

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**DERIVATIVES OF THE HARMONIC MEASURES IN
MULTIPLY-CONNECTED DOMAINS**

MICHAEL BAHIR MASCHLER

DERIVATIVES OF THE HARMONIC MEASURES IN MULTIPLY-CONNECTED DOMAINS

MICHAEL MASCHLER

1. Introduction. Basic definitions and some known results.

The Geometric Function Theory encounters serious difficulties when dealing with multiply-connected domains, due to the fact that no domain function is known in an explicit form for a domain of connectivity greater than two. It is for this reason that one at least tries to find properties of the domain functions in terms of geometric characteristics of their domains.

In this paper we search for information on domain functions and certain families of functions, defined for p -connected domains, that can be deduced from properties of functions defined in *symmetric* domains of connectivity $2(p-1)$. We also extend the results to infinitely-connected domains.

Let Δ be a domain in the z -plane, and let $L^2(\Delta)$ be the class of functions which are regular and square integrable in Δ . Let $l^2(\Delta)$ be its subclass consisting of those functions which have a single-valued integral in Δ . Both classes form separable Hilbert Spaces $[L^2(\Delta)]$ and $[l^2(\Delta)]$ under the scalar multiplication

$$(1.1) \quad (f; \bar{g}) = \iint_{\Delta} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \, d\omega, \quad d\omega = dx \, dy, \, z = x + iy.$$

Let $h^2(\Delta)$ be the class of functions which belong to the orthogonal complement of $[l^2(\Delta)]$ with respect to $[L^2(\Delta)]$. The Hilbert space $[h^2(\Delta)]$ has a finite dimension $p-1$, if Δ is p -connected and none of its boundary components reduces to a point. (See Bergman [3]). If Δ is infinitely-connected, this space has in general an infinite dimension (See Virtanen [9]).

According to Virtanen [9] (See also Nevanlinna [7], one can construct an orthogonal basis for $h^2(\Delta)$ as follows: Let C_1, C_2, \dots be a homology basis of cycles in Δ , subject therefore to the following conditions:

- (1) Any cycle in Δ is homologous to a finite chain of these cycles.
- (2) No chain is homologous to zero, unless its coefficients are all zero.

We can also assume that each cycle C_j , ($j = 1, 2, \dots$)¹ is an oriented analytic Jordan curve. If $K_{\Delta}(z, \zeta)$ is the Bergman kernel function for the class $L^2(\Delta)$, then the functions

$$(1.2) \quad F'_j(z; \Delta) = i \oint_{C_j} \overline{K_{\Delta}(\zeta, z)} \, d\bar{\zeta}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Received July 19, 1961.

¹ Throughout this paper the notation $1, 2, \dots$ will mean a finite or infinite sequence, as the case may be.

span the space $[h^2(\Delta)]$; and if a subsequence $F'_{j_k}(z; \Delta)$ of them is obtained by omitting those functions which depend on the previous ones, we can orthonormalize its elements and thus obtain a basis $\varphi_n(z; \Delta)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for $[h^2(\Delta)]$. The coefficients used in this process are real:

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi_n(z; \Delta) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)} F'_{j_k}(z; \Delta); \quad c_k \text{ real, } n = 1, 2, \dots .$$

We recall also that

$$(1.4) \quad F'_j(z; \Delta) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \omega_j(z; \Delta), \quad 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

where $\omega_j(z; \Delta)$ are the harmonic measures of that part of the boundary of Δ , which lies inside the cycle C_j . (See Bergman [3], Virtanen [9]).

Clearly, the classes $L^2(\Delta)$ and $l^2(\Delta)$ decrease monotonically if the domain Δ increases, and this fact plays an important role in the development of the theory of the kernel function. Unfortunately, a monotonic property for the class $h^2(\Delta)$ does not hold in general. It is therefore interesting to notice that if B is a symmetric domain with respect to the real axis, and the extended real axis is contained in B , then

$$(1.5) \quad h^2(D) \subset h^2(B),$$

where D is that part of B which lies in the upper part of the finite plane. (Section 2). We study the connection between the bases for the classes $h^2(B)$ and $h^2(D)$, and deduce various relations for the kernel functions and the l -kernels for the corresponding classes. (Sections 2, 3).

