

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**FREE EXTENSIONS OF BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS**

FAWZI MOHAMAD YAQUB

# FREE EXTENSIONS OF BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

F. M. YAQUB

**Introduction.** This paper is concerned with the problem of imbedding a Boolean algebra  $B$  into an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $B^*$  in such a way that certain homomorphisms of  $B$  can be extended to  $B^*$ . We investigate two such imbeddings which arose naturally from the consideration of the work of Rieger and Sikorski in [5] and [7]. In [5] Rieger proved the existence of a certain class of free Boolean algebras and investigated their representability by  $\alpha$ -fields of sets. Rieger's theorem on the existence of "the free  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra on  $m$  generators" is equivalent to the following statement: Every free Boolean algebra  $B$  can be imbedded in an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $B^*$  such that every homomorphism of  $B$  into an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $C$  can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B^*$  into  $C$ . The question now arises: Does this result hold for an arbitrary Boolean algebra  $B$  which is not necessarily free? If such an imbedding exists, we call  $B^*$  the *free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $B$* .

In [7], Sikorski gave a characterization of all the  $\sigma$ -regular extensions of a Boolean algebra  $B$ . To obtain this characterization, he first proved that  $B$  can be imbedded as a  $\sigma$ -regular subalgebra of a  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean algebra  $B^*$  such that every  $\sigma$ -homomorphism of  $B$  into a  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean algebra  $C$  can be extended to a  $\sigma$ -homomorphism of  $B^*$  into  $C$ . We call  $B^*$  the *free  $\sigma$ -regular extension of  $B$* .

In § 2 of this paper we prove that the free  $\alpha$ -extension  $B_\alpha$  of  $B$  exists uniquely for every Boolean algebra  $B$  and every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ . In § 3 we investigate the representability of  $B_\alpha$  by an  $\alpha$ -field of sets. We first prove that  $B_\alpha$  is isomorphic to an  $\alpha$ -field of sets if and only if it is  $\alpha$ -representable. A corollary to this result is that the free  $\sigma$ -extension  $B_\sigma$  of an arbitrary Boolean algebra  $B$  is isomorphic to a  $\sigma$ -field of sets. The problem of characterizing those Boolean algebras  $B$  for which  $B_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable for  $\alpha \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$  is also discussed. In § 4 we investigate the  $\alpha$ -regular extensions of Boolean algebras for an arbitrary cardinal number  $\alpha$ . Sikorski's results on the  $\sigma$ -regular extensions depend on the Loomis-Sikorski theorem which does not hold for uncountable cardinal numbers. We use our results on the free  $\alpha$ -extension  $B_\alpha$  of  $B$  to prove the existence of the free  $\alpha$ -regular extension and to give a characterization of the  $\alpha$ -regular

---

Received December 14, 1962. This work consists of the main results contained in the author's thesis which was submitted to the faculty of Purdue University in January, 1962. The author gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the National Science Foundation and the International Business Machines Corporation during the preparation of this work.

extensions of  $B$ .

Our result on the existence of the free  $\alpha$ -regular extension of  $B$  is a special case of a more general result of Kerstan [3], but it is obtained here by a different method. We also learned through a written communication from Professor Sikorski that he also proved the same result and his proof will appear in [10]. Sikorski's proof is similar to ours; however he works with the free  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebras while we work with the free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $B$  (see Theorem 4.1 below). The characterization of the  $\alpha$ -regular extensions of  $B$  given in Theorem 4.2 does not appear in [3] or [10]; the free  $\alpha$ -extensions of Boolean algebras have not been considered in either of these papers.

**1. Preliminaries.** Throughout this paper, the product (=greatest lower bound) of a set  $\{x_t: t \in T\}$  of elements of a Boolean algebra  $B$  will be denoted, whenever it exists, by  $\prod_{t \in T} x_t$ . If  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $B$  and  $x_t \in A$  for every  $t \in T$ , then the set  $\{x_t: t \in T\}$  may have two products, one taken in  $A$  and the other in  $B$ ; we denote these products, whenever they exist, by  $\prod_{t \in T}^A x_t$  and  $\prod_{t \in T}^B x_t$  respectively. The complement of an element  $x$  of  $B$  will be denoted by  $\bar{x}$ , and the symbol "0" will designate the zero element of  $B$ .

