Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE RELATIVE GROWTH OF DIFFERENCES OF PARTITION FUNCTIONS

HWA SUK HAHN

Vol. 14, No. 1 May 1964

ON THE RELATIVE GROWTH OF DIFFERENCES OF PARTITION FUNCTIONS

HWA S. HAHN

1. Introduction. Let A be an arbitrary set of positive integers (finite or infinite) other than the empty set or the set consisting of the single element unity. Let $p(n) = P_A(n)$ denote the number of partitions of the integer n into parts taken from the set A, repetitions being allowed. Generally, for any integer k we define $p^{(k)}(n) = p_A^{(k)}(n)$ by the formal power series relation

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_k(x) = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{(k)}(n) X^n = (1-X)^k \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) X^n \\ &= (1-X)^k \prod\limits_{n=4}^{\infty} (1-X^n)^{-1} \ . \end{array}$$

Thus $p^{(k)}(n)$ is the kth difference of p(n) if k > 0, p(n) itself if k = 0, and the (-k)th order summatory function of p(n) if k < 0. P. T. Bateman and P. Erdös proved (see [1]) that $p^{(k)}(n)$ is positive for all sufficiently large positive integer n if and only if A has the following property which is denoted by P_k : There are more than k elements in A, and if we remove an arbitrary subset of k elements in k, the remaining elements have greatest common divisor unity. When k is negative we agree that any set k has property k. Further, they conjectured that if k has property k, then

$$(2) p^{(k+1)}(n)/p^{(k)}(n) = O(n^{-1/2})$$

for an arbitrary k.

Since for a finite set A which has property P_k we know that

$$p^{(k+1)}(n)/p^{(k)}(n) = O(1/n)$$
 (see [1])

i.e., this conjecture is true for such a set A we need only to consider when A is an infinite set.

The purpose of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of the ratio $p^{(k+1)}(n)/p^{(k)}(n)$ under rather strong restrictions on the regularity of the sequence $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \cdots$ formed by writing the elements of A in increasing order. Our restrictions are those used by Roth and Szekeres in [7], namely:

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\log n(u)}{\log u} = \alpha$$

Received October 25, 1961. The preparation of this paper was supported by the U. S. Office of Naval Research. The author acknowledges his deepest gratitude to Professor P. T. Bateman for his help and encouragement.

where $n(u) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha = A \\ \alpha \le u}} 1$ and $0 < \alpha \le 1$, and

(II)
$$(\log m)^{-1}\inf\left\{\sum_{j=1}^m||\beta a_j||^2\right\}\to\infty$$
 as $m\to\infty$

where ||x|| denotes the distance of x from the nearest integer and the lower bound is taken over those β satisfying $(2a_m)^{-1} < \beta \le 1/2$.

The assumption (I) is a smoothness assumption on the growth of the counting function of the set A, while (II) is an arithmetical condition implying P_k for every k. Roth and Szekeres showed that many frequently occurring sets have these two properties. Under these conditions we shall show that

(3)
$$p^{(k+1)}(n)/p^{(k)}(n) \sim \sigma_n,$$

where σ_n is defined as the unique solution of

$$n = \sum_{a \in A} a(e^{\sigma_{n}a} - 1)^{-1}$$
.

Actually this result follows from the arguments of Roth and Szekeres [7], but we intend to give a direct proof using Hayman's method [4]. By a slight modification in the argument used in our proof one can obtain

(3*)
$$p^{*(k+1)}(n)/p^{*(k)}(n) \sim \sigma_n^*$$
 (see [7, p. 246]),

where $p^*(n) = p_A^*(n)$ denotes the number of partitions of n into distinct parts taken from the set A and $p^{*(k)}(n)$ is defined by

$$f_k^*(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{*(k)}(n) X^n = (1-X)^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^*(n) X^n = (1-X)^k \prod_{a \in A} (1+X^a)$$
 ,

and where σ_n^* is defined by $n = \sum_{a \in A} a(e^{\sigma_n a} + 1)^{-1}$. Probably (3) and (3*) hold under much weaker conditions than (I) and (II), but we have been unable to make much progress in this direction.

