# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## ON THE ZEROS OF THE SOLUTIONS OF w''(z) + p(z)w(z) = 0

DAVID LONDON

Vol. 12, No. 3

March 1962

# ON THE ZEROS OF THE SOLUTIONS OF w''(z) + p(z)w(z) = 0

#### DAVID LONDON

1. Introduction. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function with, at most, simple poles in a simply-connected domain D, such that  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in D$ . Let

(1.1) 
$$p(z) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(z), z\},$$

where

$$\{f(z), z\} = \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)' - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)^2$$

is the Schwarzian derivative of f(z) with respect to z. In connection with the function f(z), we consider the differential equation

(1.2) 
$$w''(z) + p(z)w(z) = 0$$
.

The function f(z) may be written in the form

$$f(z)=rac{w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(z)}{w_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(z)}$$
 ,

where  $w_1(z)$  and  $w_2(z)$  are linearly independent solutions of (1.2). The nontrivial solution

$$w(z) = Aw_1(z) + Bw_2(z)$$

vanishes at  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  if, and only if, f(z) takes at these points the value  $-BA^{-1}$ . Hence, it follows that f(z) takes some value in D n times if, and only if, there exists a nontrivial solution of (1.2) having n zeros in D.

This connection was pointed out by Nehari in [3].

DEFINITION 1. The equation (1.2) is disconjugate in D if every solution of (1.2) vanishes in D not more than once. Hence, (1.2) is disconjugate in D if, and only if, f(z) is univalent in D.

DEFINITION 2. The equation (1.2) is nonoscillatory in D if every

Received November 9, 1961. This paper represents part of a thesis submitted to the Senate of the Technion-Israel Institute of Technology in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science. The author wishes to thank Professor B. Schwarz for his guidance in the preparation of this paper. Acknowledgment is also due to Professor E. Netanyahu and Professor E. Jabotinsky for their help.

solution of (1.2) vanishes in D at most a finite number of times. Hence, (1.2) is nonoscillatory in D if, and only if, f(z) is finitely-valent in D.

By imposing restrictions on p(z), disconjugacy and nonoscillation theorems can be obtained. By the above connection, these theorems are equivalent to theorems about the distribution of the values of f(z). In this paper these theorems will be formulated as disconjugacy and nonoscillation theorems only, and not as theorems regarding the values of f(z).

2. A lemma. The lemma to be proved in this paragraph yields an upper bound for |p(z)| on  $|z| = \rho$ ,  $\rho < 1$ . This bound is connected with the area integral  $\iint |p(z)| dxdy$ .

LEMMA 1. Let p(z) be analytic in |z| < 1. Then

$$(2.1) \qquad |p(z')| \leq \frac{\iint_{|z|<1} |p(z)| \, dx dy}{\pi (1-|z'|^2)^2} \,, \qquad |z'| < 1 \,, \qquad (z=x+iy) \,.$$

Proof. Let

$$p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$
 .

Then

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} p(
ho \; e^{i heta}) d heta = 2\pi a_{_0}$$
 ,  $0 \leq 
ho < 1$  ,

and therefore

$$2\pi |\, p(0)| \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\, p(
ho e^{i heta})| d heta$$
 .

Multiplying by  $\rho d\rho$  and integrating, we obtain

(2.2) 
$$|p(0)| \leq \frac{\iint_{|z| < 1} |p(z)| dx dy}{\pi}$$

which proves (2.1) for z' = 0. The transformation

$$z = +rac{\zeta + z'}{1 + \zeta \overline{z}'}$$
,  $(\zeta = \xi + i\eta)$ 

maps  $|\zeta| < 1$  onto |z| < 1. Let

(2.3) 
$$p_1(\zeta) = p[z(\zeta)] \Big( \frac{dz}{d\zeta} \Big)^2 = p(z) \Big[ \frac{1 - |z'|^2}{(1 + \zeta \overline{z}')^2} \Big]^2.$$

We have

(2.4) 
$$\iint_{|\zeta|<1} |p(z)| dx dy = \iint_{|\zeta|<1} |p[z(\zeta)]| \left| \frac{dz}{d\zeta} \right|^2 d\xi d\eta = \iint_{|\zeta|<1} |p_1(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta$$
,

and

(2.5) 
$$p_1(0) = p(z')(1 - |z'|^2)^2$$
.

