Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON TWO TAUBERIAN REMAINDER THEOREMS

MAGNUS LINDBERG

Vol. 12, No. 2

February 1962

ON TWO TAUBERIAN REMAINDER THEOREMS

MAGNUS LINDBERG

1. Introduction. In this paper we are going to treat two different tauberian problems by a common method. The first of these problems originates from a question raised by P. Erdös [6] and the second was suggested by F. Brownell [5]. Our method gives in both cases slightly better results than those hitherto known.

In connection with his work on the Prime-number-theorem Erdös came to consider the following problem:

If A is a nondecreasing function, such that

(1.1)
$$\int_0^x A(x-t) dA(t) = \frac{x^2}{2} + O(x) , \quad x \to \infty ,$$

what can be said about the order of magnitude of a(x) = A(x) - x?

Erdös proved that a(x) = o(x) and constructed a counter example showing that (1.1) couldn't give $a(x) = o(\sqrt{x})$.

Later on Avakumović [2] gave the result $a(x) = O(x^{\sqrt{3}-1+\varepsilon})$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

In 1956 Bojanić, Jurkat and Peyerimhoff [4] improved this to $a(x) = O(x^{2/3}(\log x)^{1/3})$, this being the best result known till now.

Here we will study the more general case, when the remainder in (1.1) is $O(x^{q})$, $0 \leq q < 2$. Assuming this our result is $a(x) = 0(x^{(q+1)/3})$. Thus we are able to remove the logarithm in the last estimate.

The second of our problems was presented as a research problem in the Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society [5] by F. Brownell:

"Let F(x) be a real valued function of real $x \ge 0$ which is of bounded variation over every finite interval [0, N], which is continuous at x = 0 with F(0) = 0, and which has $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} |dF(x)| < +\infty$ for real t > 0. With s = t + iv, t and v real, define g by the Lebesque-Stieltjes integral $g(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} dF(x)$, analytic in the region t > 0. Let F satisfy the conditions that

(1.2)
$$g(t) = b + 0(e^{-c/t}), \quad t \to 0 + 0$$

for some real constants c > 0 and b, and that

$$(1.3) F(x) + Kx^{\prime}$$

be strictly increasing over $x \ge 1$ for some real constants K > 0 and $\nu \ge 1$.

Is it true as conjectured, that

Received April 1961.

(1.5)
$$F(x) = o(x^{\nu - 1/2})$$

as $x \to \infty$ if in addition to (1.2) and (1.3) it is also assumed that $g(iv) = \lim_{t\to 0^+} g(t + iv)$ exists finite for all $v \neq 0$, that the resulting g(s) is continuous in $t \ge 0$ and $s \ne 0$, and that over all such s

(1.4)
$$|g(s)| \leq M_1 |s|^{-\nu + \eta} + M_2$$

for some finite constants M_1 and M_2 and $\eta > 0$?" That

(1.6)
$$F(x) = O(x^{\nu-1/2})$$

can be proved even if assumption (1.4) is excluded has been shown by several authors. In the case $\nu = 1$, this result was obtained by Avakumović [1] and the general case has been treated by Ganelius [9] and Korevaar [11], basing their works on the results of Freud [7,8] (cf. Ganelius [10]).

The example $F(x) = \int_0^x (\sin \sqrt{t}) t^{\nu-1} dt$ (Korevaar [11]), for integer ν satisfies (1.2), (1.3) and (1.6) but not (1.5), thus proving (1.6) to be the best possible under (1.2) and (1.3) only. But the example also violates (1.4).

In this paper we show that (1.5) can be obtained even under the following additional assumption:

(1.4)'
$$|g(s)| < M_1 |s|^{1+2
u+\eta} + M_2$$
 , $\cap Re \; s > 0$

and for some finite constants M_1 , M_2 and $\eta > 0$. It is also sufficient if $\nu > 1/2$. This is evidently a weaker *a* assumption than that suggested by Brownell.

