

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SIMPLE MALCEV ALGEBRAS OVER FIELDS OF
CHARACTERISTIC ZERO**

ARTHUR ARGYLE SAGLE

SIMPLE MALCEV ALGEBRAS OVER FIELDS OF CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

ARTHUR A. SAGLE

1. **Introduction.** Malcev algebras are a natural generalization of Lie algebras suggested by introducing the commutator of two elements as a new multiplicative operation in an alternative algebra [3]. The defining identities obtained in this way for a Malcev algebra A are

$$(1.1) \quad xy = -yx$$

$$(1.2) \quad xy \cdot xz = (xy \cdot z)x + (yz \cdot x)x + (zx \cdot x)y$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Since Albert [1] has shown that every simple alternative ring which contains an idempotent not its unity quantity is either associative or the split Cayley-Dickson algebra C , it is natural to see if a simple Malcev algebra can be obtained from C . In [3] a seven dimensional simple non-Lie Malcev algebra A^* is obtained from C and is discussed in detail. In this paper we shall prove the following

THEOREM. *Let A be a finite dimensional simple non-Lie Malcev algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Furthermore assume A contains an element u such that the right multiplication by u , R_u , is not a nilpotent linear transformation. Then A is isomorphic to A^* .*

The necessary identities and notation from [3] for any algebra A are repeated here for convenience:

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Commutator, } (x, y) = [x, y] = xy - yx$$

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Associator, } (x, y, z) = xy \cdot z - x \cdot yz$$

$$(1.5) \quad \text{Jacobian, } J(x, y, z) = xy \cdot z + yz \cdot x + zx \cdot y$$

for $x, y, z \in A$. If $h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a function of n indeterminates such that for any n subsets B_i of A and $b_i \in B_i$, the elements $h(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ are in A , then $h(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ will denote the linear subspace of A spanned by all of the elements $h(b_1, \dots, b_n)$.

For a Malcev algebra A of characteristic not 2 or 3, we shall use the following identities and theorems from [3]:

$$(1.6) \quad J(x, y, xz) = J(x, y, z)x$$

Received September 2, 1961. The author would like to thank Professor L. J. Paige for his assistance in the preparation of the manuscript. This research was sponsored in part by the National Science Foundation under NSF Grant G-9504.

$$(1.7) \quad J(x, y, wz) + J(w, y, xz) = J(x, y, z)w + J(w, y, z)x$$

$$(1.8) \quad 2wJ(x, y, z) = J(w, x, yz) + J(w, y, zx) + J(w, z, xy)$$

$$(1.9) \quad J(wx, y, z) = wJ(x, y, z) + J(w, y, z)x - 2J(yz, w, x)$$

$$(1.10) \quad xy \cdot zw = x(wy \cdot z) + w(yz \cdot x) + y(zx \cdot w) + z(xw \cdot y)$$

for all $w, x, y, z \in A$. If $N = \{x \in A: J(x, A, A) = 0\}$, then it is shown in [3] that N is an ideal of A which is a Lie subalgebra and furthermore for $a, b \in A$

$$(1.11) \quad J(a, b, A) = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad ab \in N.$$

It is also shown in [3] that $J(A, A, A)$ is an ideal of A . Thus if A is a simple non-Lie Malcev algebra we have

$$(1.12) \quad N = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A = J(A, A, A).$$

We shall assume throughout this paper that A is a finite dimensional simple non-Lie Malcev algebra over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic not 2 or 3 containing an element u such that R_u is not a nilpotent linear transformation. In §2 the basic multiplicative identities are derived using methods analogous to those of Lie algebras. Decomposing $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus \cdots \oplus A_\gamma$ into weight spaces relative to R_u [2; page 132] we prove the block multiplication identities $A_\alpha A_\beta \subset A_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$, $A_\alpha^2 \subset A_{-\alpha}$ and $A_0^2 = 0$. Further identities are derived in §3 which lead to the important result that there exists a nonzero weight α such that $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ where $A_0 = A_\alpha A_{-\alpha}$.

In §4 we show that $R(A_0)$, the set of right multiplications R_{x_0} by elements $x_0 \in A_0$, is a set of commuting linear transformations on the subspaces A_0, A_α and $A_{-\alpha}$. Analogous to Lie algebras we decompose $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ into weight spaces relative to $R(A_0)$ [2; page 133] and thus find a basis of A which simultaneously triangulates the matrices of $R(A_0)$. We now introduce the trace form, $(x, y) = \text{trace } R_x R_y$, in §5 and assume for the remainder of the paper that the algebraically closed field is of characteristic zero. With this and the results of §4 we easily show that (x, y) is a nondegenerate invariant form on $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ and $A_0 = uF$.

In §6 we show that R_u has a diagonal matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & & 0 \\ & \alpha I & \\ 0 & & -\alpha I \end{bmatrix}$$

Using this and a few more identities we show in §7 that the simple Malcev algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ is isomorphic to the seven dimen-

sional algebra A^* .

2. Basic multiplication identities. Let R_u ($u \in A$) be a fixed non-nilpotent linear transformation and decompose the simple Malcev algebra A into the *weight space direct sum* $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus \dots \oplus A_\gamma$ relative to R_u where the *weight space* of R_u ,

$$A_\alpha = \{x \in A: x(aI - R_u)^k = 0 \text{ for some integer } k > 0\},$$

is a nonzero R_u -invariant subspace of A corresponding to the *weight* α of R_u . Let $x_\alpha \in A_\alpha$, $x_\beta \in A_\beta$, then using (1.6)

$$J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta)R_u = J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta)u = J(u, x_\alpha, ux_\beta) = -J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta R_u)$$

and therefore

$$J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta)(\beta I + R_u) = J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta(\beta I - R_u)).$$

Now letting $y_\beta = x_\beta(\beta I - R_u) \in A_\beta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta(\beta I - R_u)^2) &= J(u, x_\alpha, y_\beta(\beta I - R_u)) \\ &= J(u, x_\alpha, y_\beta)(\beta I + R_u) \\ &= J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta(\beta I - R_u))(\beta I + R_u) \\ &= (u, x_\alpha, x_\beta)(\beta I + R_u)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing by induction we obtain

$$(2.1) \quad J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta)(\beta I + R_u)^n = J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta(\beta I - R_u)^n)$$

for every integer n . Since $x_\beta \in A_\beta$ there exists an integer N such that $0 = J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta(\beta I - R_u)^N) = J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta)(\beta I + R_u)^N$ and this shows $J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta) \in A_{-\beta}$. Now interchanging the roles of x_β and x_α in (2.1) we also obtain $J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta) \in A_{-\alpha}$ and thus

$$(2.2) \quad J(u, A_\alpha, A_\beta) \subset A_{-\alpha} \cap A_{-\beta}.$$

From (2.2) we have the following relations

$$(2.3) \quad J(u, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) \subset A_{-\alpha}$$

$$(2.4) \quad J(u, A_\alpha, A_\beta) = 0 \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta.$$

We shall now prove

$$(2.5) \quad A_\alpha A_\beta \subset A_{\alpha+\beta} \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta.$$

For if $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $x_\alpha \in A_\alpha$, $x_\beta \in A_\beta$ we have by (2.4),

$$0 = J(u, x_\alpha, x_\beta) = (x_\alpha x_\beta)R_u - x_\alpha R_u \cdot x_\beta - x_\alpha \cdot x_\beta R_u;$$

that is, $(x_\alpha x_\beta)R_u = x_\alpha R_u \cdot x_\beta + x_\alpha \cdot x_\beta R_u$ and so R_u is a derivation of

$A_\alpha A_\beta$ into $A_\alpha A_\beta$. This yields

$$(x_\alpha x_\beta)(R_u - (\alpha + \beta)I) = x_\alpha(R_u - \alpha I) \cdot x_\beta + x_\alpha \cdot x_\beta(R_u - \beta I)$$

and in the usual way we prove the Leibnitz rule for derivations which then yields that for some integer N , $(x_\alpha x_\beta)(R_u - (\alpha + \beta)I)^N = 0$ and therefore $x_\alpha x_\beta \in A_{\alpha+\beta}$. In particular we have

$$(2.6) \quad A_0 A_\alpha \subset A_\alpha \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq 0.$$

We shall now investigate A_0 more closely. Let $x_\alpha \in A_\alpha$, $x_\beta \in A_\beta$ and $x_0 \in A_0$, then by (1.7) $J(x_0, x_\beta, ux_\alpha) + J(u, x_\beta, x_0 x_\alpha) = J(x_0, x_\beta, x_\alpha)u + J(u, x_\beta, x_\alpha)x_0$. Therefore if $0 \neq \alpha \neq \beta$ we have by (2.4) $J(x_0, x_\beta, ux_\alpha) = J(x_0, x_\beta, x_\alpha)u$. This yields $J(x_0, x_\beta, x_\alpha(\alpha I - R_u)) = J(x_0, x_\beta, x_\alpha)(\alpha I + R_u)$ and as in the proof of (2.4) we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad J(A_0, A_\alpha, A_\beta) = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \neq \alpha \neq \beta \neq 0.$$