Applying (2.2) to the function  $p_1(\zeta)$ , and using (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain the required result (2.1).

REMARK 1. For the special case when the function p(z) is a square of a function analytic in |z| < 1, Lemma 1 can be obtained using the Bergman kernel function of |z| < 1. (see [4], p. 261, ex. 4).

REMARK 2. (2.1) is a sharp inequality. It is easily proved that the sign of equality in (2.1) at a point  $z' = z_0$ ,  $|z_0| < 1$ , occurs if (and only if) p(z) is of the form

$$p(z) = c \Big[ rac{1 - |z_0|^2}{(1 - z \overline{z}_0)^2} \Big]^2 \, .$$

3. A sufficient condition for disconjugacy (I). In [3], Nehari proved the following theorem (Th. 1, [3], sufficient condition): Let p(z) be analytic in |z| < 1. A sufficient condition for (1.2) to be disconjugate in |z| < 1 is:

$$(3.1) |p(z)| \le \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^2}, |z| < 1.$$

This theorem is sharp, as is shown by an example due to E. Hille [2].

From Lemma 1 and from Nehari's theorem, we obtain a disconjugacy theorem, in which the restriction on p(z) is given by a condition on the area integral.

THEOREM 1. Let p(z) be analytic in |z| < 1. A sufficient condition for (1.2) to be disconjugate in |z| < 1 is:

(3.2) 
$$\iint_{|z|<1} |p(z)| dx dy \leq \pi .$$

*Proof.* From (2.1) and (3.2) it follows that

$$|p(z')| \leq rac{{\iint_{|z| < 1} |p(z)| dxdy}}{{\pi (1 - |z'|^2)^2 }} \leq rac{1}{{(1 - |z'|^2)^2 }} \,, \qquad \qquad |z'| < 1 \;.$$

The assumption of Nehari's theorem is satisfied, and therefore (1.2) is

disconjugate in |z| < 1.

REMARK 1. The question of the sharpness of Theorem 1 is still open. Although both, inequality (2.1) and Nehari's theorem, are sharp, it does not follow that Theorem 1, which is deduced from them, is sharp too.

REMARK 2. In [7] the following theorem (Th. 4, [7]) is proved: Let p(z) be analytic in |z| < 1. A sufficient condition for (1.2) to be disconjugate in |z| < 1 is

(3.3) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq 4.$$

The integral on the left-hand side of (3.3) is defined as the limit for  $\rho \rightarrow 1$ , of the nondecreasing function

$$A(
ho)=\int_{0}^{2\pi}|p(
ho e^{i heta})|\,d heta$$
 ,  $ho<1$  .

From our Theorem 1, it follows that the constant 4 in (3.3) can be improved to  $2\pi$ . Indeed, if

$$\int_{0}^{lpha\pi} |\, p(e^{i heta})\,|\,d heta\, \leq\, 2\pi$$
 ,

then

$$\int_{_0}^{_{2\pi}} |\, p(
ho e^{i heta})|\, d heta \leq 2\pi$$
 ,  $ho < 1$  .

This implies now the validity of (3.2), and therefore, by Theorem 1, (1.2) is disconjugate in |z| < 1.

The constant  $2\pi$  is, however, not the best possible. In Theorem 6 it will be improved to  $4\pi$ .

4. Invariance of the area integral. We shall prove that the area integral is invariant under the transformation of (1.2), resulting from a linear mapping of the variable z.