The common treatment of these problems was suggested to me by Professor T. Ganelius. I would like to thank him for his help and valuable advice.

2. A lemma on Laplace-transforms. In order to sum up the common properties of the proofs of the two theorems we state the following lemma:

LEMMA. Let F be a real valued function on $[0, \infty)$, such that $F(x) + Kx^{\alpha}$ is nondecreasing over $x > x_0$ for some positive constants K and α :

Define the function f by

(2.1)
$$f(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} F(x) dx$$

where the integral is supposed to be absolutely convergent for Re s =

608

 $\begin{array}{ll}t>0.\\Then \ if\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}(2.2) \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(t+iv)| \ v^{-2}\omega^{-1}\sin^2(\omega v)dv=O(t^{\beta}) \ ,\end{array}$

 $t \rightarrow 0+$, where $\omega = t^{(\alpha-1+\beta)/2} = t^{\gamma}$ and $\alpha + \beta + 1 > 0$ it follows that

(2.3)
$$F(x) = O(x^{(\alpha-\beta-1)/2}), \quad x \to +\infty$$

Proof. Let G_{ω} be the function defined by

$$G_{\omega}(x) = egin{cases} 1-(2\omega)^{-1}|x| & ext{if} \ |x| < 2\omega \ 0 & ext{if} \ |x| \geqq 2\omega \end{cases}$$

The Fourier transform of G_{ω} is the function H_{ω} , defined by $H_{\omega}(y) = 2\omega^{-1}y^{-2}\sin^2(\omega y)$ and Parseval's formula gives

$$egin{aligned} &(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t\,+\,iv) e^{iTv} H_{\omega}(v) dv \ &= \int_{T-2\omega}^{T+2\omega} e^{-ix} F(x) \left(1\,-\,(2\omega)^{-1}
ight|\,T-\,x\,|)\,dx \;. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the absolute value of both sides we get

(2.4)
$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{T-2\omega}^{T+2\omega} e^{-tx} F(x) \left(1 - (2\omega)^{-1} | T - x | \right) dx \right| \\ & \leq \pi^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(t + iv)| \, \omega^{-1} v^{-2} \sin^2(\omega v) \, dv = o(t^{\beta}) \; . \end{aligned}$$

If we in formula (2.4) put $T = t^{-1} + 2t^{\gamma}$ we may conclude, $F(x) + Kx^{\alpha}$ being nondecreasing, that for $T - 2t^{\gamma} \leq \tau \leq T + 2t^{\gamma}$

(2.5)
$$F(\tau) \ge F(t^{-1}) - K((t^{-1} + 4t^{\gamma})^{\alpha} - t^{-\alpha}) \\ = F(t^{-1}) - 4 \alpha K t^{\gamma} (t^{-1} + 4\theta t^{\gamma})^{\alpha - 1} \ge F(t^{-1}) - K_1 t^{\gamma - \alpha + 1} .$$

Suppose that $F(t^{-1}) \ge 0$. By aid of (2.5) we infer from (2.4) that

$$egin{aligned} &K_2 t^eta & \geq \int_{t^{-1}}^{t^{-1}+4t^\gamma} e^{-tx} F(x) \left(1-(2t^\gamma)^{-1} \mid T-x \mid
ight) dx \ & \geq \int_{t^{-1}}^{t^{-1}+4t^\gamma} e^{-tx} (F(t^{-1})-K_1 t^{\gamma-lpha+1}) \left(1-(2t^\gamma)^{-1} \mid T-x \mid
ight) dx \ & \geq e^{-5} F(t^{-1}) 2t^\gamma - K_1 e^{-1} t^{\gamma-lpha+1} 2t^\gamma & \geq 2e^{-5} F(t^{-1}) t^\gamma - K_1 t^eta \ . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $F(t^{-1}) \leq K_3 t^{(\beta-\alpha+1)/2}$.