Next let $x_0, y_0 \in A_0$ and $x_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ where $\alpha \neq 0$, then using (1.9), (2.4) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(x_0 u, y_0, x_\alpha) &= x_0 J(u, y_0, x_\alpha) + J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha)u - 2J(y_0 x_\alpha, x_0, u) \\ &= J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha)u \end{aligned}$$

and in general we have $J(x_0 R_u^n, y_0, x_\alpha) = J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha)R_u^n$ which implies $J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha) \in A_0$. Now by (1.7), $J(x_0, y_0, ux_\alpha) + J(u, y_0, x_0 x_\alpha) = J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha)u + J(u, y_0, x_\alpha)x_0$; and using (2.4) and (2.6) we obtain $J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha R_u) = -J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha)R_u$ which implies $J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha(R_u - \alpha I)) = -J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha)(R_u + \alpha I)$. Thus, as usual, we have $J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha) \in A_{-\alpha}$ and therefore $J(x_0, y_0, x_\alpha) \in A_0 \cap A_{-\alpha}$ which proves

$$(2.8) \quad J(A_0, A_0, A_\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq 0.$$

We shall now show $A_0^2 \subset A_0$. From our basic decomposition $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus \cdots \oplus A_\gamma$ relative to R_u we can find a basis $\{x_1(\tau), \dots, x_m(\tau)\}$ ($m = m_\tau$) of A_τ such that

$$(2.9) \quad x_i(\tau)R_u = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j(\tau) + \tau x_i(\tau)$$

where $\tau, a_{ij} \in F$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$. In particular let $\{x_1(0), \dots, x_{n_0}(0)\} \equiv \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be the above type for A_0 . Then $x_1 R_u = 0$ and

$$x_i R_u = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{ik} x_k \quad (i = 2, \dots, n).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u, x_i, x_j) &= (x_i x_j)R_u + x_j R_u \cdot x_i + x_j \cdot x_i R_u \\ &= (x_i x_j)R_u + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{jk} x_k x_i + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{ik} x_j x_k \end{aligned}$$

with the understanding that $a_{i_0} = 0$.

Using (1.6) and operating on both sides of the previous equation with R_u^n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n J(u, x_i, x_j R_u^n) &= J(u, x_i, x_j) R_u^n \\ &= (x_i x_j) R_u^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{jk}(x_k x_i) R_u^n \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{ik}(x_j x_k) R_u^n . \end{aligned}$$

Now by assuming $i < j$ and choosing n large enough, a simple inductive argument yields $x_i x_j \in A_0$ for all i and j . Thus $A_0^2 \subset A_0$.

Using (1.8), $A_0^2 \subset A_0$ and (2.8) we have

$$A_\alpha J(A_0, A_0, A_0) \subset J(A_\alpha, A_0, A_0^2) \subset J(A_\alpha, A_0, A_0) = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq 0 .$$

Thus, $AJ(A_0, A_0, A_0) \subset \sum_\alpha A_\alpha J(A_0, A_0, A_0) = A_0 J(A_0, A_0, A_0) \subset J(A_0, A_0, A_0)$, or $J(A_0, A_0, A_0)$ is an ideal of A . But since $J(A_0, A_0, A_0) \subset A_0 \neq A$ and A is simple we have

$$(2.10) \quad J(A_0, A_0, A_0) = 0 .$$

Now using (2.8) and (2.10) we have $J(A_0, A_0, A) = \sum_\alpha J(A_0, A_0, A_\alpha) = 0$ and by (1.11) and (1.12),

$$(2.11) \quad A_0^2 \subset N = 0 .$$

In particular this means the kernel of R_u is A_0 .

We shall now show $A_\alpha^2 \subset A_{-\alpha}$. Let $x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ for $\alpha \neq 0$, then by

$$(2.3) \quad J(u, x_\alpha, y_\alpha) = (x_\alpha y_\alpha) R_u + y_\alpha R_u \cdot x_\alpha + y_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha R_u = w_{-\alpha} \in A_{-\alpha} .$$

Therefore $(x_\alpha y_\alpha) R_u = x_\alpha R_u \cdot y_\alpha + y_\alpha \cdot y_\alpha R_u + w_{-\alpha}$ which yields

$$(x_\alpha y_\alpha)(R_u - 2\alpha I) = x_\alpha(R_u - \alpha I) \cdot y_\alpha + x_\alpha \cdot y_\alpha(R_u - \alpha I) + w_{-\alpha}^{(1)} .$$

By induction we obtain

$$(x_\alpha y_\alpha)(R_u - 2\alpha I)^n = w_{-\alpha}^{(n)} + \sum_{r=0}^n C_{n,r} x_\alpha(R_u - \alpha I)^{n-r} \cdot y_\alpha(R_u - \alpha I)^r$$

where $w_{-\alpha}^{(n)} \in A_{-\alpha}$. Therefore for large enough N , $(x_\alpha y_\alpha)(R_u - 2\alpha I)^N \in A_{-\alpha}$. Now let $x_\alpha y_\alpha = \sum_\gamma z_\gamma$ where $z_\gamma \in A_\gamma$, then $(x_\alpha y_\alpha)(R_u - 2\alpha I)^N = \sum_\gamma z_\gamma(R_u - 2\alpha I)^N \in A_{-\alpha}$. Therefore by the R_u -invariance of the A_γ and the uniqueness of the decomposition $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus \dots \oplus A_\lambda$, $z_\gamma(R_u - 2\alpha I)^N = 0$ if $\gamma \neq -\alpha$. Thus if $\gamma \neq -\alpha$, $z_\gamma \in A_{2\alpha}$. Therefore $x_\alpha y_\alpha = z_{2\alpha} + z_{-\alpha}$ which proves

$$(2.12) \quad A_\alpha^2 \subset A_{2\alpha} \oplus A_{-\alpha} .$$

LEMMA 2.13. $J(u, A_\alpha^2, A_{2\alpha}) = 0$.

Proof. Using (2.12), (2.7) and (2.3) we have

$$J(u, A_\alpha^2, A_{2\alpha}) \subset J(u, A_{-\alpha}, A_{2\alpha}) + J(u, A_{2\alpha}, A_{2\alpha}) \subset J(u, A_{2\alpha}, A_{2\alpha}) \subset A_{-2\alpha}.$$

Now for any $x, y \in A_\alpha, z \in A_{2\alpha}$ we have by (1.7) $J(z, u, xy) + J(x, u, zy) = J(z, u, y)x + J(x, u, y)z$ and using (2.4), (2.5) and (2.3) this yields $J(z, u, xy) = J(x, u, y)z \in A_{-\alpha} \cdot A_{2\alpha} \subset A_\alpha$. Combining these results we have $J(u, A_\alpha^2, A_{2\alpha}) \subset A_\alpha \cap A_{-2\alpha} = 0$.

Now let $w \in A_{2\alpha}, x, y \in A_\alpha$ and $xy = z_{2\alpha} + z_{-\alpha}$ where $z_{2\alpha} \in A_{2\alpha}, z_{-\alpha} \in A_{-\alpha}$, then using Lemma 2.13 and the fact $J(u, A_{-\alpha}, A_{2\alpha}) = 0$ we have

$$0 = J(u, xy, w) = J(u, z_{2\alpha}, w) + J(u, z_{-\alpha}, w) = J(u, z_{2\alpha}, w);$$

that is,

$$J(u, z_{2\alpha}, A_{2\alpha}) = 0.$$

Now since $z_{2\alpha} \in A_{2\alpha}$ we also have by (2.4) $J(u, z_{2\alpha}, A_\beta) = 0$ if $\beta \neq 2\alpha$. Combining these results, $J(u, z_{2\alpha}, A) = \sum_{\beta} J(u, z_{2\alpha}, A_\beta) = 0$ and therefore $z_{2\alpha}u \in N = 0$ by (1.11) and (1.12). Thus $0 = z_{2\alpha}R_u$ and therefore $z_{2\alpha} \in A_0 \cap A_{2\alpha} = 0$ and this proves

$$(2.14) \quad A_\alpha^2 \subset A_{-\alpha}.$$

Also note that we now have

$$(2.15) \quad J(A_0, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) \subset A_{-\alpha}.$$