Definitions of the more familiar Boolean concepts which are not given in this section can be found in [9] or [2]. A homomorphism  $h$  of a Boolean algebra  $A$  into a Boolean algebra  $B$  is an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism if it preserves  $\alpha$ -sums (hence  $\alpha$ -products) whenever they exist in  $A$ . Equivalently ([9], § 22),  $h$  is an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $A$  into  $B$  if and only if  $\prod_{x \in S} h(x) = 0$  for every subset  $S$  of  $A$  such that  $|S| \leq \alpha$  and  $\prod_{x \in S} x = 0$ .  $h$  is an  $\alpha$ -isomorphism if it is a one-to-one  $\alpha$ -homomorphism.  $h$  is a complete homomorphism (complete isomorphism) if it is an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism ( $\alpha$ -isomorphism) for every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ . A subalgebra  $A$  of a Boolean algebra  $B$  is  $\alpha$ -regular if the injection mapping of  $A$  into  $B$  is an  $\alpha$ -isomorphism. Equivalently,  $A$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra of  $B$  if and only if  $\prod_{x \in S}^B x = 0$  for every subset  $S$  of  $A$  such that  $|S| \leq \alpha$  and  $\prod_{x \in S}^A x = 0$ .  $A$  is a regular subalgebra of  $B$  if it is  $\alpha$ -regular for every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ .

A Boolean algebra  $B$  is free on  $m$  generators ( $m$  is an arbitrary cardinal number) if it is generated by a subset  $E$  with cardinality  $m$  and with the property that every mapping of  $E$  into a Boolean algebra  $C$  can be extended to a homomorphism of  $B$  into  $C$ . All free Boolean algebras on  $m$  generators are isomorphic ([9], § 14) and will be denoted throughout this paper by  $A_m$ . An  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $B$  is a free  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra on  $m$  generators if it is  $\alpha$ -generated by a subset  $E$  with cardinality  $m$  and with the property that every mapping of  $E$  into an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $C$  can be extended

to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B$  into  $C$ . All free  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebras on  $m$  generators are isomorphic [5] and will be denoted here by  $A_m^\alpha$ .

For every Boolean algebra  $B$  and every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ , there exists an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $B^*$  and a complete isomorphism  $h$  of  $B$  into  $B^*$  such that  $h(B)$   $\alpha$ -generates  $B^*$  ([9], § 36). This Boolean algebra  $B^*$ , which is unique up to isomorphisms, is called the *normal  $\alpha$ -completion* (also minimal  $\alpha$ -extension) of  $B$  and will be denoted here by  $B^\alpha$ . If  $B^*$  is complete and  $h$  is a complete isomorphism of  $B$  into  $B^*$  such that  $B^*$  is completely generated by  $h(B)$ , then  $B^*$  is called the *normal completion* (also, minimal extension) of  $B$  and will be denoted by  $B^\infty$ . When dealing with the normal  $\alpha$ -completion (completion) of  $B$ , we shall usually identify  $B$  with  $h(B)$  and thus consider  $B$  as a regular subalgebra of both  $B^\alpha$  and  $B^\infty$ .

The *Stone space* (=Boolean space) of a Boolean algebra  $B$  is the compact, Hausdorff, totally disconnected space whose open-and-closed subsets, ordered by set inclusion, form a Boolean algebra isomorphic to  $B$ . For every Boolean algebra  $B$  and every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $S(B)$  will denote the Stone space of  $B$ ,  $F_0(B)$  the Boolean algebra of open-and-closed subsets of  $S(B)$ , and  $F_\alpha(B)$  the smallest  $\alpha$ -field of subsets of  $S(B)$  containing  $F_0(B)$ .

A Boolean algebra  $B$  is called  *$\alpha$ -representable* if it is isomorphic to an  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra of a quotient algebra  $F/I$ , where  $F$  is an  $\alpha$ -field of sets and  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $F$ . If  $B$  is  $\alpha$ -complete, then this definition reduces to:  $B$  is  $\alpha$ -representable if and only if it is an  $\alpha$ -homomorph of an  $\alpha$ -field of sets. There are  $\alpha$ -complete (even complete) Boolean algebras which are not  $\alpha$ -representable for  $\alpha \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$  ([9], § 29). However, for the case  $\alpha = \aleph_0$ , we have the Loomis-Sikorski theorem ([9], § 29): *Every Boolean algebra is  $\sigma$ -representable.*

## 2. Free $\alpha$ -extensions.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** An  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $B^*$  is called a *free  $\alpha$ -extension* of the Boolean algebra  $B$  if  $B^*$  is  $\alpha$ -generated by a subalgebra  $B_0$  isomorphic to  $B$  such that every homomorphism of  $B_0$  into an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $C$  can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B^*$  into  $C$ .