 $\mathbf{Let}$ 

(4.1) 
$$\zeta = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad ad-bc \neq 0, \quad (\zeta = \xi + i\eta),$$

be a linear transformation analytic in the simply-connected domain D. D is mapped by (4.1) onto D'. By this mapping (1.2) will be transformed into an equation of the form

(4.2) 
$$W''(\zeta) + P(\zeta)W'(\zeta) + Q(\zeta)W(\zeta) = 0$$
,

where

$$W(\zeta) = w[z(\zeta)]$$
.

By the further substitution

(4.3) 
$$W(\zeta) = \frac{w_1(\zeta)}{a - \zeta c} ,$$

equation (4.2) takes the form:

(4.4) 
$$w_1''(\zeta) + p_1(\zeta)w_1(\zeta) = 0$$
.

The solutions w(z) and  $w_1(\zeta)$  vanish in D and D' respectively at points z and  $\zeta$  connected by (4.1). By a simple calculation, or from the properties of the Schwarzian derivative, it follows that

(4.5) 
$$p_1(\zeta) = p[z(\zeta)] \left(\frac{dz}{d\zeta}\right)^2$$

and hence

$$\int \!\!\!\int_{D} |p(z)| \, dx dy = \int \!\!\!\!\int_{D'} |p[z(\zeta)]| \left| rac{dz}{d\zeta} 
ight|^2 d\xi \, d\eta = \int \!\!\!\!\int_{D'} |p_1(\zeta)| \, d\xi \, d\eta$$

We have thus proved that

(4.6) 
$$\iint_{D} |p(z)| dx dy = \iint_{D'} |p_{i}(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta .$$

The property expressed by (4.6) is the *invariance* of the area integral.

The invariance of the area integral yields the following generalization of Theorem 1:

THEOREM 1'. Let p(z) be analytic in D, where D is a circle or a half plane. A sufficient condition for (1.2) to be disconjugate in D is

(3.2)' 
$$\iint_{D} |p(z)| dx dy \leq \pi .$$

*Proof.* By a suitable linear transformation, D will be mapped onto the unit circle. From the invariance of the area integral, from Theorem 1, and from the fact that the solutions of (1.2) and (4.4) vanish at corresponding points, the desired result follows.

5. A theorem about zeros on the boundary of a certain domain. Using the invariance of the area integral and a theorem of Grunsky, we obtain a result regarding the zeros of the solutions of (1.2) on the boundary of a domain bounded by two orthogonal circular arcs.

THEOREM 2. Let p(z) be analytic in  $\overline{D}$ , where D is a domain bounded by two orthogonal circular arcs. If

(5.1) 
$$\int \int_{D} |p(z)| dx dy \leq 1 ,$$

then no nontrivial solution of (1.2) vanishes twice on one of the arcs bounding D.

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be one of the two orthogonal arcs bounding D. Assume that there exists a nontrivial solution w(z) of (1.2) and  $z_1, z_2 \in \Gamma$ , such that:

$$w(z_1) = w(z_2) = 0 .$$

Let  $D_1$  be the domain bounded by the arc  $z_1z_2$  of  $\Gamma$ , and by the arc passing through  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , orthogonal to  $\Gamma$  and lying within D. Let  $D_2$  be the upper half of the unit circle. A suitable linear transformation maps  $D_1$  onto  $D_2$  so that  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are mapped on  $\pm 1$ . As

$$\displaystyle \iint_{_{D_1}} | \, p(z) \, | \, dx dy \leq 1$$
 ,

it follows from the invariance of the area integral that we may assume, without loss of generality, that D is the upper half of the unit circle, and  $z_1, z_2$  are  $\pm 1$ .

We shall make use of the following theorem of Grunsky [1]: Let g(z) be analytic in a convex domain D. Let  $z_1, z_2 \in D$  be such that

$$g(z_1)=g(z_2)=0.$$

Let  $\Delta$  be the triangle with vertices  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z', z' \in D$ . Let A be the area of  $\Delta$ . Then

(5.2) 
$$2Ag(z') = (z'-z_1)(z'-z_2) \iint_{A} g''(z) dx dy$$
,  $(z = x + iy)$ .