If $F(t^{-1}) < 0$ we can in the same way show that $F(t^{-1}) \ge -K_4 t^{(\beta-\alpha+1)/2}$, choosing $T = t^{-1} - 2t^{\gamma}$. Hence our lemma is proved.

3. On a nonlinear Tauberian theorem. We shall now apply our

lemma to problem of Erdös, mentioned in the introduction.

THEOREM 1. Let A be a nondecreasing function, defined on $[0, \infty)$ and such that

(3.1)
$$h(x) = \int_0^x A(x-t) \, dA(t) = \frac{x^2}{2} + 0(x^q) \, , \quad x \to +\infty$$

where q is some real number, $0 \leq q < 2$. Then

$$(3.2) A(x) = x + O(x^{(q+1)/3}), \quad x \to +\infty$$

Proof. We are going to prove the theorem in the case when A is a normalized function, i.e. A(0) = 0 and $A(x) = 2^{-1}(A(x-0) + A(x+0))$ for x > 0. Owing to the nondecreasing of A this is not a restriction, since formula (3.2) will be correct for any nondecreasing function A, if it is so for the corresponding normalized function. We also assume the function h to be normalized, because normalization is possible at the countable set of points, where it may not be defined (see [12] p. 84).

Our problem is now reduced to getting an estimate of the type (2.2). For this purpose we use some of the results of Bojanić, Jurkat and Peyerimhoff [4].

Since

$$egin{aligned} &A^2\!\!\left(rac{x}{2}
ight) = A\!\!\left(rac{x}{2}
ight)\!\int_{_0}^{_{x/2}} dA(u) &\leq \int_{_0}^{^{x/2}} A(x-u) dA(u) \ &\leq \int_{_0}^{^x} A(x-u) dA(u) = 0(x^2) \;, \end{aligned}$$

we get A(x) = O(x), which implies that the integral (s = t + iv)

(3.3)
$$f(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-su} dA(u)$$

is absolutely convergent for t > 0, and that

(3.4)
$$f^{2}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-su} dh(u) = s \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-su} h(u) du \text{ for } t > 0$$
 ([12] p. 91).

According to the assumptions on h, we have for the function g, defined by $g(x) = h(x) - x^2/2$, the estimate

$$(3.5) |g(x)| \leq K_1(x^q+1) \text{ for some } K_1 \text{ and every } x \geq 0.$$

Putting the function g into (3.4) we get

(3.6)
$$f^{2}(s) = s \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-su} \left(\frac{u^{2}}{2} + g(u) \right) du = s^{-2} + s \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-su} g(u) du .$$

We now restrict t to the interval (0, 1) and take the absolute of (3.6)

$$(3.7) |f^{2}(s) - s^{-2}| \leq |s| \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{1}(u^{q} + 1) e^{-tu} du \\ = K_{1} \Gamma(q + 1) |s| t^{-(q+1)} + K_{1} |s| t^{-1} \leq K_{2} |s| t^{-(q+1)}$$

This formula may also be expressed

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.8) \qquad f^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(s) = s^{\scriptscriptstyle -2}(1+r_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(s)\,s^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\,t^{\scriptscriptstyle -(q+1)})\,, & \text{where } |r_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(s)| \leq K_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\,.\\ & \text{If } |s|^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\,t^{\scriptscriptstyle -(q+1)} < (2K_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} \text{ we conclude from (3.8) that}\\ & f(s) = s^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}(1+r_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(s)\,s^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\,t^{\scriptscriptstyle -(q+1)})\,, & \text{where } |r_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(s)| \leq K_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\,. \end{array}$$

Hence

$$(3.9) |f(s) - s^{-1}| \leq K_2 |s|^2 t^{-(q+1)} \leq \sqrt{K_2/2} |s|^{1/2} t^{-(q+1)/2}$$