3. More identities. Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus \cdots \oplus A_\gamma$ be the decomposition of A into a weight space direct sum relative to R_u and suppose that for weights α, β, γ of R_u , $\beta \neq \gamma$ and $\beta + \gamma \neq \alpha$. Then for $x \in A_\alpha, y \in A_\beta$ and $z \in A_\gamma$ we have by (1.9) and (2.4)

$$J(xu, y, z) = xJ(u, y, z) + J(x, y, z)u - 2J(yz, x, u) = J(x, y, z)u$$

and therefore $J(x(R_u - \alpha I), y, z) = J(x, y, z)(R_u - \alpha I)$. By induction we have $J(x(R_u - \alpha I)^n, y, z) = J(x, y, z)(R_u - \alpha I)^n$ and hence

$$(3.1) \quad J(A_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\gamma) \subset A_\alpha \quad \text{if } \beta \neq \gamma \text{ and } \beta + \gamma \neq \alpha.$$

By the symmetry of the α, β and γ we may also conclude

$$(3.2) \quad J(A_\beta, A_\gamma, A_\alpha) \subset A_\beta \quad \text{if } \gamma \neq \alpha \text{ and } \gamma + \alpha \neq \beta$$

$$(3.3) \quad J(A_\gamma, A_\alpha, A_\beta) \subset A_\gamma \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta \text{ and } \alpha + \beta \neq \gamma.$$

Now assume $\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma \neq \alpha$. Suppose $\beta + \gamma = \alpha$. If $\gamma + \alpha = \beta$,

then $\gamma = 0$ and therefore $\alpha = \beta$, a contradiction. Therefore $\gamma + \alpha \neq \beta$ and by (3.2) $J(A_\beta, A_\gamma, A_\alpha) \subset A_\beta$. Similarly if $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$, then $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha = \gamma$, a contradiction. Therefore $\alpha + \beta \neq \gamma$ and by (3.3) $J(A_\gamma, A_\alpha, A_\beta) \subset A_\gamma$. Thus we have $J(A_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\gamma) \subset A_\gamma \cap A_\beta = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma \neq \alpha$ and $\beta + \gamma = \alpha$.

With the assumption $\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma \neq \alpha$, suppose now that $\beta + \gamma \neq \alpha$. Then by (3.1), $J(A_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\gamma) \subset A_\alpha$. We next note that it is impossible to have $\gamma + \alpha = \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$. So using (3.2) or (3.3) together with $J(A_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\gamma) \subset A_\alpha$ we conclude $J(A_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\gamma) = 0$. Thus we can conclude, using the preceding paragraph,

$$(3.4) \quad J(A_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma \neq \alpha .$$

Now assume two weights are equal, that is, $\alpha = \beta$. Suppose $\gamma \neq 0, \alpha, -\alpha$ or 2α , then

$$\begin{aligned} J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\gamma) &\subset A_\alpha^2 A_\gamma + A_\alpha A_\gamma \cdot A_\alpha + A_\gamma A_\alpha \cdot A_\alpha \\ &\subset A_{-\alpha} A_\gamma + A_{\alpha+\gamma} A_\alpha \\ &\subset A_{-\alpha+\gamma} \oplus A_{\gamma+2\alpha} . \end{aligned}$$

However using (3.1) $J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\gamma) \subset A_\alpha$ and therefore $J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\gamma) \subset A_\alpha \cap (A_{-\alpha+\gamma} \oplus A_{\gamma+2\alpha}) = 0$. This proves

$$(3.5) \quad J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{if } \gamma \neq 0, \alpha, \text{ or } -\alpha \text{ or } 2\alpha .$$

For the ‘‘exceptional’’ cases we have

$$(3.6) \quad J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) \subset A_\alpha^2 \cdot A_\alpha \subset A_{-\alpha} A_\alpha \subset A_0 .$$

$$(3.7) \quad J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_0) \subset A_\alpha^2 A_0 + A_\alpha A_0 \cdot A_\alpha \subset A_{-\alpha} .$$

$$(3.8) \quad J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_{-\alpha}) \subset A_\alpha^2 A_{-\alpha} + A_\alpha A_{-\alpha} \cdot A_\alpha \subset A_\alpha .$$

$$(3.9) \quad J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_{2\alpha}) = 0 .$$

To prove (3.9) let $x, y \in A_\alpha, z \in A_{2\alpha}$, then by (1.9), (2.5) and (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} J(xu, y, z) &= xJ(u, y, z) + J(x, y, z)u - 2J(yz, x, u) \\ &= J(x, y, z)u \end{aligned}$$

and as usual we have $J(x(R_u - \alpha I)^n, y, z) = J(x, y, z)(R_u - \alpha I)^n$. Therefore $J(x, y, z) \in A_\alpha$. However by (1.7) $J(x, y, uz) + J(u, y, xz) = J(x, y, z)u + J(u, y, z)x$ and using (2.4) we obtain $J(x, y, uz) = J(x, y, z)u$. This yields $J(x, y, z(2\alpha I - R_u)^n) = J(x, y, z)(2\alpha I + R_u)^n$ and therefore $J(x, y, z) \in A_{-2\alpha}$. Combining the above results we have $J(x, y, z) \in A_\alpha \cap A_{-2\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 0$.

We shall now show $A_\alpha A_\beta = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0, \pm\alpha$. Let α and β be fixed weights of R_u and assume $\beta \neq k\alpha, k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, with

$\alpha \neq 0$. Then for any other weight γ we have by (3.4) $J(A_\beta, A_\alpha, A_\gamma) = 0$ if $\beta \neq \alpha \neq \gamma \neq \beta$. However $\alpha \neq \beta$ and therefore $J(A_\beta, A_\alpha, A_\gamma) = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \gamma \neq \beta$. Suppose $\gamma = \alpha$, then by (3.5) and the choice of β , $J(A_\beta, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) = 0$. Suppose $\gamma = \beta$, then $J(A_\beta, A_\alpha, A_\beta) = J(A_\beta, A_\beta, A_\alpha) = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 0, \beta, -\beta$ or 2β . We know $\alpha \neq 0, \beta$ or $-\beta$ so if $\alpha = 2\beta$, then by (3.9) $J(A_\beta, A_\beta, A_\alpha) = 0$. Combining all these cases we have shown $J(A_\beta, A_\alpha, A_\gamma) = 0$ for any weight γ and therefore $J(A_\beta, A_\alpha, A) = \sum_\gamma J(A_\beta, A_\alpha, A_\gamma) = 0$. By (1.11) and (1.12) $A_\alpha A_\beta \subset N = 0$. This proves

$$(3.10) \quad A_\alpha A_\beta = 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } \beta \neq k\alpha, k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

We now assume $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta = k\alpha$ for $k \neq 0, \pm 1$, then $J(A_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\gamma) = J(A_\alpha, A_{k\alpha}, A_\gamma) = 0$ if $\alpha \neq k\alpha \neq \gamma \neq \alpha$, by (3.4). But since $k \neq 1$ we have $J(A_\alpha, A_{k\alpha}, A_\gamma) = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \gamma \neq k\alpha$. Suppose $\gamma = \alpha$, then using (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} J(A_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\gamma) &= J(A_\alpha, A_{k\alpha}, A_\gamma) \\ &= J(A_\alpha, A_{k\alpha}, A_\alpha) \\ &= J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_{k\alpha}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

if $k\alpha \neq 0, \alpha, -\alpha$ or 2α . But by the choice of k we need only consider $k\alpha = 2\alpha$ and in this case $J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_{k\alpha}) = 0$ by (3.9). Now suppose $\gamma = k\alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned} J(A_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\gamma) &= J(A_\alpha, A_{k\alpha}, A_\gamma) \\ &= J(A_\alpha, A_{k\alpha}, A_{k\alpha}) \\ &= J(A_{k\alpha}, A_{k\alpha}, A_\alpha) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

if $\alpha \neq 0, k\alpha, -k\alpha$ or $2k\alpha$, by (3.5). Again by the choice of k and α we need only consider $\alpha = 2k\alpha$. In this case $k = 1/2$ and therefore $\gamma = \beta = k\alpha = 1/2\alpha$. This yields $J(A_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\gamma) = J(A_\beta, A_\beta, A_{2\beta}) = 0$ by (3.9). Combining all of these cases we have for any weight γ , $J(A_\alpha, A_{k\alpha}, A_\gamma) = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 0, k \neq 0, \pm 1$ and as before this gives

$$(3.11) \quad A_\alpha A_{k\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, k \neq 0, \pm 1.$$

(3.10) and (3.11) yield

$$(3.12) \quad A_\alpha A_\beta = 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, \pm \alpha.$$

Since R_α is not nilpotent, there exists a weight $\alpha \neq 0$. We shall now show that $-\alpha$ is also a weight of R_α . For suppose $-\alpha$ is not a weight, then by the usual convention $A_{-\alpha} = 0$ and noting that none of the previously derived identities use the fact that $A_{-\alpha} \neq 0$ we have for $\beta \neq 0$ or α , that $A_\alpha A_\beta = 0$ by (3.12). For $\beta = 0$, $A_\alpha A_\beta \subset A_\alpha$ and for

$\beta = \alpha, A_\alpha A_\beta \subset A_{-\alpha} = 0$ using (2.14). Therefore A_α is a nonzero ideal of A and so $A = A_\alpha$. But $u \in A$ and $u \notin A_\alpha = A$, a contradiction. Therefore $-\alpha$ is a weight if α is a weight.

