Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THREE SPECTRAL THEOREMS FOR A PAIR OF SINGULAR FIRST-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

BERNARD W. ROOS AND WARD C. SANGREN

THREE SPECTRAL THEOREMS FOR A PAIR OF SINGULAR FIRST-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

BERNARD W. ROOS AND WARD C. SANGREN*

1. Preliminaries. In the regular case the classical method of obtaining eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the equation

(1)
$$y''(x) + [\lambda - q(x)]y = 0 \quad \left[' \equiv \frac{d}{dx}\right]$$

under Sturmian boundary conditions involves the use of asymptotic expansions. For the singular cases of (1) when the range of x is infinite or semi-infinite instead of finite, Titchmarsh [6] has shown that such asymptotic solutions are also necessary in obtaining spectral and expansion theorems by the method of complex variables. The objective of this paper is to generalize for a particular case these types of results to the following pair of equations

(2)
$$u'(x) - [\lambda a(x) + b(x)]v(x) = 0, v'(x) + [\lambda c(x) + d(x)]u(x) = 0.$$

Interest in this system arises from a consideration of the Dirac relativistic wave equations for a particle in a central field. The equations (2) correspond in this case to the radial wave equations. Conte and Sangren [2] and the authors [3] have shown that most of the results of Titchmarsh can be generalized for (2) over the interval $(0 \le x < \infty)$, under the restriction a(x) = c(x) = 1. Also, the spectral properties of (2) for a(x) =c(x) = 1 over the infinite interval $(-\infty, \infty)$ have been investigated [4]. In this paper a discussion of the system (2) for $a(x) = x^{2k}$, $c(x) = x^{-2k}$ over the interval $(0, \infty)$ is presented. It is assumed throughout, that k is a nonzero integer.

Let $\phi(x, \lambda) = [\phi_1(x, \lambda), \phi_2(x, \lambda)]$ and $\theta(x, \lambda) = [\theta_1(x, \lambda), \theta_2(x, \lambda)]$ be two solutions of system (2) over the interval $a \leq x \leq b$, where a > 0 and $b < \infty$, such that $\phi_1(l, \lambda) = 1$, $\phi_2(l, \lambda) = 0$, $\theta_1(l, \lambda) = 0$, $\theta_2(l, \lambda) = 1$, where $a \leq l \leq b$. It can be shown that the Wronskian $W_x[\phi, \theta] = \phi_1\theta_2 - \phi_2\theta_1$ is independent of x so that since $W_l(\phi, \theta] = 1$, $\phi(x, \lambda)$ and $\theta(x, \lambda)$ are linearly independent. For the singular case it can be shown that for complex values of λ the system (2) has a solution $\psi(x, \lambda) = [\psi_1, \psi_2] =$ $\theta(x, \lambda) + m(\lambda)\phi(x, \lambda)$. A limit circle case is determined separately at each of the end points, 0 and ∞ , by the conditions that all functions $c|\psi|^2$ $+ a|\psi_2|^2$ are integrable, that is, belong to the class L(0, l) or $L(l, \infty)$. In the limit point case, at either end, there exist only one $m(\lambda)$ and

Received January 6, 1961. Presented to the American Mathematical Society, Pasadena California, November 19, 1960.

^{*} Present address: Computer Applications, Inc., San Diego, California.

 $\psi(x, \lambda)$ where $c|\psi_1|^2 + a|\psi_2|^2$ is integrable. The existence at each end of at least one such integrable function is guaranteed by the direct extension of Weyl's limit-point, limit-circle theorem [6, 1, 5]. Furthermore, the $m(\lambda)$ at either end is such that $\overline{m(\lambda)} = m(\overline{\lambda})$ and $m(\lambda)$ is analytic in either the upper or lower half plane. The spectral properties can be obtained from these functions, $m_0(\lambda)$ and $m_{\infty}(\lambda)$.

