

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**CHAPTER VI, FROM SOLVABILITY OF GROUPS OF ODD
ORDER, PACIFIC J. MATH., VOL. 13, NO. 3 (1963)**

WALTER FEIT AND JOHN GRIGGS THOMPSON

CHAPTER VI

37. Statement of the Result Proved in Chapter VI

The purpose of this chapter is to prove the following result.

THEOREM 37.1. *There are no groups \mathfrak{G} which satisfy conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 27.1.*

Once it is proved, Theorem 37.1 together with Theorem 27.1 will serve to complete the proof of the main theorem of this paper. In this chapter there is no reference to anything in Chapters II–V other than the statement of Theorem 27.1. The following notation is used throughout this chapter.

\mathfrak{G} is a fixed group which satisfies conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 27.1.

$$|\mathfrak{U}| = u = \frac{p^q - 1}{p - 1}$$

$$\mathfrak{U}^* = C(\mathfrak{U}) \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathfrak{U}^*| = u^* .$$

$$\mathfrak{U}^* = \langle U_1 \rangle, \quad U = U_1^{*/*} . \quad \text{Thus } \mathfrak{U} = \langle U \rangle$$

$$\mathfrak{Q}_0 = [\mathfrak{Q}, \mathfrak{P}^*] \quad \text{so that} \quad \mathfrak{Q} = \mathfrak{Q}^* \times \mathfrak{Q}_0 .$$

P and Q are fixed elements of \mathfrak{P}^{**} and \mathfrak{Q}^{**} respectively.

For any integer $n > 0$, \mathcal{R}_n is the ring of integers mod n . If n is a prime power then \mathcal{F}_n is the field of n elements.

U acts as a linear transformation on \mathfrak{P} . Let $m(t)$ be the minimal polynomial of U on \mathfrak{P} . Then $m(t)$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree q over \mathcal{F}_p . Let ω be a fixed root of $m(t)$ in \mathcal{F}_{p^q} . Then ω is a primitive u th root of unity in \mathcal{F}_{p^q} and $\omega, \omega^p, \dots, \omega^{p^{q-1}}$ are all the characteristic roots of U on \mathfrak{P} .

38. The Sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B}

LEMMA 38.1. *There exists an element $Y \in \mathfrak{Q}_0^*$ such that \mathfrak{P}^* normalizes $Y\mathfrak{U}^*Y^{-1}$*

Proof. \mathfrak{Q}^* normalizes \mathfrak{U}^* and \mathfrak{Q}^* is contained in a cyclic subgroup of $N(\mathfrak{U}^*)$ of order pq . Hence some element of order p in $C(\mathfrak{Q}^*)$ normalizes \mathfrak{U}^* . Since $C(\mathfrak{Q}^*) = \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{P}^*$ every subgroup of order p in $C(\mathfrak{Q}^*)$ is of the form $Y^{-1}\mathfrak{P}^*Y$ for some $Y \in \mathfrak{Q}_0$. Hence it is possible to choose $Y \in \mathfrak{Q}_0$ such that $Y^{-1}\mathfrak{P}^*Y$ normalizes \mathfrak{U}^* . Since $[\mathfrak{P}^*, \mathfrak{U}] \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$,

\mathfrak{B}^* does not normalize \mathfrak{U}^* , hence $Y \in \Omega_0^*$ and \mathfrak{B}^* normalizes $Y\mathfrak{U}^*Y^{-1}$.

From now on let

$$(38.1) \quad Z_1 = YU_1Y^{-1}, \quad Z = YUY^{-1} = Z_1^{u^*}$$

where Y satisfies Lemma 38.1. Notice that Ω^* normalizes $\langle Z_1 \rangle$, since Ω^* normalizes \mathfrak{U}^* and Y centralizes Ω^* . Define $v, w \in \mathfrak{X}_u$ by

$$(38.2) \quad P^{-1}Z_1P = Z_1^v, \quad Q^{-1}Z_1Q = Z_1^w$$

LEMMA 38.2. *If $Z_0 \in \langle Z_1 \rangle$, $a \in \mathfrak{X}_p$, $b \in \mathfrak{X}_q$ then $\langle Z_0 \rangle = \langle Z_0^{v^a w^b} \rangle$ unless $a = 0$ and $b = 0$.*

Proof. $Z_0^{-1}P^{-a}Q^{-b}Z_0Q^bP^a = Z_0^{v^a w^b}$. Hence P^aQ^b acts trivially on $\langle Z_0 \rangle / \langle Z_0^{v^a w^b} \rangle$. However if $Z_0 \neq 1$ then $\mathfrak{B}^*\Omega^*\langle Z_0 \rangle$ is a Frobenius group with Frobenius kernel $\langle Z_0 \rangle$. Thus $\langle Z_0 \rangle = \langle Z_0^{v^a w^b} \rangle$ as required.

LEMMA 38.3. *Every element of $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U}$ has a unique representation in the form $P^{m_1(t)}U^a$, where $a \in \mathfrak{X}_u$ and $m_1(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $q - 1$ over \mathfrak{X}_p .*

Proof. There are up^q ordered pairs $(m_1(t), a)$ with $a \in \mathfrak{X}_u$ and $m_1(t)$ of degree at most $q - 1$ over \mathfrak{X}_p . Thus it is sufficient to show the uniqueness of $(m_1(t), a)$ in such a representation.

If $P^{m_1(t)}U^a = P^{m'_1(t)}U^{a'}$. Then reading mod \mathfrak{B} yields that $a = a'$. Since $m(t)$ is irreducible we get that $m_1(t) \equiv m'_1(t) \pmod{m(t)}$. Thus $m_1(t) = m'_1(t)$ as required.

LEMMA 38.4. *Every element of $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U} - \mathfrak{U}$ has a unique representation in the form $U^xP^yU^z$, where $x, z \in \mathfrak{X}_u$ and $y \in \mathfrak{X}_p$, $y \neq 0$.*

Proof. If $X \in \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U} - \mathfrak{U}$ and

$$X = U^xP^yU^z = U^{x_1}P^{y_1}U^{z_1}$$

then reading mod \mathfrak{B} we get that $x + z = x_1 + z_1$. Hence

$$U^{x-z_1}P^yU^{-x+z_1} = P^{y_1}$$

Since $X \notin \mathfrak{U}$, $y \neq 0$. As $(u, p - 1) = 1$ we have that $x = x_1$, and so $y = y_1$, $z = z_1$. The representation is unique. There are $u^2(p - 1)$ ordered triples (x, y, z) with $x, z \in \mathfrak{X}_u$ and $y \in \mathfrak{X}_p$, $y \neq 0$. Each triple gives rise to an element of $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U} - \mathfrak{U}$ and $|\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U} - \mathfrak{U}| = u^2(p - 1)$. The result now follows.