Now set $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = A_\alpha A_{-\alpha} \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ where α is a nonzero weight. Then $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \neq 0$ and for $\beta = 0, \pm\alpha$ we have $\mathcal{A}_\alpha A_\beta \subset \mathcal{A}_\alpha$. For $\beta \neq 0, \pm\alpha$ we have $A_\alpha A_\beta = A_{-\alpha} A_\beta = 0$ by (3.12). Now by (3.4) and (3.12) we have for $x \in A_\alpha, y \in A_{-\alpha}, z \in A_\beta$ that $0 = J(x, y, z) = xy \cdot z + yz \cdot x + zx \cdot y = xy \cdot z$ and so $0 = A_\alpha A_{-\alpha} \cdot A_\beta$. Thus in all cases $\mathcal{A}_\alpha A_\beta \subset \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ and therefore \mathcal{A}_α is a nonzero ideal of A and we have $A = \mathcal{A}_\alpha$. This proves

PROPOSITION 3.13. If A is a finite dimensional simple non-Lie Malcev algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2 or 3 and A contains an element u such that R_u is not a nilpotent linear transformation, then there exists an $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ where $A_\alpha = \{x \in A: x(\alpha I - R_u)^k = 0 \text{ for some } k > 0\}$ and $A_0 = A_\alpha A_{-\alpha}$.

4. A decomposition of A relative to A_0 . Let us consider the decomposition of A as given Proposition 3.13; that is,

$$A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha} .$$

For any $y_0, z_0 \in A_0$ and $x \in A_\alpha (\alpha = 0, \pm\alpha)$, we use (2.8) and (2.11) to see that

$$0 = J(x, y_0, z_0) = x(R_{y_0}R_{z_0} - R_{z_0}R_{y_0}) .$$

Therefore,

$$R(A_0) \equiv \{R_{x_0}: x_0 \in A_0\}$$

is a commuting set of linear transformations acting on A_α . We can find $R(A_0)$ -invariant subspaces $M_\lambda(a)$ [2; Chapter 4] such that

$$A_\alpha = \sum_\lambda \oplus M_\lambda(a) \quad (a = 0, \pm\alpha) ,$$

where on each $M_\lambda(a)$ the transformation R_{x_0} , for any $x_0 \in A_0$, has a matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda(x_0) & 0 \\ * & \lambda(x_0) \end{bmatrix} ;$$

that is, $M_\lambda(a)$ has a basis $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ ($m = m(\lambda, a)$) such that for any $x_0 \in A_0$, there exists $a_{ij}(x_0) \in F$ for which

$$(4.1) \quad x_i R_{x_0} = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}(x_0) x_j + \lambda(x_0) x_i ,$$

where $\lambda(x_0) \in F$ and, of course, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Using the usual terminology we call the function λ defined by $\lambda: x_0 \rightarrow \lambda(x_0)$ a *weight of A_0 in A_a* or just a *weight* and the corresponding $M_\lambda(\alpha)$ a *weight space of A_a corresponding to λ* or just a *weight space of A_a* . It is easily seen [2] that A_a has finitely many weights and the weights are linear functionals on A_0 to F . Also

$$M_\lambda(a) = \{x \in A_a: \text{for all } x_0 \in A_0, x(R_{x_0} - \lambda(x_0)I)^k = 0 \\ \text{for some integer } k > 0\}$$

and for this weight λ we have $\lambda(u) = a$. For suppose $\lambda(u) = b$, then there exists an $x \neq 0$ in $M_\lambda(a)$ such that $bx = xR_u$. But $M_\lambda(a) \subset A_a = \{x \in A: x(R_u - aI)^n = 0\}$; therefore $(b - a)x = x(R_u - aI)$ and by induction $(b - a)^n x = x(R_u - aI)^n$ so for some integer N , $(b - a)^N x = x(R_u - aI)^N = 0$ and thus $a = b = \lambda(u)$. We now combine the weight space decompositions of the A_a to form a weight space decomposition of A in

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ be a simple Malcev algebra as determined by Proposition 3.13, then we can write $A = A_0 \oplus \sum_\lambda \oplus M_\lambda(\alpha) \oplus \sum_\mu \oplus M_\mu(-\alpha)$ where all weights are distinct and any nonzero weight ρ of A_0 in A is a weight of A_0 in A_α or $A_{-\alpha}$ but not both.

Proof. The first part is clear noting that in the original weight space decomposition $A_a = \sum_\gamma \oplus M_\gamma(a)$ the weights of A_0 in A_a can be taken to be distinct. Also if λ is a weight of A_0 in A_α and μ a weight of A_0 in $A_{-\alpha}$, then $\lambda(u) = \alpha \neq -\alpha = \mu(u)$ and therefore $\lambda \neq \mu$. Now let $\rho \neq 0$ be any weight of A_0 in A with weight space $M_\rho = \{x \in A: x(R_{x_0} - \rho(x_0)I)^k = 0\}$ and let $y = y_0 + y_\alpha + y_{-\alpha} \in M_\rho$ where $y_a \in A_a$ with $a = 0, \pm\alpha$. Then for some integer $N > 0$,

$$0 = y(R_{x_0} - \rho(x_0)I)^N \\ = y_0(R_{x_0} - \rho(x_0)I)^N \\ + y_\alpha(R_{x_0} - \rho(x_0)I)^N + y_{-\alpha}(R_{x_0} - \rho(x_0)I)^N$$

and by the uniqueness of the decomposition $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ we have $y_a(R_{x_0} - \rho(x_0)I)^N = 0$ for $a = 0, \pm\alpha$. Now by using the binomial theorem and $A_0^2 = 0$ we have $0 = y_0(R_{x_0} - \rho(x_0)I)^N = y_0 \rho(x_0)^N$ and since $\rho \neq 0, y_0 = 0$. Thus we have $y_a(R_{x_0} - \rho(x_0)I)^N = 0, a = \pm\alpha$, for some integer N and so ρ is a weight of A_0 in A_α and $A_{-\alpha}$. Now suppose y_α and $y_{-\alpha}$ are both nonzero, then since ρ is a weight of A_0 in $A_\alpha, \rho(u) = \alpha$ and since ρ is a weight of A_0 in $A_{-\alpha}, \rho(u) = -\alpha$, a contradiction. Thus ρ is a weight of A_0 in either A_α or $A_{-\alpha}$ but not both.

We shall use the usual convention that if ρ is not a weight of A_0 in A , then $M_\rho = 0$. Let $M_\lambda(a)$ and $M_\mu(a)$ be weight spaces of A_0 in A_a

and let $x_0, y_0 \in A_0$ and $x \in M_\lambda(a), y \in M_\mu(a)$, then using (2.8) and (1.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, x_0, y_0y) &= J(y_0, x_0, xy) + J(x, x_0, y_0y) \\ &= J(y_0, x_0, y)x + J(x, x_0, y)y_0 \\ &= J(x, x_0, y)y_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $J(x_0, x, y(R_{y_0} - \mu(y_0)I)) = -J(x_0, x, y)(R_{y_0} + \mu(y_0)I)$ and by induction

$$J(x_0, x, y(R_{y_0} - \mu(y_0)I)^n) = (-1)^n J(x_0, x, y)(R_{y_0} + \mu(y_0)I)^n.$$

From this we obtain $J(x_0, x, y) \in M_{-\mu}(-a)$ and interchanging the roles of x and y we see $J(x_0, x, y) \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$; this proves

$$(4.3) \quad J(A_0, M_\lambda(a), M_\mu(a)) \subset M_{-\lambda}(-a) \cap M_{-\mu}(-a).$$

From (4.3) we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad J(A_0, M_\lambda(a), M_\lambda(a)) \subset M_{-\lambda}(-a)$$

$$(4.5) \quad J(A_0, M_\lambda(a), M_\mu(a)) = 0 \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu.$$

We shall next show

$$(4.6) \quad M_\lambda(a)M_\mu(a) = 0 \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu.$$

For let $x_0 \in A_0, x \in M_\lambda(a)$ and $y \in M_\mu(a)$, then by (4.5) $0 = J(x, y, x_0)$ and therefore $xyR_{x_0} = xR_{x_0} \cdot y + x \cdot yR_{x_0}$ and hence $xy(R_{x_0} - (\mu(x_0) + \lambda(x_0))I) = x(R_{x_0} - \lambda(x_0)I) \cdot y + x \cdot y(R_{x_0} - \mu(x_0)I)$. In the usual way we can prove there exists an integer N such that $xy(R_{x_0} - (\mu(x_0) + \lambda(x_0))I)^N = 0$ and since we know $xy \in A_{-a}$ this shows $xy \in M_{\lambda+\mu}(-a)$ if $\lambda + \mu$ (defined by $(\lambda + \mu)(x_0) = \lambda(x_0) + \mu(x_0)$) is a weight of A_0 in A_{-a} , or $xy = 0$. If $xy \neq 0$, then $\lambda + \mu$ is a weight of A_0 in A_{-a} where λ and μ are weights of A_0 in A_a and therefore $-a = (\lambda + \mu)(u) = \lambda(u) + \mu(u) = a + a$, a contradiction.