2. The nature of the spectrum; interval $(1, \infty)$. To obtain the spectral properties of the system (2) over the interval $(1, \infty)$ the order properties as $x \to \infty$ of the solution vectors ϕ and θ have to be investigated. This is most easily done by using the corresponding integral equations which may be obtained by the method of variation of coefficients. It is easily verified that if $\phi_1(1) = 1$, $\phi_2(1) = 0$, $\theta_1(1) = 0$ and $\theta_2(1) = 1$ the appropriate integral equations are given by

(4)
$$\phi_{1}(x,\lambda) = G_{1}(\lambda x) + \int_{1}^{x} \{b\phi_{2}[H_{2}G_{1}(\lambda x) - G_{2}H_{1}(\lambda x)] + d\phi_{1}[H_{1}G_{1}(\lambda x) - G_{1}H_{1}(\lambda x)]\} ds$$
$$= G_{1}(\lambda x) + L(x, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2})$$

where

$$\begin{split} G_{1}(\lambda x) &= + \frac{x^{p}}{\Delta} \left[J_{-(p-1)}(\lambda) J_{p}(\lambda x) + J_{p-1}(\lambda) J_{-p}(\lambda x) \right], \\ G_{2}(\lambda x) &= + \frac{x^{-(p-1)}}{\Delta} \left[J_{-(p-1)}(\lambda) J_{p-1}(\lambda x) - J_{p-1}(\lambda) J_{-(p-1)}(\lambda x) \right], \\ H_{1}(\lambda x) &= + \frac{x^{p}}{\Delta} \left[J_{-p}(\lambda) J_{p}(\lambda x) - J_{p}(\lambda) J_{-p}(\lambda x) \right], \\ H_{2}(\lambda x) &= + \frac{x^{-(p-1)}}{\Delta} \left[J_{-p}(\lambda) J_{p-1}(\lambda x) + J_{p}(\lambda) J_{-(p-1)}(\lambda x) \right], \\ \Delta &= J_{p}(\lambda) J_{-(p-1)}(\lambda) + J_{-p}(\lambda) J_{p-1}(\lambda) = \frac{2 \cos k\pi}{\pi \lambda}, \end{split}$$

and

$$p=k+rac{1}{2}$$
 .

Let $\lambda = \sigma + it$ where t > 0, and let

 $h_1(x, \lambda) = x^{-k} e^{-tx} \phi_1(x, \lambda)$ and $h_2(x, \lambda) = x^k e^{-tx} \phi_2(x, \lambda)$.

The well-known asymptotic formula $J_p(z) = (2/\pi z)^{1/2} \cos (z - 1/2 \ p\pi - \pi/4) + 0\{/e^{-iz}/|z|^{-3/2}\}$ for $0 \leq \arg z \leq \pi/2$ and $z \gg 1$ is used in the following. The substitution of h_1 and h_2 in (4) and (5) and the taking of absolute values yields

$$|h_1(x,\,\lambda)|;\,|h_2(x,\,\lambda)|\leq M+\int_1^x\{|g_1|\,|h_2|+|g_2|\,|h_1|\}\,ds$$

where

$$egin{aligned} M &= \max_{x o \infty} \left\{ rac{x^{1/2}}{|\,\mathcal{\Delta}\,|} \left[J_p(\lambda x) J_{-p+1}(\lambda) + J_{-p}(\lambda x) J_{p-1}(\lambda)
ight]; \ &rac{x^{1/2}}{|\,\mathcal{\Delta}\,|} \left[- J_{p-1}(\lambda x) J_{-p+1}(\lambda) + J_{-p+1}(\lambda x) J_{p-1}(\lambda)
ight]
ight\} \ &g_1 &= \max_{x o \infty} \left\{ \left[J_p(\lambda x) J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) + J_{-p}(\lambda x) J_{p-1}(\lambda s)
ight]; \ & \left[J_{p-1}(\lambda x) J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) - J_{-p+1}(\lambda x) J_{p-1}(\lambda s)
ight]
ight\} \ &\left\{ rac{x^{1/2}}{|\,\mathcal{\Delta}\,|} e^{-t(x-s)} b(s) s^{-2k+1/2}
ight\} \ &g_2 &= \max_{x o \infty} \left\{ \left[J_{-p}(\lambda x) J_p(\lambda s) - J_p(\lambda x) J_{-p}(\lambda s)
ight]; \ & \left[J_{-p+1}(\lambda x) J_p(\lambda s) + J_{p-1}(\lambda x) J_{-p}(\lambda s)
ight]
ight\} \ &\left\{ rac{x^{1/2}}{|\,\mathcal{\Delta}\,|} e^{-t(x-s)} d(s) s^{2k+1/2}
ight\} \,. \end{aligned}$$