LEMMA 38.5. *Let $x, z, g \in \mathfrak{X}_p = \mathfrak{F}_p$; $y, f, h \in \mathfrak{X}_u$. Then*

$$P^s U^v P^s U^f P^o U^h = 1$$

if and only if

- (i) $y + f + h = 0$
- (ii) $x\omega^v + z + g\omega^{v+h} = 0.$

Proof. Let $R = P^s U^v P^s U^f P^o U^h$. Then

$$R = P^{s+sv^{-v}} + gU^{-v-f}U^{v+h+f}.$$

Thus by Lemma 38.3 $R = 1$ if and only if

$$y + h + f = 0, \quad x + zt^{-v} + gt^{-v-f} \equiv 0 \pmod{m(t)}.$$

The first equation allows us to rewrite the second as

$$xt^v + z + gt^{v+h} \equiv 0 \pmod{m(t)}.$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

DEFINITION 38.1. The set \mathcal{A} is defined to consist of all ordered triples (a_1, a_2, a_3) such that

- (i) $a_i \in \mathcal{X}_u, a_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.
- (ii) $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$.
- (iii) $PU^{a_1}P^{-1}U^{a_2}PU^{a_3} = 1$.

DEFINITION 38.2. \mathcal{B} is the set of all elements $a_1 \in \mathcal{X}_u$ such that $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$ for suitable a_2, a_3 .

LEMMA 38.6. $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}|$.

Proof. If $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$ then by Lemma 38.4 a_2 and a_3 are determined by a_1 .

LEMMA 38.7. $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if

- (i) $a_i \in \mathcal{X}_u, a_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$
- (ii) $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$
- (iii) $\omega^{a_1} + \omega^{a_1+a_3} - 2 = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 38.5,

$$PU^{a_1}P^{-1}U^{a_2}PU^{a_3} = 1$$

if and only if $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$ and $\omega^{a_1} - 2 + \omega^{a_1+a_3} = 0$. This implies the result.

LEMMA 38.8. If $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$, then $(-a_2, -a_1, -a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. If $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$ then by Lemma 38.7 $\omega^{-a_2} - 2 + \omega^{a_1} = 0$. As $a_1 = -a_2 - a_3$ this yields that

$$\omega^{-a_2} - 2 + \omega^{-a_2 - a_3} = 0.$$

As $-a_2 - a_1 - a_3 = 0$ the result follows from Lemma 38.7.

LEMMA 38.9. For $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ let \mathbb{C}_i be the conjugate class of $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U}$ which contains P^i and let \mathfrak{R}_i be the sum of the elements in \mathbb{C}_i in the group ring of $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U}$ over the integers. Let

$$\mathfrak{R}_1^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_i \mathfrak{R}_i.$$

If $q > 3$, then $c_2 \geq 2$.

Proof. Let μ_0, μ_1, \dots be all the irreducible characters of $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{F}$ and let χ_1, χ_2, \dots be all the other irreducible characters of $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U}$. It is a well known consequence of the orthogonality relations ([4] p. 316) that

$$c_2 = \frac{up^q}{p^{2q}} \left\{ \sum_i \frac{\mu_i(P)^2 \overline{\mu_i(P^2)}}{\mu_i(1)} + \sum_j \frac{\chi_j(P)^2 \overline{\chi_j(P^2)}}{\chi_j(1)} \right\}.$$

Since \mathfrak{U} is cyclic, $\mu_i(P) = \mu_i(P^2) = \mu_i(1) = 1$ for all i . By 3.16 $\chi_j(1) = u$ for all j . Thus

$$(38.3) \quad c_2 = \frac{u}{p^q} \left\{ u + \frac{1}{u} \sum_j \chi_j(P)^2 \overline{\chi_j(P^2)} \right\}.$$

By the orthogonality relations

$$\sum_j |\chi_j(P^i)|^2 \leq |C(P^i)| \leq p^q \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p-1.$$

Therefore

$$(38.4) \quad \left| \sum_j \chi_j(P)^2 \overline{\chi_j(P^2)} \right| \leq (\max_j |\chi_j(P^2)|) \sum_j |\chi_j(P)|^2 \leq p^{3q/2}.$$

By (38.3) and (38.4)

$$|p^q c_2 - u^2| \leq p^{3q/2}.$$

Thus

$$(38.5) \quad p^q c_2 \geq u^2 - p^{3q/2}.$$

Since $u = \frac{p^q - 1}{p - 1} > p^{q-1}$ (38.5) yields that

$$c_2 \geq \frac{u^2}{p^q} - p^{q/2} > p^{q-2} - p^{q/2} = p^{q/2}(p^{q/2-1} - 1).$$

As $q > 3$ and q is a prime we have $q \geq 5$, and the lemma follows.

LEMMA 38.10. $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}| > 0$.

Proof. Assume first that $q = 3$. Consider the set of polynomials of the form $f_a(t) = t^3 + at^2 + (a + 6)t - 1$ with $a \in \mathcal{X}_p$. There are p of these and none of them has 0 as a root. Thus if $f_a(t)$ were reducible for every value of a there would exist $a \neq b$ such that $f_a(t)$ and $f_b(t)$ have a common root $c \in \mathcal{F}_p$. Then

$$ac^2 + (a + 6)c = bc^2 + (b + 6)c.$$

Since $c \neq 0$ this yields that $a(c + 1) = b(c + 1)$, hence $c = -1$. However $f_a(-1) = -8 \neq 0$. Thus there exists some polynomial $f_a(t)$ which is irreducible over \mathcal{F}_p . Let α be a root of $f_a(t)$ in \mathcal{F}_{p^3} . Then

$$\alpha^{p^2+p+1} = -f_a(0) = 1, \quad (1 + \alpha)^{p^2+p+1} = -f_a(-1) = 8.$$

Therefore $\alpha = \omega^{a_3}$ for some $a_3 \in \mathcal{X}_u$, $a_3 \neq 0$, and $1 + \alpha = 2\omega^{-a_1}$ for some $a_1 \in \mathcal{X}_u$, $a_1 \neq 0$. Furthermore $-\omega^{a_3} + 2\omega^{-a_1} = 1$. Thus $\omega^{a_1} + \omega^{a_1+a_3} - 2 = 0$. Since $\omega^{a_1} \neq 1$, $a_1 + a_3 \neq 0$. Hence by Lemmas 38.6 and 38.7 $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}| > 0$.

