Pacific Journal of Mathematics

FURTHER RESULTS ON p-AUTOMORPHIC p-GROUPS

JAMES ROBERT BOEN, OSCAR S. ROTHAUS AND JOHN GRIGGS THOMPSON

Vol. 12, No. 3 March 1962

FURTHER RESULTS ON p-AUTOMORPHIC p-GROUPS

J. BOEN, O. ROTHAUS, AND J. THOMPSON

Graham Higman [3] has shown that a finite p-group, p an odd prime, with an automorphism permuting the subgroups of order p cyclically is abelian. In [1] a p-group was defined to be p-automorphic if its automorphism group is transitive on the elements of order p. It was conjectured that a p-automorphic p-group ($p \neq 2$) is abelian and proved that a counterexample must be generated by at least four elements. In this present paper we prove that a counterexample generated by n elements must be such that $n > 5$ and, if $n \neq 6$, then $p < n3^{n^2}$ (Theorem 3). We also show that the existence of a counterexample implies the existence of a certain algebraic configuration (Theorem 1). All groups considered are finite.

Notation. $\Phi(P)$ is the Frattini subgroup of the p-group P and P' is its commutator subgroup. $\Omega_i(P)$ is the subgroup generated by the elements of P whose orders do not exceed p^i. $Z(P)$ is the center of P. $F(m, n, p)$ denotes the set of p-automorphic p-groups P which enjoy the additional properties:

1. $P' = \Omega_1(P)$ is elementary abelian of order p^n.
2. $\Phi(P) = Z(P) = \Omega_m(P)$ is the direct product of n cyclic groups of order p^m.
3. $|P : \Phi(P)| = p^n$.

In [1] it was shown that a counterexample generated by n elements has a quotient group in $F(m, n, p)$. Hence, in arguing by contradiction, we may assume that a counterexample P is in $F(m, n, p)$.

Let $A = A(P) = \operatorname{Aut} P$ and let $A_0 = \ker(\operatorname{Aut} P \to \operatorname{Aut} P/\Phi(P))$. Thus $A/A_0 = B$ is faithfully represented as linear transformations of $V = P/\Phi(P)$, considered as a vector space over $GF(p)$.

Since p is odd and $cl(P) = 2$, the mapping $\eta: x \to x^{p^m}$ is an endomorphism of P which commutes with each σ of Aut P. Since $\Omega_m(P) = \Phi(P)$, $\ker \eta = \Phi(P)$, so η induces an isomorphism of V into $W = P'$. Since $\dim V = \dim W$, η is onto.

The commutator function induces a skew-symmetric bilinear map of $V \times V$ onto W, (onto since P is p-automorphic) and since $\Phi(P) = Z(P)$, $(,)$ is nondegenerate. Associated with $(,)$ is a nonassociative product $\circ$, defined as follows: If $\alpha, \beta \in V$, say $\alpha = x\Phi(P)$, $\beta = y\Phi(P)$, then $[x, y]$ is an element of W which depends only on α, β, and so $[x, y] = z^{p^m}$ where the coset $\gamma = z\Phi(P)$ depends only on α, β. We write $\alpha \circ \beta = \gamma$. An immediate consequence of this condition is the statement that $\alpha \to \alpha \circ \beta$

Received December 6, 1961. Boen's work on this paper was partly supported by N.S.F Grant G-9504.

is a linear map ϕ_β of V into V. Thus, $\circ$ induces a map θ of V into End V, the ring of linear transformations of V to V.

If $\bar{\sigma}$ is the inner automorphism of End V induced by $\sigma \in B$, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \text{End } V \\ {\scriptstyle\sigma}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\bar{\sigma}} \\ V & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \text{End } V \end{array}$$

commutes, that is $\phi_{\beta^\sigma} = \sigma^{-1}\phi_\beta\sigma$. Since P is p-automorphic, if α, β are nonzero elements of V, then $\alpha = \beta^\sigma$ for suitable $\sigma \in B$, so that $\phi_\alpha = \sigma^{-1}\phi_\beta\sigma$.