If $|s|^{_3}t^{_{-(q+1)}} \ge (2K_2)^{_-1}$ we get from (3.8) the estimate $|f(s)| \le |s|^{_{-1}}(1+|s|^{_3}t^{_{-(q+1)}}K_2)^{_{1/2}} \le \sqrt{3K_2} |s|^{_{1/2}}t^{_{-(q+1)/2}}$ and

$$(3.10) | f(s) - s^{-1} | \leq |s|^{1/2} t^{-(q+1)/2} (\sqrt{3K_2} + \sqrt{2K_2}) \\ \leq |s|^2 t^{-(q+1)/2} K_2(\sqrt{6} + 2) .$$

We now define a new function a by

$$a(u) = egin{cases} A(u) - u & ext{if} \ u \geq 0 \ 0 & ext{if} \ u < 0 \end{cases}$$

and a function L by

$$L(s) = s^{-1}(f(s) - s^{-1}) = \int_0^\infty e^{-su}(A(u) - u)du \quad ext{for } t > 0 \; .$$

The estimates (3.9) and (3.10) give us

$$(3.11) \qquad |L(s)| \leq K_3 \, |s| \, t^{-(q+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad |L(s)| \leq K_3 \, |s|^{-1/2} \, t^{-(q+1)/2} \, .$$

These are the estimates corresponding to those given by Bojanić, Jurkat and Peyerimhoff in [4].

We are now going to use our lemma with a as the function F, and L as the function f in formula (2.1). The number α is 1 and we need an estimate of the type (2.2):

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.12) & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\,L(t\,+\,iv)\,|\,\,\omega^{-1}\,v^{-2} & \sin^2(\omega v)\,dv \\ & \leq 2K_3 \int_{0}^{t^{-(q+1)/3}} |\,s\,|^2\,t^{-(q+1)}\,\omega\,dv\,+\,2K_3 \int_{t^{-(q+1)/3}}^{+\infty} \omega^{-1}\,v^{-2}\,|\,s\,|^{-1/2}t^{-(q+1)/2}\,dv \\ & = 2K_3\,\omega\,t^{1-q} \int_{0}^{t^{(q-2)/3}} (1\,+\,u^2)^{1/2}\,du \end{array}$$

$$egin{aligned} &+ 2K_3\,\omega^{-1}\,t^{-2-(q/2)}\int_{t^{(q-2)/3}}^{+\infty}u^{-2}(1+u^2)^{-1/4}\,du\ &\leq 2K_3(\sqrt{2}\,t^{1-q}\,\omega+t^{1-q}\,t^{(2q-4)/3}\,\omega+(2/3)\,\omega^{-1}t^{-2-(q/2)}t^{-(3/2)\,(q-2)/3})\ &\leq 2K_3(3t^{-(q+1)/3}\,\omega+\omega^{-1}\,t^{-(q+1)})=8K_3\,t^{-(2/3)\,(q+1)} \end{aligned}$$

for

$$w = t^{\gamma} = t^{(1-(2/3)(q+1)-1)/2} = t^{-(q+1)/3}$$

With $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = -(2/3)(q+1)$ and $\alpha + \beta = (1/3)(1-2q) > -1$ we apply the lemma and get (3.2):

$$a(x) = O(x^{(q+1)/3})$$
.

Putting q = 1 we obtain that $h(x) = x^2/2 + O(x)$ implies $A(x) = x + O(x^{2/3})$.

As an example that the conclusion $A(x) = x + o(x^{q/2})$ is false, we give

$$A(x) = egin{cases} 10^n ext{ if } 10^n - 4^{-1} \, 10^{nq/2} < x < 10^n + 4^{-1} \, 10^{nq/2} ext{ , } n = 1, 2, \cdots \ x ext{ everywhere else} \end{cases}$$

REMARK. If the assumption (3.1) is formulated

$$h(x) = x^{2p} \, \Gamma^2(p+1) \, \Gamma^{-1}(2p+1) + O(x^q) \qquad (p>0, \ 0 \leq q < 2p)$$

we can with the same method as above prove

 $A(x) = x^p + O(x^d)$, where $d = (4p^2 + p + pq + 4q)/(6p + 9)$, $x \to \infty$. p = q gives for instance $d = 5(p^2 + p)/(3(2p + 3)) \sim (5/6)p$ as $p \to \infty$.

