, M_{n-1}(u) \, |}{| \, M_1 \, | \, \cdots \, | \, M_{n-1} \, |} D(q_1, \, \cdots, \, q_{n-1})$$

where  $q_i$  is the quadratic from associated with the Fenchel momental ellipsoid of  $M_i(u)$  in the (n-1)-dimensional space L(u).

From (3.9), we may give an integral interpretation of an elementary symmetric function  $\{R_1 \cdots R_m\}$  of the principal radii of curvature of the centroid surface of M. With H given by (3.1) we have for  $m = 1, \cdots, n-1$  (see [4, p. 63]),

$$(3.11) \qquad \{R_1 \cdots R_m\} = {n-1 \choose m} D(\underbrace{|u|, \cdots, |u|}_{n-m-1}, \underbrace{H, \cdots, H}_{m}) = D_m(H).$$

Set  $M = M_1 = \cdots = M_m$  and  $U_n = M_{m+1} = \cdots = M_{n-1}$ . Since

(3.12) 
$$\frac{1}{\mid U_n \mid} \int_{U_n} \mid u \cdot x \mid dV_x = \frac{2\pi_{n-1}}{(n+1)\pi_n} \mid u \mid ,$$

we obtain

$$\left[ \frac{2\pi_{n-1}}{(n+1)\pi_n} \right]^{n-m-1} D_m(H) = \binom{n-1}{m} \frac{(n-1)! 2^{n-1}}{|M|^m \pi_n^{n-m-1}} \\ \cdot \underbrace{\int_{M(u)} \int_{M(u)} \int_{U_n(u)} \int_{U_n(u)} T^2(z, \, p_1, \, \cdots, \, p_{n-1}) d \, V_{p_1}^{n-1} \, \cdots \, d \, V_{p_{n-1}}^{n-1} \, . }_{n-m-1}$$

By integrating successively over the  $U_n(u)$  and using (2.3) applied to the appropriate dimensions we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \{R_1 \cdots R_m\} = \frac{m! 2^m}{\mid M \mid^m} \int_{M(u)} \cdots \int_{M(u)} T^2(z, \, p_1, \, \cdots, \, p_m) d \, V_{p_1}^{n-1} \cdots d \, V_{p_m}^{n-1}$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

We may also give an interpretation of each individual principal radius of curvature. First we show:

(3.14) The Dupin indicatrix of the centroid surface of M(wrtz) at the point of contact y of the tangent plane in the direction u is homothetic to the Fenchel ellipsoid  $(wrt \ z)$  of M(u) in the space L(u).

A central affinity sends homothetic figures in parallel hyperplanes into homothetic figures. Due to the affine nature of Fenchel ellipsoids and centroid surfaces, we need only show that if the Fenchel ellipsoid of M(u) is a sphere, then the Dupin indicatrix at y is a sphere. However, this follows at once from (2.4) and the representation (3.7) in standard coordinates since the principal radii of curvature  $R_i$  must satisfy

$$egin{array}{c|c} H_{11}\!-\!R & \cdots & H_{1n} \ dots & dots \ H_{n1} & \cdots & H_{nn} - R \end{array} = 0$$

where  $H_{ij}$  are evaluated for a unit vector. (See [4, p. 61].)

Now, let the line through z, parallel to the *i*th principal direction of the centroid surface at y, be normal to the (n-2) space  $L_{n-2}$  through z in L(u). Then  $R_i$  is given by

(3.14) 
$$R_{i} = \frac{2}{|M|} I(M(u), L_{n-2})$$

where  $I(M(u), L_{n-2})$  is the second moment, in L(u), of M(u) with respect to  $L_{n-2}$ .

