

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A THEOREM ON THE ACTION OF $SO(3)$

DEANE MONTGOMERY AND CHUNG-TAO YANG

A THEOREM ON THE ACTION OF SO(3)

D. MONTGOMERY AND C. T. YANG

1. Introduction. We shall use notions given in [1]. Let G be a compact Lie group acting on a locally compact Hausdorff space X . We denote by $F(G, X)$ the set of stationary points of G in X , that is, $F(G, X) = \{x \in X \mid Gx = x\}$. If G is a cyclic group generated by $g \in G$, $F(G, X)$ is also written $F(g, X)$.

Whenever $x \in X$, we call $Gx = \{gx \mid g \in G\}$ the *orbit* of x and $G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$ the *isotropy group* at x . By a *principal orbit* we mean an orbit Gx such that G_x is minimal. By an *exceptional orbit* we mean an orbit of maximal dimension which is not a principal orbit. By a *singular orbit* we mean an orbit not of maximal dimension. Denote by U the union of all the principal orbits, by D the union of all the exceptional orbits and by B the union of all the singular orbits. Then U, D and B are all G -invariant and they are mutually disjoint. Moreover, $X = U \cup D \cup B$ and both B and $D \cup B$ are closed in X .

Denote by X^* the orbit space X/G and by π the natural projection of X onto X^* . Whenever $A \subset X$, A^* denotes the image πA . If X is a connected cohomology n -manifold over Z [1; p. 9], where Z denotes the ring of integers, then the following results are known.

(1.1) U^* is connected [1; p. 122] so that whenever $x, y \in U, G_x$ and G_y are conjugate.

(1.2) $\dim_z B^* \leq \dim_z U^* - 1$ so that if r is the dimension of principal orbits and B_k is the union of all the k -dimensional singular orbits ($k < r$), then $\dim_z B_k \leq n - r + k - 1$ [1; p. 118]. Hence $\dim_z B \leq n - 2$.

Denote by E^{n+1} the euclidean $(n+1)$ -space, by S^n the unit n -sphere in E^{n+1} and by $\text{SO}(3)$ the rotation group of E^3 . In this note G is to be $\text{SO}(3)$ and X is to be a compact cohomology n -manifold over Z with $H^*(X; Z) = H^*(S^n; Z)$.

Let us first observe the following examples.

1. Let $G = \text{SO}(3)$ act trivially on $X = S^1$. (Here we have $n = 1$.)
2. Let $G = \text{SO}(3)$ act on $E^{n+1} = E^5 \times E^{n-4}$ ($n \geq 4$) by the definition

$$g(x, y) = (gx, y),$$

where the action of G on E^5 is an irreducible orthogonal action. Then G acts on $X = S^n$ and in this action, the 2-dimensional orbits are all

Received December 20, 1961. The second named author is supported in part by the U. S. Army Research Office.

projective planes, $F(G, X)$ is an $(n - 5)$ -sphere and for every $x \in U$, G_x is a dihedral group of order 4.

3. Let $G = \text{SO}(3)$ act on $E^{n+1} = E^3 \times E^3 \times E^{n-5} (n \geq 5)$ by the definition

$$g(x, y, z) = (gx, gy, z),$$

where the action on E^3 is the familiar one. Then G acts on $X = S^n$ and in this action, the 2-dimensional orbits are all 2-spheres, $F(G, X)$ is an $(n - 6)$ -sphere and for every $x \in U$, G_x is the identity group.

In all three examples, $D = \phi$ and $\dim B = n - 2$. The orbit space X^* is X itself in the first example and it is a closed $(n - 3)$ -cell with boundary B^* in the other two examples.

The purpose of this note is to prove that if X is a compact cohomology n -manifold over Z with $H^*(X; Z) = H^*(S^n; Z)$, then every action of $G = \text{SO}(3)$ on X with $\dim_z B = n - 2$ strongly resembles one of these examples. In fact, we shall prove the following:

THEOREM. *Let X be a compact cohomology n -manifold over Z with $H^*(X; Z) = H^*(S^n; Z)$ and let $G = \text{SO}(3)$ act on X with $\dim_z B = n - 2$. Then $D = \phi$ and one of the following occurs.*

1. $n = 1$ and G acts trivially on X .

2. $n \geq 4$ and for every $x \in U$, G_x is a dihedral group of order 4. Moreover, the 2-dimensional orbits are all projective planes and $F(G, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 5)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(G, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-5}; Z_2)$, where Z_2 denotes the prime field of characteristic 2.

3. $n \geq 5$ and for every $x \in U$, G_x is the identity group. Moreover, the 2-dimensional orbits are all 2-spheres and $F(G, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 6)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(G, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-6}; Z_2)$.

In the last two cases, B^* is a compact cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z with $H^*(B^*; Z) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z)$ and X^* is a compact Hausdorff space which is cohomologically trivial over Z and such that $X^* - B^*$ is a cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z .

The proof of this theorem is given in the next three sections.

2. The set D . Let X be a connected cohomology n -manifold over Z and let $G = \text{SO}(3)$ act on X with $\dim_z B = n - 2$. If G acts trivially on X , it is clear that $n = 1$ and that $D = \phi$. Hence we shall assume that the action of G on X is nontrivial.

Since G is a 3-dimensional simple group which has no 2-dimensional

subgroup, it follows that

(2.1) G acts effectively on X and no orbit is 1-dimensional.

(2.2) Principal orbits are 3-dimensional so that for every $x \in U \cup D$, G_x is finite.

By (2.1), principal orbits are either 2-dimensional or 3-dimensional. If principal orbits are 2-dimensional, then $B = F(G, X)$ so that, by (1.2), $\dim_Z B < n - 2$, contrary to our assumption.

(2.3) Denote by B_2 the union of all the 2-dimensional orbits. Then $\dim_Z B_2 = n - 2$ so that $B_2 \neq \phi$ and $n \geq 4$. Moreover, whenever Gz is a 2-dimensional orbit, G_z is either a circle group or the normalizer of a circle group and accordingly Gz is either a 2-sphere or a projective plane.