Assume now that $q > 3$. Then Lemma 38.9 implies the existence of $a, b \in \mathcal{X}_u$, with $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$ such that

$$U^{-a}PU^aU^{-b}PU^b = P^2.$$

Therefore

$$(38.6) \quad PU^bP^{-1}U^{-a}PU^{a-b} = 1.$$

Let $a_1 = b$, $a_2 = -a$, $a_3 = a - b$. Then $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$. If $b = 0$ then (38.6) becomes $P^{-1}U^{-a}PU^a = 1$; as \mathfrak{BU} is a Frobenius group this implies $a = 0$ contrary to the choice of a and b . If $a = 0$ then (38.6) implies that $PU^bP^{-1}U^{-b} = 0$, hence $b = 0$. If $a - b = 0$ then (38.6) yields that $PU^aP^{-1}U^{-a}P = 1$ or U^a commutes with P^2 . Thus $a = 0$, hence also $b = 0$. Therefore a_1, a_2, a_3 are all non zero and by Definition 38.1 and Lemma 38.6 $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}| > 0$.

The following result about finite fields is of importance for the proof of Theorem 37.1.

LEMMA 38.11. For $x \in \mathcal{F}_{p^q}$ define $N(x) = x^{1+p+\dots+p^{q-1}}$ and for $x \neq 2$ let $x^\sigma = \frac{1}{2-x}$. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{p^q} - \mathcal{F}_p$, then for some i , $N(\alpha^{\sigma^i}) \neq 1$.

Proof. Assume that the result is false and $N(\alpha^{\sigma^i}) = 1$ for all i . We will first prove by induction that

$$(38.7) \quad \alpha^{\sigma^i} = \frac{-(i-1)\alpha + i}{-i\alpha + (i+1)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

If $i = 1$ (38.7) follows from the definition of σ . Assume now that (38.7) holds for $i = k - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{\sigma^k} &= \frac{1}{2 - \left\{ \frac{-(k-2)\alpha + k-1}{-(k-1)\alpha + k} \right\}} \\ &= \frac{-(k-1)\alpha + k}{-2(k-1)\alpha + 2k + (k-2)\alpha - (k-1)} \\ &= \frac{-(k-1)\alpha + k}{-k\alpha + (k+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes (38.7).

Now (38.7) implies that for $j \geq 1$,

$$\prod_{i=1}^j \alpha^{\sigma^i} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^j \{-(i-1)\alpha + i\}}{\prod_{i=1}^j \{-i\alpha + (i+1)\}} = \frac{1}{-j\alpha + (j+1)}.$$

Therefore

$$N(-j\alpha + j + 1) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^j N(\alpha^{\sigma^i})} = 1.$$

Thus

$$(38.8) \quad N(-a\alpha + a + 1) = 1 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{F}_p.$$

Define $f(t)$ by

$$(38.9) \quad f(t) = (t - \alpha)(t - \alpha^p) \cdots (t - \alpha^{p^{q-1}}).$$

Thus $f(t)$ has coefficients in \mathcal{F}_p and (38.8) yields that

$$(38.10) \quad a^q f\left(\frac{a+1}{a}\right) = a^q N\left(\frac{a+1}{a} - \alpha\right) = N(a+1 - a\alpha) = 1$$

for $a \in \mathcal{F}_p, a \neq 0$.

Let $b = \frac{a+1}{a}$ for $a \neq 0$, then $a = \frac{1}{b-1}$. Hence (38.10) yields that

$$\frac{1}{(b-1)^q} f(b) = 1 \quad \text{for } b \in \mathcal{F}_p, b \neq 1.$$

Therefore

$$(38.11) \quad f(b) - (b-1)^q = 0 \quad \text{for } b \in \mathcal{F}_p, b \neq 1.$$

$f(t) - (t - 1)^q$ is a polynomial of degree at most q . By (38.11) $f(t) - (t - 1)^q$ has at least $(p - 1)$ roots. As $(p - 1) > q$ we must have that $f(t) = (t - 1)^q$. By (38.9) α is a root of $f(t)$, hence $\alpha = 1$ contrary to the choice of α . The proof is complete.

39. The Proof of Theorem 37.1

LEMMA 39.1. *There exist functions $f, g, \text{ and } h$ such that*

- (i) *f and h map $\mathcal{X}_p \times \mathcal{X}_u \times \mathcal{X}_p$ into \mathcal{X}_u ,*
- (ii) *g maps $\mathcal{X}_p \times \mathcal{X}_u \times \mathcal{X}_p$ into \mathcal{X}_p ,*
- (iii) *$P^z U^y P^x U^f P^g U^h = 1$.*

Furthermore for $x \neq 0, y \neq 0, z \neq 0$ (iii) determines $f(x, y, z), g(x, y, z)$ and $h(x, y, z)$ uniquely and $f(x, y, z), g(x, y, z), h(x, y, z)$ are all non-zero.

Proof. By Lemma 38.4 the functions exist and are uniquely defined by

$$P^z U^y P^x U^f P^g U^h = 1$$

provided that $P^z U^y P^x$ does not lie in \mathfrak{U} . It is easily seen that if $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$ and $z \neq 0, P^z U^y P^x$ does not lie in \mathfrak{U} .

Suppose that $f(x, y, z) = 0$. Then $P^z U^y P^{x+g} = U^{-h} \in \mathfrak{U}$. Then $y = -h$ and $U^y P^{x+g} U^{-y} = P^{-z} \in \mathfrak{B}^*$. Therefore either $y = 0$ or $x = 0$.

Suppose that $g(x, y, z) = 0$. Then $P^z U^y P^x = U^{-f-h}$. Thus $y = -f - h$ and $U^y P^x U^{-y} = P^{-z}$. Hence $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.

Suppose that $h(x, y, z) = 0$. Then $U^y P^x U^f P^{g+z} = 1$. Hence $y + f = 0$, then $U^y P^x U^{-y} = P^{-z-g}$. Thus $y = 0$ or $z = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Throughout the rest of this section f, g, h will denote the functions defined in Lemma 39.1. For $x \in \mathcal{X}_p, Y$ as in Lemma 38.1, define

$$Y_x = Y^{-1} P^{-z} Y P^z .$$

LEMMA 39.2.

- (i) $Y_x = Y^{-1} P^{-z} Y P^z = P^{-z} Y P^z Y^{-1}$
- (ii) $Y P^z Y^{-1} = Y_{-z} P^z$
- (iii) $Y P^g Y^{-1} = P^g Y_g ,$

for $x, z, g \in \mathcal{X}_p$.

Proof. Since $P \in \mathfrak{B}^* \subseteq N(\mathfrak{Q}_0)$ and \mathfrak{Q}_0 is abelian, (i) is immediate. (iii) is a direct consequence of (i). By definition $Y_{-z} = Y^{-1} P^z Y P^{-z}$. Thus $Y_{-z}^{-1} = P^z Y^{-1} P^{-z} Y = Y P^z Y^{-1} P^{-z}$ which implies (ii).