We shall show in this section that for every Boolean algebra  $B$  and every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ , the free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $B$  exists and is unique up to isomorphisms. We denote the free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $B$  by  $B_\alpha$ , and we shall consider  $B$  as a subalgebra of  $B_\alpha$ , thus identifying it with the subalgebra  $B_0$  of Definition 2.1.

The following lemma follows immediately from Definition 2.1,

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $A_m$  be the free Boolean algebra on  $m$  generators and  $A_m^\alpha$  the free  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra on  $m$  generators. Then  $A_m^\alpha$  is the free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $A_m$ .*

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $A_m$  and  $I^*$  the smallest  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $A_m^\alpha$  containing  $I$ . Then  $I^* \cap A_m = I$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h$  be the canonical homomorphism of  $A_m$  onto  $A_m/I$  and let  $i$  be an isomorphism of  $A_m/I$  onto  $F_0(A_m/I)$ . Then the homomorphism  $ih$  can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism  $h^*$  of  $A_m^\alpha$  into  $F_\alpha(A_m/I)$ . And the kernel of  $h^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal which contains  $I^*$  and intersects  $A_m$  in  $I$ . Hence  $I^* \cap A_m = I$ .

THEOREM 2.1. *For every Boolean algebra  $B$  and every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ , the free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $B$  exists and is unique up to isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* Let  $|B| = m$ . Then there exists an ideal  $I$  of  $A_m$  such that  $A_m/I$  is isomorphic to  $B$ . Let  $I^*$  be the smallest  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $A_m^\alpha$  containing  $I$ . We shall show that  $A_m^\alpha/I^*$  is a free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $B$ .

Lemma 2.2 shows that the subalgebra  $A_m/I^*$  of  $A_m^\alpha/I^*$  is isomorphic to  $B$ . And since  $A_m^\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -generated by  $A_m$ , it follows that  $A_m/I^*$   $\alpha$ -generates  $A_m^\alpha/I^*$ . Thus it only remains to show that homomorphisms of  $A_m/I^*$  can be extended to  $A_m^\alpha/I^*$ . Let  $h$  be a homomorphism of  $A_m/I^*$  into an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $C$ . Let  $f$  be the canonical  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $A_m^\alpha$  onto  $A_m^\alpha/I^*$  and denote the restriction of  $f$  to  $A_m$  by  $f'$ . Then the homomorphism  $g = hf'$  has an extension  $g^*$  which is an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $A_m^\alpha$  into  $C$ . Since both  $I^*$  and the kernel of  $g^*$  are  $\alpha$ -complete ideals containing  $I$ , it follows that  $I^*$  is contained in the kernel of  $g^*$ . We now define the mapping  $h^*$  by:

$$h^*(f(x)) = g^*(x), x \in A_m^\alpha.$$

Then  $h^*$  is the desired extension of  $h$ ; hence  $A_m^\alpha/I^*$  is a free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $B$ .

The uniqueness of the free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $B$  follows from the standard argument used to show that all free Boolean algebras on the same number of generators are isomorphic. Indeed, suppose that  $B$  has two free  $\alpha$ -extensions  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Let  $i$  be an isomorphism of the subalgebra  $B$  of  $B_1$  onto the subalgebra  $B$  of  $B_2$ . Then  $i$  can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B_1$  onto  $B_2$  and the isomorphism  $i^{-1}$  can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism  $i_2$  of  $B_2$  onto  $B_1$ . Let  $B^* = \{x \in B_1; i_2(i_1(x)) = x\}$ . Then  $B^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -complete,  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra of  $B_1$  containing  $B$ . Hence  $B^* = B_1$ , and  $i_1$  is an isomorphism of  $B_1$  onto  $B_2$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $h$  be a homomorphism of a Boolean algebra  $B$  into an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $C$ . Then the extension of  $h$  to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B_\alpha$  into  $C$  is unique.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $h$  has two extensions  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ . Let  $B^* = \{x \in B_\alpha: h_1(x) = h_2(x)\}$ . Then  $B^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -complete,  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra of  $B_\alpha$  containing  $B$ . Hence  $B^* = B_\alpha$ , and  $h_1 = h_2$ .

A slight modification of the proof of Theorem 2.1 yields the following result.

