

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON SUBGROUPS OF AN ABELIAN GROUP MAXIMAL
DISJOINT FROM A GIVEN SUBGROUP**

JAMES DOLAN REID

ON SUBGROUPS OF AN ABELIAN GROUP MAXIMAL DISJOINT FROM A GIVEN SUBGROUP

J. D. REID

1. Introduction. In [3], J. M. Irwin has introduced the concept of a high subgroup of an abelian group (A is high in G if A is maximal in G with respect to the property $A \cap (\bigcap_n nG) = 0$). Irwin and, subsequently, Irwin and Walker [4] have also considered N -high subgroups A of G (A is maximal in G with respect to $A \cap N = 0$). Among the properties of high subgroups is their purity in G ([1], [3] for p -groups, [3] for torsion groups and [4] for arbitrary abelian groups). In [5], S. Khabbaz has given a short proof of a theorem which implies the purity of high subgroups of a p -group. Irwin [3] raises the question of characterizing subgroups H of a group G for which every H -high subgroup is pure in G .

In this paper we consider pairs (H, M) of subgroups of an abelian group G with M maximal disjoint from H in G and ask what happens if M is not pure in G . The resulting information allows us to answer Irwin's question in various special cases. In particular we obtain the purity of high subgroups of arbitrary abelian groups and a generalization of the theorem of Khabbaz referred to above. We then consider various related questions and obtain a generalization of a theorem of Žuravskii [7] on the splitting of mixed abelian groups.

Throughout the paper, G will denote an abelian group, H a subgroup of G and M a subgroup of G maximal with respect to $M \cap H = 0$. Following Irwin [3] we say that M is H -high in G . For any subgroup K of G and prime p , K_p denotes the set of all elements of K whose orders are a power of p , and $K[p]$ is the set of elements of K_p whose orders are $\leq p$. The torsion subgroup of a group K will occasionally be denoted by K_t . For $x \in G$ we denote by $h_p(x) = \max [n | x \in p^n G]$ the height of the element x at p in G . Curly brackets denote the subgroup generated by the sets and elements inside. In particular, if M is a subgroup of G and $x \in G$ then $\{M, x\}$ is the subgroup of G generated by M and x . The set of rational integers will be denoted by Z , direct sums by \oplus and not necessarily direct sums by $+$.

2. The main theorem. We remark first that if M is H -high in G then M is *neat* in G (cf. [2, pp. 91–92]); i.e., $M \cap pG = pM$ for each prime p . It is also easy to see that $G[p] = M[p] \oplus H[p]$ for any p .

Received March 4, 1962, and in revised for December, 12, 1962. This paper was written while the author was an ONR research associate. Support for this research by the ONR is gratefully acknowledged.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let M be an H -high subgroup of G . Then either M is pure in G or there exists a prime p and elements $\mathbf{m} \in M$, $h \in H[p]$ such that*

$$h_p(\mathbf{m}) = h_p(h) < h_p(h - \mathbf{m}).$$

Proof. Suppose that M is not pure in G . Then there exist equations $nw = v$ with $n \in Z$, $w \in G$, $v \in M$ which have no solution $w \in M$. Among all such equations, let $nx = y$ ($x \in G$, $y \in M$) be one for which n is least positive. It is not hard to see that minimality of n implies that n is a power of some prime p , say $n = p^r$. By neatness of M , $r > 1$ and $p^r x = pm_1$, $m_1 \in M$ so that $p(p^{r-1}x - m_1) = 0$. Thus $p^{r-1}x - m_1 \in G[p]$ and since $G[p] = M[p] \oplus H[p]$, we have

$$(1) \quad p^{r-1}x - m_1 = m + h \quad (m \in M[p], h \in H[p]).$$

Suppose now that $h_p(h) \geq r - 1$. Then $h = p^{r-1}z$ for some $z \in G$ which, from (1) and minimality of r yields $m_1 + m = p^{r-1}(x - z) = p^{r-1}m_2$ for some $m_2 \in M$. But this gives $p^{r-1}x = p^{r-1}m_2 + h$ or, $p^r x = p^r m_2 = y$ contrary to the choice of r . Thus $h_p(h) < r - 1$ and $p^{r-1}x - (m + m_1) = h$. With $\mathbf{m} = -(m + m_1)$ we now have

$$h_p(\mathbf{m}) = h_p(h) < h_p(h - \mathbf{m})$$

and the theorem follows.

COROLLARY 2.2. *If, for each p , either $M \subseteq pG$ or H_p is divisible, then M is pure in G .*

Proof. Neatness of M and $M \subseteq pG$ give $M = pM$. Thus, for each prime p , $m \in M$ and $h \in H_p$, either $h_p(m) = \infty$ or $h_p(h) = \infty$.