2. Symmetric domains. Let D be a finitely or infinitely-connected domain in the z -plane, the boundary of which consists of the real axis I as well as other boundary components which shall be denoted by Γ . We assume that I is an *isolated* boundary component and we denote by D^* and Γ^* the reflections of D and Γ with respect to I , respectively. Let B be the symmetric domain bounded by Γ and Γ^* .

Let C_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) be a basis for the homology group of D , as described in §1. We choose the orientation on C_j to be counter clockwise and therefore I is not in the interior of C_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). We also assume that C_1 encloses Γ . Let C_j^* ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) be the reflection of C_j with respect to I , oriented counter clockwise. Clearly, the C_j^* 's form a homology basis for D^* , and $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_2^*, C_3^*, \dots$ form a homology basis for B . We also denote by Γ_j, Γ_j^* those parts of Γ and Γ^* which are enclosed by C_j and C_j^* , respectively ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). ($\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_1$).

The harmonic measures $\omega_j(z; D)$ of Γ_j , with respect to D , vanish on I and therefore can be continued into D^* . They satisfy:

$$(2.1) \quad \omega_j(z; D) = -\omega_j(\bar{z}; D), \quad z \in B, j = 1, 2, \dots .$$

Thus, the functions

$$(2.2) \quad F'_j(z; D) \equiv 2 \frac{\partial \omega_j(z; D)}{\partial z}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots ,$$

are single-valued and regular throughout B , and satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad F'_j(z; D) = \overline{-F'_j(\bar{z}; D)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, z \in B .$$

Here and elsewhere we use the notation

$$(2.4) \quad F'_j(\bar{z}; D) = \left. \frac{dF_j(\zeta; D)}{d\zeta} \right|_{\zeta=\bar{z}} .$$

By (1.3) it now follows that

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi_n(z; D) = \overline{-\varphi_n(\bar{z}; D)}, \quad z \in B, n = 1, 2, \dots .$$

THEOREM 2.1. *If B is a symmetric domain with respect to the real axis, and contains the real axis, then*

$$(2.6) \quad h^2(D) \subset h^2(B) .$$

D denotes that part of B which lies in the upper half plane.

Proof. The functions $\varphi_j(z; D)/\sqrt{2}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) belong to the class $h^2(B)$ and form an orthonormal system in B . Indeed, if $g(z) \in l^2(B)$ then a fortiori $g(z) \in l^2(D)$ and $\overline{g(\bar{z})} \in l^2(D)$, $z \in D$; hence, by (2.5),

$$(2.7) \quad \iint_B \varphi_n(z; D) \overline{g(\bar{z})} d\omega = \iint_D \varphi_n(z; D) \overline{g(\bar{z})} d\omega - \iint_D \overline{\varphi_n(z; D)} g(\bar{z}) d\omega = 0 .$$

Similarly,

$$(2.8) \quad \iint_B \varphi_n(z; D) \overline{\varphi_m(\bar{z}; D)} d\omega = \iint_D \varphi_n(z; D) \overline{\varphi_m(\bar{z}; D)} d\omega + \iint_D \overline{\varphi_n(z; D)} \varphi_m(\bar{z}; D) d\omega = 2\delta_{nm} .$$

If $f(z) \in h^2(D)$, then $f(z) = \sum_n a_n \varphi_n(z; D)$, where $\sum_n |a_n|^2 < \infty$. Thus $\sum_n |\sqrt{2} a_n|^2 < \infty$; hence $f(z) = \sum_n \sqrt{2} a_n (\varphi_n(z)/\sqrt{2}) \in h^2(B)$.

Consider again the symmetric domain B , and let $\omega_j(z; B)$, $\omega_j^*(z; B)$ be the harmonic measures of Γ_j, Γ_j^* , with respect to B , respectively. We shall prove that

$$(2.9) \quad \omega_j^*(z; B) = \omega_j(\bar{z}; B), \quad z \in B, j = 1, 2, \dots .$$

Indeed, we exhaust B by a sequence of finitely-connected subdomains B_1, B_2, \dots ,

$$(2.10) \quad \bar{B}_m \subset B_{m+1}, \bigcup_m B_m = B, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots .$$

We can assume that each domain is bounded by analytic Jordan curves and is symmetric and contains the extended real axis. Clearly, for each j ,

$$(2.11) \quad \omega_j^*(z; B_m) = \omega_j(\bar{z}; B_m),$$

if m is large enough, since both sides are harmonic in B_m and have the same boundary values. By letting m go to infinity, we obtain (2.9). (See Virtanen [9]).