LEMMA 2.4. *If  $I$  is an ideal of  $B$ , then the free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $B/I$  is isomorphic to  $B_\alpha/I^*$ , where  $I^*$  is the smallest  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $B_\alpha$  containing  $I$ .*

LEMMA 2.5. *If  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $B$ , then  $A_\alpha$  is isomorphic to the  $\alpha$ -complete,  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra  $A^*$  of  $B_\alpha$   $\alpha$ -generated by  $A$ .*

*Proof.* We only need to show that if  $h$  is a homomorphism of  $A$  into an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $C$ , then  $h$  can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $A^*$  into  $C$ . Thus, we imbed  $C$  into its normal completion  $C^\infty$ . Then, by a known result ([9], §33.1),  $h$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $h_1$  of  $B$  into  $C^\infty$ . Furthermore,  $h_1$  can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism  $h_2$  of  $B_\alpha$  into  $C^\infty$ . Let  $h^*$  be the restriction of  $h_2$  to  $A^*$ . Then, since  $A^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra of  $B_\alpha$ ,  $h^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $A^*$  into  $C^\infty$ , and the proof will be complete once we show that  $h^*(A^*)$  is contained entirely in  $C$ . Since both  $h^*(A^*)$  and  $C$  are  $\alpha$ -complete,  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebras of  $C^\infty$ , their intersection  $h^*(A^*) \cap C$  is also an  $\alpha$ -complete,  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra of  $C^\infty$ . And since  $h^*(A^*)$  is  $\alpha$ -generated by  $h(A)$ , it follows that  $h^*(A^*) = h^*(A^*) \cap C$ . Hence  $h^*(A^*) \subset C$ , and the proof is now complete.

**3. Representability by  $\alpha$ -field of sets.** In investigating the representability problem of the free  $\alpha$ -extensions of Boolean algebras, the following two natural questions arise: When is the free  $\alpha$ -extension  $B_\alpha$  of a Boolean algebra  $B$  isomorphic to an  $\alpha$ -field of sets? And, when is  $B_\alpha$   $\alpha$ -representable? The following theorem shows that these two questions are equivalent.

THEOREM 3.1. *For every Boolean algebra  $B$  and every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ , there is an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism  $j^*$  of  $B_\alpha$  onto  $F_\alpha(B)$  whose restriction to  $B$  is the canonical imbedding of  $B$  in  $F_0(B)$ . Moreover,  $j^*$  is one-to-one if and only if  $B_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable.*

*Proof.*<sup>1</sup> Let  $j$  be the canonical isomorphism of  $B$  onto  $F_0(B)$  and

<sup>1</sup> This proof, which is considerably shorter than the one intended, is due to the referee.

extend  $j$  to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism  $j^*$  of  $B_\alpha$  into  $F_\alpha(B)$ . Since  $F_\alpha(B)$  is  $\alpha$ -generated by  $F_0(B)$ ,  $j^*$  is onto. Since  $B$  is a subalgebra of  $B_\alpha$ , there is a continuous mapping  $\lambda$  of  $S(B_\alpha)$  onto  $S(B)$  such that for every  $x \in B$ ,  $\lambda^{-1}(j(x)) = i(x)$ , where  $i$  is the canonical isomorphism of  $B_\alpha$  onto  $F_0(B_\alpha)$ . Let  $k$  denote the homomorphism  $E \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}(E)$ , mapping the subsets of  $S(B)$  to subsets of  $S(B_\alpha)$ . Then  $k$  is an  $\alpha$ -isomorphism which maps  $F_0(B)$  into  $F_0(B_\alpha)$ , since  $k(j(x)) = i(x)$  for every  $x \in B$ . Consequently,  $k$  maps  $F_\alpha(B)$  into  $F_\alpha(B_\alpha)$ . If  $B_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable, then  $F_0(B_\alpha)$  is an  $\alpha$ -retract of  $F_\alpha(B_\alpha)$ ; that is, there is an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism  $h$  of  $F_\alpha(B_\alpha)$  onto  $F_0(B_\alpha)$  whose restriction to  $B_\alpha$  is the identity mapping. Then  $i^{-1}hkj^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B_\alpha$  onto itself which is an extension of the identity mapping on  $B$ . Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $i^{-1}hkj^*(x) = x$  for all  $x \in B_\alpha$ . Thus  $j^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -isomorphism.

Since every Boolean algebra is  $\sigma$ -representable (the Loomis-Sikorski Theorem), the last theorem yields the following corollary which answers the representability question for the free  $\sigma$ -extensions of Boolean algebras.