THEOREM 1. *If $\alpha \in V$, then ϕ_α is nilpotent.*

Proof. We can suppose $\alpha \neq 0$. Since $\alpha \circ \alpha = 0$, ϕ_α is singular. Let $f(x) = x^n + c_1x^{n-1} + c_2x^{n-2} + \cdots$ be the characteristic equation of ϕ_α. $f(x)$ is independent of the nonzero element α of V, and $c_n = 0$ since ϕ_α is singular.

Let $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n$ be a basis for V, and identify ϕ_α with the matrix which is associated with ϕ_α and the basis $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n$. Then c_i is the sum of all i by i principal minors of ϕ_α, so if $\alpha = \lambda_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n\alpha_n$, c_i is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $i\,(\leqq n-1)$ in the n variables $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$. By a Theorem of Chevalley [2], there are values $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$ of $GF(p)$ which are not all zero, such that $c_i = 0$. Since c_i is independent of the non-zero tuple $(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$, it follows that $c_i = 0$ so ϕ_α is nilpotent.

Theorem 1 states that $\theta(V)$ is a linear variety of End (V) consisting only of nilpotent matrices such that any two nonzero $x, y \in \theta(V)$ are similar. If one could show that the algebra generated by $\theta(V)$ were nilpotent, an easy argument would show that all p-automorphic p-groups (p odd) are abelian.

THEOREM 2. *Let r be the rank of ϕ_α. If $n > 3$, then $2 < r < n-1$.*

Proof. We assume $n > 3$ because $n \leqq 3$ was treated in [1]. Clearly $r \neq 0$ because P is non-abelian and $r \neq n$ by Theorem 1.

Case I. $r \neq n-1$. Suppose $r = n-1$. Then, for $\alpha \neq 0$, $\beta \circ \alpha = \beta\phi_\alpha = 0$ implies that $\beta \in \{\alpha\}$ where $\{\alpha\}$ is the subspace of V spanned by α. If $\gamma\phi_\alpha^2 = (\gamma\phi_\alpha)\phi_\alpha = 0$, then $\gamma\phi_\alpha \in \{\alpha\}$, say $\gamma\phi_\alpha = k\alpha$. But $\gamma\phi_\alpha + \alpha\phi_\gamma = 0$ by the skew-symmetry of $\circ$, so $\alpha\phi_\gamma = -k\alpha$. By Theorem 1, $k = 0$ and thus $\gamma \in \{\alpha\}$. Hence rank $\phi_\alpha^2 =$ rank ϕ_α, a contradiction to Theorem 1.

Case II. $r \neq 1$. Choose a basis of V, say $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n$, and suppose that $\phi_\alpha = (a_{ij})$ with respect to this basis; End (V) has the obvious matrix representation with $\phi_\alpha \in \theta(V) \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$. Recall that $\theta(V)$ becomes an n-space of n by n nilpotent matrices over $GF(p)$ in which any two nonzero matrices are similar. If $r = 1$, then we may assume without loss of generality that ϕ_α has a 1 in the (1, 2) position and zeros elsewhere.

If every $(x_{ij}) = X \in \theta(V)$ satisfies $x_{ij} = 0$ for $i > 1$, then we are done because the nilpotency of X implies that $x_{11} = 0$ for every $X \in \theta(V)$, which implies that $\dim \theta(V) < n$. If, on the other hand, there exists $X \in \theta(V)$ with a nonzero entry below the first row, then we may use the fact that every 2 by 2 subdeterminant of every element of $\theta(V)$ vanishes to show that every X has its nonzero elements in the second column only. But the nilpotency of X implies that $x_{22} = 0$. Hence $\dim \theta(V) < n$, a contradiction.

Case III. $r \neq 2$. *If* $r = 2$, we may assume without loss of generality that

(a) ϕ_α has 1's in the (1, 2), (2, 3) positions and zeros elsewhere or else

(b) ϕ_α has 1's in the (1, 2), (3, 4) positions and zeros elsewhere.

