

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ANALYTIC MEASURES

FRANK JOHN FORELLI, JR.

ANALYTIC MEASURES

FRANK FORELLI

1. In this note we consider from a measure-theoretic point of view the Helson-Lowdenslager generalization to compact abelian groups of the F. and M. Riesz theorem on analytic measures [3]. Our contribution to this matter is the *proof* of Theorem 1. From Theorem 1 the Helson-Lowdenslager generalization readily follows. That which is new here is the proof of Theorem 1. For the most part, the statement of Theorem 1 can be obtained from the generalization in [3] of the F. and M. Riesz theorem.

We have a second theorem (Theorem 2) which is about analytic measures (Theorem 1 is not) and which adds to the information about analytic measures given in [3]. Although Theorem 2 does not appear in [3] it can be obtained from the generalization in [3] of the F. and M. Riesz theorem, and we will indicate how this may be done at the end of the proof of Theorem 2. In recent work (completed before our work was undertaken) de Leeuw and Glicksberg have found a generalization of the F. and M. Riesz theorem which includes Theorem 2 and much more. Nevertheless, it is hoped that the proof of Theorem 2 given here will be of interest.

Although the proof of Theorem 1 is given in the language of harmonic analysis, we wish to point out that the argument is valid in the more general context of Dirichlet algebras. This however is not true of Theorem 2.

2. Throughout G will denote a compact abelian group with Haar measure σ and with dual group Γ . Following [3], a subset S of Γ is said to be a half-space if S is closed under multiplication and if for each χ in Γ one and only one of the following occurs:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= 1 \\ \chi &\in S \\ \bar{\chi} &\in S.\end{aligned}$$

We will assume that Γ contains half-spaces and in all that follows S will denote a fixed half-space in Γ .

$M(G)$ is the space of all regular Borel measures on G . ν in $M(G)$ is said to be analytic (more accurately analytic with respect to S) if the Fourier transform $\hat{\nu}$ vanishes on \bar{S} :

$$\hat{\nu}(\chi) = \int \bar{\chi} d\nu = 0$$

for χ in \bar{S} .

A is the algebra of continuous analytic functions on G : f belongs to A if and only if f belongs to $C(G)$ and

$$\hat{f}(\chi) = \int \bar{\chi} f d\sigma = 0$$

for χ in \bar{S} . A nonnegative measure μ in $M(G)$ with total mass one and such that

$$\int f g d\mu = \left(\int f d\mu \right) \left(\int g d\mu \right)$$

for all f and g in A is called a representing measure for A . Among the representing measures is the measure σ .

It is important that the linear space of analytic measures is an A -module: if ν is analytic and f is in A , then $f\nu$ is also analytic.

The classical example of this abstract situation is the case in which G is the circle group, Γ the integer group, and S the positive integers. A is then the algebra of continuous functions on the circle whose Fourier coefficients vanish for negative indices, and the representing measures (other than normalized Lebesgue measure and the unit point masses) are the Poisson kernels μ_r ($0 < r < 1$) and their translates:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r &= P_r \sigma \\ P_r(x) &= \sum r^{|n|} e^{inx} . \end{aligned}$$

The celebrated theorem of F. and M. Riesz [4] states: *An analytic measure on the circle is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.*

As usual, $\|f\|_\infty$ is the supremum norm of f for f in $C(G)$, $|\nu|$ is the total variation of ν and $\|\nu\|$ the total variation norm of ν for ν in $M(G)$, and $*$ is convolution.

3. THEOREM 1. *Let μ be a representing measure for A and let ν be any measure in $M(G)$. Then there is a sequence f_n in A such that*

- (1) $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq 1$
- (2) $f_n \rightarrow 1$ a.e. μ
- (3) $\|f_n \nu - \nu_a\| \rightarrow 0$

where

$$\nu = \nu_a + \nu_s$$

is the Lebesgue decomposition of ν with respect to μ :

$$\nu_a \ll \mu, \quad \nu_s \perp \mu .$$

Both the statement and proof of Theorem 1 should be compared with earlier work done by Helson in [2] for the circle group.

Because ν_s is a regular measure singular with respect to μ , we may choose a sequence E_n of compact sets such that

$$(4) \quad |\nu_s|(G \sim E_n) \leq 1/n$$

$$(5) \quad \mu E_n = 0.$$

Now choose a second sequence F_n of compact sets such that E_n and F_n are disjoint and

$$(6) \quad \mu(G \sim F_n) \leq 1/n^4.$$

Let v_n be a real continuous function on G such that

$$(7) \quad -2n \leq v_n \leq 0 \quad \text{on } G$$

$$(8) \quad v_n = 0 \quad \text{on } F_n$$

$$(9) \quad v_n = -2n \quad \text{on } E_n$$

and let g_n be a real trigonometric polynomial such that

$$(10) \quad -2n \leq g_n \leq 0 \quad \text{on } G$$

$$(11) \quad \|g_n - v_n\|_\infty \leq 1/n$$

(g_n may be obtained by convolution of v_n with an approximate identity consisting of trigonometric polynomials).