The author is indebted to the referee for the proof of Theorem 2.1 given above a proof which is shorter and less complicated than the author's original. The original proof, however, had a corollary which, at the suggestion of the referee, we include here. The proof requires that we outline the proof of Theorem 2.1 given originally. Therefore we state the result as

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let H be a subgroup of G such that $H_t = G_t$ and let M be H -high in G . If M is not pure in G then there exists a prime p and elements $m \in M$, $h \in H[p]$ such that*

$$0 = h_p(m) = h_p(h) < h_p(h - m).$$

Proof (in outline). Let p^r , x and y be as in the proof of Theorem

2.1. Then $\{M, x\} \cap H \neq 0$ so there exist nonzero elements of H of the form $u + nx$ with $u \in M$ and n a positive integer. Let c be the least positive integer such that $m + cx \in H$, $m + cx \neq 0$ for some $m \in M$. Then one can show that $c = p^k$ for some $k < r$, and with $h = m + p^k x$ we have $h \in H[p]$ and

$$(*) \quad h_p(m) = h_p(h) < h_p(h - m) = k$$

At this point we have a proof of Theorem 2.1, since we have not yet used the hypothesis $H_i = G_i$. Now, $p^{r-1}(px) = y$ so that by choice of y there exists $v \in M$ such that $p^{r-1}v = y = p^r x$. Hence $p^{r-1}(px - v) = 0$ and $r - 1 > 0$. Using $G_i = H_i$ we conclude that $px - v \in H$. It is clear that $px - v \neq 0$ so that $k = 1$. Thus $(*)$ gives $h_p(m) = h_p(h) = 0$ as required.

3. Centers of purity.

DEFINITION 3.1. A subgroup H of an abelian group G will be called a *Center of Purity* in G if every H -high subgroup of G is pure in G .

Several classes of centers of purity can be obtained from the following proposition which is a corollary to Theorem 2.1.

PROPOSITION 3.2. If there exists a homomorphism f defined on G such that

- (i) $H_i \subset \text{kernel } f$
- (ii) $h_p(m) = h_p(f(m))$ for all $m \in M$ and primes p then M is pure in G .

Proof. For any prime p , $m \in M$ and $h \in H_p$ we have

$$h_p(m) = h_p(f(m)) = h_p(f(m - h)) \geq h_p(m - h) = h_p(h - m)$$

so that the condition in Theorem 1 alternative to purity of M cannot hold. Hence M is pure.

The following corollary generalizes the theorem of Khabbaz [4] referred to in the introduction.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let G be a p -group and put $p^\infty G = \bigcap_n p^n G$, $p^{s+1}G = 0$. Then any subgroup H of G such that $p^s G \supseteq H \supseteq p^{s+1}G$ for some s , $0 \leq s \leq \infty$, is a center of purity in G .

Proof. Let f be the canonical homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow G/H$. Then $p^{s+1}(G/H) = 0$ (by definition if $s = \infty$) so $h_p(f(x)) \leq s$ for all $x \in G$, $x \in H$. Suppose $p^u f(y) = f(x)$ for some $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \notin H$. Then $p^u y + h = x$ for some $h \in H$. Since $H \subseteq p^s G$, $u \leq s$ and $u < \infty$ there exists

$w \in G$ such that $p^u w = h$. Hence, $p^u(y + w) = x$. Thus $h_p(x) \geq h_p(f(x))$. The other inequality being obvious we have $h_p(x) = h_p(f(x))$ for all $x \in G$, $x \notin H$ and the corollary follows.

COROLLARY 3.4. *For any abelian group G and subgroup H of G , if $H_i \subseteq \bigcap_n nG$, then H is a center of purity in G . In particular, high subgroups are pure and torsion free subgroups are centers of purity. If the maximal torsion subgroup of G is divisible, every subgroup of G is a center of purity in G .*

Proof. As in Corollary 3.3 with f the canonical homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow G/H_i$.

One can ask with Irwin [3] for necessary and sufficient conditions on a subgroup H of a group G in order that H be a center of purity in G . We have not been able to find such conditions. In particular, we know of no centers of purity in a p -group other than those listed in Corollary 3.3 above but have not been able to show that there are no others. In one case, however, a decisive answer is readily obtained. We denote by T , in what follows, the maximal torsion subgroup of G .