According to the lemma in [3] this can be reduced to the inequality

$$h_1(x, \lambda); h_2(x, \lambda) \leq M \exp\left\{\int_1^x (g_1 + g_2) \, ds\right\}.$$

Consequently, it can be concluded that when $b(x)x^{-2k}$ and $d(x)x^{2k}$ are both $L(1, \infty)$, $h_1(x, \lambda)$ and $h_2(x, \lambda)$ will both be bounded for all values of x. Moreover, for large x

$$\phi_1(x, \lambda) = 0\{e^{tx}x^k\}, \ \phi_2(x, \lambda) = 0\{e^{tx}x^{-k}\}.$$

Furthermore, when $Im \lambda = 0$, that is for real λ , and $x \to \infty$ the order properties for ϕ and θ may be written as follows:

(6)

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1(x,\lambda) &= x^p \{\mu(\lambda)J_p(\lambda x) + \nu(\lambda)J_{-p}(\lambda x) + o(1)\} \\
\phi_2(x,\lambda) &= x^{-p+1} \{+\mu(\lambda)J_{p-1}(\lambda x) - \nu(\lambda)J_{-p+1}(\lambda x) + o(1)\} \\
\theta_1(x,\lambda) &= x^p \{\xi(\lambda)J_p(\lambda x) + \eta(\lambda)J_{-p}(\lambda x) + o(1)\} \\
\theta_2(x,\lambda) &= x^{-p+1} \{\xi(\lambda)J_{p-1}(\lambda x) - \eta(\lambda)J_{-p+1}(\lambda x) + o(1)\}
\end{aligned}$$

where

(7)

$$\begin{split} \mu(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\varDelta} \left[J_{-p+1}(\lambda) - \int_{1}^{\infty} [-b(s)\phi_{2}(s)s^{-p+1}J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) + d(s)\phi_{1}(s)s^{p}J_{-p}(\lambda s)] \, ds \right] \\ \nu(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\varDelta} \left[J_{p-1}(\lambda) - \int_{1}^{\infty} [-b(s)\phi_{2}(s)s^{-p+1}J_{p-1}(\lambda s) + d(s)\phi_{1}(s)s^{p}J_{p}(\lambda s)] \, ds \right] \\ \xi(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\varDelta} \left[+J_{-p}(\lambda) - \int_{1}^{\infty} [-b(s)\theta_{2}(s)s^{-p+1}J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) + d(s)\theta_{1}(s)s^{p}J_{-p}(\lambda s)] \, ds \right] \\ \eta(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\varDelta} \left[-J_{p}(\lambda) - \int_{1}^{\infty} [-b(s)\theta_{2}(s)s^{-p+1}J_{p-1}(\lambda s) + d(s)\theta_{1}(s)s^{p}J_{-p}(\lambda s)] \, ds \right]. \end{split}$$

The integrals in (7) converge uniformly in λ hence, $\mu(\lambda)$, $\nu(\lambda)$, $\xi(\lambda)$, $\eta(\lambda)$ will be continuous and bounded functions of λ .

When λ is complex and has an imaginary part greater than zero, $Im \lambda > 0$, and when $b(x)x^{-2k}$ and $d(x)x^{2k}$ are both $L(1, \infty)$, one can obtain

(8)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(x,\,\lambda) &= x^k e^{-i\lambda x} \left[M_1(\lambda) + o(1) \right] \\ \phi_2(x,\,\lambda) &= x^{-k} e^{-i\lambda x} \left[M_2(\lambda) + o(1) \right] \\ \theta_1(x,\,\lambda) &= x^k e^{-i\lambda x} \left[N_1(\lambda) + o(1) \right] \\ \theta_2(x,\,\lambda) &= x^{-k} e^{-i\lambda x} \left[N_2(\lambda) + o(1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