Returning to the (n-1) bodies  $M_1, \dots, M_{n-1}$  for which we obtained (3.9), let  $H^{(n)}(u)$  be the supporting function (3.1) corresponding to any bounded closed set  $M_n$  with positive volume. Then (see [2, p. 46]),

$$(3.15) V(K_1^*, \dots, K_n^*) = n^{-1} \int_{\Omega_n} H^{(n)} D(H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(n-1)}) d\omega_u^n, |u| = 1,$$

where  $V(K_1^*, \dots, K_n^*)$  is the mixed volume of  $K_1^*, \dots, K_n^*$ . Using (3.9), (3.15), (3.1) and the integration technique of Busemann in [1] where it is shown that

$$dV_{p_1}^n \cdots dV_{p_{n-1}}^n = (n-1)! T(z, p_1, \cdots, p_{n-1}) dV_{p_1}^{n-1} \cdots dV_{p_{n-1}}^{n-1} d\omega_u^n,$$

we obtain

$$(3.16) V(K_1^*, \dots, K_n^*) = \frac{2^n}{|M_1| \dots |M_n|} \int_{M_1} \dots \int_{M_n} T(z, p_1, \dots, p_n) dV_{p_1}^n \dots dV_{p_n}^n.$$

Since both sides of (3.16) vary continuously with the  $M_i$ , we may extend this result to any n bounded and closed sets  $M_i$  with  $|M_i| > 0$ . Briefly, we may assume  $z \in M_i$  and let  $\varepsilon_j > 0$  be a sequence such that  $\varepsilon_j \to 0$ . A covering of open spheres of radius  $\varepsilon_j$  with centers in  $M_i$  may be reduced to a finite covering since  $M_i$  is compact. Conditions (a) and (b) are then satisfied for the closure of such a finite covering and the extention of (3.16) follows.

There is an alternate proof of (3.16) which proceeds directly from (3.1). We did not resort to this at the outset since the intervening results are of interest in themselves. Briefly, the alternate proof is as follows: We approximate the  $H^{(i)}(u)$  of (3.1) by

$$E^{(i,k)}(u) = rac{1}{\mid M_i \mid} \sum\limits_{j=1}^k \mid u \cdot x^{(j)} \mid {_{\it extstyle d}} V_j^n$$

such that  $E^{(i,k)} \to H^{(i)}$  as  $k \to +\infty$ . Now  $|u \cdot x|$  is the supporting function of the segment  $\overline{x}$  with end-points x and -x. Also, by induction, one shows that

$$V(\bar{x}^{{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}},\, \cdots,\, \bar{x}^{{\scriptscriptstyle (n)}})=2^n\, T(z,\, x^{{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}},\, \cdots,\, x^{{\scriptscriptstyle (n)}})$$
 .

The function  $E^{(i,k)}$  is the supporting function of the linear combination

$$E_{\scriptscriptstyle (i,k)} = rac{1}{\mid M_i \mid} \sum\limits_{j=1}^k ar{x}^{\scriptscriptstyle (j)} {}_{\!ec{J}} V_{\scriptscriptstyle j}^{\scriptscriptstyle n}$$
 .

For  $\lambda_j > 0$  the linear combination  $E_k = \lambda_1 E_{(1,k)} + \cdots + \lambda_n E_{(n,k)}$  may also be expressed as a linear combination of the nk segments  $\bar{x}^{(f_i)}$ . Expressing the volume of  $E_k$  as a polynomial in the  $\lambda_i$  in two ways we have by comparing the coefficient of  $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$ 

$$egin{aligned} V(E_{(1.k)},\, \cdots,\, E_{(n.k)}) \ &= rac{2^n}{\mid M_1 \mid \cdots \mid M_n \mid} \sum\limits_{j_1=1}^k \cdots \sum\limits_{j_n=1}^k T(z,\, x^{(j_1)},\, \cdots,\, x^{(j_n)}) arDelta V_{j_1}^n \cdots arDelta V_{j_n}^n \end{aligned}$$

and (3.16) follows in the limit as  $k \to +\infty$ .

The formula (3.16) may be substituted into inequalities of mixed volumes to yield inequalities of the integrals. Since the number of times a component appears on each side of a mixed volume inequality is always the same, the coefficient on the righthand side of (3.16) cancels leaving, as in (2.16), inequalities among the integrals only. However, in this case when the uniqueness theorem (4.1) applies, the condition for equality may be passed through the  $K_i^*$  to the  $M_i$ .

