

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**APPROXIMATIONS OF FUNCTIONS ON THE INTEGERS**

GENE FUERST ROSE AND JOSEPH SILBERT ULLIAN

# APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS ON THE INTEGERS

GENE F. ROSE AND JOSEPH S. ULLIAN

How can algorithms be used to analyze nonrecursive functions? This question motivates the present work.

Let us suppose that a particular function, with natural numbers as arguments and values, is known to be completely defined but not recursive. Then by Church's thesis,<sup>1</sup> no algorithm gives the functional value for every argument. In some practical situation, however, where a particular sequence of arguments is of interest, it might suffice to have an "approximating algorithm" that performs as follows when applied to the successive arguments in the sequence: for each argument, the algorithm computes a number; for some arguments, this number may differ from the actual functional value, but after sufficiently many arguments have been processed, the proportion of such cases never exceeds a prescribed real number less than unity. If such an approximating algorithm exists whenever the given sequence of arguments is infinite, nonrepeating and effectively generable, then the given function is in some (conceivably useful) sense susceptible to analysis by mechanical means. Functions of this last kind are the object of our investigation; when the above notions are made precise in § 1, they are called "recursively approximable" functions.

In § 2 it is shown that uncountably many nonrecursive functions are recursively approximable; in § 3, that uncountably many functions are not recursively approximable.<sup>2</sup>

**1. A number-theoretic notion of approximation.** Given any function  $f$ , any partial function  $\varphi$ ,<sup>3</sup> and any sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  of natural numbers, let "err ( $n$ )" denote the number of natural numbers  $i < n$  such that  $f(x_i) \neq \varphi(x_i)$ . If  $E$  is a real number and, for all sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $\text{err}(n)/n \leq E$ , then we say that  $\varphi$  *approximates*

---

Received December 5, 1962.

<sup>1</sup> Cf [3].

<sup>2</sup> An analogous notion of approximable function, involving finite sets of arguments rather than sequences, is considered in [5], where a function is called " $m$ -in- $n$ -computable" if there is an algorithm that produces at least  $m$  correct functional values for every set of  $n$  arguments. It was shown that uncountably many functions are not  $m$ -in- $n$ -computable for any  $m > 0$ . The existence of nonrecursive  $m$ -in- $n$ -computable functions with  $m > 0$  was left an open question; an affirmative answer, however, was soon provided by Dana Scott in an unpublished communication.

<sup>3</sup> By "function" we mean, unless otherwise specified, "total singularly function" (in the sense of [1] p. xxi). A "partial function" is any singularly function whose domain is a subset of the natural numbers.

$f$  with error  $E$  on the given sequence.

It may happen that, for every infinite nonrepeating recursive enumeration  $x_0, x_1, \dots$ ,<sup>5</sup> there is a partial recursive function  $\varphi$  that approximates  $f$  with error  $E$  on  $x_0, x_1, \dots$ .<sup>6</sup> In this case we say that  $f$  is *recursively approximable with error  $E$* . A function recursively approximable with some error  $<1$  is called *recursively approximable*.

**2. Recursively approximable functions.** Are there recursively approximable functions other than the recursive functions? The Myhill-Friedberg notion of maximal set provides an affirmative answer through Theorem 2.1.<sup>7</sup> By Corollary 2.2 below, every recursion function  $f$  recursively approximates the uncountably many functions which agree with  $f$  on a maximal set.<sup>8</sup> In fact, we establish a stronger result as follows.

We consider an extension of the notion of maximal set. For convenience, a set  $C$  is called *cohesive* if it is infinite and, for every recursively enumerable set  $R$ , either  $R \cap C$  or  $\bar{R} \cap C$  is finite. A set is *quasi-maximal* if for some positive natural number  $m$ , its complement is the union of  $m$  cohesive sets. Thus the maximal sets are those quasi-maximal sets for which the number  $m$  can be taken as 1. Through Theorem 2.1, the notion of quasi-maximal set provides a sufficient condition that a function  $f$  be recursively approximable. This condition is that there exist a recursive function  $r$  that agrees on some quasi-maximal set with  $f$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $f$  be any function,  $r$  any recursive function,  $Q$  any quasi-maximal set such that  $f$  and  $r$  agree on  $Q$ . Then  $r$  recursively approximates  $f$  with arbitrary positive error on every infinite nonrepeating recursive enumeration.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\bar{Q} = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$  where the  $C$ 's are cohesive sets. Let  $E$  be any positive real number and  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  any infinite nonrepeating recursive enumeration. Choose a natural number  $p \geq (m+1)/E$  and, for each natural number  $j < p$ , let  $X_j = \{x_i \mid i \equiv j \pmod{p}\}$ .