In a similar fashion one obtains:

$$(2.12) \quad \omega_j(z; B) - \omega_j(\bar{z}; B) = \omega_j(z; D), \quad z \in B, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots .$$

Applying the operation $2(\partial/\partial z)$ to both sides of (2.9) and (2.12), we obtain the important relations:

$$(2.13) \quad F_j'^*(z; B) = \overline{F_j'(\bar{z}; B)}$$

$$(2.14) \quad F_j'(z; B) - \overline{F_j'(\bar{z}; B)} = F_j'(z; D), \quad z \in B, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots .$$

Since $h^2(D) \subset h^2(B)$, it is of interest to have some information on the orthogonal complement of $[h^2(D)]$ with respect to $[h^2(B)]$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let B be a symmetric domain with respect to the real axis, which contains the real axis, and let D be that part of B which lies in the upper half plane. Let²*

$$(2.15) \quad \psi_n(z; B) = \varphi_n(z; D) / \sqrt{2} = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{nk} F_k'(z; D), \quad (c_{nk} \text{ real}),$$

$$(2.16) \quad \chi_n(z; B) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{nk} F_k'(z; B),$$

where $\varphi_n(z; D)$ is an orthonormal basis for $[h^2(D)]$, as constructed in §1. Then, the functions

$$(2.17) \quad r_n(z; B) = \chi_n(z; B) - \frac{\psi_n(z; B)}{2}$$

are orthogonal to the functions

$$\psi_m(z; B) \quad n, m = 1, 2, \dots .$$

Proof. It is well known (see Bergman [3], Virtanen [9]) that the

² We assume that $F_k'(z; D), k=1, 2, \dots$, are already independent. Note also that $F_1'(z; D) = 2F_1'(z; B)$ (see Section 1).

scalar product (over B) of any two functions from the closed system $\{F'_j(z; D), F'_{j+1}(z; B), j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is real. The same holds true for the scalar product of $\psi_m(z; B)$ and $\chi_n(z; B)$. Therefore, in view of (2.5) and (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.18) \quad & \iint_B \chi_n(z; B) \overline{\psi_m(z; B)} d\omega \\
 &= \iint_D \chi_n(z; B) \overline{\psi_m(z; B)} d\omega - \iint_{D^*} \chi_n(z; B) \overline{\psi_m(\bar{z}; B)} d\omega \\
 &= \iint_D (\psi_n(z; B) + \overline{\chi_n(\bar{z}; B)}) \overline{\psi_m(z; B)} d\omega - \iint_D \chi_n(\bar{z}; B) \overline{\psi_m(z; B)} d\omega \\
 &= \iint_D \psi_n(z; B) \overline{\psi_m(z; B)} d\omega = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{nm} ,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{nm} = 0$ if $n \neq m$, $\delta_{nn} = 1$.

On the other hand,

$$(2.19) \quad \iint_B \frac{\psi_n(z; B)}{2} \overline{\psi_m(z; B)} d\omega = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{nm} ;$$

hence the result follows.

COROLLARY 2.1. *It is easily verified that*

$$(2.20) \quad r_n(z; B) = \overline{r_n(\bar{z}; B)} , \quad r_1(z; B) = 0 ;$$

hence, by orthonormalizing the functions $r_n(z; B)$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$ (with real coefficients), a complete orthonormal basis $\psi_n(z; B)$, $\rho_n(z; B)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is constructed for $[h^2(B)]$, where

$$(2.21) \quad \psi_n(z; B) = -\overline{\psi_n(\bar{z}; B)} , \quad \rho_n(z; B) = \overline{\rho_n(\bar{z}; B)} , \quad n = 1, 2, \dots .$$

This result, which later will be of much use, implies:

THEOREM 2.3. *Let B be a symmetric domain with respect to the real axis, and contains the real axis, then, each function $f(z)$ of the class $h^2(B)$ can be represented as a sum of two functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$, of the same class, which satisfy*

$$(2.22) \quad f_1(z) = -\overline{f_1(\bar{z})} , \quad f_2(z) = \overline{f_2(\bar{z})} .$$