From (5.2) we obtain here

$$2Aw(z') = (z'-1)(z'+1) \iint_{a} w''(z) dx dy$$
  
=  $-(z'-1)(z'+1) \iint_{a} p(z)w(z) dx dy$ ,

and therefore

(5.3) 
$$2A|w(z')| < |z'-1||z'+1| \iint_{a} |p(z)||w(z)| dxdy$$

Let  $z^*$  be a point on the boundary of *D*, at which |w(z)| takes its maximum value in *D*. There are two possibilities:

I.  $z^*$  belongs to the circular part of the boundary of D.

II.  $z^*$  belongs to the diameter of D.

In case I, we get from (5.3):

$$|w(z^*)| < rac{|z^*-1||z^*+1|}{2A} |w(z^*)| \iint_{A} |p(z)| dx dy$$
.

Noting that

$$rac{|z^*-1|\,|z^*+1|}{2A}=1$$
 ,

we obtain:

(5.4) 
$$\iint_{a} |p(z)| dx dy > 1$$

Inequalities (5.1) and (5.4) are incompatible, proving our theorem in this case.

In case II, we use the linear transformation

$$\zeta = rac{1}{z+i}$$
 ,  $(\zeta = \xi + i\eta)$  .

Let D' be the lower half of the circle  $|\zeta + i/2| < 1/2$ . The above transformation maps D onto D', so that the circular part of the boundary of D is mapped onto the diameter of D', and the diameter of D is mapped onto the circular part of the boundary of D'. The point  $z^*$ , which according to our assumption belongs to the diameter of D, is mapped on the point  $\zeta^*$ , which belongs to the circular part of the boundary of D'. The points  $z = \pm 1$  are mapped on the points a = 1/2 - i/2, b = -1/2 - i/2, which are the two endpoints of the diameter of D'. Equation (1.2) is transformed into equation (4.4), for which we have

$$w_1(a) = w_1(b) = 0$$
.

From (4.3) we get

(5.5) 
$$w_1(\zeta) = w[z(\zeta)](-\zeta)$$
,

and therefore:

$$|w_1(\zeta)| < |\zeta| |w(z^*)|.$$

Let  $\zeta' = \xi - i/2$  be any point on the diameter of D'. We have:

$$|\zeta'| < |\zeta^*| .$$

From (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7) we obtain:

$$|w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(\zeta')| < |\zeta'| \, |w(z^*)| < |\zeta^*| \, |w(z^*)| = |w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(\zeta^*)|$$
 .

As  $\zeta'$  is any point on the diameter of D', and  $\zeta^*$  is a point on the circular part of the boundary of D', we conclude, from the last inequality, that

 $|w_1(\zeta)|$  takes its maximum value in D' on the circular part of the boundary. From the invariance of the area integral it follows that

$$\displaystyle \iint_{{}_{\mathcal{D}'}} |\, p_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\!(\zeta)\, | d\xi d\eta \leqq 1 \; .$$

We have now the same situation as in case I, for which the proof has already been completed.

6. A sufficient condition for nonoscillation. Inequality (3.2) was seen to be a sufficient condition for (1.2) to be disconjugate in |z| < 1. The following result shows that mere boundedness of the integral appearing in (3.2) is sufficient to assure nonoscillation in |z| < 1.

THEOREM 3. Let p(z) be analytic in |z| < 1. A sufficient condition for (1.2) to be nonoscillatory in |z| < 1 is:

(6.1) 
$$\int \int_{|z|<1} |p(z)| dx dy < \infty$$

*Proof.* Assume that there exists a nontrivial solution w(z) of (1.2) with infinitely many zeros in |z| < 1. The set of these zeros has an accumulation point  $\alpha$  on |z| = 1.