By (2.2), $n = \dim_Z X \geq \dim_Z U \geq 3$. We infer that $B_2 \neq \phi$ so that $n - 2 = \dim_Z B_2 \geq 2$. Hence $n \geq 4$.

(2.4) Let $x \in U$. Whenever $y \in D$, there is a $g \in G$ such that G_x is a normal subgroup of G_{gy} .

Let S be a connected slice at y [1; p. 105]. Then S is a connected cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z and G_y acts on S . As seen in [7], S is also a connected cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z_p for every prime p , where Z_p denotes the prime field of characteristic p .

Let $x' \in S \cap U$. We claim that $G_{x'}$ is a normal subgroup of G_y . Since G_y is a finite group (see (2.2)) and $G_{x'}$ is a subgroup of G_y , there exists a neighborhood N of the identity in G such that $N^{-1}G_{x'}N \cap G_y = G_{x'}$. Let V be a neighborhood of x' such that whenever $x'' \in V$, $hG_{x'}h^{-1} \subset G_{x'}$ for some $h \in N$. (For the existence of V , see [4; p. 216].) Then for every $x'' \in V \cap S$, $G_{x''} \subset N^{-1}G_{x'}N \cap G_y = G_{x'}$, so that $G_{x''} = G_{x'}$. Therefore $G_{x'}$ leaves every point of $V \cap S$ fixed. Since S is a connected cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z_p for every prime p , it follows from Newman's theorem [6] that $G_{x'}$ leaves every point of S fixed. Hence $G_{x'} = \{g \in G_y \mid gx'' = x'' \text{ for all } x'' \in S\}$, which is clearly a normal subgroup of G_y . By (1.1), G_x and $G_{x'}$ are conjugate so that our assertion follows.

(2.5) Let $x \in U$. Whenever Gz is 2-dimensional, there is a $g \in G$ such that $G_x \subset G_{gz}$. Hence G_x is either cyclic or dihedral and it is cyclic if there is a 2-dimensional orbit which is a 2-sphere.

For the rest of this section, we assume that

$$H_c^*(X; Z) = H^*(S^n; Z).$$

Under this assumption, $H_c^0(X; Z) = H^0(S^n; Z) = Z$. Hence X is compact.

(2.6) Let T be a circle group in G . Then $F(T, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z with $H^*(F(T, X); Z) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z)$.

Since $F(T, X)$ intersects every singular orbit at one or two points, $\dim_z F(T, X) = \dim_z B^* = n - 4$. Hence our assertion follows [1; Chapters IV and V].

(2.7) *Let $g \in G$ be of order p^α , where p is a prime and α is a positive integer. If $g \in G_x$ for some $x \in U \cup D$, then $F(g, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 2)$ -manifold over Z_p with $H^*(F(g, X); Z_p) = H^*(S^{n-2}; Z_p)$. Hence $F(g, X)$ intersects every principal orbit.*

It is known that X is also a compact cohomology n -manifold over Z_p with $H^*(X; Z_p) = H^*(S^n; Z_p)$. Since G is connected, g preserves the orientation of X . It follows that for some $r < n$ of the same parity, $F(g, X)$ is a compact cohomology r -manifold over Z_p with $H^*(F(g, X); Z_p) = H^*(S^r; Z_p)$ [1; Chapters IV and V].

Let T be the circle group in G containing g . By (2.6), $F(g, X) \cap B = F(T, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z_p . Since, by hypothesis, there exists a point of $U \cup D$ contained in $F(g, X)$, $F(g, X) \cap B$ is properly contained in $F(g, X)$ so that $r = n - 2$. Hence $F(g, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 2)$ -manifold over Z_p with $H^*(F(g, X); Z_p) = H^*(S^{n-2}; Z_p)$.

Since $\dim_z D^* < n - 3$ [1; p. 121] and since $F(g, X)$ intersects every exceptional orbit at a set of dimension ≤ 1 , it follows that $\dim_{z_p}(F(g, X) \cap D) \leq \dim_z(F(g, X) \cap D) < n - 2$. But we have $\dim_{z_p} F(g, X) = n - 2$ and $\dim_{z_p}(F(g, X) \cap B) = n - 4$. Therefore $F(g, X) \cap U \neq \phi$. Hence, by (1.1), $F(g, X)$ intersects every principal orbit.

(2.8) *Let $x \in U$ and $y \in D$. Let p be a prime and let α be a positive integer. If G_y has an element of order p^α , so does G_x .*

Let $g \in G_y$ be of order p^α . By (2.7), $F(g, X) \cap Gx \neq \phi$ so that for some $h \in G$, $hx \in F(g, X)$. Hence $h^{-1}gh$ is an element of G_x of order p^α .

(2.9) $D = \phi$.

Suppose that $D \neq \phi$. Let $x \in U$ and $y \in D$ be such that G_x is a proper normal subgroup of G_y (see (2.4)). We first claim that G_y is dihedral.

It is well known that a finite subgroup of $SO(3)$ is either cyclic or dihedral or tetrahedral or octahedral or icosahedral. If G_y is cyclic, so is G_x . Let the order of G_y be $p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_k^{s_k}$, where p_1, \dots, p_k are distinct primes and s_1, \dots, s_k are positive integers. Then for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, G_y contains an element of order $p_i^{s_i}$ so that, by (2.8), G_x also contains an element of order $p_i^{s_i}$. Hence G_x is of order $\geq p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_k^{s_k}$ and consequently $G_x = G_y$, contrary to the fact that G_x is a proper subgroup of G_y . If G_y is either tetrahedral or octahedral or icosahedral, then

by (2.8), G_x contains a subgroup of order 2 and a subgroup of order 3. In case G_x is octahedral, it also contains a subgroup of order 4. Hence G_x , as a normal subgroup of G_y , is equal to G_y , contrary to our hypothesis. This proves that G_y is dihedral.