Next we have for any weight λ of A_0 in A_a

$$(4.7) \quad M_\lambda(a)M_\lambda(a) \subset M_{-\lambda}(-a)$$

if $-\lambda$ is a weight of A_0 in A_{-a} . For let $x_0 \in A_0$ and $\lambda \equiv \lambda(x_0) \in F$ and let $M_\lambda(a)$ have basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ as in (4.1). Then using (1.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 x_1 x_2 &= \lambda x_1(\lambda x_2 + a_{21} x_1) \\ &= x_1 R_{x_0} \cdot x_2 R_{x_0} \\ &= (x_0 x_1 \cdot x_2) x_0 + (x_1 x_2 \cdot x_0) x_0 + (x_2 x_0 \cdot x_0) x_1 \\ &= -\lambda x_1 x_2 R_{x_0} + x_1 x_2 R_{x_0}^2 + \lambda^2 x_2 x_1 \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$0 = x_1 x_2 (R_{x_0}^2 - \lambda R_{x_0} - 2\lambda^2 I) = x_1 x_2 (R_{x_0} + \lambda I)(R_{x_0} - 2\lambda I).$$

Now since λ is a weight of A_0 in A_a , -2λ is not a weight of A_0 in A_{-a} : $-a = (2\lambda)(u) = 2\lambda(u) = 2a$. Thus the above equation implies $x_1x_2(R_{x_0} + \lambda I) = 0$ and therefore $x_1x_2 \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$. Next $x_1x_0 \cdot x_3x_0 = \lambda x_1(\lambda x_3 + a_{32}x_2 + a_{31}x_1) = \lambda^2x_1x_3 + s$ where $s \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$ and $(x_0x_1 \cdot x_3)x_0 + (x_1x_3 \cdot x_0)x_0 + (x_3x_0 \cdot x_0)x_1 = -\lambda x_1x_3R_{x_0} + x_1x_3R_{x_0}^2 + \lambda^2x_3x_1 + t$ where $t \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$. Therefore using (1.2) we obtain $0 = x_1x_3(R_{x_0} + \lambda I)(R_{x_0} - 2\lambda I) + w$ where $w \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$ and actually $w = 3\lambda a_{31}x_2x_1$. Therefore $0 = x_1x_3(R_{x_0} + \lambda I)^2(R_{x_0} - 2\lambda I)$ and as before $x_1x_3(R_{x_0} + \lambda I)^2 = 0$ so that $x_1x_3 \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$. Continuing this process we obtain $x_1x_k \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Next consider the product x_2x_3 .

$$\begin{aligned} x_2x_0 \cdot x_3x_0 &= (\lambda x_2 + a_{21}x_1)(\lambda x_3 + a_{32}x_2 + a_{31}x_1) \\ &= \lambda^2x_2x_3 + s \end{aligned}$$

where $s \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$ and

$$(x_0x_2 \cdot x_3)x_0 + (x_2x_3 \cdot x_0)x_0 + (x_3x_0 \cdot x_0)x_2 = x_2x_3(R_{x_0}^2 - \lambda R_{x_0} - \lambda^2 I) + t$$

where $t \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$, therefore $0 = x_2x_3(R_{x_0} + \lambda I)(R_{x_0} - 2\lambda I) + w$ where $w \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$. Therefore for some integer $k > 0$ such that $w(R_{x_0} + \lambda I)^k = 0$ we have $0 = x_2x_3(R_{x_0} + \lambda I)^{k+1}(R_{x_0} - 2\lambda I)$ and as before $x_2x_3 \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$. We continue this process showing $x_2x_k \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$ and in general $x_i x_j \in M_{-\lambda}(-a)$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$. This completes the proof of (4.7).

We now show

$$(4.8) \quad M_\lambda(a) \cdot M_\mu(-a) = 0 \quad \text{if } \lambda + \mu \neq 0 .$$

By (2.7) we have for $x \in M_\lambda(a)$, $y \in M_\mu(-a)$ and $x_0 \in A_0$ that $0 = J(x, y, x_0)$ and as usual we obtain $xy(R_{x_0} - (\lambda(x_0) + \mu(x_0))I)^N = 0$ for some integer $N > 0$. Now $z = xy \in A_0$ and suppose $z \neq 0$, then, since $\lambda + \mu \neq 0$, $\lambda + \mu$ is a nonzero weight of A_0 in A_0 , a contradiction to Proposition 4.2.

Let $x \in M_\rho(a)$, $y \in M_\lambda(a)$ and $z \in M_\mu(-a)$, then using (1.9), (2.7) and (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(xx_0, y, z) &= xJ(x_0, y, z) + J(x, y, z)x_0 - 2J(yz, x, x_0) \\ &= J(x, y, z)x_0 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $J(x(R_{x_0} - \rho(x_0)I), y, z) = J(x, y, z)(R_{x_0} - \rho(x_0)I)$ and as usual we obtain $J(x, y, z) \in M_\rho(a)$. Interchanging x and y we also obtain $J(x, y, z) \in M_\lambda(a)$ and therefore $J(x, y, z) \in M_\lambda(a) \cap M_\rho(a) = 0$ if $\lambda \neq \rho$. Now assume $\lambda \neq \rho$ and assume $\mu = -\lambda$ is a weight of A_0 in A_{-a} , then

$$0 = J(x, y, z) = xy \cdot z + yz \cdot x + zx \cdot y = yz \cdot x ,$$

using (4.6) and (4.8). This proves

$$(4.9) \quad M_\lambda(\alpha)M_{-\lambda}(-\alpha) \cdot M_\rho(\alpha) = 0$$

if $\lambda \neq \rho$ are weights of A_0 in A_α such that $-\lambda$ is a weight of A_0 in $A_{-\alpha}$.

We shall now show if λ is a nonzero weight of A_0 in A_α with weight space $M_\lambda(\alpha)$, then $-\lambda$ is a nonzero weight of A_0 in $A_{-\alpha}$ with weight space $M_{-\lambda}(-\alpha)$. The proof is similar to that following (3.12): Suppose $-\lambda$ is not a weight of A_0 in $A_{-\alpha}$, then $M_{-\lambda}(-\alpha) = 0$; $M_\lambda(\alpha)M_\lambda(\alpha) = 0$; $M_\lambda(\alpha)M_\rho(\alpha) = 0$ if $\rho \neq \lambda$; $A_0M_\lambda(\alpha) \subset M_\lambda(\alpha)$ and $M_\lambda(\alpha)M_\mu(-\alpha) = 0$ if $\mu + \lambda \neq 0$. Thus $M_\lambda(\alpha)$ is a proper ideal of A , a contradiction.

Set $M_\lambda = M_\lambda(\alpha)M_{-\lambda}(-\alpha) \oplus M_\lambda(\alpha) \oplus M_{-\lambda}(-\alpha)$ for some nonzero weight λ of A_0 in A_α . Then analogous to Proposition 3.13, M_λ can be shown to be a nonzero ideal of A and we have

PROPOSITION 4.10. If $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ is a simple Malcev algebra as determined by Proposition 3.13, then there exists a nonzero weight λ of A_0 in A with weight space $M_\lambda(\alpha) = A_\alpha$ and such that $-\lambda$ is a weight of A_0 in A with weight space $M_{-\lambda}(-\alpha) = A_{-\alpha}$.

We shall identify α with λ as a weight, that is, use the notation $\alpha(x_0)$ for $\lambda(x_0)$ and also identify $M_\lambda(\alpha) = A_\alpha$, $M_{-\lambda}(-\alpha) = A_{-\alpha}$. Note that Proposition 4.10 implies there exists a basis for A so that for every $x \in A_0$, R_x has a matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & 0 \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(x) & & & 0 \\ * & \cdot & \cdot & \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha(x) & & & 0 \\ * & \cdot & \cdot & -\alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} & & \\ 0 & & & & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

5. The trace form. Set $(x, y) = \text{trace } R_x R_y$, then it is shown [3] that this is actually an *invariant form*; that is (x, y) is a bilinear form on A such that for all $x, y, z \in A$, $(xy, z) = (x, yz)$. Also a bilinear form (x, y) is *nondegenerate on A* if $(x, y) = 0$ for all $y \in A$ implies $x = 0$.