Denote by \tilde{g}_n the trigonometric polynomial conjugate to g_n . Here we mean conjugacy relative to the half-space S : conjugate to the trigonometric polynomial

$$\Sigma a(\chi)\chi$$

is the trigonometric polynomial

$$\Sigma -i\varepsilon(\chi)a(\chi)\chi$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 \text{ if } \chi \in S \\ \varepsilon(\chi) &= 0 \text{ if } \chi = 1 \\ & -1 \text{ if } \chi \in \bar{S}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$k_n = g_n + i\left(\tilde{g}_n - \int \tilde{g}_n d\mu\right).$$

Then k_n belongs to A , the real part of k_n is g_n , and

$$(12) \quad \int k_n d\mu = \int g_n d\mu .$$

Finally let

$$f_n = e^{k_n} .$$

Then f_n also belongs to \mathcal{A} and, because of (10), satisfies (1).
 (9) and (11) give

$$|f_n| \leq e^{-n} \quad \text{on } E_n$$

and thus

$$\int_{E_n} |f_n| d|\nu_s| \leq e^{-n} \|\nu_s\| .$$

From (1) and (4)

$$\int_{G \sim E_n} |f_n| d|\nu_s| \leq 1/n ,$$

and combining this estimate with the previous estimate leads to

$$(13) \quad \|\nu_s\| \rightarrow 0 .$$

From (8) and (11)

$$\int_{E_n} |g_n|^2 d\mu \leq 1/n^2 ,$$

and from (6) and (10)

$$\int_{G \sim E_n} |g_n|^2 d\mu \leq 4/n^2 .$$

Combining these two estimates gives

$$(14) \quad \int |g_n|^2 d\mu \leq 5/n^2 .$$

Now, since

$$2g_n = k_n + \bar{k}_n ,$$

we have

$$(15) \quad 4 \int |g_n|^2 d\mu = 2 \int |k_n|^2 d\mu + \int k_n^2 d\mu + \int \bar{k}_n^2 d\mu .$$

Moreover, since μ is a representing measure for \mathcal{A} and because of (12),

$$(16) \quad \int k_n^2 d\mu = \left(\int g_n d\mu \right)^2 \geq 0 .$$

(15) and (16) combine to give

$$\int |k_n|^2 d\mu \leq 2 \int |g_n|^2 d\mu,^1$$

and this inequality together with (14) shows that the sequence k_n converges to zero in the norm of $L^2(\mu)$. Therefore, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume the sequence k_n converges to zero almost everywhere with respect to μ , and now the sequence f_n satisfies (2).

The conditions (1) and (2) and the dominated convergence theorem imply

$$(17) \quad \|f_n \nu_a - \nu_a\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, (13) and (17) give (3).

Because the space of analytic measures is an \mathcal{A} -module, statement (3) of Theorem 1 gives the Helson-Lowdenslager theorem on analytic measures [3, Theorem 7]:

COROLLARY 1. *If ν is analytic, then so are ν_a and ν_s .*

Helson and Lowdenslager found more than just the statement of the corollary. They showed [3, Lemma 3]: *If ν is an analytic measure singular with respect to Haar measure, then ν has mean value zero.* This too follows from Theorem 1, but more is true.

THEOREM 2. *Let ν be an analytic measure which is singular with respect to Haar measure. Then $\nu * \mu$ is singular with respect to Haar measure for every representing measure μ .*

Since ν is singular with respect to σ , Theorem 1 (with σ in place of μ) provides a sequence f_n belonging to \mathcal{A} such that

$$(18) \quad \|f_n\|_\infty \leq 1$$

$$(19) \quad f_n \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.e. } \sigma$$

$$(20) \quad \|f_n \nu\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Now because ν is analytic and μ is a representing measure for \mathcal{A} ,

$$(21) \quad (f_n \nu) * \mu = (f_n * \mu)(\nu * \mu).$$

(21) surely holds if ν is replaced by a member of \mathcal{A} . But since ν is analytic, ν is in the weak-star closure of $\mathcal{A}\sigma$, and since convolution is continuous in the weak-star topology for $\mathcal{M}(G)$, (21) continues to hold for ν .

¹ This inequality and its proof are of course not new.