Now the order of G_y is even. It follows from (2.7) that whenever $g \in G$ is of order 2, $F(g, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 2)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(g, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-2}; Z_2)$. Let H be a dihedral subgroup of G of order 4. By Borel's theorem [1; p. 175], $F(H, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(H, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-3}; Z_2)$. Since $\dim_{Z_2}(F(H, X) \cap (D \cup B)) \leq \dim_Z(F(H, X) \cap (D \cup B)) < n - 3$, it follows that $F(H, X) \cap U$ is not null. Hence we may assume that $H \subset G_x \subset G_y$.

Let T be the circle group in G such that its normalizer contains G_y . Then $H \cap T \subset G_x \cap T \subset G_y \cap T$ so that $G_y \cap T$ is a cyclic group and $G_x \cap T$ is a proper subgroup of $G_y \cap T$ of even order. Let the order of $G_y \cap T$ be $2^{s_0} p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_k^{s_k}$, where p_1, \dots, p_k are distinct odd primes and s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k are positive integers. By (2.8), there are $k + 1$ elements g_0, g_1, \dots, g_k of G_x of order $2^{s_0}, p_1^{s_1}, \dots, p_k^{s_k}$ respectively. Since p_1, \dots, p_k are odd, $g_1 \cdots g_k$ are in $G_x \cap T$. Therefore no element of $G_x \cap T$ is of order 2^{s_0} . But this implies that $s_0 > 1$ so that $g_0 \in G_x \cap T$. Hence we have arrived at a contradiction.

3. Case that the 2-dimensional orbits are all projective planes.

Let X be a compact cohomology n -manifold over Z with $H^*(X; Z) = H^*(S^n; Z)$ and let $G = \text{SO}(3)$ act *nontrivially* on X with $\dim_Z B = n - 2$. Throughout this section, we assume that for some $x \in U$, G_x is of even order.

(3.1) *Let H be a dihedral subgroup of G of order 4 and let M be the normalizer of H that is the octahedral group containing H . Then $F(H, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(H, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-3}; Z_2)$ and $K = M/H$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group of three elements and acts on $F(H, X)$. Moreover, the natural map of $F(H, X)/K$ into X^* is onto.*

By (2.7), for every $g \in G$ of order 2, $F(g, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 2)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(g, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-2}; Z_2)$. It follows from Borel's theorem [1; p. 175] that $F(H, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(H, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-3}; Z_2)$.

Clearly $K = M/H$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group of three elements and the action of M on $F(H, X)$ induces an action of K on $F(H, X)$. Moreover, there is a natural map $f: F(H, X)/K \rightarrow X^*$.

Let $z \in F(H, X) \cap B$. If $Gz = z$, then $F(H, X) \cap Gz = z$. If Gz is 2-dimensional, then G_z contains H so that by (2.3) it is the normalizer of a circle group. Therefore any two isomorphic dihedral subgroups of

G_z are conjugate in G_z . Let g be an element of G with $gz \in F(H, X)$. It is clear that $g^{-1}Hg \subset g^{-1}G_zg = G_z$ so that for some $h \in G_z$, $h^{-1}g^{-1}Hgh = H$ or $gh \in M$. Hence $gz = ghz \in Mz$. This proves that $F(H, X) \cap Gz \subset Mz$.

From these results it follows that $F(H, X)$ intersects every singular orbit at a finite set. [This and one or two facts mentioned below can be seen by examining the standard action of $SO(3)$ on S^2 or on P^2 (viewed as the acts of lines through the region in E^3 .)] Therefore, by (1.2), $\dim_z(F(H, X) \cap B) \leq \dim_z B^* < n - 3$. As a consequence of this result and that $D = \phi$ (see (2.9)), we have $F(H, X) \cap U \neq \phi$. Hence $F(H, X)$ intersects every principal orbit and consequently it intersects every orbit. This proves that the natural map $f: F(H, X)/K \rightarrow X^*$ is onto.

(3.2) *Every 2-dimensional orbit is a projective plane and intersects $F(H, X)$ at exactly three points.*

Let Gz be a 2-dimensional orbit. By (3.1), $F(H, X)$ intersects Gz so that we may assume that $z \in F(H, X)$. Since G_z contains H , it follows from (2.3) that G_z is the normalizer of a circle group. Hence Gz is a projective plane.

In the proof of (3.1) we have shown that $F(H, X) \cap Gz \subset Mz$. But it is clear that $Mz \subset F(H, X) \cap Gz$. Hence

$$F(H, X) \cap Gz = Mz = M/(M \cap G_z).$$

Since M is of order 24 and $M \cap G_z$ is of order 8, it follows that $F(H, X) \cap Gz$ contains exactly three points.

(3.3) *B^* is a compact cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z with $H^*(B^*; Z) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z)$.*

Let T be a circle group in G . It is clear that $F(T, X) \subset B$. Since, by (2.1) and (3.2), every singular orbit is either a point or a projective plane, it follows that $F(T, X)$ intersects every singular orbit at exactly one point. Therefore the natural projection π maps $F(T, X)$ homeomorphically onto B^* and hence our assertion follows from (2.6).

(3.4) *Let $Y = F(H, X) - F(G, X)$. Then $\bar{Y} = F(H, X)$ and every point of Y has a neighborhood V in Y which is a cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z and such that the isotropy group is constant on $V - B$.*

Let T be a circle group whose normalizer N contains H . Then $F(H, X) \supset F(N, X) = F(T, X) \supset F(G, X)$. Since $F(H, X)$ is a compact $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z_2 (see (3.1)) and since $F(T, X)$ is a compact $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z_2 (see (2.6)), it follows that the closure of $F(H, X) - F(T, X)$ is $F(H, X)$. Hence $\bar{Y} = F(H, X)$.

Let $x \in Y \cap U$ and let S be a slice at x . Then S is a cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z . Moreover, $G_y = G_x$ for all $y \in S$ so that $S \subset Y$. Since both S and Y are cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifolds over Z_3 , it follows that S is open in Y . Hence our assertion follows by taking S as V .