(9)

$$\begin{split} M_{\rm I}(\lambda) &= \frac{(2\pi\lambda)^{-1/2} e^{ik\pi/2}}{\varDelta} \left\{ iJ_{-p+1}(\lambda) + iJ_{p-1}(\lambda)\cos k\pi \right. \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\phi_2(s)s^{-p+1} \left[iJ_{-p+1}(\lambda s) + J_{p-1}(\lambda s)\cos k\pi \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} d(s)\phi_1(s)s^p \left[J_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi - J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \right\} \\ M_2(\lambda) &= \frac{(2\pi\lambda)^{-1/2} e^{ik\pi/2}}{\varDelta} \left\{ + J_{-p+1}(\lambda) - iJ_{p-1}(\lambda)\cos k\pi \right. \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\phi_2(s)s^{-p+1} \left[J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) - iJ_{p-1}(\lambda s)\cos k\pi \right] ds \\ &- \int_{1}^{\infty} d(s)\phi_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \right\} \\ N_1(\lambda) &= \frac{(2\pi\lambda)^{-1/2} e^{ik\pi/2}}{\varDelta} \left\{ -iJ_{-p}(\lambda) + J_p(\lambda)\cos k\pi \right. \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_2(s)s^{-p+1} \left[iJ_{-p+1}(\lambda s) + J_{p-1}(\lambda s)\cos k\pi \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} d(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[J_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi - iJ_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \right\} \\ N_2(\lambda) &= \frac{(2\pi\lambda)^{-1/2} e^{ik\pi/2}}{\varDelta} \left\{ J_{-p}(\lambda) + iJ_p(\lambda)\cos k\pi \right. \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_2(s)s^{-p+1} \left[J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) - iJ_{p-1}(\lambda s)\cos k\pi \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_2(s)s^{-p+1} \left[J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) - iJ_{p-1}(\lambda s)\cos k\pi \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_2(s)s^{-p+1} \left[J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) - iJ_{p-1}(\lambda s)\cos k\pi \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_2(s)s^{-p+1} \left[J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) - iJ_{p-1}(\lambda s)\cos k\pi \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_2(s)s^{-p+1} \left[J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) - iJ_{p-1}(\lambda s)\cos k\pi \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_2(s)s^{-p+1} \left[J_{-p+1}(\lambda s) - iJ_{p-1}(\lambda s)\cos k\pi \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J_{-p}(\lambda s) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} b(s)\theta_1(s)s^p \left[iJ_p(\lambda s)\cos k\pi + J$$

1050

Let $\psi(x, \lambda) = \theta(x, \lambda) + m(\lambda)\phi(x, \lambda)$ be that solution of (2) mentioned in the Preliminaries where $c|\psi_1|^2 + a|\psi_{-2}|^2$ is $(1, \infty)$. ψ is given by

$$egin{aligned} &\psi_1(x,\,\lambda)= heta_1(x,\,\lambda)+m(\lambda)\phi_1(x,\,\lambda)=x^ke^{i\lambda x}\left[N_1(\lambda)+m(\lambda)M_1(\lambda)+o(1)
ight],\ &\psi_2(x,\,\lambda)= heta_2(x,\,\lambda)+m(\lambda)\phi_2(x,\,\lambda)=x^{-k}e^{-i\lambda x}\left[N_2(\lambda)+m(\lambda)M_2(\lambda)+o(1)
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

It is apparent that in order for $c|\psi_1|^2 + a|\psi_2|^2$ to be in $L(1, \infty)$ we must have

(10)
$$m(\lambda) = -\frac{N_1(\lambda)}{M_1(\lambda)} = -\frac{N_2(\lambda)}{M_2(\lambda)}$$