In fact,

$$(2.23) \quad 2f_1(z) = f(z) - \overline{f(\bar{z})} , \quad 2f_2(z) = f(z) + \overline{f(\bar{z})} .$$

3. The space $h_r^2(\mathcal{A})$. Let $h_r^2(\mathcal{A})$ be a subclass of $h^2(\mathcal{A})$, consisting of those functions which have *real* Fourier coefficients with respect to the base $\varphi_n(z; \mathcal{A})$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). This class forms a real Hilbert space $[h_r^2(\mathcal{A})]$,

with respect to the metric (1.1).

In order to extend some results to the case of a general domain Δ , we exhaust Δ by a sequence of smoothly bounded, finitely-connected subdomains $\{\Delta_m\}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$ which satisfy

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{\Delta}_m \subset \Delta_{m+1}, \bigcup_m \Delta_m = \Delta, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots .$$

LEMMA 3.1. *If $f(z) \in h_r^2(\Delta)$, there exists a sequence of functions $\{f_m(z)\}$, $f_m(z) \in h_r^2(\Delta_m)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, which converges in the mean to $f(z)$, and therefore converges uniformly to $f(z)$ on each compact set in Δ .*

Proof. Given $f(z)$, it follows that

$$(3.2) \quad f(z) = \sum_n a_n \rho_n(z; \Delta), \quad a_n \text{ real, } \sum_n a_n^2 < \infty .$$

The series converges in the mean. If m is large enough, Δ_m contains a given cycle C_j which encloses a part $\Gamma_i^{(m)}$ of the boundary of Δ_m . It is known (see Virtanen [9]) that $F'_j(z; \Delta_m)$ converges in the mean to $F'_j(z, \Delta)$ if $m \rightarrow \infty$ (we define $F'_j(z; \Delta_m)$ to be zero outside Δ_m). One now applies the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization process to a subsequence $\{F'_{j_k}(z; \Delta_m)\}$, where k is chosen in such a way that each $F'_{j_k}(z; \Delta)$ is independent on the functions $F'_{j_l}(z; \Delta)$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$. One obtains for each m an orthonormal system

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi_n(z, \Delta_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_m} c_k^{(m)} F'_{j_k}(z; \Delta_m), \quad c_k^{(m)} \text{ real, } k = 1, 2, \dots, N_m .$$

N_m is the number of cycles C_{j_k} which are in Δ_m . It can be proved by induction that $\varphi_n(z; \Delta_m)$ converges in the mean to $\varphi_n(z; \Delta)$, defined by (1.3) and that $c_k^{(m)} \rightarrow c_k$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, the functions

$$(3.4) \quad f_m(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_m} a_n \varphi_n(z; \Delta_m)$$

converge to $f(z)$ in the mean.

The space $h_r^2(\Delta)$ can be characterized differently. To this effect we apply the l -kernel defined (Bergman Schiffer [4], Schiffer [5]) as

$$(3.5) \quad l_\Delta(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{\pi(z - \zeta)^2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\partial^2 G_\Delta(z, \zeta)}{\partial z \partial \bar{\zeta}},$$

where $G_\Delta(z, \zeta)$ is the Green function of the domain Δ .

LEMMA 3.2. *The kernels $l_{\Delta_m}(z, \zeta)$ for a fixed ζ , converges in the mean to $l_\Delta(z, \zeta)$. Δ_m is the exhaustion (3.1).*

Proof. We use the known relations (Bergman-Schiffer [4])

$$(3.6) \quad \iint_{\Delta_m} l_{\Delta_m}(z, \zeta) \overline{l_{\Delta_m}(z, \zeta)} d\omega_z = K_{\Delta_m}(\zeta, \zeta) - \Gamma_{\Delta_m}(\zeta, \zeta),$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad \Gamma_{\Delta_m}(\zeta, \zeta) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \iint_{\tilde{\Delta}_m} \frac{d\omega_z}{|z - \zeta|^4}, \quad \zeta \in \Delta_m.$$

$\tilde{\Delta}_m$ is the complement of Δ_m with respect to the z -plane.