Let $z \in Y \cap B$ and let S be a slice at z . Then S is a cohomology $(n - 2)$ -manifold over Z and G_z is the normalizer of a circle group T acting on S . Whenever $x \in S \cap U$, $G_x \cap T$ is a finite cyclic group in T and the index of $G_x \cap T$ in G_x is 2 because G_x is a dihedral subgroup of G_z . Since the order of G_x is independent of $x \in S \cap U$, so is the order of $G_x \cap T$. Hence $G_x \cap T$ is independent of $x \in S \cap U$ so that for $x \in F(H, S) \cap U$.

$$G_x S = H(G_x \cap T)S = HS = S$$

and

$$F(G_x, S) = F(G_x/(G_x \cap T), S) = F(H/(H \cap T), S) = F(H, S).$$

Let Q be a neighborhood of the identity of G such that $Q^{-1}TQ \cap G_z = T$. If $gy \in F(H, X)$ with $g \in Q$ and $y \in S$, then $g^{-1}Hg \subset g^{-1}G_{gy}g = G_y \subset G_z$ so that $g^{-1}(H \cap T)g \subset Q^{-1}TQ \cap G_z = T$. Therefore $g^{-1}Tg = T$ or $g \in G_z$. Hence $gy \in G_z y \subset S$. This proves that $F(H, S) = F(H, X) \cap S = F(H, X) \cap QS$ is open in $F(H, X)$ so that it is a cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z_3 .

Since S is a cohomology $(n - 2)$ -manifold over Z with

$$F(H/(H \cap T), S) = F(H, S),$$

it follows that $F(H, S)$ is also a cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z . (If Z_3 acts on a cohomology m manifold over Z with $F(Z_3)$ being a cohomology $(m - 1)$ -manifold over Z_3 , then $F(Z_3)$ is also a cohomology $(m - 1)$ -manifold over Z .) That G_x is constant on $F(H, S) \cap U$ is a direct consequence of the fact that $F(G_x, S) = F(H, S)$ for all $x \in F(H, S) \cap U$.

(3.5) *Y is a connected cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z and the isotropy group is constant on $Y - B$.*

By (3.4), Y is a cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z . Let T be a circle group in G whose normalizer N contains H . Then $F(H, X) \supset F(N, X) = F(T, X) \supset F(G, X)$. From (2.6) and (3.1), it is easily seen that $F(H, X) - F(T, X)$ has exactly two components with $F(T, X)$ as their common boundary. By (2.3), there exists a point z of $F(T, X)$ such that Gz is a projective plane so that $z \in F(T, X) - F(G, X)$. Hence Y is connected.

Let $x \in Y \cap U$. Then $F(G_x, X) \cap Y$ is clearly closed in Y . But, by (3.4), it is also open in Y . Hence, by the connectedness of Y , $F(G_x, X) \cap Y = Y$.

(3.6) *Whenever $x \in F(H, X) \cap U$, $G_x = H$. Hence for every $x \in U$, G_x is a dihedral group of order 4.*

Let x be a point of $F(H, X) \cap U$. Since $H \subset G_x$, $F(H, X) \supset F(G_x, X)$. But, by (3.4) and (3.5), $F(H, X) \subset F(G_x, X)$. Hence $F(H, X) = F(G_x, X)$.

It is clear that $G' = \{g \in G \mid gF(H, X) = F(H, X)\}$ is a closed subgroup of G containing M . Since $F(H, X) = F(G_x, X)$, G_x is a normal subgroup of G' so that G' is contained in the normalizer of G_x . But, by (2.5), G_x is dihedral and H is the only dihedral group whose normalizer contains M . It follows that $G_x = H$. Hence, by (1.1), the isotropy group at any point of U is a dihedral group of order 4.

(3.7) *Whenever $x \in F(H, X)$, $F(H, X) \cap Gx = Kx$ which contains one point or three points or six points according as Gx is 0-dimensional or 2-dimensional or 3-dimensional.*

If Gx is 0-dimensional, it is clear that $F(H, X) \cap Gx = x = Kx$. If Gx is 2-dimensional, we have shown in the proof of (3.2) that $F(H, X) \cap Gx = Mx = Kx$ which contains exactly three points.

Now let Gx be 3-dimensional. If g is an element of G with $gx \in F(H, X)$, then, by (3.6), $gHg^{-1} = gG_xg^{-1} = G_{gx} = H$ so that $g \in M$. Therefore $F(H, X) \cap Gx \subset Mx$. But it is obvious that $Mx \subset F(H, X) \cap Gx$. Hence

$$F(H, X) \cap Gx = Mx = Kx$$

which clearly contains six points.

From this result, it is easily seen that the natural map $f: F(H, X)/K \rightarrow X^*$ is a homeomorphism onto.

(3.8) *Whenever $a \in K$ is of order 2, we abbreviate $F(a, F(H, X))$ by $F(a)$. Then $F(a) \subset B$ and $F(a)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z with $H^*(F(a); Z) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z)$. Moreover, $F(H, X) - F(a)$ contains exactly two components V and V' with $aV = V'$.*

Whenever $x \in F(H, X) \cap U$, $G_x = H$ (see (3.6)) so that $x \notin F(a)$. Hence $F(a) \subset B$. Let $a = a'H$ with a' being of order 4 and let T be the circle group containing a' . Then $F(a) = F(T, X)$ and hence the first part follows from (2.6). Now $F(H, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(H, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-3}; Z_2)$ and $F(a) = F(a, F(H, X))$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z_2 . The second part follows.

(3.9) *$F(H, X) - B$ contains exactly six components and whenever P is a component of $F(H, X) - B$, $KP = F(H, X) - B$ and the natural*

projection π maps P homeomorphically onto U^ .*

Let P be a component of $F(H, X) - B$. Since the isotropy group is constant on P (see (3.5)), the natural projection π defines a local homeomorphism $\pi': P \rightarrow U^*$. By (3.7), for every $x^* \in U^*$, $\pi'^{-1}x^*$ contains no more than six points. We infer that π' is closed so that $\pi'P$ is both open and closed in U^* . Hence, by the connectedness of U^* , $\pi'P = U^*$.