When $Im \lambda \rightarrow 0$, it follows from (7) and (9)that

(11)
$$\begin{split} M_1(\lambda) &\to (2\pi\sigma)^{-1/2} e^{ik\pi/2} \left[i\mu(\sigma) + \nu(\sigma) \cos k\pi \right] \\ M_2(\lambda) &\to (2\pi\sigma)^{-1/2} e^{ik\pi/2} \left[+\mu(\sigma) - i\nu(\sigma) \cos k\pi \right] \\ N_1(\lambda) &\to (2\pi\sigma)^{-1/2} e^{ik\pi/2} \left[i\xi(\sigma) + \eta(\sigma) \cos k\pi \right] \\ N_2(\lambda) &\to (2\pi\sigma)^{-1/2} e^{ik\pi/2} \left[+\xi(\sigma) - i\eta(\sigma) \cos k\pi \right] . \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} m(\lambda) = -rac{i \xi(\sigma) + \eta(\sigma) \cos k \pi}{i \mu(\sigma) +
u(\sigma) \cos k \pi}$$

(12)
$$= -\cos k\pi \frac{\mu\eta - \nu\xi}{\nu^2(\sigma) + \mu^2(\sigma)}.$$

From (6) one also obtains

$$W_{s}[\phi,\, heta]=\phi_{1} heta_{2}-\phi_{2} heta_{1}=arDelta[
u\xi-\mu\eta]+o(1)$$
 .

Because $W_0[\phi, \theta] = 1$,

$$u \xi - \mu \eta = rac{1}{arLambda} \, .$$

The substitution of this result in (12) yields

$$\lim_{t o 0} Im \ m(\lambda) = -rac{\sigma\pi}{
u^2(\sigma)+\mu^2(\sigma)} \ .$$

Hence, $Im \ m(\lambda)$ is a nonpositive, nonvanishing continuous and bounded function of λ for both positive and negative λ .

This is easily seen for positive λ since λ , ν^2 and μ^2 are all positive numbers. For negative λ not only is λ negative, but so are ν^2 and μ^2 . This can be verified by making use of the formula

$${J}_{{\scriptscriptstyle p}}(i^{{\scriptscriptstyle 2m}}z)=i^{{\scriptscriptstyle 2m}\,{\scriptscriptstyle p}}{J}_{{\scriptscriptstyle p}}(z)$$
 .

The spectrum is, therefore, continuous over the infinite interval $-\infty < \lambda < \infty$. These results may be summarized in the following theorem,

THEOREM 1. Consider the system (2) where $a(x) = x^{2k}$ and $c(x) = x^{-2k}$ over the semi-infinite interval $[l, \infty]$ and under the boundary conditions

(13)
$$u(l)\cos\alpha + v(l)\sin\alpha = 0$$

Let b(x) and d(x) be real-valued continuous functions of x and let $b(x) x^{-2k}$ and $d(x)x^{2k}$ belong to the class $L(l, \infty)$. A solution of the system (2), (13) is defined as a vector function $[u(x, \lambda), v(x, \lambda)]$ with continuous first derivatives satisfying this system. The values of λ for which such solutions exists form a continuous spectrum over the real λ -axis $(-\infty < \lambda < \infty)$.

In order to simplify the appearance of the equations in the preceeding proof and in the following, it was assumed, without loss of generality, that $\alpha = \pi/2$ and l = 1.

3. Nature of the spectrum; interval (0, 1).

THEOREM 2. Consider the system (2) where $a(x) = x^{2k}$, $c(x) = x^{-2k}$ over the interval $0 \le x \le 1$ and subject to a linear homogeneous boundary condition at x = 1. The spectrum is discrete provided:

$b(x)x^{-2(p-1)}$	and	$d(x) \ are \ L(0, 1)$	for $p = k + 1/2 > 1$
b(x)	and	$d(x) \ are \ L(0, 1)$	for 0
b(x)	and	$d(x)x^{2p}$ are $L(0, 1)$	for $p < 0$.

The proof of this theorem follows closely that of Theorem 1. Except for an obvious change of integration limits, the integral equations corresponding to system (2) of Theorem 2, are given by equations (4) and (5).