<sup>4</sup> In order to realize an approximating algorithm in the sense of the Introduction, it would be necessary to require that  $\varphi$  be defined for all  $x_i$ . It will be obvious, however, that the current results would be unaffected by this additional requirement.

<sup>5</sup> A "recursive enumeration" is any sequence  $x(0), x(1), \dots$  where  $x$  is a recursive function.

<sup>6</sup> Terminology regarding recursive functions and recursively enumerable sets is essentially that of [3]. However, "recursive" is used throughout for "general recursive," and the empty set is regarded as recursively enumerable.

<sup>7</sup> A set  $M$  is maximal if (i)  $\bar{M}$  is infinite and (ii) for every recursively enumerable set  $R$ , either  $R \cap \bar{M}$  or  $\bar{R} \cap \bar{M}$  is finite. The existence of recursively enumerable maximal sets is established in [2].

<sup>8</sup> Functions  $r$  and  $f$  are said to agree on a set  $X$  if, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $r(x) = f(x)$ .

For each  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m$ ) we consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots\} \cap C_k$  is finite. Let  $q_k$  be the number of its members. Then the number of numbers  $i < n$  such that  $f(x_i) \neq r(x_i)$  and  $x_i \in C_k$  is  $\leq q_k$ .

*Case 2.*  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots\} \cap C_k$  is infinite. Then for some  $j < p$ ,  $X_j \cap C_k$  is infinite. Because  $C_k$  is cohesive and  $X_j$  is recursively enumerable,  $\bar{X}_j \cap C_k$  is finite; let  $q_k$  be the number of its members. Now  $\{x_i \mid f(x_i) \neq r(x_i) \text{ and } x_i \in C_k\} \subset C_k = (X_j \cap C_k) \cup (\bar{X}_j \cap C_k) \subset X_j \cup (\bar{X}_j \cap C_k)$ . Therefore the number of numbers  $i < n$  such that  $f(x_i) \neq r(x_i)$  and  $x_i \in C_k$  is  $\leq ((n - 1)/p) + 1 + q_k$ .

By hypothesis, if  $f(x_i) \neq r(x_i)$  then  $x_i \in C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$ . Hence  $\text{err}(n) \leq m(n - 1)/p + m + q_1 + \dots + q_m$ . Therefore

$$\text{err}(n)/n \leq m/p + (p(q_1 + \dots + q_m) + mp - m)/(np)$$

and, for all  $n \geq p(q_1 + \dots + q_m) + mp - m$ ,  $\text{err}(n)/n \leq E$ .

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *For every recursive function  $r$ , there are uncountably many functions  $f$  such that  $r$  recursively approximates  $f$  with arbitrary positive error on every infinite nonrepeating recursive enumeration.*

*Proof.* Given any recursive function  $r$ , choose any quasi-maximal set  $Q$ . For each subset  $S$  of  $\bar{Q}$ , let  $f_S$  be the function such that  $f_S(x) = 1 \div r(x)$  if  $x \in S$ ,  $f_S(x) = r(x)$  otherwise. The functions  $f_S$ , being in one-to-one correspondence with the subsets of  $\bar{Q}$ , are uncountable.