LEMMA 39.3. For $x \in \mathcal{X}_p, P^{-z} U P^z = Y_x^{-1} U^{z^2} Y_x$.

Proof. By (38.2) $P^s Z P^{-s} = Z^{v^{-s}}$. By (38.1) $Z = Y U Y^{-1}$. Hence

$$Y^{-1} P^s Y U Y^{-1} P^{-s} Y = U^{v^{-s}} .$$

Conjugating both sides by P^s , we get that

$$Y_x^{-1} U Y_x = P^{-s} U^{v^{-s}} P^s .$$

If both sides are raised to the v^s th power, the lemma follows.

LEMMA 39.4.

$$Y_s Z^v Y_{-s}^{-1} = P^{-s} Z^{-h(x,y,s)} Y_{g(x,y,s)}^{-1} P^{-g(x,y,s)} Z^{-f(x,y,s)} P^{-s} .$$

Proof. Substitute (38.1) into (iii) of Lemma 39.1 to get

$$P^s Y^{-1} Z^v Y P^s Y^{-1} Z^f Y P^s Y^{-1} Z^h Y = 1 .$$

Conjugate by $Y^{-1} P^s$ to get

$$(P^{-s} Y P^s Y^{-1}) Z^v (Y P^s Y^{-1}) Z^f (Y P^s Y^{-1}) Z^h P^s = 1 .$$

Now use the results of Lemma 39.2 to derive that

$$Y_s Z^v Y_{-s}^{-1} P^s Z^f P^s Y_s Z^h P^s = 1$$

which implies the lemma.

LEMMA 39.5. *If $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$, then*

$$Y_2 Z^{a_1 v} Y_3^{-1} Y_1 Z^{a_2 v^3} Y_2^{-1} = Y_1 Z^{-a_3 v^2} Y_3^{-1} .$$

Proof. In the definition of \mathcal{A} conjugate (iii) by P^3 . Then

$$P^{-1} U^{a_1} P^{-3} U^{a_2} P U^{a_3} P^3 = 1 ,$$

or

$$(P^{-1} U^{a_1} P)(P^{-3} U^{a_2} P^3) = P^{-3} U^{-a_3} P^2 .$$

Hence Lemma 39.3 yields that

$$(Y_1^{-1} U^{a_1 v} Y_1)(Y_3^{-1} U^{a_2 v^3} Y_3) = Y_2^{-1} U^{-a_3 v^2} Y_2 .$$

Since Ω is abelian, this implies that

$$Y_2 U^{a_1 v} Y_3^{-1} Y_1 U^{a_2 v^3} Y_2^{-1} = Y_1 U^{-a_3 v^2} Y_3^{-1} .$$

Conjugating by Y^{-1} implies the result by (38.1) and the fact that Ω is abelian.

LEMMA 39.6. *For $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$ define*

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1 &= g(2, a_1v, -3) \\
 g_2 &= g(1, -a_3v^2, -3) \\
 g_3 &= g(1, a_2v^3, -2) \\
 k_1 &= h(2, a_1v, -3) - h(1, -a_3v^2, -3)v^{-1} \\
 k_2 &= -f(2, a_1v, -3) - h(1, a_2v^3, -2)v^{-2} \\
 k_3 &= -f(1, a_2v^3, -2)v^{-1} + f(1, -a_3v^2, -3) \\
 k &= -g_3 - 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(39.1) \quad Y_{g_1}Z^{k_1}PY_{g_2}^{-1} = P^{-g_1}Z^{k_2}P^2Y_{g_3}^{-1}P^kZ^{k_3}P^{g_2}.$$

Proof. Use Lemmas 39.4 and 39.5 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P^{-2}Z^{-h(2, a_1v, -3)}Y_{g(2, a_1v, -3)}^{-1}P^{-g(2, a_1v, -3)}Z^{-f(2, a_1v, -3)}P^3. \\
 &P^{-1}Z^{-h(1, a_2v^3, -2)}Y_{g(1, a_2v^3, -2)}^{-1}P^{-g(1, a_2v^3, -2)}Z^{-f(1, a_2v^3, -2)}P^2 \\
 &= Y_3Z^{a_1v}Y_3^{-1}Y_1Z^{a_2v^3}Y_3^{-1} = Y_1Z^{-a_3v^2}Y_3^{-1} \\
 &= P^{-1}Z^{-h(1, -a_3v^2, -3)}Y_{g(1, -a_3v^2, -3)}^{-1}P^{-g(1, -a_3v^2, -3)}Z^{-f(1, -a_3v^2, -3)}P^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiply on the left by $Y_{g(2, a_1v, -3)}Z^{h(2, a_1v, -3)}P^2$ and on the right by

$$P^{-2}Z^{f(1, -a_3v^2, -3)}P^{g(1, -a_3v^2, -3)}$$

to get

$$AY_{g(1, a_2v^3, -2)}^{-1}B = Y_{g(2, a_1v, -3)}CY_{g(1, -a_3v^2, -3)}^{-1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= P^{-g(2, a_1v, -3)}Z^{-f(2, a_1v, -3)-h(1, a_2v^3, -2)v^{-2}}P^2 \\
 B &= P^{-g(1, a_2v^3, -2)-1}Z^{-f(1, a_2v^3, -2)v^{-1}+f(1, -a_3v^2, -3)}P^{g(1, -a_3v^2, -3)} \\
 C &= Z^{h(2, a_1v, -3)-h(1, -a_3v^2, -3)v^{-1}}P,
 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$A = P^{-g_1}Z^{k_2}P^2, \quad B = P^kZ^{k_3}P^{g_2}, \quad C = Z^{k_1}P.$$

The lemma follows.

LEMMA 39.7. *Let $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$. Use the notation of Lemma 39.6. If $k_1 \neq 0$, then there exist elements $c_1, c_3 \in \mathcal{X}_p$ such that*

- (i) $k_3 \neq 0$
- (ii) $k_2 + k_3v^{g_3} = k_1$
- (iii) $Y^{-1}PYP^{-g_2} = P^{-c_1}Y^{-1}P^{-c_3}Y.$

Proof. Conjugate (39.1) by Q . Since $\mathfrak{P}^*\Omega = C(Q)$, this yields that

$$Y_{\sigma_1} Z^{wk_1} P Y_{\sigma_2}^{-1} = P^{-\sigma_1} Z^{wk_2} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Z^{wk_3} P^{\sigma_1} .$$

Taking inverses we get

$$Y_{\sigma_2} P^{-1} Z^{-wk_1} Y_{\sigma_1}^{-1} = P^{-\sigma_2} Z^{-wk_3} P^{-k} Y_{\sigma_3} P^{-2} Z^{-wk_2} P^{\sigma_1} .$$