From (6.1) follows the existence of a number  $\rho$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , such that

(6.2) 
$$\iint_{\substack{\rho \leq |z| < 1}} |p(z)| dx dy \leq 1.$$

It is obvious that at least one of the two halves of a circle, having for diameter the segment connecting two internal points of a given circle, lies inside the given circle.

As  $\alpha$  is an accumulation point of the set of zeros, we can choose two elements of that set,  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , so that the half circle *D*, for which the segment connecting  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  is a diameter, and which lies in |z| < 1, will also lie in the circular ring  $\rho < |z| < 1$ .

Inequality (6.2) implies inequality (5.1) for this half circle D. D is thus a half circle for which (5.1) is satisfied, and on its diameter there exist two zeros of (1.2). This last fact is a contradiction to Theorem 2, so that no such nontrivial solution w(z) of (1.2) exists.

REMARK 1. In [5] the following theorem (Th. 3, [5]) is proved: Let p(z) be analytic in |z| < 1. A sufficient condition for (1.2) to be nonoscillatory in |z| < 1 is:

(6.3) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})| d\theta < \infty .$$

(The integral in (6.3) is defined in paragraph 3).

This theorem can be deduced from our Theorem 3. Indeed, (6.3) implies the existence of a bound M such that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(
ho e^{i heta})| d heta < M$$
 ,  $0 \leq 
ho < 1$  ,

and, therefore, such that

$$\displaystyle \iint_{|z|<1} |p(z)| dx dy < rac{M}{2}$$
 .

The assumption of Theorem 3 is satisfied, and therefore (1.2) is non-oscillatory in |z| < 1.

From the invariance of the area integral follows the validity of Theorem 3 for every circle and every half plane. In the following theorem, Theorem 3 will be extended to more general domains.

THEOREM 4. Let p(z) be analytic in a domain D bounded by an analytic Jordan curve. A sufficient condition for (1.2) to be nonoscillatory in D is:

(6.1)' 
$$\iint_{D} |p(z)| dx dy < \infty .$$

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta = \psi(z)$  be a function mapping D onto  $|\zeta| < 1$ .

In paragraph 4 we described the transformation of (1.2) by a linear mapping. The transformation of (1.2) by a general mapping  $\zeta = \psi(z)$  may be performed in a similar way. In the general case we have to change (4.3) into

(4.3)' 
$$W(\zeta) = w_1(\zeta) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int P(\zeta) d\zeta} .$$

Equation (1.2) is transformed into an equation of the form (4.4), but (4.5) becomes now

(4.5)' 
$$p_1(\zeta) = \frac{1}{[\psi'(z)]^2} \left[ p(z) - \frac{1}{2} \{ \psi(z), z \} \right].$$

As the corresponding solutions of (1.2) and (4.4) vanish at corresponding points, equation (1.2) is nonoscillatory in D if, and only if, equation (4.4) is nonoscillatory in  $|\zeta| < 1$ . In order to prove that (4.4) is nonoscillatory in  $|\zeta| < 1$ , it is sufficient, by Theorem 3, to show that

$$(6.1)'' \qquad \qquad \int\!\!\!\int_{|\zeta|<1} |p_i(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta < \infty \,\,.$$

From (4.5)' we have

(6.4) 
$$\iint_{|\zeta|<1} |p_1(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta = \iint_D \left| p(z) - \frac{1}{2} \{ \psi(z), z \} \right| dx dy$$
$$\leq \iint_D |p(z)| dx dy + \iint_D |\{ \psi(z), z \} | dx dy .$$

As D is bounded by an analytic Jordan curve, the function  $\zeta = \psi(z)$  is analytic in  $\overline{D}$ , and for  $z \in \overline{D} \psi'(z) \neq 0$ , so that:

(6.5) 
$$\iint_{D} |\{\psi(z), z\}| dx dy < \infty .$$

Inequality (6.1)'' now follows from (6.1)', (6.4) and (6.5).