First, consider the case p > 0. For $x \to 0$ one has the well-known asymptotic relations:

$$J_p(\lambda x)
ightarrow rac{(\lambda x)^p}{\Gamma(p+1)2^p} \ \ J_{-p}(\lambda x)
ightarrow rac{(-1)^k \Gamma(p) 2^p (\lambda x)^{-p}}{\pi}$$

and for p - 1 > 0:

$$J_{p-1}(\lambda x) \to \frac{(\lambda x)^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)2^{p-1}} \quad J_{-p+1}(\lambda x) \to \frac{(-1)^{k-1}\Gamma(p-1)(\lambda x)^{-p+1}2^{p-1}}{\pi} \,.$$

Let $h_1(x, \lambda) = \phi_1(x, \lambda), h_2(x, \lambda) = x^{+2(p-1)}\phi_2(x, \lambda)$ and $\lambda = \sigma + it$ where t > 0. One obtains:

$$egin{aligned} h_1(x,\,\lambda) &= rac{x^p}{arDelta} igg[J_{-p+1}(x) J_p(\lambda x) + J_{p-1}(\lambda) J_{-p}(\lambda x) \ &- \int_x^1 \{ b s^{-3(p-1)} h_2(s,\,\lambda) \left[J_{-p}(x) J_{-p+1}(s) + J_{-p}(x) J_{p-1}(s)
ight] \ &+ dh_1 s^p \left[J_p(\lambda s) J_{-p}(\lambda x) J_{-p}(\lambda x) J_{-p}(\lambda s)
ight] \} \, ds igg] \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} h_2(x,\,\lambda) &= rac{x^{+(p-1)}}{arLambda} iggl[J_{-p+1}(\lambda) J_{p-1}(\lambda x) - J_{p-1}(\lambda) J_{-p+1}(\lambda x) \ &- \int_x^1 \{ ds^{-3(p-1)} h_2(s) \left[J_{p-1}(x) J_{-p+1}(s) - J_{p-1}(s) J_{-p+1}(x)
ight] \ &- dh_1 s^p \left[J_p(\lambda s) J_{-p+1}(\lambda x) + J_{-p}(\lambda s) J_{p-1}(\lambda x)
ight] \} \, ds iggr] \,. \end{aligned}$$

For $x \to 0$ $h_1(x, \lambda)$ and $h_2(x, \lambda)$ are bounded for all x provided $bx^{-2(p-1)}$ and d are L(0, 1) (see lemma [3]). Hence, $\phi_1(x, \lambda) = o(1)$ and $\phi_2(x, \lambda) = o(x^{-2(p-1)})$ for $x \to 0$.

It follows that one may write

$$egin{aligned} \phi_1(x,\,\lambda) &= \left[M_1(\lambda) + o(1)
ight]\,, \ \phi_2(x,\,\lambda) &= x^{-2(p-1)}\left[M_2(\lambda) + o(1)
ight]\,, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_1(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(p) 2^p \lambda^{-p}}{\cdot \pi \varDelta} \Big[J_{p-1}(\lambda) - \int_0^1 [b \phi_2 J_{p-1}(\lambda s) s^{-p+1} + d \phi_1 J_p(\lambda s) s^p] ds \Big]$$

and

$$M_2(\lambda) = rac{(-1)^{k-1} \Gamma(p-1) 2^{p-1} \lambda^{-p-1}}{\pi arDela} \ \left[-J_{p-1}(\lambda) + \int_0^1 [J_{p-1}(\lambda s) b \phi_2 s^{-p+1} - d \phi_1 J_p(\lambda s) s^p] \, ds
ight].$$

Similarly,

$$egin{aligned} & heta_1(x,\,\lambda) = [N_1(\lambda) + o(1) \;, \ & heta_2(x,\,\lambda) = x^{-2(p-1)} \left[N_2(\lambda) + o(1)
ight] \;, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$N_1(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(p) 2^p \lambda^{-p}}{\pi \varDelta} \left[+J_{+p}(\lambda) - \int_0^1 \{ b\theta_2 s^{-p+1} J_{p-1}(\lambda s) + d\theta_1 s^p J_p(\lambda s) \} ds \right]$$

and

$$N_2(\lambda) = rac{(-1)^{k-1} arGamma(p-1) 2^{p-1} \lambda^{-(p-1)}}{\pi arLa} \ \left[-J_p(\lambda) + \int_0^1 \{b heta_2 s^{-p+1} J_{p-1}(\lambda s) - d heta_1 s^p J_p(\lambda s) \} \, ds
ight].$$