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *For every Boolean algebra  $B$ ,  $B_\sigma$  is isomorphic to the  $\sigma$ -field of sets  $F_\sigma(B)$ .*

The next theorem gives a strong necessary condition that a Boolean algebra  $B$  must satisfy in order for  $B_\alpha$  to be  $\alpha$ -representable when  $\alpha \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $B_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable, then so is every subalgebra and every homomorphic image of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h$  be a homomorphism of  $B$  onto a Boolean algebra  $C$ . Imbed  $C$  into its normal  $\alpha$ -completion  $C^\alpha$  and extend  $h$  to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B_\alpha$  onto  $C^\alpha$ . Since  $B_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable, so is  $C^\alpha$ . And since  $C$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra of  $C^\alpha$ ,  $C$  itself is  $\alpha$ -representable. On the other hand, if  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $B$ , then it follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $A_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable. Hence  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -representable.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** A Boolean algebra  $B$  is called *super-atomic* if every subalgebra and every homomorphic image of  $B$  is atomic.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $\alpha \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . If  $B_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable, then  $B$  is super-atomic.*

*Proof.* We shall first show that if  $B_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable,  $\alpha \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ , then  $B$  is atomic. Suppose  $B$  is not atomic. Then  $B$  has an element

$\alpha$  such that the principal ideal  $(\alpha)$ , when considered as a Boolean algebra, is atomless. Now the Boolean algebra  $(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra  $A$  of  $B$ . For let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $(\alpha)$  and let  $P^* = \{\bar{x} : x \in P\}$ . Then it is not difficult to show that  $A = P \cup P^*$  is a subalgebra of  $B$  isomorphic to the Boolean algebra  $(\alpha)$ . Since  $A$  is atomless, it has a subalgebra  $A'$  isomorphic to the free Boolean algebra on  $\aleph_0$  generators ([1], § 1.7). And since  $B_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable, Lemmas 2.5 and 3.1 show that  $A'$  is  $\alpha$ -representable also. This contradicts the fact that the free Boolean algebra on  $\aleph_0$  generators is not  $\alpha$ -representable if  $\alpha \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . Thus we conclude that  $B$  is atomic.

The proof of the theorem now follows immediately. If  $C$  is a subalgebra of  $B$ , then, by Lemma 2.5,  $C_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable. Hence  $C$  is atomic. On the other hand, if  $C$  is a homomorphic image of  $B$ , then  $C_\alpha$  is an  $\alpha$ -homomorph of  $B_\alpha$ . Thus  $C_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable, hence  $C$  is atomic.

Super-atomic Boolean algebras were discussed briefly in [4] and more recently in more detail by G. W. Day [1]. In particular, Day proved ([1], Theorem 16) the converse of Theorem 3.2. Day also gave the following characterization of super-atomic Boolean algebras: *A Boolean algebra  $B$  is super-atomic if and only if every subalgebra of  $B$  is atomic if and only if every homomorph of  $B$  is atomic.* A characterization of super-atomic Boolean algebras with ordered basis is given by Theorem 3.3 of [4].

Combining Day's result ([1], Theorem 16) with Theorem 3.2, we obtain:

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $\alpha \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . Then  $B_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -representable if and only if  $B$  is super-atomic.*

If  $B$  is not super-atomic and  $\alpha \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ , then  $F_\alpha(B)$  is not isomorphic to  $B_\alpha$ ; however; we shall now show that  $F_\alpha(B)$  is the free  $\alpha$ -extension of  $B$  "over the class of  $\alpha$ -representable Boolean algebras." An  $\alpha$ -complete,  $\alpha$ -representable Boolean algebra  $B^*$  is called the *free  $\alpha$ -representable extension of  $B$*  if  $B^*$  is  $\alpha$ -generated by a subalgebra  $B_0$  isomorphic to  $B$  such that every homomorphism of  $B_0$  into an  $\alpha$ -complete,  $\alpha$ -representable Boolean algebra  $C$  can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B^*$  into  $C$ . We need the following result of Sikorski ([9], 31.1):

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $A_m$  be the free Boolean algebra on  $m$  generators and  $\alpha$  an infinite cardinal number. Then  $F_\alpha(A_m)$  is the free  $\alpha$ -representable extension of  $A_m$ .*



A slight modification of the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows the following:

**THEOREM 3.4.** *For every Boolean algebra  $B$  and every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ , the free  $\alpha$ -representable extension of  $B$  exists and is unique up to isomorphisms.*

The following theorem can be proved by an argument similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *For every Boolean algebra  $B$  and every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $F_\alpha(B)$  is the free  $\alpha$ -representable extension of  $B$ .*

#### 4. Free $\alpha$ -regular extensions.

**DEFINITION 4.1.** An  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $B^*$  is called an  $\alpha$ -regular extension of the Boolean algebra  $B$  if  $B^*$  is  $\alpha$ -generated by an  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra  $B_0$  isomorphic to  $B$ . If, in addition, every  $\alpha$ -complete homomorphism of  $B_0$  into an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $C$  can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B^*$  into  $C$ , then  $B^*$  is called a free  $\alpha$ -regular extension of  $B$ .