In [1, p. 11], Busemann shows that if M is a nondegenerate convex body, then

$$(3.17) \qquad \int_{\mathcal{M}} \cdots \int_{\mathcal{M}} T(z, p_1, \cdots, p_n) dV_{p_1} \cdots dV_{p_n} \ge \frac{2}{(n+1)!} \frac{\pi_{n+1}^{n-1}}{\pi_n^{n+1}} |M|^{n+1}$$

with equality only if M is an ellipsoid with center z. We define the expanded centroid body K of M to be the dilation of  $K^*$  about z by the factor  $(n+1)\pi_n/2\pi_{n-1}$ . By (3.12), we see that this is the factor which dilates the centroid body of an ellipsoid with center z into coincidence with the ellipsoid.

From (3.16) we obtain a reinterpretation of (3.17) by observing the identity  $n!\pi_n\pi_{n-1}=2^n\pi^{n-1}$ :

(3.18) If K is the expanded centroid body of a nondegenerate convex body M, then  $|K| \ge |M|$  with equality only if M is an ellipsoid with center z.

The convexity of M is not an essential feature in (3.18) and the Steiner symmetrization used to prove (3.17) may be extended to include nonconvex sets.

Using the expanded centroid bodies  $K_i$  of  $M_i$ , we may write (3.16) as

$$(3.19) | M_{1} | \cdots | M_{n} | V(K_{1}, \cdots, K_{n})$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)! \pi_{n}^{n+1}}{2\pi_{n+1}^{n-1}} \int_{M_{1}} \cdots \int_{M_{n}} T(z, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}) dV_{p_{1}}^{n} \cdots dV_{p_{n}}^{n}$$

and if we define  $K_i$  to be the point z if  $|M_i| = 0$  then (3.19) holds for any bounded closed sets  $M_i$ .

Substituting (3.19) into (1.1) we have

(3.20) THEOREM. If  $K_i(u)$  is the expanded centroid body of  $M_i(u)$  in the (n-1)-dimensional space L(u), then

$$egin{aligned} \mid M_{1} \mid \cdots \mid M_{n-1} \mid \ &= rac{1}{n} rac{\pi_{n}^{n-2}}{\pi_{n-1}^{n}} \! \int_{\Omega_{n}} \mid M_{1}(u) \mid \cdots \mid M_{n-1}(u) \mid V(K_{1}(u), \, \cdots, \, K_{n-1}(u)) d\omega_{u}^{n} \; . \end{aligned}$$

The inequality  $V^{n-1}(K_1(u), \dots, K_{n-1}(u)) \ge |K_1(u)| \dots |K_{n-1}(u)|$  (see [2, p. 50]) and (3.18) reproduces (1.3).

There are two special cases of (3.20) of particular geometric interest. First, set  $M = M_1 = \cdots = M_{n-1}$ , then

$$|M|^{n-1} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\pi_n^{n-2}}{\pi_{n-1}^n} \int_{\Omega_n} |M(u)|^{n-1} |K(u)| d\omega_u^n.$$

Next, for  $n \ge 3$ , set  $M = M_1 = \cdots = M_{n-2}$ ,  $M_{n-1} = U_n$ , then

$$(3.22) \qquad |M|^{n-2} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \frac{\pi_n^{n-3}}{\pi_{n-1}^{n-1}} \int_{\Omega_n} |M(u)|^{n-2} S(K(u)) d\omega_u^n$$

where S(K(u)) is the surface area of K(u) in the space L(u).

- 4. Uniqueness theorems. In order for  $K^*$  to determine M, additional restrictions on M are necessary as may be seen by consideration of a set M bounded by two concentric spheres.
- (4.1) Theorem. Suppose  $M_i$  (i=1,2) can be represented in polar coordinates by  $0 \le r \le \rho_i(u)$ ,  $u \in \Omega_n$  where  $\rho_i(u)$  is an even, i.e.,  $\rho_i(u) = \rho_i(-u)$ , continuous function on  $\Omega_n$ . If the centroid surface of  $M_1(wrt z)$  is identical to the centroid surface of  $M_2(wrt z)$ , then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are identical.
- (4.2) THEOREM. Suppose  $M_i$  (i=1,2) have the same representation as in (4.1). If  $|M_1(u)| = |M_2(u)|$  for all  $u \in \Omega_n$ , then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are identical.