$$(3.8) \quad T_{\Delta_m} f \equiv \iint_{\Delta_m} l(z, \zeta) \overline{f(z)} d\omega_z = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta_m} \frac{\overline{f(z)}}{(z - \zeta)^2} d\omega_z, \quad \zeta \in \Delta,$$

for $f(z) \in L^2(\Delta_m)$. The improper integral on the right hand side of (3.8) is to be understood in the sense of the limit of integrals over the domains $\Delta_{\varepsilon, m}$ which are obtained from Δ_m by removing circles around ζ with radius ε , $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let $p \geq m$, then

$$(3.9) \quad \iint_{\Delta_m} |l_{\Delta_p}(z, \zeta) - l_{\Delta_m}(z, \zeta)|^2 d\omega_z \leq K_{\Delta_p}(\zeta, \zeta) - \Gamma_{\Delta_p}(\zeta, \zeta) + K_{\Delta_m}(\zeta, \zeta) - \Gamma_{\Delta_m}(\zeta, \zeta) - 2 \operatorname{Re} \iint_{\Delta_m} l_{\Delta_p}(z, \zeta) \overline{l_{\Delta_m}(z, \zeta)} d\omega_z.$$

By (3.8), the last integral can be estimated:

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \iint_{\Delta_m} l_{\Delta_p}(z, \zeta) \overline{l_{\Delta_m}(z, \zeta)} d\omega_z = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta_m} \frac{l_{\Delta_p}(z, \zeta)}{(\bar{z} - \bar{\zeta})^2} d\omega_z \\ & = \iint_{\Delta_p} l_{\Delta_p}(z, \zeta) \overline{l_{\Delta_p}(z, \zeta)} d\omega_z - \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta_p - \Delta_m} \frac{l_{\Delta_p}(z, \zeta)}{(\bar{z} - \bar{\zeta})^2} d\omega_z \\ & = K_{\Delta_p}(\zeta, \zeta) - \Gamma_{\Delta_p}(\zeta, \zeta) - \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta_p - \Delta_m} \frac{l_{\Delta_p}(z, \zeta)}{(\bar{z} - \bar{\zeta})^2} d\omega_z. \end{aligned}$$

By Schwarz inequality, the modulus of the last integral can be made arbitrarily small, if m is large enough, and also $K_{\Delta_m}(\zeta, \zeta)$ tends to $K_{\Delta}(\zeta, \zeta)$ (See Virtanen [9]); hence the right hand side of (3.9) tends to zero if $m \rightarrow \infty$.

The limit function is $l_{\Delta}(z, \zeta)$ as defined by 3.5, since $G_{\Delta_m}(z, \zeta)$ converges to $G_{\Delta}(z, \zeta)$.

THEOREM 3.1. (Bergman-Schiffer) *The function $f(z) \in h^2(\Delta)$ belongs to the class $h_r^2(\Delta)$ if and only if*

$$(3.11) \quad T_{\Delta} f \equiv \iint_{\Delta} l_{\Delta}(z, \zeta) \overline{f(z)} d\omega_z = -f(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in \Delta.$$

This theorem was proved in [4] for the case of a sufficiently smooth bounded, finitely-connected domain. If $f(z) \in h_r^2(\Delta)$, where Δ is a general

domain, it follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 that $T_{\Delta_m} f_m(z) = -f_m(\zeta)$ implies $T_{\Delta} f(z) = -f(\zeta)$. Conversely, if $f(z) \in h^2(\Delta)$ then

$$(3.12) \quad f(z) = \Sigma \alpha_n \varphi_n(z; \Delta) + i \Sigma \beta_n \varphi_n(z; \Delta), \quad \alpha_n, \beta_n \text{ real};$$

hence $T_{\Delta} f(z) = -f(z)$ can only happen if $\beta_n = 0$.