Let Q be a second component of $F(H, X) - B$ and let $y \in Q$. Then there is a point $x \in P$ such that $\pi x = \pi y$. Therefore, by (3.7), for some $k \in K$, $y = kx$ so that $Q = kP$. Hence $KP = F(H, X) - B$.

Let $x \in P$. By (3.8), x and ax belong to different components of $F(H, X) - F(a) \supset F(H, X) - B$. Therefore aP is a component of $F(H, X) - B$ different from P . Similarly, bP and cP are components of $F(H, X) - B$ different from P .

If aP, bP and cP are not distinct, say $bP = cP$, then $\{k \in K \mid kP = P\}$ is of order 3 so that P and $aP = bP = cP$ are the only two components of $F(H, X) - B$. Now $F(H, Z) - B = F(H, Z) - (F(a) \cup F(b) \cup F(c))$ and $F(a), F(b), F(c)$ are manifold over Z of dimension one less than the dimension of $F(H)$. Hence $F(H, X) \cap B = F(a) \cap F(b) \cap F(c) = F(G, X)$. This is impossible, because the intersection of $F(H, X)$ and a 2-dimensional orbit is contained in B but not contained in $F(G, X)$. From this result it follows that P, aP, bP, cP are distinct components of $F(H, X) - B$. Hence P, aP, bP, cP, bcP, cbP are all the distinct components of $F(H, X) - B$.

Now it is clear that for every $x^* \in U^*$, $\pi'^{-1}x^*$ contains exactly one point. Hence π' is a homeomorphism.

(3.10) *Let P be a component of $F(H, X) - B$. Then the map of $G/H \times P$ onto U defined by $(gH, x) \rightarrow gx$ is a homeomorphism onto. Hence U is homeomorphic to the topological product of a principal orbit and U^* .*

This is an immediate consequence of (3.5) and (3.9).

(3.11) *The closure of $F(a) - F(G, X)$ is equal to $F(a)$. Hence $\dim_{z_2} F(G, X) \leq \dim_z F(G, X) \leq n - 5$.*

Suppose that the closure of $F(a) - F(G, X)$ is not equal to $F(a)$. Then there is a point z of $F(G, X)$ and a neighborhood A of z such that $A \cap F(a) = A \cap F(G, X)$. Since $A \cap F(G, X) \subset F(b)$ and since, by (3.8), both $A \cap F(G, X)$ and $F(b)$ are cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifolds over Z , $A \cap F(G, X)$ is open in $F(b)$ so that we may assume that $A \cap F(G, X) = A \cap F(b)$. Similarly, we may assume that $A \cap F(G, X) = A \cap F(c)$. Hence $A \cap F(G, X) = A \cap F(H, X) \cap B$. By (3.1) and (3.8), we may

also assume that $KA = A$ and $A \cap (F(H, X) - F(a))$ contains exactly two components Q and Q' . Now both Q and Q' are contained in $F(H, X) - B$ and $aQ = bQ = Q'$. Therefore $abQ = Q$ so that ab maps the component of $F(H, X) - B$ containing Q into itself, contrary to (3.9).

Since, by (3.8), $F(a)$ is a cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z and since $F(G, X)$ is nowhere dense in $F(a)$, it follows that $\dim_{z_2} F(G, X) \leq \dim_z F(G, X) \leq n - 5$.

(3.12) *If $n = 4$, then $F(G, X)$ is null.*

This is a direct consequence of (3.11).

(3.13) *Let T be a circle group in G , let N be the normalizer of T and let A be an orbit. If A is a projective plane, then A/T is an arc and N/T acts trivially on A/T so that $F(N/T, A/T) = A/T = A/N$. If A is 3-dimensional, then A/T is a 2-sphere and A/N is a closed 2-cell so that $F(N/T, A/T)$ is a circle.*

If A is a projective plane, it is clear that A/T is an arc and N/T acts trivially on A/T . Therefore $A/N = A/T = F(N/T, A/T)$.

Now let A be 3-dimensional. By (3.6), we may let $A = G/H = \{gH | g \in G\}$. Therefore A/T is the double coset space $(G/H)/T$ and $(G/T)/H$ are homeomorphic. Since G/T is a 2-sphere and since every element of H preserves the orientation of G/T , it follows that $(G/T)/H$ is a 2-sphere. Hence A/T is a 2-sphere.

As seen in [3], the double coset space $(G/N)/H$ is a closed 2-cell. Since A/N may be regarded as the double coset space $(G/H)/N$ which is homeomorphic to $(G/N)/H$, we infer that A/N is a closed 2-cell.

From these results, it follows that $f(N/T, A/T)$ is a circle.

(3.14) *X^* is cohomological trivial over Z .*

Let N be the normalizer of a circle group T in G . Then N/T is a cyclic group of order 2 which acts on X/T with $(X/T)/(N/T) = X^*$. Since, by (2.6), $H^*(F(T, X); Z) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z)$, it follows that $H(X/T; Z) = H^*(S^{n-1}; Z)$ [1; p. 65].