The latter theorem is a result, for n = 3, of P. Funk [6].

We first prove (4.1). From (3.1) and the assumption on the representation of  $M_i$  we have

$$H^{(i)}(u) = rac{1}{(n+1)\,|\,M_i\,|} \int_{a_n} |\,u\,\cdot\, au\,|\,
ho_i^{n+1}( au) d\omega_{ au}^n,\,|\, au\,|\,=1\;.$$

Consequently, (4.1) follows from the uniqueness of the solution of an integral equation of the first kind. Namely:

(4.3) Theorem. Let  $h(\tau)$  be an even, continuous function on  $\Omega_n$ . If for unit vectors u and  $\tau$ 

$$\int_{arrho_n} |\, u\, \cdot au \,|\, h( au) d\omega_{ au}^n = 0$$

for all  $u \in \Omega_n$ , then  $h(\tau)$  vanishes identically.

The result (4.3) is well known for n=2,3 and the recent extension of surface harmonics to n-dimensions, in particular the Funk-Hecke theorem, enables one to prove (4.3) for all n. There are two steps in the following proof (which applies for  $n \ge 3$ ). First, from the com-

pleteness [5, p. 241] it suffices to show that

$$\int_{arrho_n} S_{\scriptscriptstyle m}( au) h( au) d\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle au}^{\scriptscriptstyle n} = 0$$

for all the linearly independent surface harmonics  $S_m(\tau)$  of degree m and for  $m=0,1,2,\cdots$ . Since  $h(\tau)$  is an even function we need only to consider, now, even m. Next, from the Funk-Hecke theorem [5, pp. 247-248] we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_n} |u \cdot \tau| S_m(u) d\omega_u^n = \lambda_m S_m(\tau)$$

where

(4.4) 
$$\lambda_m = \frac{(4\pi)^{\nu} m! \Gamma(\nu)}{(m+2\nu-1)!} \int_{-1}^1 |x| C_m^{\nu}(x) (1-x^2)^{\nu-1/2} dx$$

and  $\nu=(n-2)/2\geq 1/2$ . Thus, we need only to verify that  $\lambda_m\neq 0$  for  $m=0,2,4,\cdots$ . For  $m=0,C_0^{\nu}(x)=1$  and  $\lambda_0\neq 0$ . For m>0,

$$C_m^
u(x) = a_{m,
u} (1-x^2)^{-
u+1/2} rac{d^m}{dx^m} [(1-x^2)^{m+
u-1/2}]$$

where  $a_{m,\nu} \neq 0$ . See [5, p. 236] for the explicit expression of the coefficient  $a_{m,\nu}$ . Thus the integral in (4.4) is

$$I_{m,
u} = 2a_{m,
u} \int_0^1 \!\! x rac{d^m}{dx^m} [(1-x^2)^{m+
u-1/2}] dx$$

and using integration by parts

$$I_{m,
u} = 2 a_{m,
u} (-1)^{rac{m-2}{2}} (m-2)! inom{m+
u-1/2}{rac{m}{2}-1} 
eq 0$$

for  $m = 2, 4, 6, \cdots$  which completes the proof.

The result (4.2) is clearly a consequence of the following spherical integration theorem.

(4.5) Let  $f(\tau)$  be a continuous even function defined on  $\Omega_n$ . If

$$\int_{arOmega_{m{n}}(u)}f( au)dm{\omega}_{ au}^{n-1}=0$$

for all  $u \in \Omega_n$ , then  $f(\tau)$  vanishes identically.