It is known [4] that $l_{\Delta}(z, \zeta)$ can be represented as a sum³ of two kernels:

$$(3.13) \quad l_{\Delta}(z, \zeta) = l_{\Delta}^{(l)}(z, \zeta) + l_{\Delta}^{(h)}(z, \zeta),$$

where³

$$(3.14) \quad l_{\Delta}^{(h)}(z, \zeta) = - \sum_n \varphi_n(z, \Delta) \varphi_n(\zeta, \Delta),$$

and $l_{\Delta}^{(l)}(z, \zeta)$ belongs to $l^2(\Delta)$, for each fixed ζ . We therefore obtain:

COROLLARY. *A necessary and sufficient condition that a function $f(z)$ of the class $L^2(\Delta)$ belongs to $h_r^2(\Delta)$ is*

$$(3.15) \quad T_{\Delta}^{(r)} \equiv \iint_{\Delta} l_{\Delta}^{(h)}(z, \zeta) \overline{f(\zeta)} d\omega_z = -f(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in \Delta.$$

REMARK. Clearly, $K_{\Delta}^{(h)}(z, \zeta) - l_{\Delta}^{(h)}(z, \zeta) \in h_r^2(\Delta)$ as a function of z , where

$$(3.16) \quad K_{\Delta}^{(h)}(z, \zeta) = \sum_n \varphi_n(z; \Delta) \overline{\varphi_n(\zeta; \Delta)}.$$

In the case of a symmetric domain B , Theorem 2.1 can be slightly improved to the effect that $h_r^2(D) \subset h_r^2(B)$. Also, if $f(z) \in h_r^2(D)$, it follows from (2.5) that

$$(3.17) \quad f(z) = -\overline{f(\bar{z})}.$$

Limiting ourselves to the spaces $h_r^2(B)$, $h_r^2(D)$, we can now construct functions in the latter space from functions in the former one:

THEOREM 3.2. *If B is a symmetric domain with respect to the real axis, and contains the real axis, and if $f(z) \in h_r^2(B)$ then $f(z) - \overline{f(\bar{z})} \in h_r^2(D)$, where D is that part of B which lies in the upper half plane.*

Proof. We exhaust B by the symmetric domains $\{B_m\}$ (See (2.10)), and consider the functions $f_m(z)$, defined in (3.4), where $f(z)$ has the representation (3.2). The functions $\varphi_n(z; B_m)$ are finite linear combinations, with real coefficients, of $F'_{j_k}(z; B_m)$ and $F'^{*'}_{j_k}(z; B_m)$; consequently, by (2.13) and (2.14), $\varphi_n(z; B_m) - \overline{\varphi_n(\bar{z}; B_m)}$ are finite linear combinations, with real coefficients, of $F'_{j_k}(D_m)$, which, in turn, are finite linear combinations,

³ The generalization to a general domain is obvious.

with real coefficients, of $\varphi_n(z; D_m)$. Since $[h_r^2(\mathcal{A}_m)]$ has finite dimensions, it follows that

$$(3.18) \quad f_m(z) - \overline{f_m(\bar{z})} \equiv g_m(z) \in h_r^2(D_m).$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.1 if $m \rightarrow \infty$, the left hand side converges to the function $f(z) - \overline{f(\bar{z})} = g(z)$. But $g(z) \in L^2(B) \subset L^2(D)$, hence $g_m(z)$ tends to $g(z)$ which is in $h_r^2(D)$.

This theorem could also be proved directly by the use of Corollary 2.1. We can also use this corollary and the series developments (3.14), (3.16) in order to prove by direct calculation the following

THEOREM 3.3. *If B is a symmetric domain with respect to the real axis, and contains the real axis, then the following identities hold for $z, \zeta \in B$.*

$$(3.19) \quad K_B^{(h)}(z, \zeta) = K_B^{(h)}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}),$$

$$(3.20) \quad l_B^{(h)}(z, \zeta) = \overline{l_B^{(h)}(\bar{z}, \bar{\zeta})},$$

$$(3.21) \quad K_B^{(h)}(z, \bar{\zeta}) + l_B^{(h)}(z, \zeta) = K_D^{(h)}(z, \bar{\zeta}),$$

$$(3.22) \quad K_D^{(h)}(z, \bar{\zeta}) = \overline{K_D^{(h)}(\bar{\zeta}, z)} = l_D^{(h)}(z, \zeta).$$

Here D denotes that part of B , which lies in the upper half plane.