A similar argument as in §3, yields

$$m(\lambda) = -\frac{N_1(\lambda)}{M_1(\lambda)} = -\frac{N_2(\lambda)}{M_2(\lambda)}.$$

Hence

$$m(\lambda) = \frac{J_p(\lambda) - \int_0^1 \{b\theta_2 s^{-p+1} J_{p-1}(\lambda s) + d\theta_1 s^p J_p(\lambda s)\} ds}{J_{p-1}(\lambda) - \int_0^1 \{b\theta_2 s^{-p+1} J_{p-1}(\lambda s) + d\phi_1 s^p J_p(\lambda s)\} ds}$$

For $t \to 0$, i.e., $Im \ \lambda \to 0$, $M_1(\lambda)$ and $N_1(\lambda)$ tend to real limits. This is apparent for $\lambda > 0$ and is easily shown for $\lambda < 0$ by using again the relation $J_r(ze^{im\pi}) = e^{im\pi r} J_r(z)$. Hence, $Im \ m(\lambda) \to 0$ except possibly at the zeros of $M_1(\lambda)$. Consequently, the spectrum will be discrete.

For values of the parameter p such that 0 one has

$$J_{p}(\lambda x) \rightarrow \frac{(\lambda x)^{p}}{\Gamma(p+1)2^{p}}, \quad J_{-p}(\lambda x) \rightarrow \frac{(-1)^{k} \Gamma(p) 2^{p}(\lambda x)^{-p}}{\pi},$$
$$J_{p-1}(\lambda x) \rightarrow \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \Gamma(p-1) (\lambda x)^{(p-1)} 2^{p-1}}{\pi}, \quad J_{-p+1}(\lambda x) \rightarrow \frac{(\lambda x)^{-p+1}}{\Gamma(p) 2^{p-1}}$$

It is not difficult to verify, applying the same method as discussed above, that in this case, when b(x) and d(x) are L(0, 1) the limit circle case prevails and the spectrum is discrete. k, an integer, is not in this interval.

For p < 0 the asymptotic expressions become

$$J_p(\lambda x)
ightarrow rac{(-1)^k \Gamma(p) 2^p(\lambda x)^{+p}}{\pi}, \quad J_{-p}(\lambda x)
ightarrow rac{(\lambda x)^{-p}}{\Gamma(p+1) 2^p},$$
 $J_{p-1}(\lambda x)
ightarrow rac{(-1)^{k-1} \Gamma(p-1) 2^{p-1}(\lambda x)^{+(p-1)}}{\pi}, \quad J_{-(p-1)}(\lambda x)
ightarrow rac{(\lambda x)^{-(p-1)}}{\Gamma(p) 2^{p-1}}.$

In a similar fashion, for the case where p > 1 one can obtain that $\phi_1(x, \lambda) = o(x^{2p})$ and $\phi_2(x, \lambda) = o(1)$. In this situation the additional condition that b and $d(x)x^{2p}$ are in L(0, 1) must be imposed. The conclusion that the spectrum will be discrete follows accordingly.

4. Nature of the spectrum; interval $(0, \infty)$.

In this case singularities exist at both ends of the interval and it has been shown [4] that the spectral properties of the system (2) are determined by the imaginary parts of the following functions:

$$rac{1}{m_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}-m_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}}$$
 , $rac{m_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}{m_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}-m_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}}$, $rac{m_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\,m_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}}{m_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}-m_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}}$,

where $m_0(\lambda)$ and $m_{\infty}(\lambda)$ are the previously determined m's at x = 0 and ∞ . As was shown in the previous sections $m_0(\lambda)$ is a meromorphic function while m_{∞} has a nonvanishing imaginary part. It is clear that the imaginary parts of the three functions above tend to finite limits which can vanish at most at discrete points. The associated spectrum will therefore be continuous over the whole real λ -axis.

THEOREM 3. Consider the system (2) where $a(x) = x^{2k}$ and $c(x) = x^{-2k}$

1054

over the interval $0 \leq x \infty$. The spectrum will be continuous over the entire real λ -axis ($-\infty < \lambda < \infty$), provided b(x) and d(x) satisfy the conditions given in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

References

1. E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, Theory of ordinary differential equations, Mac Graw-Hill New York, 1955.