$\alpha$ -regular extensions of Boolean algebras were investigated by Sikorski [7]. In this section we investigate the  $\alpha$ -regular extensions of Boolean algebras for an arbitrary infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ . We denote the free  $\alpha$ -regular extension of  $B$  by  $B_\alpha^*$  (its existence and uniqueness are proved in Theorem 4.1). Also, for every Boolean algebra  $B$  and every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ , we define the two ideals  $I_\alpha$  and  $J_\alpha$  as follows:  $I_\alpha$  is the smallest  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $B_\alpha$  containing all elements  $u$  such that  $u = \prod_{t \in T} x_t$ , where  $|T| \leq \alpha$ , each  $x_t \in B$ , and  $\prod_{t \in T} x_t = 0$ . The elements  $u$  will be called the generators of  $I_\alpha$ .  $J_\alpha$  is the smallest  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $F_\alpha(B)$  containing all the nowhere dense  $\alpha$ -closed subsets of the Stone space of  $B$ . (A subset  $E$  of a topological space  $X$  is called  $\alpha$ -closed if  $E$  is the intersection of at most  $\alpha$  open-and-closed subsets of  $X$ .)

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $I$  an  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $B_\alpha$  such that: (a)  $I \supset I_\alpha$ , (b)  $I \cap B = (0)$ . Then  $B_\alpha/I$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular extension of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h$  be the canonical  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B_\alpha$  onto  $B_\alpha/I$  and observe that  $h$  is an isomorphism of  $B$  onto the subalgebra  $B/I$ . Suppose that  $|T| \leq \alpha$  and, for each  $t \in T$ ,  $h(x_t) \in B/I$  such that  $\prod_{t \in T} h(x_t) = 0$ . Then

$$\prod_{t \in T}^{B_\alpha/I} h(x_t) = h\left(\prod_{t \in T}^{B_\alpha} x_t\right) = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that  $\prod_{t \in T}^{B_\alpha} x_t \in I_\alpha$  and condition (a) of the hypothesis. Thus  $B/I$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra of  $B_\alpha/I$ . Furthermore, since  $B_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -generated by  $B$  and  $h$  is an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism, it follows that  $B/I$   $\alpha$ -generates  $B_\alpha/I$ . Hence  $B_\alpha/I$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular extension of  $B$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $\alpha$  an infinite cardinal number. Then the free  $\alpha$ -regular extension  $B_\alpha^*$  of  $B$  exists and is unique up to isomorphisms. Moreover,  $B_\alpha^*$  is isomorphic to  $B_\alpha/I_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* We shall first show that  $I_\alpha \cap B = (0)$ . Let  $B^\alpha$  be the normal  $\alpha$ -completion of  $B$ ; thus  $B^\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -generated by a regular subalgebra  $B_1$  isomorphic to  $B$ . Let  $i$  be an isomorphism of  $B$  onto  $B_1$  and observe that  $i$  is a complete isomorphism of  $B$  into  $B^\alpha$ . Extend  $i$  to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism  $i^*$  of  $B_\alpha$  into  $B^\alpha$  and let  $u$  be a generator of  $I_\alpha$ . Then  $u = \prod_{t \in T}^{B_\alpha} x_t$ , where  $|T| \leq \alpha$ , and  $\prod_{t \in T}^B x_t = 0$ . And

$$i^*(u) = \prod_{t \in T}^{B_\alpha} i^*(x_t) = \prod_{t \in T}^{B_\alpha} i(x_t) = \prod_{t \in T}^{B_1} i(x_t) = i\left(\prod_{t \in T}^B x_t\right) = 0.$$

It follows from this that  $I_\alpha$  is contained in the kernel  $J$  of  $i^*$ . And since  $J \cap B = (0)$ , we have  $I_\alpha \cap B = (0)$  also.