First consider (a).

If every $(x_{ij}) = X \in \theta(V)$ satisfies $x_{ij} = 0$ for $i > 2$, then $Z(P) \subsetneqq \Phi(P)$, a contradiction. If every $X \in \theta(V)$ satisfies $x_{ij} = 0$ for $j \neq 2, 3$, then $x_{32} = 0$ because $X + k\phi_\alpha$ is nilpotant for every $k \in GF(p)$ and $p > 2$. But then $\dim \theta(V) < n$, a contradiction. Hence we need consider only the subcase of (a) in which some $X \in \theta(V)$ has a nonzero entry below the third row and a nonzero entry that is not in columns two or three. Consider such an X. Unless $x_{ij} = 0$ when $i \neq 1, 2$ and $j \neq 2, 3$, it is easy to see that there exists a nonzero 3 by 3 determinant in $X + k\phi_\alpha$ for some k. It is also easy to see that any two rows of X below the second row are dependent, and that any two columns other than the second and third are dependent. Using the fact that every 3 by 3 subdeterminant of every element of $\theta(V)$ is zero, it is straightforward to show that there exist nonsingular matrices R and S such that RXS has 1's in the (1, 4), (3, 2) posititions and zeroes elsewhere and $R\phi_\alpha S$ has 1's in the (1, 3), (2, 2) positions and zeroes elsewhere.

Set $X' = RXS$, $\phi'_\alpha = R\phi_\alpha S$. It is now straightforward to show that that if $Y = (y_{ij}) \in R\theta(V)S$ is linearly independent from $\{X', \phi'_\alpha\}$, then $y_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq 1$ and $j \neq 2$. This implies that $\dim R\theta(V)S < n$, a contradiction, since $\dim R\theta(V)S = \dim \theta(V) = n$.

Subcase (b), in which $\phi^2_\alpha = 0$, is handled in a similar fashion except that we exclude the case in which every $X \in \theta(V)$ satisfies $x_{ij} = 0$, $j \neq 2, 4$, by noting the following: In such a case $(X + k\phi_\alpha)^2 = 0$ for every k implies that $x_{22} = 0$, which in turn implies that $\dim \theta(V) < n$.

COROLLARY. *$F(m, n, p)$ is empty for all m and odd p unless $n > 5$.*

Proof. Theorem 2 implies that $n > 4$ and that if $n = 5$, then rank $\phi_\alpha = 3$. Let S_n denote the projective $(n-1)$-space whose points are the 1-subspaces of V. If $n = 5$ and rank $\phi_\alpha = 3$, then it follows that S_5 is partitioned into lines according to the rule that $\{\alpha\}$, $\{\beta\}$ $(0 \neq \alpha, \beta \in V)$ lie on the same line if and only if $\alpha \circ \beta = 0$. But S_5 has $p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$ points and cannot be partitioned into disjoint subsets of $p + 1$ points each.

THEOREM 3. *If $p \geqq n3^{n^2}$ and $n \neq 6$, then $F(m, n, p)$ is empty for all positive integers m.*

Proof. If $GL\,(n, p)$ denotes the invertible elements of End V, then

$$|GL(n, p)| = p^{n(n-1)/2} \cdot k(n, p), \text{ where } k(n, p) = (p^n - 1)(p^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (p - 1).$$

If we consider $GF(p^n)$ as a vector space over $GF(p)$, the right-regular representation shows that $GL(n, p)$ contains a cyclic group of order $p^n - 1$.

Let $\Phi_d(x)$ be the monic polynomial whose complex roots are the primitive dth roots of unity. Then $p^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(p)$. By an elementary number-theoretic theorem [4], $\Phi_n(p)$ and $k(n, p)/\Phi_n(p)$ are relatively prime, or their greatest common divisor is q where q is the largest prime divisor of n, in which case $\Phi_n(p)/q$ is relatively prime to $k(n, p)/\Phi_n(p)$. Thus, we determine $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1 so that $\Phi_n(p)/q^\varepsilon$ is relatively prime to $k(p, n)/\Phi_n(p)$.