Multiplying this by (39.1) on the left yields

$$Y_{\sigma_1} Z^{(1-w)k_1} Y_{\sigma_1}^{-1} = P^{-\sigma_1} Z^{k_2} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Z^{(1-w)k_3} P^{-k} Y_{\sigma_3} P^{-2} Z^{-wk_2} P^{\sigma_1} .$$

Conjugating by $P^{-\sigma_1}$ yields

$$P^{\sigma_1} Y_{\sigma_1} Z^{(1-w)k_1} Y_{\sigma_1}^{-1} P^{-\sigma_1} = Z^{k_2} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Z^{(1-w)k_3} P^{-k} Y_{\sigma_3} P^{-2} Z^{-wk_2} .$$

Use Lemma 39.2 (iii) and (38.1) to get

$$\begin{aligned} Y P^{\sigma_1} Y^{-1} Y U^{(1-w)k_1} Y^{-1} Y P^{-\sigma_1} Y^{-1} \\ = Y U^{k_2} Y^{-1} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Y U^{(1-w)k_3} Y^{-1} P^{-k} Y_{\sigma_3} P^{-2} Y U^{-wk_2} Y^{-1} . \end{aligned}$$

Conjugate this by Y to obtain

$$P^{\sigma_1} U^{(1-w)k_1} P^{-\sigma_1} = U^{k_2} Y^{-1} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Y U^{(1-w)k_3} Y^{-1} P^{-k} Y_{\sigma_3} P^{-2} Y U^{-wk_2} .$$

Multiply on the left by U^{-k_2} and on the right by U^{wk_2} to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (39.2) \quad U^{-k_2} P^{\sigma_1} U^{(1-w)k_1} P^{-\sigma_1} U^{wk_2} &= W_1 U^{k_3(1-w)} W_1^{-1} , \\ W_1 &= Y^{-1} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Y . \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $U^{k_3(1-w)} = 1$. Then (39.2) implies that

$$P^{\sigma_1} U^{(1-w)k_1} P^{-\sigma_1} = U^{(1-w)k_2} .$$

By Hypothesis $k_1 \neq 0$, hence by Lemma 38.2, $U^{(1-w)k_1} \neq 1$. By Lemma 39.1 $g_1 \neq 0$. Thus the above equality cannot hold in the Frobenius group $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{U}$. Hence $U^{k_3(1-w)} \neq 1$. This proves statement (i) of the lemma.

Let $U_0 = W_1 U^{k_3(1-w)} W_1^{-1}$. By (39.2) U_0 is a conjugate of $U^{k_3(1-w)}$ which lies in $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{U}$. All conjugates of $U^{k_3(1-w)}$ which lie in \mathfrak{U} are of the form

$$U^{k_3(1-w)v^{c_2}w^{c'}} ,$$

with $c_3 \in \mathfrak{X}_p$, $c' \in \mathfrak{X}_q$. Hence

$$(39.3) \quad U_0 = W_1 U^{k_3(1-w)} W_1^{-1} = W_2^{-1} U^{k_3(1-w)v^{c_2}w^{c'}} W_2$$

for some $W_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$. Thus $W_2 W_1 \in N(\mathfrak{U})$. Since $Q \in N(\mathfrak{U})$, we get that $Q^{-1} W_2 W_1 Q \in N(\mathfrak{U})$. By (39.2) $W_1 Q = Q W_1$, thus $Q^{-1} W_2 W_1 Q = Q^{-1} W_2 Q W_1$. Hence

$$W_2 Q^{-1} W_2^{-1} Q = W_2 W_1 (Q^{-1} W_1^{-1} W_1^{-1} Q) \in N(\mathfrak{U}) .$$

However $W_2 Q^{-1} W_2^{-1} Q \in \mathfrak{P}$. Since $\mathfrak{P} \cap N(\mathfrak{U}) = 1$, this yields that $Q \in C(W_2)$. Hence $W_2 \in \mathfrak{P} \cap C(Q) = \mathfrak{P}^*$. Thus

$$(39.4) \quad W_2 = P^{c_2}$$

for some $c_2 \in \mathcal{X}_p$. Now (39.2) and (39.4) show that

$$W_2 W_1 \in \mathfrak{Q}_0 \mathfrak{P}^* \cap N(\mathfrak{U}).$$

Since $P \in N(\langle Z \rangle)$, we have $Y^{-1} P Y \in N(\mathfrak{U})$, thus $\mathfrak{Q}_0 \mathfrak{P}^* \cap N(\mathfrak{U}) = \langle Y^{-1} P Y \rangle$. Therefore

$$(39.5) \quad W_2 W_1 = Y^{-1} P^{c_0} Y$$

for some $c_0 \in \mathcal{X}_p$. Consequently

$$(W_2 W_1)^{-1} U^{k_3(1-w)v^{c_3}w^{c'}} W_2 W_1 = U^{k_3(1-w)v^{c_3+c_0}w^{c'}}.$$

If this is compared with (39.3) we see that

$$(39.6) \quad c_0 + c_3 = 0, \quad c' = 0.$$

Using (39.4) and (39.6) in (39.5) leads to

$$(39.7) \quad W_1 = P^{-c_2} Y^{-1} P^{-c_3} Y.$$

Comparing (39.2) and (39.7), we get

$$P^{-c_2} Y^{-1} P^{-c_3} Y = Y^{-1} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Y.$$

Conjugating by Y^{-1} gives

$$(39.8) \quad Y P^{-c_2} Y^{-1} P^{-c_3} = P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k.$$

If we substitute (39.7) into (39.2) we get

$$U^{-k_2} P^{\sigma_1} U^{(1-w)k_1} P^{-\sigma_1} U^{k_2 w} = P^{-c_2} U^{k_3(1-w)v^{c_3}} P^{c_2}.$$

Multiply on the left by $U^{-k_3 v^{c_3}} P^{c_2}$ and on the right by $U^{-k_2 w} P^{\sigma_1} U^{k_1 w}$ to get

$$U^{-k_3 v^{c_3}} P^{c_2} U^{-k_2} P^{\sigma_1} U^{k_1} = U^{-w k_3 v^{c_3}} P^{c_2} U^{-k_2 w} P^{\sigma_1} U^{k_1 w}.$$

Since the right hand side is the left hand side conjugated by Q , we see that Q centralizes the left hand side. Hence

$$(39.9) \quad U^{-k_3 v^{c_3}} P^{c_2} U^{-k_2} P^{\sigma_1} U^{k_1} = P^{c_1}$$

for some $c_1 \in \mathcal{X}_p$. Reading (39.9) mod \mathfrak{P} yields that

$$k_1 = k_2 + k_3 v^{c_3}$$

which proves (ii) of the lemma. Substituting (ii) of Lemma 39.2

into (39.8) we get that

$$(39.10) \quad P^3 Y_{c_3}^{-1} P^k = Y_{c_2}^{-1} P^{-c_2-c_3}.$$

Substituting (39.10) into (39.1) leads to

$$Y_{c_1} Z^{k_1} P Y_{c_2}^{-1} = P^{-c_1} Z^{k_2} Y_{c_2}^{-1} P^{-c_2-c_3} Z^{k_3} P^{c_2}.$$