REMARK. From the symmetry of B , it follows that the Green function satisfies the identity

$$(3.23) \quad G_B(z, \zeta) = G_B(\bar{z}, \bar{\zeta}), \quad z, \zeta \in B,$$

therefore, by (3.5) and by the well known formula (See [4], [5])

$$(3.24) \quad K_{\mathcal{A}}(z, \zeta) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\partial^2 G_{\mathcal{A}}(z, \zeta)}{\partial z \partial \bar{\zeta}}, \quad z, \zeta \in \mathcal{A},$$

it follows also that

$$(3.25) \quad K_B(z, \zeta) = K_B(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z})$$

$$(3.26) \quad l_B(z, \zeta) = \overline{l_B(\bar{z}, \bar{\zeta})}.$$

Hence, similar identities hold also for the kernels $K_B^l(z, \zeta)$ and $l_B^l(z, \zeta)$.

Making use of Corollary 2.1 (2.15), (3.14), (3.16), we also find that

$$(3.27) \quad K_B^{(h)}(z, \zeta) - \frac{1}{2}K_D^{(h)}(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2}l_D^{(h)}(z, \bar{\zeta}) - l_B^{(h)}(z, \bar{\zeta}) = \sum_n \rho_n(z) \overline{\rho_n(\zeta)};$$

hence,

THEOREM 3.4. *If B is a symmetric domain with respect to the real*

axis I , and contains the real axis, and if D is that part of B which lies in the upper half plane, then

$$(3.28) \quad K_B^{(h)}(z, z) \geq \frac{1}{2}K_D^{(h)}(z, z), \quad z \in B, z \notin I.$$

$$(3.29) \quad l_B^{(h)}(z, \bar{z}) \leq \frac{1}{2}l_D^{(h)}(z, \bar{z}), \quad z \in B, z \notin I.$$

4. Concluding remarks. A domain Δ is said to be of zero span (of the class $0_{\Delta D}$, in the terminology of the theory of Riemann Surfaces), if the class $l^2(\Delta)$ reduces to the zero function. (See Ahlfors-Sario [2], Nevanlinna [7], Schiffer [8]). This property is invariant under univalent conformal mappings, and the boundary of Δ can be characterized by the fact that it is a removable singularity for all the functions, defined in a neighbourhood of the boundary and belong to the class l^2 in that neighbourhood. (See Painlevé Problem, [7]).

Clearly, for such domains,

$$(4.1) \quad K_{\Delta}(z, \zeta) = K_{\Delta}^{(h)}(z, \zeta) \quad l(z, \zeta) = l^{(h)}(z, \zeta).$$

Therefore, if B is a symmetric domain of zero span, one can replace the class $h^2(B)$ by $L^2(B)$ in all our previous results. One may also deduce properties of the class $h^2(D)$ from known properties of the class $L^2(B)$.

In Maschler [6], it was conjectured that if there exists a point in a domain Δ , at which all the functions of the class $h^2(\Delta)$ vanish, then $h^2(\Delta)$ consists of the zero function alone. (In the later case, the domain is simply-connected except for punctures which are removable with respect to the function of $h^2(\Delta)$). This conjecture was proved there under the assumption that Δ has two boundary components which are not completely point-like. An analogous statement is correct for the classes $L^2(\Delta)$ (see Nevanlinna [7]) and $l^2(\Delta)$ (see Ahlfors Beurling [1]).⁴ The above mentioned conjecture is trivially true if Δ has a zero span.

Using the representation (2.21), one derives easily, by (2.15), that there exists a point t in D , at which all the functions of the class $h^2(D)$ vanish, if and only if $f(t) = \overline{f(\bar{t})}$ for all the functions $f(z)$ of the class $h_r^2(B)$. Thus, if Γ contains a boundary component which is not completely point-like, then, for any point t in D , there exists a function of the class $h_r^2(B)$, which does not take a conjugate value at \bar{t} .

It would be of interest to study also the question whether it is possible that all the functions $f(z)$ of the class $h_r^2(B)$ satisfy at a particular point t , $t \in D$, the relation $f(t) = -\overline{f(\bar{t})}$. This is equivalent to equality in (3.28) and (3.29), for $z = t$. (See (3.27)).

⁴ The situation in the general case of a Riemann surface is studied in Virtanen [10].