2. S. D. Conte, and W. C. Sangren, An Expansion theorem for a pair of first order equations, Can. J. Math., 6 (1954), 554-560.

3. B. W. Roos and W. C. Sangren, Spectra for a pair of singular first order differential equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 12 (1961), 468-476.

General Atomic Report (unpublished).
 General Atomic Report GA-1777.

6. E. C. Titchmarsh, Eigenfunction expansions associated with second-order differential equations, Oxford, 1946.

GENERAL DYNAMICS CORP.

JOHN JAY HOPKINS LABORATORY FOR PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE GENERAL ATOMIC DIVISION

GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

A. L. WHITEMAN University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F.	BECKENBACH	D. DERRY	H. L. ROYDEN	E. G. STRAUS
т. м	. CHERRY	M. OHTSUKA	E. SPANIER	F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	* * *
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON	CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
OSAKA UNIVERSITY	SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

RALPH S. PHILLIPS Stanford University Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 12, No. 3 March, 1962

Alfred Aeppli, Some exact sequences in cohomology theory for Kähler manifolds	791				
Paul Richard Beesack, On the Green's function of an N-point boundary value	0.01				
problem					
James Robert Boen, On <i>p</i> -automorphic <i>p</i> -groups	813				
James Robert Boen, Oscar S. Rothaus and John Griggs Thompson, Further results	017				
On p-automorphic p-groups	017				
problem for second order uniformly elliptic operators	873				
Chen Chung Chang and H. Jerome (Howard) Keisler Applications of ultranroducts	025				
of pairs of cardinals to the theory of models	835				
Stephen Urban Chase On direct sums and products of modules	847				
Dup Civin Annihilators in the second conjugate algebra of a group algebra					
I H Curtiss Polynomial interpolation in points equidistributed on the unit	055				
circle	863				
Marion K Fort Ir Homogeneity of infinite products of manifolds with	000				
boundary	879				
James G. Glimm. Families of induced representations	885				
Daniel E. Gorenstein, Reuben Sandler and William H. Mills, On almost-commuting					
permutations	913				
Vincent C. Harris and M. V. Subba Rao, Congruence properties of $\sigma_r(N)$	925				
Harry Hochstadt, Fourier series with linearly dependent coefficients	929				
Kenneth Myron Hoffman and John Wermer, A characterization of $C(X)$	941				
Robert Weldon Hunt, The behavior of solutions of ordinary, self-adjoint differential					
equations of arbitrary even order	945				
Edward Takashi Kobayashi, A remark on the Nijenhuis tensor	963				
David London, On the zeros of the solutions of $w''(z) + p(z)w(z) = 0$	979				
Gerald R. Mac Lane and Frank Beall Ryan, On the radial limits of Blaschke					
products	993				
T. M. MacRobert, <i>Evaluation of an E-function when three of its upper parameters</i>					
differ by integral values	999				
Robert W. McKelvey, <i>The spectra of minimal self-adjoint extensions of a symmetric</i>					
operator	1003				
Adegoke Olubummo, <i>Operators of finite rank in a reflexive Banach space</i>	1023				
David Alexander Pope, On the approximation of function spaces in the calculus of					
variations	1029				
Bernard W. Roos and Ward C. Sangren, <i>Three spectral theorems for a pair of</i>					
singular first-order differential equations	1047				
Arthur Argyle Sagle, Simple Malcev algebras over fields of characteristic zero	1057				
Leo Sario, Meromorphic functions and conformal metrics on Riemann surfaces	1079				
Richard Gordon Swan, <i>Factorization of polynomials over finite fields</i>	1099				
S. C. Tang, Some theorems on the ratio of empirical distribution to the theoretical distribution	1107				
Robert Charles Thompson, Normal matrices and the normal basis in abelian					
number fields	1115				
Howard Gregory Tucker, Absolute continuity of infinitely divisible distributions	1125				
Elliot Carl Weinberg, Completely distributed lattice-ordered groups	1131				
James Howard Wells, A note on the primes in a Banach algebra of measures	1139				
Horace C. Wiser, <i>Decomposition and homogeneity of continua</i> on a 2-manifold	1145				