Multiply on the left by P^{c_1} and on the right by P^{-c_2} . Then using Lemma 39.2 (ii) and (iii) this becomes

$$Y P^{c_1} Y^{-1} Z^{k_1} P Y P^{-c_2} Y^{-1} = Z^{k_2} Y_{c_2}^{-1} P^{-c_2-c_3} Z^{k_3}.$$

Use $Z = Y U Y^{-1}$ to get

$$Y P^{c_1} U^{k_1} Y^{-1} P Y P^{-c_2} Y^{-1} = Y U^{k_2} Y^{-1} Y_{c_2}^{-1} P^{-c_2-c_3} Y U^{k_3} Y^{-1}.$$

Conjugate by Y and multiply on the left by U^{-k_2} to get

$$(39.11) \quad U^{-k_2} P^{c_1} U^{k_1} Y^{-1} P Y P^{-c_2} = Y^{-1} Y_{c_2}^{-1} P^{-c_2-c_3} Y U^{k_3}.$$

Conjugate by Q and take inverses, then

$$P^{c_2} Y^{-1} P^{-1} Y U^{-k_1 w} P^{-c_1} U^{k_2 w} = U^{-k_2 w} Y^{-1} P^{c_2+c_3} Y_{c_2} Y.$$

Multiply by (39.11) on the right to get

$$P^{c_2} Y^{-1} P^{-1} Y U^{-k_1 w} P^{-c_1} U^{k_2(w-1)} P^{c_1} U^{k_1} Y^{-1} P Y P^{-c_2} = U^{k_3(1-w)}.$$

Conjugate by W_1^{-1} to get

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 P^{c_2} Y^{-1} P^{-1} Y U^{-k_1 w} P^{-c_1} U^{k_2(w-1)} P^{c_1} U^{k_1} Y^{-1} P Y P^{-c_2} W_1^{-1} \\ = W_1 U^{k_3(1-w)} W_1^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (39.2) and (39.3), this yields

$$(39.12) \quad \begin{aligned} W_1 P^{c_2} Y^{-1} P^{-1} Y \{ U^{-k_1 w} P^{-c_1} U^{k_2(w-1)} P^{c_1} U^{k_1} \} Y^{-1} P Y P^{-c_2} W_1^{-1} \\ = U_0 = U^{-k_2} P^{c_1} U^{(1-w)k_1} P^{-c_1} U^{w k_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the second equation in (39.12)

$$U^{-k_1 w} P^{-c_1} U^{k_2 w} U^{-k_2} P^{c_1} U^{k_1} = U^{-k_1 w} P^{-c_1} U^{k_2 w} U_0 U^{-k_2 w} P^{c_1} U^{k_1 w}.$$

Thus the first equation in (39.12) implies that

$$U^{-k_2 w} P^{c_1} U^{k_1 w} Y^{-1} P Y P^{-c_2} W_1^{-1} \in C(U_0).$$

By (39.3) and (39.4), $C(U_0) = P^{-c_2} \mathfrak{U}^* P^{c_2}$. Hence

$$(39.13) \quad U^{-k_2 w} P^{c_1} U^{k_1 w} Y^{-1} P Y P^{-c_2} W_1^{-1} = P^{-c_2} U_2 P^{c_2}$$

for some $U_2 \in \mathfrak{U}^*$. We wish to show that $U_2 \in \mathfrak{U}$. To do this conjugate (39.13) by Q to get

$$(39.14) \quad U^{-k_2 w^2} P^{\theta_1} U^{k_1 w^2} Y^{-1} P Y P^{-\theta_2} W_1^{-1} = P^{-c_2} U_2^w P^{c_2}$$

by (39.7). Multiply (39.13) by the inverse of (39.14) on the right to get

$$(39.15) \quad U^{-k_2 w} P^{\theta_1} U^{k_1 w} U^{-k_1 w^2} P^{-\theta_1} U^{k_2 w^2} = P^{-c_2} U_2^{1-w} P^{c_2} .$$

By Lemma 38.2 U_2 and U_2^{1-w} have the same order. Since the left hand side of (39.15) is in $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U}$, this implies that the order of U_2 divides u , thus $U_2 \in \mathfrak{U}$.

Multiply (39.13) on the left by $U_2^{-1} P^{c_2}$ and on the right by $W_1 P^{\theta_2} Y^{-1} P^{-1} Y$ to get

$$(39.16) \quad U_2^{-1} P^{c_2} U^{-k_2 w} P^{\theta_1} U^{k_1 w} = P^{c_2} W_1 P^{\theta_2} Y^{-1} P^{-1} Y .$$

By (39.7) the right hand side is in $C(Q)$, while the left hand side is in $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U}$. Since $C(Q) \cap \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{B}^*$, this yields that

$$(39.17) \quad U_2^{-1} P^{c_2} U^{-k_2 w} P^{\theta_1} U^{k_1 w} = P^{c''}$$

for some $c'' \in \mathfrak{X}_p$. Conjugate by Q^{-1} to get

$$U_2^{-w^{-1}} P^{c_2} U^{-k_2} P^{\theta_1} U^{k_1} = P^{c''} .$$

Comparing this with (39.9) yields that

$$U_2^{w^{-1}} P^{c''} = U^{k_3 v^{c_3}} P^{c_1} ,$$

so that

$$U_2^{w^{-1}} = U^{k_3 v^{c_3}} , \quad c_1 = c'' .$$

Using (39.16) and (39.17) this yields

$$P^{c_1} = P^{c_2} W_1 P^{\theta_2} Y^{-1} P^{-1} Y$$

or

$$P^{c_1 - c_2} Y^{-1} P Y P^{-\theta_2} = W_1 .$$

Hence by (39.7)

$$P^{c_1 - c_2} Y^{-1} P Y P^{-\theta_2} = P^{-c_2} Y^{-1} P^{-c_3} Y .$$

This immediately implies (iii) of the lemma and thus completes the proof.