By (3.13), $F(N/T, B/T) = B/T$ and for every singular orbit A , A/T is either a single point or an arc. It follows from the Vietoris map theorem that $H^*(B/T; Z) = H^*(B^*; Z) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z)$ (see (3.3)). By (3.10) and (3.13), $F(N/T, U/T)$ is homeomorphic to the topological product of a circle and U^* so that $H^{n-2}(F(N/T, U/T); Z) \neq 0$. Therefore $H^*(F(N/T, X/T); Z) = H^*(S^{n-2}; Z)$. Hence $H^*(X/N; Z) = 0$. By (3.13), for every orbit A , A/N is either a single point or an arc or a closed 2-cell. It follows from the Vietoris map theorem that $H^*(X^*; Z) = H^*(X/N; Z) = 0$.

$$(3.15) \quad H_c^k(U^*; Z_2) = \begin{cases} Z_2 & \text{for } k = n - 3 ; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

This follows from (3.3), (3.14) and the cohomology sequence of (X^*, B^*) .

$$(3.16) \quad H_c^k(U; Z_2) = \begin{cases} Z_2 & \text{for } k = n - 3, n ; \\ Z_2 \oplus Z_2 & \text{for } k = n - 2, n - 1 ; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Since for a principal orbit A , we have

$$H^k(A; Z_2) = \begin{cases} Z_2 & \text{for } k = 0, 3 ; \\ Z_2 \oplus Z_2 & \text{for } k = 1, 2 ; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise ,} \end{cases}$$

our assertion follows from (3.10) and (3.15).

As a consequence of (3.16) and the cohomology sequence of (X, B) , we have

$$(3.17) \quad H^k(B; Z_2) = \begin{cases} Z_2 & \text{for } k = 0, n - 4 ; \\ Z_2 \oplus Z_2 & \text{for } k = n - 3, n - 2 ; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

(3.18) *Let T be a circle group in G and let $n \geq 5$. Then*

$$H_c^k(F(T, X) - F(G, X); Z_2) = \begin{cases} \tilde{H}^{k-1}(F(G, X); Z_2) & \text{(the reduced group)} \\ & \text{for } k = 1 ; \\ H^{k-1}(F(G, X); Z_2) \oplus Z_2 & \text{for } k = n - 4 ; \\ H^{k-1}(F(G, X); Z_2) & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

This follows from (2.6) and the cohomology sequence of $(F(T, X), F(G, X))$.

(3.19) *Let $n > 5$. Then*

$$H_c^k(B - F(G, X); Z_2) = \begin{cases} H^k(B; Z_2) & \text{for } k > n - 4 ; \\ H^k(B; Z_2) \oplus H^{k-1}(F(G, X); Z_2) & \text{for } k = n - 4 ; \\ H^{k-1}(F(G, X); Z_2) & \text{for } k = 2, \dots, n - 5 ; \\ \tilde{H}^{k-1}(F(G, X); Z_2) & \text{for } k = 1 . \end{cases}$$

This follows from the cohomology sequence of $(B, F(G, X))$.

(3.20) *$B - F(G, X)$ is homeomorphic to the topological product of a projective plane and $F(T, X) - F(G, X)$. Hence*

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_c^k(B - F(G, X); Z_2) &= H_c^k(F(T, X) - F(G, X); Z_2) \oplus H_c^{k-1}(F(T, X) - F(G, X); Z_2) \\
 &\quad \oplus H_c^{k-2}(F(T, X) - F(G, X); Z_2) .
 \end{aligned}$$

The first part follows from the that $F(T, X) - F(G, X)$ is a cross-section of the transformation group $(G, B - F(G, X))$ on which the isotropy group is constant. The second part follows from the first part and the fact that if A is a projective plane, then

$$H^k(A; Z_2) = \begin{cases} Z_2 & \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3.21) $\dim_{Z_2} F(G, X) = n - 5$. If $n = 4$, then B contains exactly two projective planes. If $n = 5$, then $F(G, X)$ contains exactly two points. If $n > 5$, then $H^{n-5}(F(G, X); Z_2) = Z_2$ so that $F(G, X)$ is not null.

Setting $k = n - 2$ in (3.20), we have, by (2.6) and (3.17),

$$Z_2 \oplus Z_2 = H_c^{n-4}(F(T, X) - F(G, X); Z_2) .$$

If $n = 4$, then, by (3.12), $H^0(F(T, X); Z_2) = Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ so that $F(T, X)$ contains exactly two points. Hence B contains exactly two projective planes.

If $n = 5$, then $H_c^1(F(T, X) - F(G, X); Z_2) = \tilde{H}^0(F(G, X); Z_2) \oplus H^1(F(T, X); Z_2)$ so that $\tilde{H}^0(F(G, X); Z_2) = Z_2$. Hence $F(G, X)$ contains exactly two points.

If $n > 5$, it follows from (3.18) that $H^{n-5}(F(G, X); Z_2) = Z_2$. Hence $F(G, X)$ is not null.

$$(3.22) \quad H^*(F(G, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-5}; Z_2).$$

For $n = 4$ and 5 , the result has been shown in (3.12) and (3.21). For $n > 5$, our assertion follows from (3.18), (3.19), (3.20) and (3.21).

$$(3.23) \quad F(G, X) \text{ is a compact cohomology } (n - 5)\text{-manifold over } Z_2.$$

To prove (3.23), we have only to localize the preceding computations. Details are omitted.

REMARK. There is no difficulty to use Z in place of Z_2 in these computations. However, the computations over Z will not strengthen our final results (3.22) and (3.23).

4. Case that the 2-dimensional orbits are all 2-spheres.

Let X be a compact cohomology n -manifold over Z with $H^*(X; Z) = H^*(S^n; Z)$ and let $G = \text{SO}(3)$ act nontrivially on X with $\dim_Z B = n - 2$.

Throughout this section, we assume that for some $x \in U$, G_x is of odd order.

(4.1) *Let H be a dihedral subgroup of G of order 4. Then $F(H, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 6)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(H, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-6}; Z_2)$. Hence $n \geq 5$.*

Let $g \in G$ be of order 2 and let T be the circle group in G containing g . Since for some $x \in U$, G_x is of odd order, $F(g, X) \subset B$ so that $F(g, X) = F(T, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(g, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z_2)$. By Borel's theorem [1; p. 175], $F(H, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 6)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(H, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-6}; Z_2)$. From this result it follows that $n - 6 \geq -1$. Hence $n \geq 5$.