Now, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that  $B_\alpha/I_\alpha$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular extension of  $B$ . Let  $h$  be an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B/I_\alpha$  into an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $C$ . We wish to extend  $h$  to  $B_\alpha/I_\alpha$ . Let  $f$  be the canonical  $\alpha$ -homomorphism of  $B_\alpha$  onto  $B_\alpha/I_\alpha$  and let  $g = hf$ , where  $f_1$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $B$ . Then  $g$  can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism  $g^*$  of  $B_\alpha$  into  $C$ . And, if  $u = \prod_{t \in T}^{B_\alpha} x_t$  is a generator of  $I_\alpha$ , then

$$g^*(u) = \prod_{t \in T} g^*(x_t) = \prod_{t \in T} g(x_t) = \prod_{t \in T} hf(x_t) = hf\left(\prod_{t \in T}^B x_t\right) = 0.$$

Therefore  $I_\alpha$  is contained in the kernel of  $g^*$ . We now define the mapping  $h^*$  by

$$h^*(f(x)) = g^*(x), \quad x \in B_\alpha.$$

Then  $h^*$  is the desired extension of  $h$ , and  $B_\alpha/I_\alpha$  is a free  $\alpha$ -regular extension of  $B$ . The uniqueness of  $B_\alpha^*$  can be proved easily by an argument similar to the one used in proving that  $B_\alpha$  is unique. (See the proof of Theorem 2.1.)

**COROLLARY 4.1.** (Sikorski). *For every Boolean algebra  $B$ ,  $B_\sigma^*$  is isomorphic to  $F_\sigma(B)/J_\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.1,  $B_\sigma$  is isomorphic to  $F_\sigma(B)$ , and the ideal  $I_\sigma$ , when considered as a  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $F_\sigma(B)$ , coincides with  $J_\sigma$ . Thus the conclusion follows from Theorem 4.1.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *An  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $B^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular extension of  $B$  if and only if  $B^*$  is isomorphic to  $B_\alpha/I$ , where  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $B_\alpha$  satisfying the following two conditions: (a)  $I \supset I_\alpha$ ; (b)  $I \cap B = (0)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $B^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular extension of  $B$ . Then  $B^*$  is  $\alpha$ -generated by an  $\alpha$ -regular subalgebra  $B_0$  isomorphic to  $B$ . Let  $i$  be an isomorphism of  $B/I_\alpha$  onto  $B_0$ . Then  $i$  is an  $\alpha$ -isomorphism of  $B/I_\alpha$  into  $B^*$ , hence it can be extended to an  $\alpha$ -homomorphism  $i^*$  of  $B_\alpha/I_\alpha$  onto  $B^*$ . Let  $I = \{x \in B_\alpha: i^*([x]_{I_\alpha}) = 0\}$ . Then  $B^*$  is isomorphic to  $B_\alpha/I$  and  $I$  satisfies conditions (a) and (b). The converse was proved in Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 3.1 yield the following result of Sikorski [7].

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *A  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean algebra  $B^*$  is a  $\sigma$ -regular extension of  $B$  if and only if  $B^*$  is isomorphic to  $F_\sigma(B)/I$ , where  $I$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $F_\sigma(B)$  satisfying the conditions: (a)  $I \supset J_\sigma$ ; (b)  $I \cap F_0(B) = \phi$ .*

The following result is well known ([9], § 35 and 23.2).

**THEOREM 4.3.** *The normal completion  $B^\infty$  of a Boolean algebra  $B$  is isomorphic to  $B_\infty^*$ . That is,  $B^\infty$  has the property that every complete homomorphism of  $B$  into a complete Boolean algebra  $C$  can be extended to a complete homomorphism of  $B^\infty$  into  $C$ .*

Using Theorem 3.5 and arguments similar to the ones used in the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we obtain the following two theorems which also can be proved by using Sikorski's methods for the  $\sigma$ -case (see [9], § 36).

**THEOREM 4.4.** *For every Boolean algebra  $B$  and every infinite cardinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $B_\alpha^*$  is isomorphic to  $F_\alpha(B)/J_\alpha$  if and only if  $B_\alpha^*$  is  $\alpha$ -representable.*

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra for which  $B_\alpha^*$  is  $\alpha$ -representable. Then an  $\alpha$ -complete Boolean algebra  $B^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular extension of  $B$  if and only if  $B^*$  is isomorphic to  $F_\alpha(B)/I$ , where  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $F_\alpha(B)$  satisfying the conditions: (a)  $I \supset J_\alpha$ ; (b)  $I \cap F_0(B) = \phi$ .*