LEMMA 39.8. *Let $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathfrak{A}$, and let k_1 have the same meaning as in Lemma 39.6. Then $k_1 = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $k_1 \neq 0$, so that Lemma 39.7 may be applied. Let

$$\begin{aligned}h_1 &= h(2, a_1v, -3) \\h_2 &= h(1, a_2v^2, -2) \\h_3 &= h(1, -a_3v^2, -3) .\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 38.5 (i)

$$\begin{aligned}f(2, a_1v, -3) &= -a_1v - h_1 \\f(1, a_2v^2, -2) &= -a_2v^2 - h_2 \\f(1, -a_3v^2, -3) &= a_3v^2 - h_3 .\end{aligned}$$

Hence in the notation of Lemma 39.6

$$\begin{aligned}k_1 &= h_1 - h_3v^{-1} \\k_2 &= a_1v + h_1 - h_2v^{-2} \\k_3 &= a_2v^2 + h_2v^{-1} + a_3v^2 - h_3 .\end{aligned}$$

Since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$, this yields that

$$\begin{aligned}k_3 &= -a_1v^2 + h_2v^{-1} - h_3 \\k_1 - k_2 &= -a_1v + h_2v^{-2} - h_3v^{-1} .\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(k_1 - k_2)v = k_3$$

or

$$k_2 + k_3v^{-1} = k_1 .$$

By Lemma 39.7 (ii) this implies that $k_3(v^{c_3} - v^{-1}) = 0$. If $c_3 \neq -1$, then by Lemma 38.2, $(v^{c_3} - v^{-1})$ has an inverse in \mathcal{X}_u . Thus $k_3 = 0$ contrary to Lemma 39.7 (i). Therefore $c_3 = -1$. Now Lemma 39.7 (iii) becomes

$$(39.18) \quad Y^{-1}PYP^{-c_2} = P^{-c_1}Y^{-1}PY .$$

Reading (39.18) mod \mathfrak{Q} implies that $g_2 = c_1$. Thus (39.18) yields that $Y^{-1}PY$ and P^{-c_2} commute. Since $g_2 \neq 0$ by Lemma 39.1, this implies that

$$P^{-1}Y^{-1}PY \in \mathfrak{Q}_0 \cap C(P) = \{1\} .$$

Thus $Y \in \mathfrak{Q}_0 \cap C(P) = \{1\}$ which is not the case. Therefore $k_1 = 0$ as required.

LEMMA 39.9 *Let $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$, let k_2 and k_3 have the same meaning as in Lemma 39.6. Then $k_2 = k_3 = 0$.*

Proof. Since $k_1 = 0$ by Lemma 39.8, (39.1) becomes

$$(39.19) \quad Y_{\sigma_1} P Y_{\sigma_2}^{-1} = P^{-\sigma_1} Z^{k_2} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Z^{k_3} P^{\sigma_1} .$$

Conjugating by Q and using (38.2) we get that

$$(39.20) \quad Y_{\sigma_1} P Y_{\sigma_2}^{-1} = P^{-\sigma_1} Z^{wk_2} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Z^{wk_3} P^{\sigma_1} .$$

Now (39.19) and (39.20) imply that

$$Z^{k_2} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Z^{k_3} = Z^{wk_2} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Z^{wk_3} .$$

Therefore

$$(39.21) \quad P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k Z^{k_3(1-w)} P^{-k} Y_{\sigma_3} P^{-2} = Z^{k_3(w-1)} .$$

Suppose that $k_3 \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 38.2 $k_3(1-w) \neq 0$. As $\langle Z \rangle$ is a T.I. set in \mathfrak{G} , (39.21) now implies that $P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k \in N(\langle Z \rangle)$. As $P \in N(\langle Z \rangle)$ this implies that

$$Y^{-1} P^{-\sigma_3} Y P^{\sigma_3} = Y_{\sigma_3} \in N(\langle Z \rangle) \cap \mathfrak{D}_0 = \langle 1 \rangle .$$

Therefore P^{σ_3} commutes with Y . Hence $g_3 = 0$. This is contrary to Lemma 39.1. Thus $k_3 = 0$.

Now (39.21) implies that $k_2(w-1) = 0$. Therefore by Lemma 38.2 $k_2 = 0$.

LEMMA 39.10. *Let $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$ and g_3 have the same meaning as in Lemma 39.6. Then $g_3 = 1$.*

Proof. In view of Lemmas 39.8 and 39.9 equation (39.1) becomes

$$(39.22) \quad Y_{\sigma_1} P Y_{\sigma_2}^{-1} = P^{-\sigma_1} P^2 Y_{\sigma_3}^{-1} P^k P^{\sigma_2} .$$

Reading (39.22) $\pmod{\mathfrak{D}_0}$ implies that

$$1 = -g_1 + 2 + k + g_2$$

or using the definition of k

$$(39.23) \quad -1 - g_3 = k = -1 + g_1 - g_2 .$$

Hence $g_3 = g_2 - g_1$ and (39.22) becomes

$$(39.24) \quad Y_{\sigma_1} P Y_{\sigma_2}^{-1} = P^{2-\sigma_1} Y_{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}^{-1} P^{\sigma_1-1} .$$

P acts as a linear transformation on \mathfrak{D}_0 . It is convenient to use the exponential notation. Thus $Y^P = P^{-1} Y P$, so that $Y_z = Y^{-1+P^z}$. (39.24) can be rewritten as

$$P^{-1} Y_{\sigma_1} P Y_{\sigma_2}^{-1} = P^{-(\sigma_1-1)} Y_{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}^{-1} P^{\sigma_1-1} .$$

In exponential notation this becomes

$$(39.25) \quad Y^{(-1+P^{\theta_1})P+(1-P^{\theta_2})} = Y^{(1-P^{\theta_2-\theta_1})P^{\theta_1-1}}.$$

Define

$$(39.26) \quad \begin{aligned} A &= (-1 + P^{\theta_1})P + (1 - P^{\theta_2}) - (1 - P^{\theta_2-\theta_1})P^{\theta_1-1} \\ &= (1 - P) + P^{\theta_1-1}(P^2 - 1) - P^{\theta_2-1}(P - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{B}^*\mathfrak{Q}_0$ is a Frobenius group with Frobenius kernel \mathfrak{Q}_0 , $1 - P$ is an invertible linear transformation on \mathfrak{Q}_0 . By (39.25) A annihilates Y . Hence also $A(1 - P)^{-1}$ annihilates Y . By (39.26)

$$\begin{aligned} A(1 - P)^{-1} &= 1 - P^{\theta_1-1}(P + 1) + P^{\theta_2-1} \\ &= 1 - P^{\theta_1} + 1 - P^{\theta_1-1} - 1 + P^{\theta_2-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$Y_{\theta_2-1}Y_{\theta_1-1}^{-1}Y_{\theta_1}^{-1} = Y^{(-1+P^{\theta_2-1})-(1+P^{\theta_1-1})-(1+P^{\theta_1})} = 1.$$