THEOREM 5.1. *If $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ is a finite dimensional simple non-Lie Malcev algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and if A contains an element u such that R_u is not nilpotent, then $(x, y) = \text{trace } R_x R_y$ is a nondegenerate invariant form on A and dimension $A_\alpha = \text{dimension } A_{-\alpha}$.*

Proof. On $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ R_u has the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & & 0 \\ & \cdot & \\ * & & \alpha \end{bmatrix} & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha & & 0 \\ & \cdot & \\ * & & -\alpha \end{bmatrix} & \end{bmatrix}$$

and since $u \in A = J(A, A, A)$ (by 1.12) we have by [3; 2.12] that $0 = \text{trace } R_u = \alpha(n_\alpha - n_{-\alpha})$ where $n_\alpha = \text{dimension } A_\alpha, \alpha = \pm\alpha$.

Now to show (x, y) is nondegenerate, let $T = \{x \in A : (x, A) = 0\}$ where for subsets B, C of A we set $(B, C) = \{(b, c) : b \in B, c \in C\}$ and for $x \in A, (x, C) = \{(x, c) : c \in C\}$. Since (x, y) is an invariant form on A, T is an ideal of A and since A is simple, $T = 0$ or $T = A$. If $T = A$, then $(A, A) = 0$ and from the matrix of R_u we see that

$$0 = (u, u) = \text{trace } R_u^2 = 2n\alpha^2$$

where $n = \text{dimension } A_\alpha$. Since F is of characteristic zero, $\alpha = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $T = 0$ which implies (x, y) is nondegenerate on A .

COROLLARY 5.2. *If $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ is a simple Malcev algebra as above then*

$$(A_0, A_\alpha) = (A_0, A_{-\alpha}) = (A_\alpha, A_\alpha) = (A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}) = 0.$$

Proof. Since R_u is nonsingular on $A_\alpha, \alpha \neq 0, A_\alpha = A_\alpha R_u$. Therefore $(A_0, A_\alpha) = (A_0, A_\alpha R_u) = (A_0 R_u, A_\alpha) = 0$, the second equality uses (x, y) is an invariant form and the third uses (2.11). Also $(A_\alpha, A_\alpha) = (u A_\alpha, A_\alpha) = (u, A_\alpha A_\alpha) \subset (u, A_{-\alpha}) = 0$.

COROLLARY 5.3. *If A_0^* is the dual space of A_0 consisting of linear functionals on A_0 and $f \in A_0^*$, then $f = c\alpha$ for some $c \in F$.*

Proof. First, (x, y) is nondegenerate on A_0 . For if $x_0 \in A_0$ is such that $(x_0, A_0) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0, A) &= (x_0, A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}) \\ &\subset (x_0, A_0) + (x_0, A_\alpha) + (x_0, A_{-\alpha}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the preceding corollary and therefore $x_0 = 0$ by Theorem 5.1. Now if $f \in A_0^*$, then there exists a unique element [2, page 141] $a_f \in A_0$

such that for all $x \in A_0, f(x) = (x, a_f) = \text{trace } R_x R_{a_f} =$

$$\text{trace} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(x) & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & * & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & 0 & & \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha(x) & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & * & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & -\alpha(x) \end{bmatrix} & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(a_f) & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & * & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \alpha(a_f) \end{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha(a_f) & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & * & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & -\alpha(a_f) \end{bmatrix} & & \end{bmatrix}$$

$= 2n\alpha(a_f)\alpha(x)$; using the remarks at the end of § 4 to obtain the form of the matrices of R_x and R_{a_f} . Thus $f = c\alpha$ where $c = 2n\alpha(\alpha_f) \in F$.

COROLLARY 5.4. *The dimension of A_0 is one.*

Proof. $0 < \text{dimension } A_0 = \text{dimension } A_0^* = \text{dimension } uF = 1$.

We shall frequently refer to a Malcev algebra A that satisfies Theorem 5.1 as a “usual simple non-Lie Malcev algebra” and for the remainder of this paper we shall assume the algebraically closed field F is of characteristic zero.

6. The diagonalization of R_u . Using Proposition 4.10 and Corollary 5.4 we are able to decompose A relative to $R(A_0)$ into the form

$$A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$$

where $A_0 = uF$. From this the matrix of R_u on $A_\alpha, a = \pm\alpha$, has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & & \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & * & & \cdot & \\ & & & & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

We shall show in this section that R_u can be diagonalized. Put R_u into its Jordan canonical form on A_α , that is, find R_u -invariant subspaces $U_i(a)$ of A_α such that $A_\alpha = U_1(a) \oplus \dots \oplus U_{m_\alpha}(a)$ and each $U_i(a)$ has a basis $\{x_{i1}, \dots, x_{im_i}\}$ so that the action of R_u is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i1}R_u &= ax_{i1} \\ x_{ij}R_u &= ax_{ij} + x_{i,j-1} \\ j &= 2, \dots, m_i. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Thus on $U_i(a), R_u$ has an $m \times m$ matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & & & & 0 \\ 1 & a & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \cdot & \\ 0 & & & & 1 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

where $m = \text{dimension } U_i(a)$. We shall now investigate the multiplicative relations between the U 's and show that the dimension of all the $U_i(a)$ is one and therefore R_u will have a diagonal matrix.

LEMMA 6.2. $U_i(a)U_i(a) = 0$.

Proof. Let $U_i(a)$ have basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ as given by (6.1). If $m = 1$, we are finished. Suppose $m > 1$, then using (1.6)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -J(u, x_2, x_2)R_u \\ &= J(u, x_2, x_2R_u) \\ &= aJ(u, x_2, x_2) + J(u, x_2, x_1) \\ &= J(u, x_2, x_1) \\ &= x_2x_1 \cdot u + x_1u \cdot x_2 + ux_2 \cdot x_1 \\ &= x_2x_1(R_u - 2aI) . \end{aligned}$$

But we know $A_{2a} = 0$, therefore $x_1x_2 = 0$. Now using (1.6) we have, in general, for any $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= J(u, x_i, x_iR_u) \\ &= J(u, x_i, x_{i-1}) + aJ(u, x_i, x_i) \\ &= J(u, x_i, x_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and again using (1.6),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= J(u, x_i, x_{i-1}R_u) \\ &= J(u, x_i, x_{i-2}) + aJ(u, x_i, x_{i-1}) \\ &= J(u, x_i, x_{i-2}) . \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process we have

$$J(u, x_i, x_k) = 0$$

for all $k \leq i$. Now if $i < k$, then by the preceding sentence

$$0 = J(u, x_k, x_i) = J(u, x_i, x_k) .$$

Thus

$$J(u, x_i, x_k) = 0 \qquad \text{for all } i, k = 1, \dots, m .$$

By linearity this implies

$$J(u, x, y) = 0 \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in U_i(a) .$$

Thus

$$xyR_u = xR_u \cdot y + \cdot yR_u$$

and

$$xy(R_u - 2aI) = x(R_u - aI) \cdot y + x \cdot y(R_u - aI)$$

As usual we can find an N large enough so that $xy(R_u - 2aI)^N = 0$. But we know $A_{2a} = 0$, therefore $xy = 0$.

LEMMA 6.3. *Let $x \in A_a$ be such that $xR_u = ax$ and let $U_i(-a) \equiv \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$, then $xy_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ and $xy_m = \lambda u$ where $\lambda = -(y_m, x)/2na$.*

Proof. Using the invariant form (x, y) we have $(y_mx, u) = (y_m, xu) = a(y_m, x)$. Since $xy_m \in A_0 = uF$ we may write $xy_m = \lambda u$, then $(y_mx, u) = (-\lambda u, u) = -\lambda(u, u) = -\lambda 2na^2 (a = \pm\alpha)$. Thus $\lambda = -(y_m, x)/2na$.

Now since $x \in A_a$ and $U_i(-a) \subset A_{-a}$, we have by (2.4) and (2.11) that $0 = J(x, y_2, u) = xy_2 \cdot u + y_2u \cdot x + ux \cdot y_2 = (-ay_2 + y_1)x - axy_2 = y_1x$. Again $0 = J(x, y_3, u) = xy_3 \cdot u + y_3u \cdot x + ux \cdot y_3 = (-ay_3 + y_2)x - axy_3 = y_2x$. Continuing this process we eventually obtain $0 = J(x, y_m, u) = xy_m \cdot u + y_mu \cdot x + ux \cdot y_m = y_{m-1}x$.