4. On Brownell's conjecture.

THEOREM 2. Let F be a real valued function on $[0, \infty)$, which is of bounded variation over every finite interval [0, N], which is continuous at x = 0 with F(0) = 0, and for which $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-tx} |dF(x)| < +\infty$ for real t > 0. With t and v real and s = t + iv we define g by the Lesbesques-Stieltjes integral $g(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dF(x)$, analytic in the region t > 0. Let F satisfy the three conditions that

- (4.1) $g(t) = b + O(e^{-c/t}), t \to 0+$, for some real constants c > 0 and b,
- (4.2) $F(x) + Kx^{\alpha}$ is nondecreasing over $x \ge 1$ for some real constants K > 0 and $\alpha > 1/2$,
- $\begin{array}{ll} (4.3) & |g(s)| \leq M_1 \, |s|^{1-2\alpha+\eta} + M_2 \ for \ t > 0 \ and \ some \ real \ constants \ M_1, \ M_2 \\ & and \ 1 > \eta > 0, \ \eta < \alpha 1/2. \\ & Then \ as \ x \to + \infty \end{array} \end{array}$

4.4) $F(x) = o(x^{\alpha-1/2})$, and actually is $O^{(\alpha-1/2-\varepsilon)}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Define $\widetilde{g}(s) = g(s) - b$, so $\widetilde{g}(t) = O(e^{-c/t})$ in (4.1). Also $\widetilde{g}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} dF(x) - b = s \int_0^{\infty} F(x)e^{-sx} dx - b = s \int_0^{\infty} [F(x) - b] e^{-sx} dx$. From (4.3) we get $|\widetilde{g}(s)| \leq K_1 |s|^{1-2\alpha+\eta}$ if $|s| \leq 2$ and $|\widetilde{g}(s)| \leq K_1$ if

 $|s| \ge 1$ for some real constant K_1 .

We now define a function h by $h(s) = K_1^{-1} s^{2\alpha - 1 - \eta} \tilde{g}(s)$. This function evidently satisfies the following inequalities:

(4.5)
$$|h(s)| \leq 1$$
 if $|s| \leq 2$ and $|h(s)| \leq |s|^{2\alpha-1-\eta}$ if $|s| \geq 1$.

In order to use our lemma, our problem is now to estimate the integral

(4.6)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tilde{g}(t+iv)| |t+iv|^{-1} \omega^{-1} v^{-2} \sin^2(\omega v) dv \\ = K_1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(t+iv)| |t+iv|^{\eta-2\alpha} \omega^{-1} v^{-2} \sin^2(\omega v) dv .$$

The following notations will be used

$$\delta=\eta/(2(2lpha+1))$$
 , $arepsilon=\delta\eta/3$.

The number ω will be $t^{-1/2+\varepsilon} = t^{\gamma}$.

.

Inserting the estimates for h on the right side of (4.6) we obtain

$$(4.7) \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tilde{g}(t+iv)| |t+iv|^{-1} \omega^{-1} v^{-2} \sin^2(\omega v) dv \\ \leq 2K_1 \int_{1}^{+\infty} v^{-3} \omega^{-1} dv + 2K_1 \int_{t^{1/2+\delta}}^{1} v^{\eta-2\alpha} \omega^{-1} v^{-2} dv \\ + K_1 \int_{-t^{1/2+\delta}}^{t^{1/2+\delta}} |h(t+iv)| t^{\eta-2\alpha} dv \\ = O(t^{1/2-\varepsilon}) + O(t^{1/2-\varepsilon} t^{-(2\alpha+1-\eta)(1/2+\delta)}) + K_1 I_t \\ = O(t^{-\alpha+2\varepsilon}) + K_1 I_t t \to 0+ ,$$

where

$$I_t = \int_{-t^{1/2+\delta}}^{t^{1/2+\delta}} \omega \left| h(t+iv)
ight| t^{\eta-2lpha} \, dv \; .$$