Thus

$$(39.27) \quad Y_{\theta_2-1} = Y_{\theta_1}Y_{\theta_1-1}.$$

By Lemma 39.3

$$Y_{\theta_2-1}^{-1}U^{v^{\theta_2-1}}Y_{\theta_2-1} = P^{-(\theta_2-1)}UP^{(\theta_2-1)}.$$

By (39.27) this yields that

$$(39.28) \quad Y_{\theta_1-1}^{-1}Y_{\theta_1}^{-1}U^{v^{\theta_2-1}}Y_{\theta_1}Y_{\theta_1-1} = P^{-(\theta_2-1)}UP^{(\theta_2-1)}.$$

Lemma 39.2 also implies that

$$Y_{\theta_1}^{-1}U^{v^{\theta_1}}Y_{\theta_1} = P^{-\theta_1}UP^{\theta_1}.$$

Raising this to the $v^{\theta_2-\theta_1-1}$ th power we get that

$$(39.29) \quad Y_{\theta_1}^{-1}U^{v^{\theta_2-1}}Y_{\theta_1} = P^{-\theta_1}U^{v^{\theta_2-\theta_1-1}}P^{\theta_1}.$$

Now (39.28) and (39.29) yield that

$$(39.30) \quad Y_{\theta_1-1}^{-1}P^{-\theta_1}U^{v^{\theta_2-\theta_1-1}}P^{\theta_1}Y_{\theta_1-1} = P^{-(\theta_2-1)}UP^{(\theta_2-1)}.$$

Another application of Lemma 39.3 gives

$$(39.31) \quad Y_{\theta_1-1}^{-1}U^{v^{\theta_1-1}}Y_{\theta_1-1} = P^{-(\theta_1-1)}UP^{(\theta_1-1)}.$$

Thus (39.30) and (39.31) imply that

$$(39.32) \quad \begin{aligned} &Y_{\theta_1-1}^{-1}[P^{-\theta_1}U^{v^{\theta_2-\theta_1-1}}P^{\theta_1}, U^{v^{\theta_1-1}}]Y_{\theta_1-1} \\ &= [P^{-(\theta_2-1)}UP^{(\theta_2-1)}, P^{-(\theta_1-1)}UP^{(\theta_1-1)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_1 \neq 0$, $P^{-\theta_1}U^{v^{\theta_2-\theta_1-1}}P^{\theta_1} \notin \mathfrak{U}$. Therefore

$$[P^{-g_1} U^{v^{g_2 - g_1 - 1}} P^{g_1}, U^{v^{g_1 - 1}}] \in \mathfrak{P}^{\sharp}.$$

As \mathfrak{P} is a T.I. set in \mathfrak{G} (39.32) now implies that

$$Y_{g_1 - 1} \in N(\mathfrak{P}) \cap \mathfrak{D}_0 = 1.$$

Therefore $P^{g_1 - 1}$ commutes with Y and so $g_1 = 1$. Now (39.27) yields that $Y_{g_2 - 1} = Y_1$, or

$$Y^{-1} P^{-(g_2 - 1)} Y P^{(g_2 - 1)} = Y^{-1} P^{-1} Y P.$$

Consequently $P^{-(g_2 - 2)} Y P^{(g_2 - 2)} = Y$. Hence $g_2 = 2$. Now (39.23) implies that $g_3 = 1$ as required.

LEMMA 39.11. *Let \mathcal{B} have the same meaning as in Definition 38.2. If $a \in \mathcal{B}$ then $-a \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Let $a = a_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ and suppose that $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 38.8 $(-a_2, -a_1, -a_3) \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $(-a_2, -a_1, -a_3)$ play the role of (a_1, a_2, a_3) . By Lemma 39.10 $g_3 = g(1, -a_1 v^3, -2) = 1$. Thus Lemmas 38.5 and 39.1 imply that

$$(39.33) \quad -a_1 v^3 + f(1, -a_1 v^3, -2) + h(1, -a_1 v^3, -2) = 0$$

$$(39.34) \quad \omega^{-a_1 v^3} - 2 + \omega^{-a_1 v^3 + h(1, -a_1 v^3, -2)} = 0.$$

Let $b_1 = -a_1 v^3$, $b_2 = f(1, -a_1 v^3, -2)$ and $b_3 = h(1, -a_1 v^3, -2)$. By Lemma 39.1 $b_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. By (39.33) $b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = 0$. Now it follows from (39.34) and Lemma 38.7 that $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus $-a v^3 = -a_1 v^3 = b_1 \in \mathcal{B}$.

Since a was an arbitrary element of \mathcal{B} we get that for any integer n , $a(-v^3)^n \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus in particular, $a(-v^3)^p \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence by (38.2), $-a = -a v^{3p} \in \mathcal{B}$ as was to be shown.

It is now very easy to complete the proof of Theorem 37.1.

Define the set \mathcal{C} by

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\omega^a \mid a \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

Since $|\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{C}|$, Lemma 38.10 yields that \mathcal{C} is not empty. The definition of \mathcal{B} and Lemma 38.7 yield that $1 \notin \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $2 - \alpha \in \mathcal{C}$. Lemma 39.11 implies that $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore if $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ then $\frac{1}{2 - \alpha} \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $u = 1 + p + \dots + p^{q-1}$, we have $N(\alpha) = \alpha^{1+p+\dots+p^{q-1}} = 1$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus if σ has the same meaning as in Lemma 38.11 then there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{p^q} - \mathcal{F}_p$ such that $N(\alpha^{\sigma^i}) = 1$ for all values of i . This contradicts Lemma 38.11, and completes the proof of the main theorem of this paper.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RALPH S. PHILLIPS

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH
T. M. CHERRY

D. DERRY
M. OHTSUKA

H. L. ROYDEN
E. SPANIER

E. G. STRAUS
F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and the author should keep a complete copy. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2 chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 13, No. 3

May, 1963

Walter Feit and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Chapter I, from Solvability of groups of odd order, Pacific J. Math, vol. 13, no. 3 (1963</i>	775
Walter Feit and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Chapter II, from Solvability of groups of odd order, Pacific J. Math., vol. 13, no. 3 (1963</i>	789
Walter Feit and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Chapter III, from Solvability of groups of odd order, Pacific J. Math., vol. 13, no. 3 (1963</i>	803
Walter Feit and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Chapter IV, from Solvability of groups of odd order, Pacific J. Math., vol. 13, no. 3 (1963</i>	845
Walter Feit and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Chapter V, from Solvability of groups of odd order, Pacific J. Math., vol. 13, no. 3 (1963</i>	943
Walter Feit and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Chapter VI, from Solvability of groups of odd order, Pacific J. Math., vol. 13, no. 3 (1963</i>	1011
Walter Feit and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Bibliography, from Solvability of groups of odd order, Pacific J. Math., vol. 13, no. 3 (1963</i>	1029