For brevity, we will call a function “maximal” if it is not recursive and it agrees on some maximal set with some recursive function, “quasi-maximal” if it is not recursive and it agrees on some quasi-maximal set with some recursive function. In Theorem 2.1, the quasi-maximal functions were shown to be recursively approximable. By means of Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, we will show that there are uncountably many quasi-maximal functions, and consequently uncountably many recursively approximable functions, that are not maximal. For this purpose, let us define the *rank of a quasi-maximal set*  $Q$  to be the minimum number  $m$  such that  $\bar{Q}$  is the union of  $m$  cohesive sets. Then define the *rank of a quasi-maximal function*  $f$  to be the minimum number  $m$  such that  $f$  agrees on some quasi-maximal set of rank  $m$  with some recursive function. Thus the maximal sets (functions) are the quasi-maximal sets (functions) of rank 1.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *If  $C_1, \dots, C_m$  are cohesive sets, then every recursively enumerable subset of  $C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $R$  is an infinite recursively enumerable subset of  $C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$ . Then there is a recursive function  $r$  such that  $r(0), r(1), \dots$  enumerates  $R$  without repetition. Let  $R_j = \{r(i) \mid i \equiv j \pmod{m+1}\} (j = 0, \dots, m)$ . Then  $R_0, \dots, R_m$  are  $m+1$  disjoint infinite recursively enumerable subsets of  $C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$ . Hence at least two distinct  $R$ 's, say  $R_j$  and  $R_k$ , have an infinite intersection with the same  $C_i$ . Since  $R_k \subset \bar{R}_j$ , it follows that  $C_i \cap R_j$  and  $C_i - R_j$  are infinite, contrary to the fact that  $C_i$  is cohesive.

LEMMA 2.4. *If  $Q$  and  $R$  are quasi-maximal sets and  $R - Q$  is finite, then the rank of  $Q \leq$  rank of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be the rank of  $Q$ ,  $n$  the rank of  $R$ . There are cohesive sets  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{R} = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_n .$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad \bar{Q} = (D_1 - Q) \cup \dots \cup (D_n - Q) \cup (R - Q) .$$

Since  $\bar{Q}$  is infinite and  $R - Q$  is finite, at least one  $D_i - Q$  is infinite. We may assume without loss of generality that the infinite sets  $D_i - Q$  are  $D_1 - Q, \dots, D_h - Q$  where  $1 \leq h \leq n$ . Hence from (2.2)

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{Q} = ((D_1 - Q) \cup F) \cup \dots \cup ((D_h - Q) \cup F)$$

where  $F$  is finite. For each  $i (1 \leq i \leq h)$ ,  $D_i - Q$ , being an infinite subset of the cohesive set  $D_i$ , is obviously cohesive, hence  $(D_i - Q) \cup F$  is cohesive. Since  $Q$  has rank  $m$ , it follows from (2.3) that  $m \leq h \leq n$ .

THEOREM 2.5. *For every natural number  $m > 1$ , there is a recursively enumerable quasi-maximal set of rank  $m$ . Hence there are infinitely many quasi-maximal sets that are not maximal.*

*Proof.* Choose a recursively enumerable maximal set  $Q_1$  and let  $e$  be a recursive function such that  $e(0), e(1), \dots$  enumerates  $Q_1$  without repetition. Define by induction on  $m$  the sets  $Q_m$  and  $C_m (m = 1, 2, \dots)$  thus.

$$(2.4) \quad C_1 = \bar{Q}_1; \text{ for all } m > 1, Q_m = e(Q_{m-1}) \text{ and } C_m = Q_{m-1} - Q_m .^9$$

Clearly, each  $Q_m$  is recursively enumerable. By induction on  $m$  we establish the following properties of the  $Q$ 's and  $C$ 's. For all  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad Q_{m+1} \subset Q_m ;$$

<sup>9</sup> For any function  $f$  and set  $X$ , we denote the image of  $X$  under the mapping  $f$  by " $f(X)$ ."

$$(2.6) \quad C_{m+1} = e(C_m) ;$$

$$(2.7) \quad C_m \text{ is cohesive};$$

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{Q}_m = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m .$$

*Basis.* Let  $m = 1$ . Now  $Q_2 = e(Q_1)$  and  $Q_1 = e(N)$  where  $N$  is the set of all natural numbers.  $Q_1 \subset N$ ; therefore (2.5) holds. Next, note that  $e$  is a one-to-one mapping. Hence  $C_2 = e(N) - e(Q_1) = e(N - Q_1) = e(C_1)$ ; i.e. (2.6) holds. Because  $Q_1$  is maximal,  $C_1$  is cohesive; i.e. (2.7) holds. By (2.4), (2.8) holds.