7. A theorem of Pokornyi. In [8] Pokornyi obtained a sufficient condition for (1.2) to be disconjugate in |z| < 1. This sufficient condition follows also from a more general theorem of Nehari (Th. 1, [6]). We give here an additional proof of Pokornyi's theorem.

THEOREM 5. Let p(z) be analytic in |z| < 1. A sufficient condition for (1.2) to be disconjugate in |z| < 1 is:

(7.1) 
$$|p(z)| \leq \frac{2}{1-|z|^2}$$
,  $|z| < 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume that there exists a nontrivial solution w(z) of (1.2), and  $z_1, z_2, |z_1|, |z_2| < 1, z_1 \neq z_2$ , such that

$$w(z_1)=w(z_2)=0$$

The points  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  determine uniquely a circle passing through them and orthogonal to |z| = 1. We denote by C the part of this circle within |z| = 1. We may assume, without loss of generality, that C is in the upper half plane and symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis (see [6]).

Let  $i\rho$  be the point of C on the imaginary axis. The linear transformation

$$\zeta = rac{z-i
ho}{1+i
ho z}$$

maps the unit circle onto itself, and maps  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  on  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ ,  $-1 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < +1$ . Equation (1.2) is transformed into equation (4.4), for which

$$w_1(\rho_1) = w_1(\rho_2) = 0$$
.

By (4.5) we have:

$$egin{aligned} &|p_{1}(\zeta)| = |p(z)| \Big| rac{dz}{d\zeta} \Big|^{2} = |p(z)| \Big( rac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\zeta|^{2}} \Big)^{2} &\leq rac{2}{1-|z|^{2}} \Big( rac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\zeta|^{2}} \Big)^{2} \ &= rac{2}{1-|\zeta|^{2}} rac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\zeta|^{2}} \,. \end{aligned}$$

For  $-1 < \zeta < +1$ , we have

$$|\zeta| \leq |z|$$
 ,

and therefore

(7.2) 
$$|p_1(\zeta)| \leq \frac{2}{1-|\zeta|^2}, \qquad -1 < \zeta < +1.$$

Let 0 < R < 1 be such that  $-R < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < R$ . From (7.2) it follows that:

(7.3) 
$$|p_1(\zeta)| < rac{2}{R^2 - |\zeta|^2}, \qquad 
ho_1 \leq \zeta \leq 
ho_2.$$

The strict inequality in (7.3) assures the existence of an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and of a neighbourhood D of the segment  $[\rho_1, \rho_2]$ , such that

$$(7.4) |p_1(\zeta)| \leq \frac{2}{R^2 - |\zeta|^2} - \varepsilon , \xi \in D$$

From Grunsky's theorem, quoted above, we obtain

(7.5) 
$$2Aw_1(\zeta') = -(\zeta' - \rho_1)(\zeta' - \rho_2) \iint_{\mathcal{A}} p_1(\zeta)w_1(\zeta)d\xi d\eta, |\zeta'| < 1.$$

The domain of integration  $\Delta$  is the triangle with vertices at  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \zeta'$ . *A* is the area of  $\Delta$ . Let  $D_b$  be an ellipse having  $[\rho_1, \rho_2]$  as its major axis, and let the magnitude of its minor axis be 2b, b > 0. For a small enough *b*, we have  $D_b \subset D$ . Let  $\zeta_b$  be a point on the boundary of  $D_b$ , at which  $|p_1(\zeta)w_1(\zeta)|$  takes its maximum value in  $D_b$ . From (7.5) it follows that

$$2A|w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}({\zeta}_{\scriptscriptstyle b})| < |{\zeta}_{\scriptscriptstyle b} - 
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}|\, |{\zeta}_{\scriptscriptstyle b} - 
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}|\, |\, p_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}({\zeta}_{\scriptscriptstyle b})|\, |\, w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}({\zeta}_{\scriptscriptstyle b})|A$$
 .