## REFERENCES

1. G. W. Day, *Super-atomic Boolean algebras*, Purdue University doctoral thesis (1962).
2. Ph. Dwinger, *Introduction to Boolean algebras*, Würzburg, 1961.
3. Kerstan, *Tensorielle Erweiterungen distributiver Verbande*, Math. Nachrichten, **22** (1960), 1-20.
4. R. D. Mayer and R. S. Pierce, *Boolean algebras with ordered bases*, Pacific J. Math., **10** (1960), 925-942.
5. L. Rieger, *On free  $\aleph_\xi$ -complete Boolean algebras*, Fund. Math., **38** (1951), 35-52.
6. R. Sikorski, *On the representation of Boolean algebras as fields of sets*, Fund. Math., **35** (1948), 247-256.
7. ———, *Cartesian products of Boolean algebras*, Fund. Math., **37** (1950), 25-54.
8. ———, *A note on Rieger's paper: On free  $\aleph_\xi$ -complete Boolean algebras*, Fund. Math., **38** (1951), 53-54.
9. ———, *Boolean algebras*, Berlin, 1960.
10. ———, *On extensions and products of Boolean algebras*, Fund. Math. (to appear).

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University  
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY

M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN

E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS

F. WOLF

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

\* \* \*

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 13, No. 2

April, 1963

Rafael Artzy, <i>Solution of loop equations by adjunction</i> . . . . .	361
Earl Robert Berkson, <i>A characterization of scalar type operators on reflexive Banach spaces</i> . . . . .	365
Mario Borelli, <i>Divisorial varieties</i> . . . . .	375
Raj Chandra Bose, <i>Strongly regular graphs, partial geometries and partially balanced designs</i> . . . . .	389
R. H. Bruck, <i>Finite nets. II. Uniqueness and imbedding</i> . . . . .	421
L. Carlitz, <i>The inverse of the error function</i> . . . . .	459
Robert Wayne Carroll, <i>Some degenerate Cauchy problems with operator coefficients</i> . . . . .	471
Michael P. Drazin and Emilie Virginia Haynsworth, <i>A theorem on matrices of 0's and 1's</i> . . . . .	487
Lawrence Carl Eggan and Eugene A. Maier, <i>On complex approximation</i> . . . . .	497
James Michael Gardner Fell, <i>Weak containment and Kronecker products of group representations</i> . . . . .	503
Paul Chase Fife, <i>Schauder estimates under incomplete Hölder continuity assumptions</i> . . . . .	511
Shaul Foguel, <i>Powers of a contraction in Hilbert space</i> . . . . .	551
Neal Eugene Foland, <i>The structure of the orbits and their limit sets in continuous flows</i> . . . . .	563
Frank John Forelli, Jr., <i>Analytic measures</i> . . . . .	571
Robert William Gilmer, Jr., <i>On a classical theorem of Noether in ideal theory</i> . . . . .	579
P. R. Halmos and Jack E. McLaughlin, <i>Partial isometries</i> . . . . .	585
Albert Emerson Hurd, <i>Maximum modulus algebras and local approximation in <math>C^n</math></i> . . . . .	597
James Patrick Jans, <i>Module classes of finite type</i> . . . . .	603
Betty Kvarda, <i>On densities of sets of lattice points</i> . . . . .	611
H. Larcher, <i>A geometric characterization for a class of discontinuous groups of linear fractional transformations</i> . . . . .	617
John W. Moon and Leo Moser, <i>Simple paths on polyhedra</i> . . . . .	629
T. S. Motzkin and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Representation of a point of a set as sum of transforms of boundary points</i> . . . . .	633
Rajakularaman Ponnuswami Pakshirajan, <i>An analogue of Kolmogorov's three-series theorem for abstract random variables</i> . . . . .	639
Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Čebyšev subspaces of finite codimension in <math>C(X)</math></i> . . . . .	647
James Dolan Reid, <i>On subgroups of an Abelian group maximal disjoint from a given subgroup</i> . . . . .	657
William T. Reid, <i>Riccati matrix differential equations and non-oscillation criteria for associated linear differential systems</i> . . . . .	665
Georg Johann Rieger, <i>Some theorems on prime ideals in algebraic number fields</i> . . . . .	687
Gene Fuerst Rose and Joseph Silbert Ullian, <i>Approximations of functions on the integers</i> . . . . .	693
F. J. Sansone, <i>Combinatorial functions and regressive isols</i> . . . . .	703
Leo Sario, <i>On locally meromorphic functions with single-valued moduli</i> . . . . .	709
Takayuki Tamura, <i>Semigroups and their subsemigroup lattices</i> . . . . .	725
Pui-kei Wong, <i>Existence and asymptotic behavior of proper solutions of a class of second-order nonlinear differential equations</i> . . . . .	737
Fawzi Mohamad Yaqub, <i>Free extensions of Boolean algebras</i> . . . . .	761