(4.2) *The 2-dimensional orbit are all 2-spheres.*

Suppose that this assertion is false. Then there is, by (2.3), a projective plane Gz . Denote by T the identity component of G_z and by H a dihedral subgroup of G_z of order 4. Let S be a connected slice at z . Then S is a cohomology $(n - 2)$ -manifold over Z and G_z acts on S . Moreover, $F(T, S) = F(T, X) \cap S$ is open in $F(T, X)$ so that it is a cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z . Hence we may let S be so chosen that $F(T, S)$ is connected and that both S and $F(T, S)$ are orientable.

Since T is a circle group and since $\dim_z S - \dim_z F(T, S) = 2$, it follows that S/T is a connected cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold over Z with boundary $F(T, S)$ [1; p. 196]. Hence we have a connected cohomology $(n - 3)$ -manifold Y over Z obtained by doubling S/T on $F(T, S)$ [1; p. 196]. Since S is orientable, so is $S/T - F(T, S)$. It follows from the connectedness of $F(T, S)$ that Y is orientable.

It is clear that $K = G_z/T$ is a cyclic group of order 2 which acts on S/T with $KF(T, S) = F(T, S)$. Since $F(K, F(T, S)) = F(H, S)$ is a cohomology $(n - 6)$ -manifold over Z_2 , we infer from the dimensional parity that K preserves the orientation of $F(T, S)$ [1; p. 79].

The action of K on S/T defines a natural action of K on Y which also preserves the orientation of Y . Hence $\dim_{z_2} F(K, Y) > n - 6$ so that for some $y^* = Ty \in S/T - F(T, S)$, $Ky^* = y^*$. But this implies that $G_z y = Ty$ so that y is a point of D , contrary to (2.9). Hence (4.2) is proved.

(4.3) *$F(G, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 6)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(G, X); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-6}; Z_2)$.*

By (4.2), $F(G, X) = F(H, X)$. Hence our assertion follows from (4.1).

(4.4) *Whenever $x \in U$, G_x is the identity group.*

If X is strongly paracompact, the result can be found in [5]. But an unpublished result of Yang shows that it is true in general.

(4.5) B^* is a compact cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z with $H^*(B^*; Z) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z)$.

Proof. Let T be a circle group in G and N its normalizer. Then $F(T, X)$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z with $H^*(F(T, X); Z) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z)$ and N/T is a cyclic group of order 2 acting on $F(T, X)$ with $F(T, X)/(N/T) = B^*$. Therefore $H^*(B^*; Z)$ is finitely generated [1; p. 44]. If H is a dihedral subgroup of N of order 4, it is easily seen that $F(N/T, F(T, X)) = F(H, X)$ so that $F(N/T, F(T, X))$ is a compact cohomology $(n - 6)$ -manifold over Z_2 with $H^*(F(N/T, F(T, X)); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-6}; Z_2)$. Hence, by the dimensional parity theorem, N/T preserves the orientation of $F(T, X)$.

By [1; pp. 63-64],

$$H^*(B^*; Z_2) = H^*(F(T, X)/(N/T); Z_2) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z_2).$$

We now use the following diagram from [1; p. 45]

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H^k(B^*; Z) & \xrightarrow{2} & H^k(B^*; Z) & \xrightarrow{q} & H^k(B^*; Z_2) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \searrow \pi^* & & \uparrow \mu & & \\ & & & & H^k(F(T, X); Z) & & \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal sequence is exact and the triangle is commutative. For $k \neq 0, n - 4$, we have $H^k(B^*; Z_2) = 0$ and $H^k(F(T, X); Z) = 0$; hence $H^k(B^*; Z) = 0$. For $k = 0$, we have $H^0(B^*; Z) = Z$, because B^* is clearly connected. For $k = n - 4$, $H^{n-4}(B^*; Z)$ is a finitely generated group with $H^{n-4}(B^*; Z) \otimes Z_2 = H^{n-4}(B^*; Z_2) = Z_2$. It follows from the universal coefficient theorem that there is a finite subgroup K of $H^{n-4}(B^*; Z)$ of odd order such that $H^{n-4}(B^*; Z)/K$ is Z or Z_2 . Since $K = 2K = \mu\pi^*K = 0$, $H^{n-4}(B^*; Z) = Z$ or Z_2 . But $H^{n-4}(B^*; Z) \neq Z_2$, because N/T preserves the orientation of $F(T, X)$. Hence $H^{n-4}(B^*; Z) = Z$.

By localizing this result, we can show that B^* is a cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z near every point of $F(G, X)$. (This result is also shown in [2].) Since the projection of $F(T, X) - F(G, X)$ onto $B^* - F(G, X)$ is a local homeomorphism, B^* is a cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z near every point of $B^* - F(G, X)$. Hence B^* is a compact cohomology $(n - 4)$ -manifold over Z .

(4.6) Let T be a circle group in G and let N be the normalizer of T . Then $H^*(B/N; Z) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z)$.

Let A be a singular orbit. If A is a single point, so is A/N . If A

is a 2-sphere, we may let $A = G/T$. Therefore $A/N = (G/T)/N$ is homeomorphic to $(G/N)/T$ which is known to be a closed 2-cell [3]. Hence A/N is a closed 2-cell.

Since, by (2.1) and (4.2), every singular orbit is either a single point or a 2-sphere, it follows from Vietoris map theorem that $H^*(B/N; Z) = H^*(B^*; Z)$. Hence our assertion follows from (4.5).

$$(4.7) \quad H^k(X/N; Z) = \begin{cases} Z & \text{for } k = 0 ; \\ Z_2 & \text{for } k = n - 1 ; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $H^*(F(T, X); Z) = H^*(S^{n-4}; Z)$, it follows that $H^*(X/T; Z) = H^*(S^{n-1}; Z)$. Now N/T is a cyclic group of order 2 acting on X/T with $(X/T)/(N/T) = X/N$.

Let A be an orbit. If A is 3-dimensional, then, by (4.4), A/T is a 2-sphere and N/T acts freely on A/T . If A is a 2-sphere, then A/T is an arc and $F(N/T, A/T)$ is a single point. If A is a point, then $F(N/T, A/T) = A/T = A$. Hence $F(N/T, X/T)$ is homeomorphic to B^* so that, by (4.5), $H^*(F(N/T, X/T); Z_2)$.