LEMMA 3.5. *If $T \subseteq H$ then H is a center of purity in G if and only if for all $g \in G$ and primes p , the conditions $\{g\} \cap H = 0$ and $h_p(g) = 0$ imply $h_p(g + t) = 0$ for all $t \in T$.*

Proof. If the condition is satisfied, then H is a center of purity by Proposition 2.3. Conversely, if H is a center of purity in G and $g \in G$ such that $\{g\} \cap H = 0$ and $h_p(g) = 0$ for some p then there exists a subgroup M of G maximal disjoint from H and containing g . For $t \in T$, if $h_p(t) > 0$ it is clear that $h_p(g + t) = h_p(g) = 0$. Suppose then that $t \in T$ and $h_p(t) = 0$. We can write $t = t_p + t'$ where t_p has order p^l for some $l \geq 0$ and the order of t' is prime to p . Then $h_p(t') = \infty$ so that $h_p(t) = h_p(t_p) = 0$. Clearly also $h_p(g + t) = h_p(g + t_p) \geq k$ say. Let $x \in G$ such that $p^k x = g + t_p$. Then, with $e = k + l$, we have $p^e x = p^l g$. By purity of M there exists $m \in M$ such that $p^e m = p^l g$. Hence $p^l(p^k m - g) = 0$. Now since $T \subseteq H$ and $M \cap H = 0$ we have $p^k m = g$ so that $k = 0$ by hypothesis on g . Thus, $h_p(g + t_p) = h_p(g + t) = 0$ as required.

DEFINITION 3.6. A subgroup H of G containing T will be called a *special center of purity* if H is a center of purity and there exists $x \in G$ such that $x \neq 0$, $\{x\} \cap H = 0$. A mixed group G is said to be properly mixed if $0 \neq T \neq G$.

THEOREM 3.7. *For a properly mixed group G the following are*

equivalent:

- (i) T is divisible.
- (ii) Every subgroup of G is a center of purity in G .
- (iii) G contains a special center of purity.

Proof. (i) implies (ii) by Corollary 3.4. (ii) implies (iii) since if (ii) holds and G is properly mixed, then T is a special center of purity. To show that (iii) implies (i), let H be a special center of purity in G . If T is not divisible then T_p is not divisible for some p so that there exists $t \in T_p$ such that $h_p(t) = 0$. Let $x \in G$, $\{x\} \cap H = 0$ and $x \neq 0$ and put $g = px + t$. Clearly $\{g\} \cap H = 0$ and $h_p(g) = 0$. However, $h_p(g - t) \geq 1$. This contradicts Lemma 3.5 and completes the proof.

4. Reduction theorems. If M is maximal disjoint from H in G , we consider here circumstances under which we can reduce the problem of the purity of M in G to an analogous problem in a subgroup of G or in a factor group of G . Again, the location of T with respect to H plays a role.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let M and R be subgroups of G such that $G = M + R$. Then*

- (i) M is maximal disjoint in G from a subgroup $H \subseteq R$ if and only if $M \cap R$ is maximal disjoint from H in R .
- (ii) If $M \cap R$ is pure in R then M is pure in G . Conversely if $T \subseteq R$ and M is pure in G then $M \cap R$ is pure in R .

Proof. (i) If M is maximal disjoint in G from $H \subseteq R$ and $r \in R$, $r \notin M \cap R$ then $\{M, r\} \cap H \neq 0$. Hence there exist $m \in M$, $a \in Z$ such that $m + ar \in H$, $m + ar \neq 0$. Since $H \subseteq R$, we have $m + ar \in R$ so that $m \in M \cap R$. Thus $\{M \cap R, r\} \cap H \neq 0$.

Conversely, if $M \cap R$ is maximal disjoint from H in R and $g \in G$, $g \notin M$ we have $g = m + r$ for some $m \in M$, $r \in R$ by hypothesis. Now, $g \notin M$ implies that $r \notin M \cap R$ so that $\{M \cap R, r\} \cap H \neq 0$. Let $m_1 \in M$, $b \in Z$ such that $h = m_1 + br \in H$, $h \neq 0$. Then $m_1 + bg = h + bm$ so $m_1 - bm + bg = h \in H$, $h \neq 0$, $m_1 - bm \in M$; i.e. $\{M, g\} \cap H \neq 0$. Now since $0 = M \cap R \cap H = M \cap H$, M is maximal disjoint from H as required.

(ii) If $M \cap R$ is pure in R and $ng = m \in M$, let $g = m_1 + r$, $m_1 \in M$, $r \in R$. Then $m = ng = nm_1 + nr$ so $m - nm_1 = nr \in M \cap R$. By purity of $M \cap R$ in R there exists $m_2 \in M \cap R$ such that $nm_2 = m - nm_1$. Hence $n(m_1 + m_2) = m$ with $m_1 + m_2 \in M$ as required.