To get a suitable bound for I_t we have to improve the estimate $|h(s)| \leq 1$ for $|s| \leq 2$ by aid of (4.1). We apply a theorem of Milloux's ([3] p. 134-137) stating that if $f(\zeta)$ is regular in $|\zeta| < 1$ and $|f(\zeta)| \leq 1$ there and if $|f(y)| \leq m < 1$ for real $y, 0 \leq y < 1$, then the estimate

$$|f(\zeta)| < m^{(1/2)(1-|\zeta|)}$$

is valid in the unit circle.

Let us now consider the circle with radius 1 and centre z = 1. In

this circle $|h(z)| \leq 1$ and $|h(u)| = K_1 |u|^{2\alpha - 1 - \eta} |\tilde{g}(u)| \leq K_2 t^{2\alpha - 1 - \eta} e^{-c/t}$ for real $u, 0 < u \leq t$.

We now map this circle onto the unit circle by w(z) = (z-t)/(z(t-1)-t). Then the line $Im \ z = 0$, $0 < Re \ z \leq t$ is mapped on $Im \ w = 0$, $0 \leq Re \ w < 1$ and the line $Re \ z = t$ is mapped on a circle with diameter from $w = -(1-t)^{-1}$ to w = 0.

In order to use the theorem of Milloux's mentioned above for f(w(z)) = h(z) we have to estimate

$$egin{aligned} & \min_{|v|< t^{1/2+\delta}} \left(1 - |w(t+iv)|
ight) = 1 - |w(t+it^{1/2+\delta})| \ &= 1 - t^{1/2+\delta} \left((t^2 - 2t)^2 + ((t-1)t^{1/2+\delta})^2
ight)^{-1/2} \ &= \left(((t^{3/2-\delta} - 2t^{1/2-\delta})^2 + (t-1)^2)^{1/2} - 1
ight)/((t^{3/2-\delta} - 2t^{1/2-\delta})^2 + (t-1)^2)^{1/2} \ &= (2t^{1-2\delta} + O(t))/(1 + O(t^{1-2\delta})) = 2t^{1-2\delta} + O(t) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are now able to estimate the integral I_t as

$$\begin{split} I_t &\leq \int_{-t^{1/2+\delta}}^{t^{1/2+\delta}} (t^{-1/2+\varepsilon}) \left[K_2 \, t^{2\alpha-1-\eta} \, e^{-c/t} \right]^{(1/2)(2t^{1-2\delta}+O(t))} t^{\eta-2\alpha} \, dv \\ &\leq K_3 \, t^{\delta+\varepsilon+\eta-2\alpha+(2\alpha-1-\eta)(t^{1-2\delta}+O(t))} \, e^{-ct^{-2\delta}+O(1)} \\ &= O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0^+ \; . \end{split}$$

Introducing this estimate in (4.7) we get, since $2\varepsilon < \alpha$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\widetilde{g}(t+iv)| \, |t+iv|^{-1} \, \omega^{-1} \, v^{-2} \sin^2(\omega v) \, \, dv = O(t^{-lpha+2arepsilon}) \; .$$

Returning to the definition of $\tilde{g}(s)$, we finally apply the lemma with $\alpha, \beta = -\alpha + 2\varepsilon, \gamma = (\alpha + \beta - 1)/2 = \varepsilon - 1/2$ and conclude that

$$F(x) = O(x^{\alpha - 1/2 - \varepsilon}) = O(x^{\alpha - 1/2})$$
,

and the proof is finished.

References

1. V. Avakumović, Bemerkung über einen Satz des Herrn T. Carleman, Math. Z., 53 (1950), 53-58.

2. _____, A note on a question set by P. Erdös and L. K. Hua, Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd, 6 (1954), 47-56.