REFERENCES

1. L. V. Ahlfors and A. Beurling, *Conformal invariants and function-theoretic null-sets*. Acta Math., **83** (1950), 101-129.
2. L. V. Ahlfors and L. Sario, *Riemann Surfaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton New Jersey (1960).
3. S. Bergman, *The kernel function and conformal mapping*. Math. Surveys V, Amer. Math. Soc., New York (1950).
4. S. Bergman and M. Schiffer, *Kernel functions and conformal mapping*, Composition Math., **8** (1950), 205-249.
5. R. Courant, *Dirichlet's principle, Conformal mapping and minimal surfaces*, Appendix by M. Schiffer. Interscience pub. New York (1950).
6. M. Maschler, *Analytic functions of the classes L^2 and l^2 and their kernel functions*, Rendiconti Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser. II, **8** (1959), 163-177.
7. R. Nevanlinna, *Uniformisierung*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1953).
8. M. Schiffer, *The span of multiply-connected domains*, Duke Math. J., **10** (1943), 209-216.
9. K. I. Virtanen, *Über eine Integraldarstellung von quadratische integrierbaren analytischen Differentialen*, Ann. Acad. Scient. Fenn. Ser. A, I, **69** (1950).
10. K. I. Virtanen, *Über Extremalfunktionen auf offenen Riemannschen Flächen*, Ann. Acad. Scient. Fenn. Ser. A, I, **141** (1952).

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insa'susha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 12, No. 2

February, 1962

William George Bade and Robert S. Freeman, <i>Closed extensions of the Laplace operator determined by a general class of boundary conditions</i>	395
William Browder and Edwin Spanier, <i>H-spaces and duality</i>	411
Stewart S. Cairns, <i>On permutations induced by linear value functions</i>	415
Frank Sydney Cater, <i>On Hilbert space operators and operator roots of polynomials</i>	429
Stephen Urban Chase, <i>Torsion-free modules over $K[x, y]$</i>	437
Heron S. Collins, <i>Remarks on affine semigroups</i>	449
Peter Crawley, <i>Direct decompositions with finite dimensional factors</i>	457
Richard Brian Darst, <i>A continuity property for vector valued measurable functions</i>	469
R. P. Dilworth, <i>Abstract commutative ideal theory</i>	481
P. H. Doyle, III and John Gilbert Hocking, <i>Continuously invertible spaces</i>	499
Shaul Foguel, <i>Markov processes with stationary measure</i>	505
Andrew Mattei Gleason, <i>The abstract theorem of Cauchy-Weil</i>	511
Allan Brasted Gray, Jr., <i>Normal subgroups of monomial groups</i>	527
Melvin Henriksen and John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Lattice-ordered rings and function rings</i>	533
Amnon Jakimovski, <i>Tauberian constants for the $[J, f(x)]$ transformations</i>	567
Hubert Collings Kennedy, <i>Group membership in semigroups</i>	577
Eleanor Killam, <i>The spectrum and the radical in locally m-convex algebras</i>	581
Arthur H. Kruse, <i>Completion of mathematical systems</i>	589
Magnus Lindberg, <i>On two Tauberian remainder theorems</i>	607
Lionello A. Lombardi, <i>A general solution of Tonelli's problem of the calculus of variations</i>	617
Marvin David Marcus and Morris Newman, <i>The sum of the elements of the powers of a matrix</i>	627
Michael Bahir Maschler, <i>Derivatives of the harmonic measures in multiply-connected domains</i>	637
Deane Montgomery and Hans Samelson, <i>On the action of $SO(3)$ on S^n</i>	649
J. Barros-Neto, <i>Analytic composition kernels on Lie groups</i>	661
Mario Petrich, <i>Semicharacters of the Cartesian product of two semigroups</i>	679
John Sydney Pym, <i>Idempotent measures on semigroups</i>	685
K. Rogers and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>A special class of matrices</i>	699
U. Shukla, <i>On the projective cover of a module and related results</i>	709
Don Harrell Tucker, <i>An existence theorem for a Goursat problem</i>	719
George Gustave Weill, <i>Reproducing kernels and orthogonal kernels for analytic differentials on Riemann surfaces</i>	729
George Gustave Weill, <i>Capacity differentials on open Riemann surfaces</i>	769
G. K. White, <i>Iterations of generalized Euler functions</i>	777
Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>On certain finite rings and ring-logics</i>	785