A proof of (4.5) for n=3 can be found in [4, pp. 136-138]. However, a proof for all  $n\geq 3$  is easily obtained from (4.1). To see this, set  $g(\tau)=f(\tau)-[\min f(\tau)]+1>0$ . Let  $\rho(\tau)=[g(\tau)]^{1/n+1)}$  and let M be the set whose polar coordinates satisfy  $0\leq r\leq \rho(\tau)$ ,  $\tau\in\Omega_n$ . Using (3.13) for m=1, the sum of the principal radii of curvature of the centroid

surface of M wrt z may be expressed, in this case, by

$$R_{_{1}}+\cdots+R_{_{n-1}}=rac{2}{(n+1)\,|\,M\,|}\!\int_{arRho_{_{n}(u)}}\!g( au)d\omega_{_{ au}}^{_{n-1}}$$

and, by hypothesis, this is a positive constant for  $u \in \Omega_n$ . However, this implies (see [4, pp. 117-118]) that the centroid surface is a sphere and by (4.1), M is a solid sphere and  $g(\tau)$  is a constant which completes the proof.

### REFERENCES

- 1. H. Busemann, Volume in terms of concurrent cross-sections, Pacific J. Math., 3 (1953), 1-12.
- 2. ——, Convex Surfaces, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.
- 3. H. Busemann and E. G. Straus, Area and normality, Pacific J. Math., 10 (1960), 35-72.
- 4. T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel, Theorie der konvexen Körper, Chelsea, New York, 1948.
- 5. A. Erdélyi, et al., Higher transcendental functions, Bateman Manuscript Project, vol.
- 2, New York, 1953.
- P. Funk, Über Flächen mit lauter geschlossenen geodätischen Linien, Math. Ann., 74 (1913), 278-300.

APPLIED MATHEMATICS, LOCKHEED MISSILES AND SPACE DIVISION, SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### **EDITORS**

RALPH S. PHILLIPS Stanford University Stanford, California

F. H. Brownell University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

L. J. PAIGE

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

E. F. BECKENBACH

D. DERRY

H. L. ROYDEN

E. G. STRAUS

T. M. CHERRY

M. OHTSUKA

E. SPANIER

F. WOLF

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. The price per volume (4 numbers) is \$12.00; single issues, \$3.50. Back numbers are available. Special price to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues, \$1.25.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal,
but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Reprinted 1966 in the United States of America

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 11, No. 4 , 1961

A. V. Balakrishnan, Prediction theory for Markoff processes	1171
Dallas O. Banks, Upper bounds for the eigenvalues of some vibrating systems	1183
A. Białynicki-Birula, On the field of rational functions of algebraic groups	1205
Thomas Andrew Brown, Simple paths on convex polyhedra	1211
	1215
	1223
Paul Joseph Cohen and Milton Lees, Asymptotic decay of solutions of differential	
	1235
	1251
Walter Feit and John Griggs Thompson, Groups which have a faithful representation	
of degree less than $(p-1/2)$	1257
William James Firey, Mean cross-section measures of harmonic means of convex	
	1263
1 0 00 0	1267
Bernard Russel Gelbaum and Jesus Gil De Lamadrid, Bases of tensor products of	
1	1281
Ronald Kay Getoor, Infinitely divisible probabilities on the hyperbolic plane	1287
, 1 0 1 1	1309
Magnus R. Hestenes, Relative self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space	1315
Fu Cheng Hsiang, On a theorem of Fejér	1359
John McCormick Irwin and Elbert A. Walker, On N-high subgroups of Abelian	
G I	1363
, 8 8 1 3	1375
	1385
David G. Kendall and John Leonard Mott, <i>The asymptotic distribution of the</i>	
	1393
	1401
Lionello Lombardi, The semicontinuity of the most general integral of the calculus	
J J	1407
Albert W. Marshall and Ingram Olkin, Game theoretic proof that Chebyshev	1 101
	1421
, ,	1431
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1443
	1447
	1459
	1467
	1479
Paul Adrian Nickel, On extremal properties for annular radial and circular slit	
11 8 3	1487
Edward Scott O'Keefe, Primal clusters of two-element algebras	1505
Nelson Onuchic, Applications of the topological method of Ważewski to certain	
	1511
Peter Perkins, A theorem on regular matrices	
Clinton M. Petty, Centroid surfaces	
Charles Andrew Swanson, Asymptotic estimates for limit circle problems	
Robert James Thompson, On essential absolute continuity	
Harold H. Johnson, Correction to "Terminating prolongation procedures"	1571