3. L. Bieberbach, Lehrbuch der Funktionenteorie II, New York 1945.

4. R. Bojanić, W. Jurkat, A. Peyerimhoff, Über einen Taubersatz für Faltungen, Math. Z. 65 (1956), 195-199.

5. F. Brownell, Research problem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 66 (1960), 275-276.

6. P. Erdös, Supplementary note, J. Indian Math. Soc., 13 (1947), 145-147.

 G. Freud, Restglied eines Tauberschen Satzes I, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, 2 (1951), 299.

8. _____, Restglied eines Tauberschen Satzes II, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, 3 (1953), 299.

9. T. Ganelius, On the remainder in a Tauberian theorem, Kungl. Fysiogr. Sällsk. i Lund Förh., **24** (1954), no 20, 6-11.

10. _____, Un théorème Taubérien pour la transformation de Laplace, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **242** (1956), 719-721.

J. Korevaar, A very general form of Littlewood's theorem, Indag, Math. 16 (1954), 36-45.
 D. Widder, The Laplace Transform, Princeton 1946.

University of Gothenburg, Sweden

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS Stanford University Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH	D. DERRY	H. L. ROYDEN	E. G. STRAUS
T. M. CHERRY	M. OHTSUKA	E. SPANIER	F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 12, No. 2 February, 1962

William George Bade and Robert S. Freeman, <i>Closed extensions of the Laplace</i> operator determined by a general class of boundary conditions	395		
William Browder and Edwin Spanier, <i>H</i> -spaces and duality			
Stewart S. Cairns, On permutations induced by linear value functions			
Frank Sydney Cater, On Hilbert space operators and operator roots of polynomials	429		
Stephen Urban Chase, Torsion-free modules over K[x, y]			
Heron S. Collins, <i>Remarks on affine semigroups</i>			
Peter Crawley. Direct decompositions with finite dimensional factors	457		
Richard Brian Darst, A continuity property for vector valued measurable functions	469		
R. P. Dilworth. <i>Abstract commutative ideal theory</i>	481		
P. H. Dovle, III and John Gilbert Hocking, <i>Continuously invertible spaces</i>	499		
Shaul Foguel Markov processes with stationary measure			
Andrew Mattei Gleason. The abstract theorem of Cauchy-Weil			
Allan Brasted Gray. Ir. Normal subgroups of monomial groups			
Melvin Henriksen and John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Lattice-ordered rings and function</i>	522		
$Fings \dots$	555		
Amnon Jakimovski, <i>Tauberian constants for the</i> $[J, f(x)]$ <i>transformations</i>	507		
Fluence Killere The state of the set of the	501		
Eleanor Killam, The spectrum and the radical in locally m-convex algebras	581		
Arthur H. Kruse, Completion of mathematical systems	589		
Magnus Lindberg, On two Tauberian remainder theorems	607		
Lionello A. Lombardi, A general solution of Ionelli's problem of the calculus of	617		
Variations	017		
of a matrix	627		
Michael Bahir Maschler, <i>Derivatives of the harmonic measures in</i>	637		
Degree Montgomery and Hons Samelson. On the action of $SO(3)$ on S^{μ}	640		
L Barros Neto Analytic composition karnals on Lia groups	661		
Mario Patrich Semicharacters of the Cartesian product of two semigroups	670		
John Sudnov Pum. Idempotent measures on semigroups	695		
K. Descrete and Ermst Coher Stroug. A special class of matrices	600		
K. Rogers and Ernst Gabor Straus, A special class of matrices.	700		
Des Henrell Techen A is the offense of a module and related results	709		
Don Harrell Tucker, An existence theorem for a Goursat problem	/19		
George Gustave Weili, <i>Reproducing kernels and orthogonal kernels for analytic</i>	720		
Coorde Custove Weill, Cangeste differentiale and Pierrent Pierrent	729		
C. K. White Iterrations of computing Truly C	709		
G. K. white, <i>Herations of generalized Euler functions</i>	717		
Aun wonamed Yaqub, On certain finite rings and ring-logics	185		