Hence,

$$|\,p_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}({\zeta}_{\scriptscriptstyle b})| > rac{2}{|\,{\zeta}_{\scriptscriptstyle b} - 
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}|\,|{\zeta}_{\scriptscriptstyle b} - 
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}|}\,,$$

and therefore

(7.6) 
$$|p_1(\zeta_b)| > \frac{2}{|R^2 - \zeta_b'|}$$
.

We define the number  $\delta_b$  by the equation

(7.7) 
$$|R^2 - \zeta_b^2| = R^2 - |\zeta_b|^2 + \delta_b$$
.

It is obvious that  $\delta_b > 0$ , and that  $\delta_b \to 0$ , for  $b \to 0$ . For a small enough b we have

(7.8) 
$$\frac{2}{R^2 - |\zeta_b|^2 + \delta_b} > \frac{2}{R^2 - |\zeta_b|^2} - \varepsilon.$$

From (7.6), (7.7) and (7.8) it follows that

(7.9) 
$$|p_1(\zeta_b)| > \frac{2}{R^2 - |\zeta_b|^2} - \varepsilon$$
.

As  $\zeta_b \in D$ , (7.4) and (7.9) are incompatible, so that no such nontrivial solution w(z) of (1.2) exists.

8. A sufficient condition for disconjugacy (II). In [4], p. 127, ex. 8, the following theorem is mentioned: Let p(z) be analytic in  $|z| \leq 1$ . Then

$$(8.1) | | p(z) | \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} | p(e^{i\theta}) | d\theta}{2\pi (1 - |z|^2)} , \qquad |z| < 1 .$$

In paragraph 3 the integral  $\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})| d\theta$  was defined for functions analytic in the open unit circle. It is easily seen that if we use the above definition for the integral in the right-hand side of (8.1), then (8.1) is also valid for functions analytic in the open unit circle.

From (8.1) and from Theorem 5, we obtain now the following disconjugacy theorem:

THEOREM 6. Let p(z) be analytic in |z| < 1. A sufficient condition for (1.2) to be disconjugate in |z| < 1 is:

(8.2) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} |(p(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq 4\pi .$$

(The integral in (8.2) was defined in paragraph 3).

*Proof.* By (8.1), for functions analytic in |z| < 1, and by (8.2), we have:

$$|p(z)| \leq rac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i heta})| d heta}{2\pi (1-|z|^2)} \leq rac{2}{1-|z|^2} \,, \qquad |z| < 1 \,.$$

The validity of (7.1) is thus proved, and therefore, by Theorem 5, (1.2)

is disconjugate in |z| < 1.

REMARK 1. Theorem 6 improves Theorem 4 in [7]. (see Remark 2 in paragraph 3).

REMARK 2. The question whether the constant  $4\pi$  in (8.2) is the best possible is left open. That it cannot be improved too much is shown by the example  $p(z) \equiv \pi^2/4$ . The corresponding equation (1.2) is disconjugate in |z| < 1, and

$$\int_{_0}^{^{2\pi}}\mid p(e^{i heta})ert d heta=rac{\pi^3}{2}pprox 4.9\pi\;.$$

#### References

1. H. Grunsky, Eine Funktionentheoretische Integralformel, Math. Zeitschr., **63** (1955), 320-323.

2. E. Hille, Remarks on a paper by Zeev Nehari, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55 (1949), 552-553.

3. Z. Nehari, The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55 (1949), 545-551.

4. \_\_\_\_, Conformal Mapping, 1st ed., Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, INC., 1952.

5. \_\_\_\_\_, On the zeros of solutions of second order linear differential equations, Amer. J. Math., **76** (1954), 689-697.

6. \_\_\_\_, Some criteria of univalence, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1954), 700-704.