Conversely, if $T \subseteq R$ and M is pure in G , suppose $nr = m \in M \cap R$ for some $n \in Z$, $r \in R$. By purity of M in G there exists $m_1 \in M$ such that $nm_1 = m$. Then $n(m_1 - r) = 0$ so that $m_1 - r \in T \subseteq R$; i.e. $m_1 \in M \cap R$. Thus $M \cap R$ is pure in R .

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose $T \subseteq H$ and let M be maximal disjoint from H in G . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) M is pure in G
- (ii) $(M + T)/T$ is pure in G/T
- (iii) $(M + T)/T$ is maximal disjoint from H/T in G/T .

Proof. It is well known (cf. [2, p. 94]) that if M is pure in G then $\{M, T\}$ is pure in G and in our case the converse is true since $\{M, T\} = M \oplus T$. Now, since T is pure in G , $M + T$ is pure in G if and only if $M + T/T$ is pure in G/T so that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Also, since G/T is torsion free, if $M + T/T$ is maximal disjoint from H/T then $M + T/T$ is pure in G/T so that (iii) implies (ii). Finally assume that M is pure in G and let $g + T \in G/T$, $g + T \notin M + T/T$. Then $g \notin M$ so there exist $m \in M$, $a \in Z$ such that $m + ag \in H$, $m + ag \neq 0$. If $m + ag - t \in T$ say $bt = 0$. Then $bm = -bag$ and by purity of M in G there exists $m_1 \in M$ such that $bam_1 = bm$. Then $b(am_1 - m) = 0$ so $am_1 = m$ since $M \cap T = 0$. Now we have $t = m + ag = a(m_1 + g) \in T$ whence $m_1 + g \in T$. But this contradicts $g + T \notin M + T/T$. We conclude that $(m + ag) + T \in H/T$, $m + ag + T \neq T$ so that, disjointness of $M + T/T$ from H/T being clear, $M + T/T$ is maximal disjoint from H/T in G/T .

5. On the splitting of mixed groups. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 3.2 we have

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let T be the maximal torsion subgroup of the mixed group G . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $G = M \oplus T$.
- (ii) M is maximal disjoint from T in G and pure in G .
- (iii) M is maximal disjoint from T in G and the natural mapping $\nu: G \rightarrow G/T$ is height preserving on M ; i.e. $h_p(m) = h_p(\nu(m))$ for all $m \in M$, and all primes p .

As a result, there exist groups at the opposite end of the spectrum from centers of purity; i.e. since there exist nonsplitting mixed groups, we have

COROLLARY 5.2. *There exist groups G containing subgroups H such that, if M is maximal disjoint from H in G then M is not pure.*

One is tempted to try to use Proposition 5.1 to obtain splitting criteria for mixed groups in terms of the structure of the groups. If, for example, G contains a subgroup M maximal disjoint from T and p -divisible for all p for which $T_p \neq 0$ then M is pure by Theorem 2.1 and hence G splits. A necessary condition for such a situation is

of course that G/T be p -divisible for all p for which $T_p \neq 0$. Just this condition has recently been considered by V. S. Žuravskii ([6], [7]).

Although we fail to apply Proposition 5.1 we can point out a generalization of the result of Žuravskii and, since the proof is quite short, it may be worthwhile to include this here. First, we observe

LEMMA 5.3. *Let G be a mixed group and R the subgroup of G generated by a complete system of representatives of $G \bmod T$. If R splits, $R = S \oplus R \cap T$, then G splits, $G = S \oplus T$.*

The proof is immediate. Now, for a mixed group G , we say that G satisfies the *maximal element condition* if each coset of T in G contains an element x such that $h_p(x) = h_p(x + T)$ for all p . Evidently (either directly or by Proposition 5.1) this is a necessary condition for the splitting of G . Let π be the set of primes p for which $T_p \neq 0$.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let G be a mixed group and T its maximal torsion subgroup. Suppose that*

- (i) *G satisfies the maximal element condition.*
- (ii) *G/T is p -divisible for all $p \in \pi$.*
- (iii) *$\bigcap_{p \in \pi} \bigcap_n p^n T$ is bounded.*

Then G splits.

Proof. In each coset of T in G select an element x such that $h_p(x) = h_p(x + T)$ for all p . Then, by (ii) $h_p(x) = \infty$ for all $p \in \pi$. Let R be the subgroup of G generated by the elements so selected. Then it is clear that $R \cap T \subseteq \bigcap_{p \in \pi} \bigcap_n p^n T$ and hence, by (iii) $R \cap T$ is bounded. Thus R splits, so G splits also.