*Induction step.* Let  $m > 1$ . By (2.4),  $Q_{m+1} = e(Q_m)$  and  $Q_m = e(Q_{m-1})$ . By induction hypothesis,  $Q_m \subset Q_{m-1}$ . Therefore (2.5) holds. By (2.4),  $C_{m+1} = e(Q_{m-1}) - e(Q_m) = e(Q_{m-1} - Q_m) = e(C_m)$ ; i.e. (2.6) holds. By induction hypothesis  $C_{m-1}$  is cohesive, hence infinite. Then by (2.6)  $C_m$  is infinite. Let  $R$  be any recursively enumerable set. The set  $\{x | e(x) \in R\}$  (call it  $R'$ ) is recursively enumerable. In view of (2.6) and the fact that  $e$  is one-to-one,  $C_m \cap R = e(C_{m-1} \cap R')$  and  $C_m - R = e(C_{m-1} - R')$ . Suppose that  $C_m \cap R$  is infinite. Then  $C_{m-1} \cap R'$  must be infinite. Then, because  $C_{m-1}$  is cohesive,  $C_{m-1} - R'$  is finite, and consequently  $C_m - R$  is finite. Thus (2.7) holds. Finally, in view of (2.5),  $\bar{Q}_m = \bar{Q}_{m-1} \cup (Q_{m-1} - Q_m) = \bar{Q}_{m-1} \cup C_m$ . Hence by induction hypothesis (2.8) holds.

Having established (2.5)–(2.8) we now show that, for all  $m > 1$ ,  $Q_m$  has rank  $m$ . By (2.8) and (2.7),  $Q_m$  has rank  $\leq m$ . Let  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  be any cohesive sets such that  $\bar{Q}_m = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_n$ . By (2.8) each  $C_i$  has an infinite intersection with at least one  $D_k$ . Moreover, if  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ ,  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  cannot both have an infinite intersection with the same  $D_k$ . If they did, then by (2.8)  $C_i \cap D_k \subset \bar{Q}_i \cap D_k$  and, by (2.4) and (2.5),  $C_j \cap D_k \subset Q_{j-1} \cap D_k \subset Q_i \cap D_k$ ; then  $Q_i \cap D_k$  and  $\bar{Q}_i \cap D_k$  would both be infinite, contrary to the fact that  $Q_i$  is recursively enumerable and  $D_k$  is cohesive. Thus for each  $i$  between 1 and  $m$  there must be a distinct  $k$  between 1 and  $n$ . Therefore  $n \geq m$ . We conclude that  $Q_m$  has rank  $m$ .

**THEOREM 2.6.** *For every natural number  $m > 1$ , there are uncountably many quasi-maximal functions of rank  $m$ . Hence there are uncountably many quasi-maximal functions that are not maximal.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.5 there is a recursively enumerable quasi-maximal set  $Q$  of rank  $m$ . For each of the uncountably many subsets  $S$  of  $\bar{Q}$  let  $f_s$  be the function such that

$$f_s(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in Q, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in S, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There are uncountably many functions  $f_s$  since they are in one-to-one correspondence with the sets  $S$ . If  $f_s$  were recursive, then  $\bar{Q}$  would be the infinite recursively enumerable set  $\{x | f_s(x) \neq 0\}$ , contrary to Lemma 2.3. Hence each  $f_s$  is nonrecursive. Therefore, since  $f_s$  agrees on  $Q$  with the constant function 0,  $f_s$  is a quasi-maximal function of rank  $\leq m$ .

Moreover, consider any quasi-maximal set  $R$  and any recursive function  $r$  such that  $f_s$  agrees on  $R$  with  $r$ . Now  $Q$  and  $\{x | r(x) \neq 0\}$  are recursively enumerable and  $\{x | r(x) \neq 0\} \cap Q \subset \bar{R}$ . Hence  $\{x | r(x) \neq 0\} \cap Q$ , being a recursively enumerable subset of  $\bar{R}$ , is finite by Lemma 2.3. Hence  $\{x | r(x) \neq 0\} - Q$ , which  $= \{x | r(x) \neq 0\} - (\{x | r(x) \neq 0\} \cap Q)$ , is a recursively enumerable subset of  $\bar{Q}$ . Hence by Lemma 2.3  $\{x | r(x) \neq 0\} - Q$ , is finite. Hence  $R - Q$ , which  $\subset \{x | r(x) \neq 0\} - Q$ , is finite. Hence by Lemma 2.4  $R$  has rank  $\geq m$ . Therefore  $f_s$  has rank  $m$ .