(20) implies

$$\| (f_n \nu) * \mu \| \rightarrow 0$$

and so because of (21)

$$\| (f_n * \mu)(\nu * \mu) \| \rightarrow 0$$

and this implies, passing to a subsequence if necessary,

$$(22) \quad f_n * \mu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e. } \nu * \mu .$$

On the other hand, (18) and (19) imply, using dominated convergence,

$$(23) \quad \| f_n - 1 \| = \int |f_n - 1| d\sigma \rightarrow 0$$

and therefore

$$(24) \quad \| (f_n * \mu) - 1 \| = \| (f_n - 1) * \mu \| \rightarrow 0 .$$

Because of (24) we may assume, again passing to a subsequence if necessary,

$$(25) \quad f_n * \mu \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.e. } \sigma .$$

(22) and (25) show that $\nu * \mu$ and σ are carried on disjoint sets, and so they are mutually singular.

We mentioned in the introduction that Theorem 2 can be obtained from the generalization in [3] of the F. and M. Riesz theorem. Indeed, all that is required in our proof of Theorem 2 is a sequence belonging to A and satisfying (20) and (23), and the existence of such a sequence is implied (by using a standard argument) by Lemma 3 and Theorem 7 of [3].

4. Corollary 1 and Theorem 2 applied to the circle group give the F. and M. Riesz theorem. For if ν is an analytic measure on the circle, the singular part with respect to Lebesgue measure, ν_s , is also analytic. But $\nu_s * \mu_r$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Therefore $\nu_s * \mu_r$ is the zero measure, and this implies, as $\hat{\mu}_r$ does not vanish at any point of the integer group, that ν_s is the zero measure.

There is also an F. and M. Riesz theorem for finite Borel measures ν on the real line \mathbf{R} , which is sometimes proved by mapping a half-plane conformally on the unit disk and using the F. and M. Riesz theorem for the circle. We wish to show that Theorem 1 applied to the Bohr compactification \mathbf{B} of the line leads to an easy and, we believe, natural proof of the Riesz theorem for the line.

ν in $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{R})$ is said to be analytic if

$$\hat{\nu}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ist} d\nu(s) = 0$$

for $t < 0$. \mathbf{B} is the compact abelian group dual to \mathbf{R} when \mathbf{R} is given the discrete topology. The mapping π of \mathbf{R} into \mathbf{B} defined by

$$(\pi s, t) = e^{ist}$$

is a continuous isomorphism of \mathbf{R} into \mathbf{B} , and the image \mathbf{B}_0 of \mathbf{R} is a dense subgroup of \mathbf{B} . Using the transformation on measures which carries ν in $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{R})$ into $\nu \pi^{-1}$ in $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{B})$ we may identify $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{R})$ with those measures in $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{B})$ which are carried on \mathbf{B}_0 . Moreover the Fourier transform of ν in $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{R})$ is the same whether we consider ν as an element of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{R})$ or as an element of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{B})$. For $0 < r < 1$, the Cauchy measure μ_r is the measure carried on \mathbf{B}_0 defined by

$$\hat{\mu}_r(t) = r^{|t|}.$$

Each Cauchy measure is a representing measure for the algebra \mathbf{A} of continuously analytic functions on \mathbf{B} (here S is the set of positive real numbers), and the Cauchy measures and Lebesgue measure are mutually absolutely continuous.

With this brief description of \mathbf{B} it is now easy to show: *An analytic measure on the line is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.*

Assume ν is an analytic measure carried on \mathbf{B}_0 , and denote by σ_0 Lebesgue measure (transferred to \mathbf{B}_0). Since the Cauchy measures and Lebesgue measure are mutually absolutely continuous, Theorem 1 provides a sequence f_n belonging to \mathbf{A} such that

$$(26) \quad \|f_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1$$

$$(27) \quad f_n \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.e. } \sigma_0$$

$$(28) \quad \|f_n \nu - \nu_a\| \rightarrow 0$$

where ν_a is the absolutely continuous component of ν with respect to σ_0 .

Consider a Cauchy measure μ_r . Because of (28)

$$(29) \quad \|(f_n \nu) * \mu_r - (\nu_a * \mu_r)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Also, since ν is analytic,

$$(30) \quad (f_n \nu) * \mu_r = (f_n * \mu_r)(\nu * \mu_r).$$

Now $f_n * \mu_r$ converges pointwise to 1 on \mathbf{B}_0 , and this is important. This is because of (26) and (27) and because a null set of μ_r remains a null set when translated by an element of \mathbf{B}_0 .