The case treated by Žuravskii is that in which T is p -primary and G/T is rank one. He also constructs an example [7, p. 380, Theorem 3.4] of a nonsplitting mixed group G satisfying (i) and (ii) (with T a primary group and G/T of rank one) but not (iii) so in this sense, condition (iii) is necessary. We remark that, as stated, [7, p. 380, Theorem 3.4] seems to say that given conditions (i) and (ii), the condition (iii) is necessary and sufficient for the splitting of G , but this is obviously false and, equally obviously (from the proof of the theorem) not what the author intended.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. B. Charles, *Étude sur les sous-groupes d'un groupe abélien*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **88** (1960), 217-227.
2. L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Budapest, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1958.
3. J. M. Irwin, *High subgroups of abelian torsion groups*, Pacific J. Math., **11** (1961), 1375-1384.

4. J. M. Irwin and E. A. Walker, *On N -high subgroups of abelian groups*, Pacific J. Math., **11** (1961), 1363-1374.
5. S. Khabbaz, *On a theorem of Charles and Erdelyi*, Bull. Math. Soc. France, **89** (1961), 103-104.
6. V. S. Zuravskii, *On the splitting of certain mixed abelian groups*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **48** (90) (1959), 499/508.
7. ———, *Generalization of some criteria for splitting of mixed abelian groups*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **51** (93) (1960), 377-382.

YALE UNIVERSITY AND AMHERST COLLEGE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Rafael Artzy, <i>Solution of loop equations by adjunction</i>	361
Earl Robert Berkson, <i>A characterization of scalar type operators on reflexive Banach spaces</i>	365
Mario Borelli, <i>Divisorial varieties</i>	375
Raj Chandra Bose, <i>Strongly regular graphs, partial geometries and partially balanced designs</i>	389
R. H. Bruck, <i>Finite nets. II. Uniqueness and imbedding</i>	421
L. Carlitz, <i>The inverse of the error function</i>	459
Robert Wayne Carroll, <i>Some degenerate Cauchy problems with operator coefficients</i>	471
Michael P. Drazin and Emilie Virginia Haynsworth, <i>A theorem on matrices of 0's and 1's</i>	487
Lawrence Carl Eggan and Eugene A. Maier, <i>On complex approximation</i>	497
James Michael Gardner Fell, <i>Weak containment and Kronecker products of group representations</i>	503
Paul Chase Fife, <i>Schauder estimates under incomplete Hölder continuity assumptions</i>	511
Shaul Foguel, <i>Powers of a contraction in Hilbert space</i>	551
Neal Eugene Foland, <i>The structure of the orbits and their limit sets in continuous flows</i>	563
Frank John Forelli, Jr., <i>Analytic measures</i>	571
Robert William Gilmer, Jr., <i>On a classical theorem of Noether in ideal theory</i>	579
P. R. Halmos and Jack E. McLaughlin, <i>Partial isometries</i>	585
Albert Emerson Hurd, <i>Maximum modulus algebras and local approximation in C^n</i>	597
James Patrick Jans, <i>Module classes of finite type</i>	603
Betty Kvarda, <i>On densities of sets of lattice points</i>	611
H. Larcher, <i>A geometric characterization for a class of discontinuous groups of linear fractional transformations</i>	617
John W. Moon and Leo Moser, <i>Simple paths on polyhedra</i>	629
T. S. Motzkin and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Representation of a point of a set as sum of transforms of boundary points</i>	633
Rajakularaman Ponnuswami Pakshirajan, <i>An analogue of Kolmogorov's three-series theorem for abstract random variables</i>	639
Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Čebyšev subspaces of finite codimension in $C(X)$</i>	647
James Dolan Reid, <i>On subgroups of an Abelian group maximal disjoint from a given subgroup</i>	657
William T. Reid, <i>Riccati matrix differential equations and non-oscillation criteria for associated linear differential systems</i>	665
Georg Johann Rieger, <i>Some theorems on prime ideals in algebraic number fields</i> ...	687
Gene Fuerst Rose and Joseph Silbert Ullian, <i>Approximations of functions on the integers</i>	693
F. J. Sansone, <i>Combinatorial functions and regressive isols</i>	703
Leo Sario, <i>On locally meromorphic functions with single-valued moduli</i>	709
Takayuki Tamura, <i>Semigroups and their subsemigroup lattices</i>	725
Pui-kei Wong, <i>Existence and asymptotic behavior of proper solutions of a class of second-order nonlinear differential equations</i>	737
Fawzi Mohamad Yaqub, <i>Free extensions of Boolean algebras</i>	761