THEOREM 6.4. *Let $x \in A_a$ be such that $xR_u = ax$ and let $U_i(-a)$ be such that $xU_i(-a) \neq 0$, then dimension $U_i(-a) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $B = uF \oplus xF \oplus U_i(-a)$, then using the preceding lemmas and their notation we see that B is a subalgebra of A and $xy_m = \lambda u$ where $\lambda \neq 0$. Now by (2.4) we have $J(u, x, y_m) = 0$, therefore by [3; Corollary 4.4] we see that u, x and y_m are contained in a Lie subalgebra, L , of A . However this implies $y_mu = -ay_m + y_{m-1} \in L$ and therefore $y_{m-1} \in L$; again $y_{m-1}u = -ay_{m-1} + y_{m-2} \in L$ and therefore $y_{m-2} \in L$. Continuing this process we obtain $B \subset L$ and so B is a Lie subalgebra of A . Thus for any $z \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= J(z, x, y_m) \\ &= z(R_x R_{y_m} - R_{y_m} R_x - R_{xy_m}) \\ &= z([R_x, R_{y_m}] - \lambda R_u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus on B we have $\lambda R_u = [R_x, R_{y_m}]$ and therefore the trace of R_u on B is zero. But calculating the trace of R_u from its matrix on B , we obtain that the trace is $0 + a - am$. Thus $m = 1$.

COROLLARY 6.5. *The dimensional of all the $U_i(-a), a = \pm\alpha$, is one.*

Proof. Suppose there exists $U_j(-a) \equiv \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ of dimension $m > 1$. Then for every $U_i(a), y_1 U_i(a) = 0$. For if there exists some

$U_i(a)$ such that $y_i U_i(a) \neq 0$, then by Theorem 6.4, dimension $U_i(a) = 1$. But this means there exists $x \in A_a$ such that $xR_u = ax$ and $0 \neq xy_1 \in xU_j(-a)$; so again by Theorem 6.4, dimension $U_j(-a) = 1$, a contradiction. Thus $y_i U_i(a) = 0$ for all i and this implies $y_1 A_a = y_1(U_1(a) \oplus \dots \oplus U_{m_a}(a)) = 0$. Now from Corollary 5.2 we have, since $y_1 \in A_{-a}$, $(A_0, y_1) = (A_{-a}, y_1) = 0$ and using the preceding sentence

$$(A_a, y_1) = (A_a, y_1 u) = (A_a y_1, u) = 0 .$$

Thus $(A, y_1) = 0$ and since (x, y) is nondegenerate on A , $y_1 = 0$, a contradiction.

7. Proof of the theorem. Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ be the usual simple non-Lie Malcev algebra, then we have just seen that A_a is the null space of $R_u - aI, a = 0, \pm\alpha$. The choice of $\alpha \neq 0$ is fixed but arbitrary. In particular we want to consider the case $\alpha = -2$, then all we must do is consider $u' = (-2/\alpha)u$ and decompose A relative to $R_{u'}$, (which is also not nilpotent) to obtain $A = A_0 \oplus A_{-2} \oplus A_2$. However we shall work with a fixed α and normalize when necessary.

Let $a, b \in F$ be any characteristic roots (weights) of R_u , that is, $a, b = 0, \pm\alpha$ with characteristic vectors $x, y \in A$; that is, $ax = xR_u, by = yR_u$ or $x \in A_a, y \in A_b$, then we have

$$(7.1) \quad J(x, y, u) = xy \cdot u - (a + b)xy \quad \text{where } x \in A_a, y \in A_b .$$

Using (2.4) and (7.1) we also have

$$(7.2) \quad xy \cdot u = (a + b)xy \quad \text{where } y \in A_a, y \in A_b \text{ and } a \neq b .$$

Since $xy \in A_{-a}$ if $x, y \in A_a$, we have

$$(7.3) \quad xy \cdot u = -axy \quad \text{where } x, y \in A_a .$$

Combining (7.3) and (7.1) yields

$$(7.4) \quad J(x, y, u) = -3axy \quad \text{where } x, y \in A_a .$$

Let $x, y, z \in A_a$, then using (2.14), (2.4), (1.9) and (7.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= J(xy, z, u) \\ &= xJ(y, z, u) + J(x, z, u)y - 2J(zu, x, y) \\ &= x(-3ayz) + (-3axz)y - 2aJ(z, x, y) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2J(x, y, z) &= -3(x \cdot yz + xz \cdot y) \\ &= 3(xy \cdot z + yz \cdot x + zx \cdot y) - 3xy \cdot z \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$(7.5) \quad J(x, y, z) = 3xy \cdot z \quad \text{where } x, y, z \in A_a .$$

Now $J(x, z, y) = 3xz \cdot y$ and adding this to (7.5) yields $0 = xy \cdot z + xz \cdot y$ and with a slight change of notation we have

$$(7.6) \quad xy \cdot z = -x \cdot yz \quad \text{where } x, y, z \in A_a .$$

From (7.6) with $z = x$ we obtain

$$(7.7) \quad xy \cdot x = 0 \quad \text{where } x, y \in A_a .$$

Now let $x, y \in A_a, z \in A_{-a}$, then $-aJ(x, y, z) = J(x, y, zu)$ and $J(z, y, xu) = aJ(z, y, x) = -aJ(x, y, z)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} -2aJ(x, y, z) &= J(z, y, xu) + J(x, y, zu) \\ &= J(z, y, u)x + J(x, y, u)z = J(x, y, u)z , \end{aligned}$$

using (1.7) for the second equality, (2.4) for the third. Thus we have $-2aJ(x, y, z) = J(x, y, u)z = (-3axy)z$ using (7.4) and hence

$$(7.8) \quad 2J(x, y, z) = 3xy \cdot z \quad \text{where } x, y \in A_a, z \in A_{-a} .$$

This yields $3xy \cdot z = 2(xy \cdot z + yz \cdot x + zx \cdot y)$ or

$$(7.9) \quad xy \cdot z = -2(xz \cdot y + x \cdot yz) \quad \text{where } x, y \in A_a, z \in A_{-a} .$$

We now use (7.9) to prove the important identity (7.10). Thus let w, x, y, z be elements of A_a and set $v = J(x, y, z)$, $2x' = yz$, $-2y' = xz$ and $2z' = xy$. Then

$$(7.10) \quad vw = 6(x'w \cdot x + y'w \cdot y + z'w \cdot z) .$$

To prove this note that $x', y', z' \in A_{-a}$ and using (7.9) we have $2x'x \cdot w = xw \cdot x' - 2wx' \cdot x$, $2y'y \cdot w = yw \cdot y' - 2wy' \cdot y$, $2z'z \cdot w = zw \cdot z' - 2wz' \cdot z$. Adding these equations and multiplying by 2 yield

$$2vw = 2(xw \cdot x' + yw \cdot y' + zw \cdot z') + 4(x'w \cdot x + y'w \cdot y + z'w \cdot z) .$$

Now using (1.10),

$$\begin{aligned} 2(xw \cdot x' + yw \cdot y' + zw \cdot z') &= xw \cdot yz + yw \cdot zx + zw \cdot xy \\ &= x(zw \cdot y) + z(wy \cdot x) + w(yx \cdot z) + y(xz \cdot w) + y(xw \cdot z) + x(wz \cdot y) \\ &+ w(zx \cdot y) + z(yx \cdot w) + z(yw \cdot x) + y(wx \cdot z) + w(xz \cdot y) + x(zy \cdot w) \\ &= w(yx \cdot z) + w(zx \cdot y) + w(xz \cdot y) + y(xz \cdot w) + z(yx \cdot w) + x(zy \cdot w) \\ &= -vw + y(-2y'w) + z(-2z'w) + x(-2x'w) \end{aligned}$$

noting some cancellation to obtain the third equality. Thus $2vw = vw + 2(x'w \cdot x + y'w \cdot y + z'w \cdot z) + 4(x'w \cdot x + y'w \cdot y + z'w \cdot z)$ and this proves (7.10).