Since ν is carried on \mathbf{B}_0 , $\nu * \mu_r$ is also carried on \mathbf{B}_0 (and indeed

$\nu * \mu_r \ll \sigma_0$) and therefore, because $f_n * \mu_r$ converges boundedly to 1 on \mathbf{B}_0 ,

$$(31) \quad \|(f_n * \mu_r)(\nu * \mu_r) - (\nu * \mu_r)\| \rightarrow 0 .$$

From (29), (30), and (31) we obtain

$$\nu * \mu_r = \nu_a * \mu_r$$

which implies

$$\nu = \nu_a$$

since $\hat{\mu}_r$ does not vanish at any point of \mathbf{R} .

5. Corollary 1 and Theorem 2 when applied to the Bohr group give: *If ν is an analytic measure on \mathbf{B} and $\nu * \mu_r$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Haar measure (for some $0 < r < 1$), then ν is absolutely continuous.* This is due to Bochner [1].

REFERENCES

1. S. Bochner, *Boundary values of analytic functions in several variables and of almost periodic functions*, Ann. of Math., **45** (1944), 708-722.
2. Henry Helson, *On a theorem of F. and M. Riesz*, Colloq. Math., **3** (1955), 113-117.
3. Henry Helson and David Lowdenslager, *Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables*, Acta Math., **99** (1958), 165-202.
4. F. and M. Riesz, *Über die Randwerte einer analytischen Funktion*, Quatrième Congrès des mathématiciens scandinaves (1916), 27-44.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

| | |
|--|-----|
| Rafael Artzy, <i>Solution of loop equations by adjunction</i> | 361 |
| Earl Robert Berkson, <i>A characterization of scalar type operators on reflexive Banach spaces</i> | 365 |
| Mario Borelli, <i>Divisorial varieties</i> | 375 |
| Raj Chandra Bose, <i>Strongly regular graphs, partial geometries and partially balanced designs</i> | 389 |
| R. H. Bruck, <i>Finite nets. II. Uniqueness and imbedding</i> | 421 |
| L. Carlitz, <i>The inverse of the error function</i> | 459 |
| Robert Wayne Carroll, <i>Some degenerate Cauchy problems with operator coefficients</i> | 471 |
| Michael P. Drazin and Emilie Virginia Haynsworth, <i>A theorem on matrices of 0's and 1's</i> | 487 |
| Lawrence Carl Eggan and Eugene A. Maier, <i>On complex approximation</i> | 497 |
| James Michael Gardner Fell, <i>Weak containment and Kronecker products of group representations</i> | 503 |
| Paul Chase Fife, <i>Schauder estimates under incomplete Hölder continuity assumptions</i> | 511 |
| Shaul Foguel, <i>Powers of a contraction in Hilbert space</i> | 551 |
| Neal Eugene Foland, <i>The structure of the orbits and their limit sets in continuous flows</i> | 563 |
| Frank John Forelli, Jr., <i>Analytic measures</i> | 571 |
| Robert William Gilmer, Jr., <i>On a classical theorem of Noether in ideal theory</i> | 579 |
| P. R. Halmos and Jack E. McLaughlin, <i>Partial isometries</i> | 585 |
| Albert Emerson Hurd, <i>Maximum modulus algebras and local approximation in C^n</i> | 597 |
| James Patrick Jans, <i>Module classes of finite type</i> | 603 |
| Betty Kvarda, <i>On densities of sets of lattice points</i> | 611 |
| H. Larcher, <i>A geometric characterization for a class of discontinuous groups of linear fractional transformations</i> | 617 |
| John W. Moon and Leo Moser, <i>Simple paths on polyhedra</i> | 629 |
| T. S. Motzkin and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Representation of a point of a set as sum of transforms of boundary points</i> | 633 |
| Rajakularaman Ponnuswami Pakshirajan, <i>An analogue of Kolmogorov's three-series theorem for abstract random variables</i> | 639 |
| Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Čebyšev subspaces of finite codimension in $C(X)$</i> | 647 |
| James Dolan Reid, <i>On subgroups of an Abelian group maximal disjoint from a given subgroup</i> | 657 |
| William T. Reid, <i>Riccati matrix differential equations and non-oscillation criteria for associated linear differential systems</i> | 665 |
| Georg Johann Rieger, <i>Some theorems on prime ideals in algebraic number fields</i> ... | 687 |
| Gene Fuerst Rose and Joseph Silbert Ullian, <i>Approximations of functions on the integers</i> | 693 |
| F. J. Sansone, <i>Combinatorial functions and regressive isols</i> | 703 |
| Leo Sario, <i>On locally meromorphic functions with single-valued moduli</i> | 709 |
| Takayuki Tamura, <i>Semigroups and their subsemigroup lattices</i> | 725 |
| Pui-kei Wong, <i>Existence and asymptotic behavior of proper solutions of a class of second-order nonlinear differential equations</i> | 737 |
| Fawzi Mohamad Yaqub, <i>Free extensions of Boolean algebras</i> | 761 |