Let $p \in F(m, n, p)$. Since P is p-automorphic, $|B|$ is divisible by $p^n - 1$ and in particular is divisible by $\Phi_n(p)/q^\varepsilon$. Let r^α be the largest power of the prime r which divides $\Phi_n(p)/q^\varepsilon$, $\alpha \geqq 1$, and let S_r be a Sylow r-subgroup of B. By Sylow's theorem and the preceding paragraph, S_r is cyclic with generator σ_r.

Since P belongs to the exponent n modulo r, it follows that $\lambda, \lambda^p, \cdots, \lambda^{p^{n-1}}$ are the characteristic roots of σ_r, λ being a primitive r^αth root of unity in $GF(p^n)$.

Since η commutes with σ_r, λ is also a characteristic root of σ_r on W. Since $(\alpha, \beta)^\sigma = (\alpha^\sigma, \beta^\sigma)$, the characteristic roots of σ_r on W are to be found among the $\lambda^{p^i + p^j}$, $0 \leqq i < j \leqq n - 1$, as can be seen by diagonalizing σ_r over $V \otimes GF(p^n)$. Hence, $\lambda = \lambda^{p^i + p^j}$ for suitable i, j and so

$$p^i + p^j - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{r^\alpha}\,. \tag{1}$$

Since r was any prime divisor of $\Phi_n(p)/q^\varepsilon$, we have

$$\prod_{0 \leqq i < j \leqq n-1} (p^i + p^j - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(p)/q^\varepsilon}\,. \tag{2}$$

The polynomials $\Phi_n(x)$, $n \neq 6$, and $x^i + x^j - 1$ are relatively prime, a fact which can be seen geometrically, as pointed out by G. Higman. Namely, if $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$ are complex numbers of absolute value one, and $\varepsilon + \varepsilon' = 1$, then the points $0, 1, \varepsilon$ are the vertices of an equilateral triangle, so that ε is a primitive sixth root of unity. Since $n \neq 6$, we can therefore find integral polynomials $f(x)$, $g(x)$, such that

$$f(x)\Phi_n(x) + g(x) \prod_{0 \leqq i < j \leqq n-1} (x^i + x^j - 1) = |N| , \tag{3}$$

where

$$N = \prod_{\substack{\zeta \\ \Phi_n(\zeta) = 0}} \prod_{i,j} (\zeta^i + \zeta^j - 1) \tag{4}$$

is the resultant of $\Phi_n(x)$ and $\prod(x^i + x^j - 1)$.

From (4) we see that $N \leqq 3^{\phi(n)n^2}$, since there are at most $\phi(n)n^2$ triples (ζ, i, j). Now (2) and (3), the fact that $\Phi_n(p)/q^\varepsilon$ divides $|N|$, imply that

$$\Phi_n(p)/q^\varepsilon \leqq 3^{\phi(n)n^2} . \tag{5}$$

One sees geometrically that $\Phi_n(p) \geqq (p-1)^{\phi(n)}$, so with (5) and $q^\varepsilon \leqq n$ we find

$$p \leqq 1 + n^{1/\phi(n)} 3^{n^2} < n3^{n^2} . \tag{6}$$

REMARK. Theorem 3 of [3] provides a certain motivation for the detailed examination of $\Phi_n(p)$ in the preceding theorem.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Boen, *On p-Automorphic p-Groups*, (to appear in Pacific Journal of Mathematics).
2. C. Chevalley, *Demonstration d'une hypothese de M. Artin*, Abh. Math. Seminar U. Hamburg, **11** (1936).
3. G. Higman, *Suzuki 2-groups*, (to appear).
4. T. Nagell, *Introduction to Elementary Number Theory*, Wiley (1951).

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO AND UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is $18.00; single issues, $5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $8.00 per volume; single issues $2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 12, No. 3 March, 1962