**3. Functions that are not recursively approximable.** It will now be shown that not every function is recursively approximable. That is to say, there are functions  $f$  with the following property: there is an infinite nonrepeating recursive enumeration  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  such that, for every real number  $E < 1$  and every partial recursive function  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi$  does not approximate  $f$  with error  $E$  on  $x_0, x_1, \dots$ .

Let us call a function  $f$  *constructively nonrecursive* if there is a recursive function  $g$  such that, for all natural numbers  $e$ ,  $f(g(e)) \neq \{e\}(g(e))$ .<sup>10</sup> In view of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, the constructively nonrecursive functions form an uncountably infinite subclass of the functions that are not recursively approximable.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If a function is constructively nonrecursive, then it is not recursively approximable.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be any constructively nonrecursive function and  $g$  a recursive function such that, for all  $e$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad f(g(e)) \neq \{e\}(g(e)).$$

First we will exhibit a recursive binary function  $c$  such that, for all  $i$  and  $e$ ,

<sup>10</sup> For any  $n \geq 1$  and any  $e, x_1, \dots, x_n$ , " $\{e\}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ " denotes the ambiguous value  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of the partial recursive  $n$ -ary function  $\varphi$  whose Gödel number is  $e$ . (Cf. [3], p. 340.)

$$(3.2) \quad c(i, e) > i ,$$

$$(3.3) \quad f(c(i, e)) \neq \{e\} (c(i, e)) .$$

For this purpose, let  $\psi$  be the partial recursive quaternary function defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \psi(z, i, e, x) \simeq \begin{cases} (z)_x & \text{if } x \leq i ,^{11} \\ \{e\} (x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then there is a primitive recursive ternary function  $a$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \{a(z, i, e)\} (x) \simeq \psi(z, i, e, x) .^{12}$$

Now for any natural numbers  $i$  and  $e$ , let  $z$  be the number  $\prod_{j \leq i} p_j^{f(j)}$ .<sup>13</sup> Because  $a$  and  $g$  are completely defined,  $g(a(z, i, e))$  is defined. Hence either  $g(a(z, i, e)) \leq i$  or  $g(a(z, i, e)) > i$ . But  $g(a(z, i, e))$  cannot be  $\leq i$ , for in that case

$$\begin{aligned} \{a(z, i, e)\} (g(a(z, i, e))) &\simeq [\text{by (3.5)}] \psi(z, i, e, g(a(z, i, e))) \\ &\simeq [\text{by (3.4)}] (z)_{g(a(z, i, e))} \simeq f(g(a(z, i, e))) , \end{aligned}$$

contrary to (3.1). Hence  $g(a(z, i, e)) > i$ . Therefore  $\mu z(g(a(z, i, e)) > i)$  is a recursive function of  $i$  and  $e$ . It now follows that (3.6) and (3.7) define  $b$  and  $c$  as recursive binary functions.

$$(3.6) \quad b(i, e) = \mu z(g(a(z, i, e)) > i) ,$$

$$(3.7) \quad c(i, e) = g(a(b(i, e), i, e)) .$$

By (3.6) and (3.7), (3.2) holds. Now for any natural numbers  $i$  and  $e$ , assume that  $f(c(i, e)) = \{e\} (c(i, e))$ . Then  $\{e\}(c(i, e))$  is defined and  $f(g(a(b(i, e), i, e))) = [\text{by (3.7)}] f(c(i, e)) = \{e\} (c(i, e)) = [\text{by (3.2) and (3.4)}] \psi(b(i, e), i, e, c(i, e)) = [\text{by (3.5)}] \{a(b(i, e), i, e)\} (c(i, e)) = [\text{by (3.7)}] \{a(b(i, e), i, e)\} (g(a(b(i, e), i, e)))$ , contrary to (3.1). Therefore (3.3) follows by contradiction.