As in the proof of (4.5), we can show that

$$(4.8) \quad H_c^k(U/N; Z) = \begin{cases} Z & \text{for } k = n - 3 , \\ Z_2 & \text{for } k = n - 1 , \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(4.9) *There is an exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^{k-3}(U^*; Z_2) \rightarrow H_c^k(U^*; Z) \rightarrow H_c^k(U/N; Z) \rightarrow H_c^{k-2}(U^*; Z_2) \rightarrow \dots .$$

By (4.4), G acts freely on U . Hence we have the desired exact sequence as seen in [3].

$$(4.10) \quad H_c^k(U^*; Z) = \begin{cases} Z & \text{for } k = n - 3 , \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\dim_z U^* = n - 3$, we have

$$H_c^k(U^*; Z) = 0 \quad \text{for } k > n - 3 .$$

It follows from (4.9) and (4.8) that $H_c^{n-3}(U^*; Z_2) = H_c^{n-1}(U/N; Z) = Z_2$. From (4.9), it is easily seen that $H_c^{n-3}(U^*; Z) = Z \oplus I$, where $I = \text{im}(H_c^{n-6}(U^*; Z_2) \rightarrow H_c^{n-3}(U^*; Z))$ so that every element of I different from 0 is of order 2. By the universal coefficient theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2 = H_c^{n-3}(U^*; Z_2) &= H_c^{n-3}(U^*; Z) \otimes Z_2 \oplus \text{Tor}(H^{n-2}(U^*; Z), Z_2) \\ &= Z_2 \oplus I . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $I = 0$, proving that

$$H_c^{n-3}(U^*; Z) = Z .$$

If $k < n - 3$, then by (4.8) and (4.9), $H_c^k(U^*; Z) = H_c^{k-3}(U^*; Z_2)$. Hence for $k < n - 3$,

$$H_c^k(U^*; Z) = 0 .$$

(4.11) X^* is cohomologically trivial over Z .

This is an easy consequence of (4.5), (4.10) and the cohomology sequence of (X^*, B^*) .

REFERENCES

1. A. Borel *et al.*, *Seminar on transformation groups*, Annals of Math., Studies, No. 46, Princeton University Press, 1960.
2. G. E. Bredon, *On the structure of orbit spaces of generalized manifolds*, (to appear).
3. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *A note on the action of $SO(3)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1959), 616-620.
4. D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., 1955.
5. D. Montgomery and H. Samelson, *On the action of $SO(3)$ on S^n* , Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 649-659.
6. P. A. Smith, *Transformations of finite period III, Newman's theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2), **42** (1941), 446-458.
7. C. T. Yang, *Transformation groups on a homological manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **87** (1958), 261-283.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

A. L. WHITEMAN

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 12, No. 4

April, 1962

Tsuyoshi Andô, <i>On fundamental properties of a Banach space with a cone</i>	1163
Sterling K. Berberian, <i>A note on hyponormal operators</i>	1171
Errett Albert Bishop, <i>Analytic functions with values in a Frechet space</i>	1177
(Sherman) Elwood Bohn, <i>Equicontinuity of solutions of a quasi-linear equation</i>	1193
Andrew Michael Bruckner and E. Ostrow, <i>Some function classes related to the class of convex functions</i>	1203
J. H. Curtiss, <i>Limits and bounds for divided differences on a Jordan curve in the complex domain</i>	1217
P. H. Doyle, III and John Gilbert Hocking, <i>Dimensional invertibility</i>	1235
David G. Feingold and Richard Steven Varga, <i>Block diagonally dominant matrices and generalizations of the Gerschgorin circle theorem</i>	1241
Leonard Dubois Fountain and Lloyd Kenneth Jackson, <i>A generalized solution of the boundary value problem for $y'' = f(x, y, y')$</i>	1251
Robert William Gilmer, Jr., <i>Rings in which semi-primary ideals are primary</i>	1273
Ruth Goodman, <i>K-polar polynomials</i>	1277
Israel Halperin and Maria Wonenburger, <i>On the additivity of lattice completeness</i>	1289
Robert Winship Heath, <i>Arc-wise connectedness in semi-metric spaces</i>	1301
Isidore Heller and Alan Jerome Hoffman, <i>On unimodular matrices</i>	1321
Robert G. Heyneman, <i>Duality in general ergodic theory</i>	1329
Charles Ray Hobby, <i>Abelian subgroups of p-groups</i>	1343
Kenneth Myron Hoffman and Hugo Rossi, <i>The minimum boundary for an analytic polyhedron</i>	1347
Adam Koranyi, <i>The Bergman kernel function for tubes over convex cones</i>	1355
Pesi Rustom Masani and Jack Max Robertson, <i>The time-domain analysis of a continuous parameter weakly stationary stochastic process</i>	1361
William Schumacher Massey, <i>Non-existence of almost-complex structures on quaternionic projective spaces</i>	1379
Deane Montgomery and Chung-Tao Yang, <i>A theorem on the action of SO(3)</i>	1385
Ronald John Nunke, <i>A note on Abelian group extensions</i>	1401
Carl Mark Pearcy, <i>A complete set of unitary invariants for operators generating finite W^*-algebras of type I</i>	1405
Edward C. Posner, <i>Integral closure of rings of solutions of linear differential equations</i>	1417
Duane Sather, <i>Asymptotics. III. Stationary phase for two parameters with an application to Bessel functions</i>	1423
J. Ślaskowska, <i>Bounds of analytic functions of two complex variables in domains with the Bergman-Shilov boundary</i>	1435
Joseph Gail Stampfli, <i>Hyponormal operators</i>	1453
George Gustave Weill, <i>Some extremal properties of linear combinations of kernels on Riemann surfaces</i>	1459
Edward Takashi Kobayashi, <i>Errata: "A remark on the Nijenhuis tensor"</i>	1467