7. \_\_\_\_\_, Univalent functions and linear differential equations. Lectures on Functions of a Complex Variable, Ann. Arbor. (1955), 49-60.

8. V. V. Pokornyi, On some sufficient conditions for univalence, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **79** (1951), 743-746.

TECHNION-ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA, ISRAEL

991

#### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE University of California Los Angeles 24, California

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F.	BECKENBACH	D. DERRY	H. L. ROYDEN	E. G. STRAU	JS
т. м	. CHERRY	M. OHTSUKA	E. SPANIER	F. WOLF	

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	* * *
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON	CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
OSAKA UNIVERSITY	SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

RALPH S. PHILLIPS Stanford University Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington

### Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 12, No. 3 March, 1962

Alfred Aeppli, Some exact sequences in cohomology theory for Kähler	701			
Paul Richard Beesack, On the Green's function of an N-point boundary value	001			
problem	801			
James Robert Boen, <i>On p-automorphic p-groups</i>				
James Robert Boen, Oscar S. Roinaus and John Griggs Thompson, Further results	017			
on p-automorphic p-groups	01/			
problem for second order uniformly elliptic operators	873			
Chan Chung Chang and H. Jaroma (Howard) Kaisler. Applications of ultrappeducts	625			
of pairs of cardinals to the theory of models	835			
Stephen Urban Chase. On direct sums and products of modules	817			
Poul Civin Annihilators in the second conjugate algebra of a group algebra	855			
Faul Civili, Annihilators in the second conjugate digeord by a group digeora	000			
J. H. Curuss, Polynomial interpolation in points equilatsiributed on the unit circle	863			
Marion K. Fort, Jr., Homogeneity of infinite products of manifolds with				
boundary	879			
James G. Glimm, <i>Families of induced representations</i>	885			
Daniel E. Gorenstein, Reuben Sandler and William H. Mills, On almost-commuting				
permutations	913			
Vincent C. Harris and M. V. Subba Rao, <i>Congruence properties of</i> $\sigma_r(N)$	925			
Harry Hochstadt, Fourier series with linearly dependent coefficients	929			
Kenneth Myron Hoffman and John Wermer, A characterization of $C(X)$	941			
Robert Weldon Hunt, <i>The behavior of solutions of ordinary, self</i> adjoint differential				
equations of arbitrary even order	945			
Edward Takashi Kobayashi, A remark on the Nijenhuis tensor	963			
David London. On the zeros of the solutions of $w''(z) + p(z)w(z) = 0$	979			
Gerald R. Mac Lane and Frank Beall Ryan. On the radial limits of Blaschke				
products	993			
T. M. MacRobert. Evaluation of an E-function when three of its upper parameters				
differ by integral values	999			
Robert W. McKelvey, The spectra of minimal self-adjoint extensions of a symmetric				
operator	1003			
Adegoke Olubummo, Operators of finite rank in a reflexive Banach space	1023			
David Alexander Pope, On the approximation of function spaces in the calculus of				
variations	1029			
Bernard W. Roos and Ward C. Sangren, <i>Three spectral theorems for a pair of</i>				
singular first-order differential equations	1047			
Arthur Argyle Sagle, Simple Malcev algebras over fields of characteristic zero	1057			
Leo Sario, Meromorphic functions and conformal metrics on Riemann surfaces	1079			
Richard Gordon Swan, Factorization of polynomials over finite fields	1099			
S. C. Tang, Some theorems on the ratio of empirical distribution to the theoretical				
distribution	1107			
Robert Charles Thompson, Normal matrices and the normal basis in abelian				
number fields	1115			
Howard Gregory Tucker, Absolute continuity of infinitely divisible distributions	1125			
Elliot Carl Weinberg, Completely distributed lattice-ordered groups	1131			
James Howard Wells, A note on the primes in a Banach algebra of measures	1139			
Horace C. Wiser, <i>Decomposition and homogeneity of continua on a 2-manifold</i>	1145			