Next, define the primitive recursive functions  $d$  and  $e$  and the recursive function  $x$  thus.

$$(3.8) \quad d(i) = \mu j((j + 1)! > i) ;$$

$$(3.9) \quad e(i) = d(i) \div (d(d(i)))! ;$$

$$(3.10) \quad x(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 , \\ c(x(i \div 1), e(i)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By (3.2),  $x(0) < x(1) < \dots$ , so that  $x(0), x(1), \dots$  is an infinite non-repeating recursive enumeration. We now show that, for any real

<sup>11</sup> For the notation  $(z)_x$ , cf. [3], p. 230.

<sup>12</sup> Cf. [3], § 65, Theorem XXIII.

<sup>13</sup> For the notation  $p_j$ , cf. [3], p. 230.



number  $E < 1$  and any partial recursive function  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi$  does not approximate  $f$  with error  $E$  on  $x(0), x(1), \dots$ , thereby proving that  $f$  is not recursively approximable. The proof is by contradiction. Thus, assume that  $\varphi$  approximates  $f$  with error  $E$  on  $x(0), x(1), \dots$ . Then there is a natural number  $N$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad \text{for all } n > N, \text{ err}(n)/n \leq E.$$

Choose any Gödel number  $t$  of  $\varphi$  and let  $k$  be a natural number  $> \max(N, t, 1/(1-E))$ . Then for the  $(k! + t + 1)! - (k! + t)!$  natural numbers  $i$  such that  $(k! + t)! \leq i < (k! + t + 1)!$ ,  $e(i) = t$ ; hence by (3.10) and (3.3)  $f(x(i)) \neq \{t\}(x(i))$ . Therefore  $(k! + t + 1)! > N$  and  $\text{err}((k! + t + 1)!)/(k! + t + 1)! \geq 1 - (k! + t)/(k! + t + 1) = 1 - 1/(k! + t + 1) > E$ , contrary to (3.11).

For Theorem 3.2, we use the following notation from [4]. For any natural number  $e$ , " $W_e$ " denotes the set of all numbers  $y$  such that, for some  $x$ ,  $\{e\}(x) = y$ . A set  $P$  is *productive* if and only if there is a partial recursive function  $\psi$  such that, for all  $e$ , if  $W_e \subset P$  then  $\psi(e) \in P - W_e$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *The representing function of any productive set is constructively nonrecursive. Hence uncountably many functions are not recursively approximable.*

*Proof.* Given any productive set  $P$ , let  $f$  be the function such that

$$(3.12) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in P, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Myhill has shown that there is a recursive function  $g$  such that, for all natural numbers  $e$ ,

$$(3.13) \quad g(e) \in (P - W_e) \cup (W_e - P).^{14}$$

Moreover there is a recursive function  $h$  such that, for all natural numbers  $e$ ,

$$(3.14) \quad W_{h(e)} = \{y \mid \{e\}(y) = 0\}.$$

(For example, we can take for  $h$  the primitive recursive function  $\lambda x \mu y (y \geq x \& \{e\}(y) = 0)$ . For the  $\lambda$ -notation, cf. [3], § 65.) Now let  $e$  be any natural number. By (3.12)  $f(g(h(e))) = 0$  if and only if  $g(h(e)) \in P$ ; hence by (3.13) if and only if  $g(h(e)) \notin W_{h(e)}$ ; hence by (3.14) if and only if  $\{e\}(g(h(e))) \neq 0$ . Thus  $g(h)$  is a recursive function such that, for all  $e$ ,  $f(g(h(e))) \neq \{e\}(g(h(e)))$ . Therefore  $f$  is constructively

<sup>14</sup> Cf. [4], § 3.153.

nonrecursive.

It now follows from Theorem 3.1 that the representing functions of productive sets are not recursively approximable. Moreover, by [4], p. 47, there are uncountably many productive sets. Hence uncountably many functions are not recursively approximable.