Since A is simple non-Lie Malcev algebra, we shall use the facts $A^2 = A$ and $A = J(A, A, A)$ to obtain more identities for A . First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha} &= A = J(A, A, A) \\
 &\subset J(A_0, A, A) + J(A_\alpha, A, A) + J(A_{-\alpha}, A, A) \\
 &\subset J(A_0, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) + J(A_0, A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}) + J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) \\
 &\quad + J(A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}) + J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_{-\alpha}) + J(A_\alpha, A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}) \\
 &\subset A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0 &= J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) + J(A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}), \\
 A_\alpha &= J(A_0, A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}) + J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_{-\alpha}), \\
 A_{-\alpha} &= J(A_0, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) + J(A_\alpha, A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now use $A = A^2$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha} &= A = A^2 \\
 &= A_0 A_\alpha + A_0 A_{-\alpha} + A_\alpha^2 + A_\alpha A_{-\alpha} + A_{-\alpha}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0 &= A_\alpha A_{-\alpha}, \\
 A_\alpha &= A_0 A_\alpha + A_{-\alpha}^2, \\
 A_{-\alpha} &= A_0 A_{-\alpha} + A_\alpha^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_0 = uF$ we have $A_0 A_a = A_a (a = \pm\alpha)$. Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(A_0, A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}) &\subset A_\alpha = A_0 A_\alpha \\
 &\subset A_0 J(A_0, A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}) + A_0 J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_{-\alpha}) \\
 &\subset J(A_0, A_0, A_{-\alpha}^2) + J(A_0, A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha} A_0) + J(A_0, A_{-\alpha}, A_0 A_{-\alpha}) \\
 &\quad + J(A_0, A_\alpha, A_\alpha A_{-\alpha}) + J(A_0, A_\alpha, A_{-\alpha} A_\alpha) + J(A_0, A_{-\alpha}, A_\alpha^2) \\
 &\subset J(A_0, A_{-\alpha}, A_{-\alpha}),
 \end{aligned}$$

obtaining the second inclusion from $A_a = J(A_0, A_{-a}, A_{-a}) + J(A_a, A_a, A_{-a})$ and the third inclusion from (1.8). Thus we have

$$A_a = J(A_0, A_{-a}, A_{-a}), \quad a \neq 0.$$

From this and remembering $A_0 = uF$ we obtain

$$A_a = A_{-a} A_{-a}, \quad a \neq 0.$$

For $A_{-a} A_{-a} \subset A_a = J(A_0, A_{-a}, A_{-a}) \subset A_{-a} A_{-a}$. Also

$$A_0 = J(A_a, A_a, A_a), \quad a = \pm\alpha.$$

For

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) &\subset A_0 = A_\alpha A_{-\alpha} \\
 &= A_\alpha J(A_0, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) \\
 &\subset J(A_\alpha, A_0, A_\alpha^2) + J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\alpha A_0) + J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_0 A_\alpha) \\
 &\subset J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) .
 \end{aligned}$$

We summarize these identities in

PROPOSITION 7.11. Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ be the usual simple non-Lie Malcev algebra, then we have for $a = \pm\alpha$,

$$A_a = A_0 A_a = A_{-a} A_{-a}$$

and

$$A_0 = A_\alpha A_{-\alpha} = J(A_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\alpha) .$$

THEOREM 7.12. Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$ be the usual simple non-Lie Malcev algebra, then A is isomorphic to the simple seven dimensional Malcev algebra A^* discussed in the introduction.

Proof. Since $uF = A_0 = A_\alpha A_{-\alpha} = A_\alpha \cdot A_\alpha A_\alpha$, there exists $x, y, z \in A_\alpha$ such that $x \cdot yz = 2u$. Define $2x' = yz, -2y' = xz$ and $2z' = xy$ and form the subspace B generated by $\{u, x, y, z, x', y', z'\}$. First the x, y and z are linearly independent over F . For if $ax + by + cz = 0$ with $a, b, c \in F$ and, for example, $a \neq 0$, then write $x = b'y + c'z$ and therefore using (7.7) $2u = x \cdot yz = b'y \cdot yz + c'z \cdot yz = 0$, a contradiction. Similarly noting $u = xx'$ and assuming a relation of the type $x' = b'y' + c'z'$ and using the definitions of x', y' and z' we see that the x', y' and z' are also linearly independent. Since $A = A_0 \oplus A_\alpha \oplus A_{-\alpha}$, $\{u, x, y, z, x', y', z'\}$ is a linearly independent set of vectors over F . Using identities (1.2), (7.6) and (7.7) we obtain the following multiplication table for B .

	u	x	y	z	x'	y'	z'
u	0	$-\alpha x$	$-\alpha y$	$-\alpha z$	$\alpha x'$	$\alpha y'$	$\alpha z'$
x	αx	0	$2z'$	$-2y'$	u	0	0
y	αy	$-2z'$	0	$2x'$	0	u	0
z	αz	$2y'$	$-2x'$	0	0	0	u
x'	$-\alpha x'$	$-u$	0	0	0	αz	$-\alpha y$
y'	$-\alpha y'$	0	$-u$	0	$-\alpha z$	0	αx
z'	$-\alpha z'$	0	0	$-u$	αy	$-\alpha x$	0

By the remarks at the beginning of this section we can choose $\alpha = -2$

and consequently obtain that B is isomorphic to A^* . It remains to show the dimension of A over F is seven. For this it suffices to show dimension $A_\alpha = 3$, since dimension $A_\alpha = \text{dimension } A_{-\alpha}$. Let $0 \neq w \in A_\alpha$, then by (7.5)

$$6u = 3x \cdot yz = -J(x, y, z)$$

and therefore by (7.10),

$$6\alpha w = 6wu = x_0x + y_0y + z_0z$$

where $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in A_0 = uF$. But by the action of u on x, y and z we have $6\alpha w = a_0x + b_0y + c_0z$ where $a_0, b_0, c_0 \in F$. Thus the dimension of A_α is three.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. A. Albert, *On simple alternative rings*, Canadian J. Math., **4** (1952), 129-135.
2. N. Jacobson, *Lectures in abstract algebra*, Vol. 2, D. Van Nostrand.
3. A. Sagle, *Malcev algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **101** (1961), 426-458.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO AND
SRNACUSE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION

SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES

NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Alfred Aeppli, <i>Some exact sequences in cohomology theory for Kähler manifolds</i>	791
Paul Richard Beesack, <i>On the Green's function of an N-point boundary value problem</i>	801
James Robert Boen, <i>On p-automorphic p-groups</i>	813
James Robert Boen, Oscar S. Rothaus and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Further results on p-automorphic p-groups</i>	817
James Henry Bramble and Lawrence Edward Payne, <i>Bounds in the Neumann problem for second order uniformly elliptic operators</i>	823
Chen Chung Chang and H. Jerome (Howard) Keisler, <i>Applications of ultraproducts of pairs of cardinals to the theory of models</i>	835
Stephen Urban Chase, <i>On direct sums and products of modules</i>	847
Paul Civin, <i>Annihilators in the second conjugate algebra of a group algebra</i>	855
J. H. Curtiss, <i>Polynomial interpolation in points equidistributed on the unit circle</i>	863
Marion K. Fort, Jr., <i>Homogeneity of infinite products of manifolds with boundary</i>	879
James G. Glimm, <i>Families of induced representations</i>	885
Daniel E. Gorenstein, Reuben Sandler and William H. Mills, <i>On almost-commuting permutations</i>	913
Vincent C. Harris and M. V. Subba Rao, <i>Congruence properties of $\sigma_r(N)$</i>	925
Harry Hochstadt, <i>Fourier series with linearly dependent coefficients</i>	929
Kenneth Myron Hoffman and John Wermer, <i>A characterization of $C(X)$</i>	941
Robert Weldon Hunt, <i>The behavior of solutions of ordinary, self-adjoint differential equations of arbitrary even order</i>	945
Edward Takashi Kobayashi, <i>A remark on the Nijenhuis tensor</i>	963
David London, <i>On the zeros of the solutions of $w''(z) + p(z)w(z) = 0$</i>	979
Gerald R. Mac Lane and Frank Beall Ryan, <i>On the radial limits of Blaschke products</i>	993
T. M. MacRobert, <i>Evaluation of an E-function when three of its upper parameters differ by integral values</i>	999
Robert W. McKelvey, <i>The spectra of minimal self-adjoint extensions of a symmetric operator</i>	1003
Adegoke Olubummo, <i>Operators of finite rank in a reflexive Banach space</i>	1023
David Alexander Pope, <i>On the approximation of function spaces in the calculus of variations</i>	1029
Bernard W. Roos and Ward C. Sangren, <i>Three spectral theorems for a pair of singular first-order differential equations</i>	1047
Arthur Argyle Sagle, <i>Simple Malcev algebras over fields of characteristic zero</i>	1057
Leo Sario, <i>Meromorphic functions and conformal metrics on Riemann surfaces</i>	1079
Richard Gordon Swan, <i>Factorization of polynomials over finite fields</i>	1099
S. C. Tang, <i>Some theorems on the ratio of empirical distribution to the theoretical distribution</i>	1107
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Normal matrices and the normal basis in abelian number fields</i>	1115
Howard Gregory Tucker, <i>Absolute continuity of infinitely divisible distributions</i>	1125
Elliot Carl Weinberg, <i>Completely distributed lattice-ordered groups</i>	1131
James Howard Wells, <i>A note on the primes in a Banach algebra of measures</i>	1139
Horace C. Wiser, <i>Decomposition and homogeneity of continua on a 2-manifold</i>	1145