REMARK. The proof of Theorem 3.2 can readily be generalized to show that a function  $f$  is constructively nonrecursive if there is a recursively enumerable set  $A$  and a productive set  $P$  such that  $f(x) \in A$  if and only if  $x \in P$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Davis, *Computability and unsolvability*, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1958.
2. R. M. Friedberg, *Three theorems on recursive enumeration. I. Decomposition. II. Maximal set. III. Enumeration without duplication*, *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, **23** (1958), 309-316.
3. S. C. Kleene, *Introduction to metamathematics*, Amsterdam (North Holland), Groningen (Noordhoff), New York and Toronto (Van Nostrand), 1952.
4. H. Rogers, Jr., *Theory of recursive functions and effective computability*, Mimeographed by Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1956, **1**.
5. G. F. Rose, *An extended notion of computability*, International Congress for Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science (Stanford University, Stanford, California, August 24-September 2, 1960), Abstracts of contributed papers, p. 14.

SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION  
AND  
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE  
University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE  
University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY  
M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN  
E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS  
F. WOLF

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 13, No. 2

April, 1963

Rafael Artzy, <i>Solution of loop equations by adjunction</i> . . . . .	361
Earl Robert Berkson, <i>A characterization of scalar type operators on reflexive Banach spaces</i> . . . . .	365
Mario Borelli, <i>Divisorial varieties</i> . . . . .	375
Raj Chandra Bose, <i>Strongly regular graphs, partial geometries and partially balanced designs</i> . . . . .	389
R. H. Bruck, <i>Finite nets. II. Uniqueness and imbedding</i> . . . . .	421
L. Carlitz, <i>The inverse of the error function</i> . . . . .	459
Robert Wayne Carroll, <i>Some degenerate Cauchy problems with operator coefficients</i> . . . . .	471
Michael P. Drazin and Emilie Virginia Haynsworth, <i>A theorem on matrices of 0's and 1's</i> . . . . .	487
Lawrence Carl Eggan and Eugene A. Maier, <i>On complex approximation</i> . . . . .	497
James Michael Gardner Fell, <i>Weak containment and Kronecker products of group representations</i> . . . . .	503
Paul Chase Fife, <i>Schauder estimates under incomplete Hölder continuity assumptions</i> . . . . .	511
Shaul Foguel, <i>Powers of a contraction in Hilbert space</i> . . . . .	551
Neal Eugene Foland, <i>The structure of the orbits and their limit sets in continuous flows</i> . . . . .	563
Frank John Forelli, Jr., <i>Analytic measures</i> . . . . .	571
Robert William Gilmer, Jr., <i>On a classical theorem of Noether in ideal theory</i> . . . . .	579
P. R. Halmos and Jack E. McLaughlin, <i>Partial isometries</i> . . . . .	585
Albert Emerson Hurd, <i>Maximum modulus algebras and local approximation in <math>C^n</math></i> . . . . .	597
James Patrick Jans, <i>Module classes of finite type</i> . . . . .	603
Betty Kvarda, <i>On densities of sets of lattice points</i> . . . . .	611
H. Larcher, <i>A geometric characterization for a class of discontinuous groups of linear fractional transformations</i> . . . . .	617
John W. Moon and Leo Moser, <i>Simple paths on polyhedra</i> . . . . .	629
T. S. Motzkin and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Representation of a point of a set as sum of transforms of boundary points</i> . . . . .	633
Rajakularaman Ponnuswami Pakshirajan, <i>An analogue of Kolmogorov's three-series theorem for abstract random variables</i> . . . . .	639
Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Čebyšev subspaces of finite codimension in <math>C(X)</math></i> . . . . .	647
James Dolan Reid, <i>On subgroups of an Abelian group maximal disjoint from a given subgroup</i> . . . . .	657
William T. Reid, <i>Riccati matrix differential equations and non-oscillation criteria for associated linear differential systems</i> . . . . .	665
Georg Johann Rieger, <i>Some theorems on prime ideals in algebraic number fields</i> . . . . .	687
Gene Fuerst Rose and Joseph Silbert Ullian, <i>Approximations of functions on the integers</i> . . . . .	693
F. J. Sansone, <i>Combinatorial functions and regressive isols</i> . . . . .	703
Leo Sario, <i>On locally meromorphic functions with single-valued moduli</i> . . . . .	709
Takayuki Tamura, <i>Semigroups and their subsemigroup lattices</i> . . . . .	725
Pui-kei Wong, <i>Existence and asymptotic behavior of proper solutions of a class of second-order nonlinear differential equations</i> . . . . .	737
Fawzi Mohamad Yaqub, <i>Free extensions of Boolean algebras</i> . . . . .	761