Furthermore, if we replace (I) by the following more stringent condition:

$$n(u) \sim u^{\alpha} L(u)$$
 as $u \to \infty$,

where $0 < \alpha \le 1$ and L is a slowly oscillating function in the sense of Karamata [5], then we shall have

where L_1 is a slowly oscillating function related to L. This relation can be expressed in term of de Bruijn's concept of conjugate slowly oscillating function [2].

In any event we can derive under these conditions the Bateman-Erdös conjecture from (3) or (4), since $\sigma_n \leq \pi (6n)^{-1/2}$. See the final section of the paper.

REMARK. An example of a set A of positive integers having property P_k for every k but not satisfying (II) is the following: Let A include all even numbers but very few odd numbers, say only odd numbers of the form $4^{4^n} + 1$, where n is a positive integer. Then for x > e the number of odd numbers in A not exceeding x is less than $\log \log x$. Hence for $m \ge 3$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left\| rac{1}{2} a_j
ight\|^2 = ext{one-forth of the number of odd integers} \ \ \, ext{among } a_1, \, a_2, \, a_3, \, \cdots, \, a_m. \ \ \, \leq rac{1}{4} \log \log a_m \ \ \, \leq rac{1}{4} \log \log 2m \; , \ \ \,$$

so that

$$(\log m)^{-1}\inf\left\{\sum_{j=1}^m\left\|rac{1}{2}a_j
ight\|^2
ight\}
ightarrow 0 \qquad \qquad ext{as } m
ightarrow +\infty$$
 .

A similar example could be constructed by taking the multiples of any prime p and a very thin set of integers not divisible by p.

2. Outline of proof of (3). Let $s = \sigma + it$. Then our function

$$f_{k}(e^{-s}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{(k)}(n)e^{-sn}$$

is analytic in $\sigma > 0$. Define a function $\phi_k(s)$ as that branch of $\log f_k(e^{-s})$ given by the formula

$$\phi_k(s) = k \log{(1 - e^{-s})} - \sum_{a \in A} \log{(1 - e^{-as})}$$
 ,

where each term is defined by the principal branch of the logarithm. To this function $f_k(e^{-s})$ we shall apply the following lemma, due to Hayman [4], which is the main tool of this paper.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that $F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n e^{-sn}$ converges for $Res = \sigma > 0$ and $F(\sigma) > 0$ for all sufficiently small positive σ . Define $q_n = 0$ for n < 0. Suppose F(s) satisfies the following three conditions for some $\delta(\sigma)$, $0 < \delta(\sigma) < \pi$:

$$(a)$$
 $\phi''(\sigma) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, where $\phi(\sigma) = \log F(\sigma)$,

(b)
$$F(\sigma + it) \sim F(\sigma)e^{it\phi'(\sigma) - (t^2/2)\phi''(\sigma)}$$
 as $\sigma \to 0$

uniformly for $|t| \leq \delta(\sigma)$, and

$$F(\sigma+it)=o(F(\sigma))/\phi''(\sigma)^{1/2}$$
 as $\sigma o 0$

uniformly for $\delta(\sigma) \leq |t| \leq \pi$.

Then we have uniformly for all n

$$q_{\scriptscriptstyle n}e^{-\sigma n} = rac{F(\sigma)}{(2\pi\phi^{\prime\prime}(\sigma))^{1/2}} \Bigl\{ \exp\Bigl[-rac{(\phi^{\prime}(\sigma)+n)^2}{2\phi^{\prime\prime}(\sigma)}\Bigr] + o(1) \Bigr\}$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$.

We shall prove later that our function $f_k(e^{-s})$ satisfies (a), (b) and (c) for $\delta(\sigma) = \sigma^{1+(2\alpha/5)}$. Thus we will have uniformly for all n

$$(5) p^{(k)}(n)e^{-\sigma n} = \frac{f_k(e^{-\sigma})}{(2\pi\phi_k''(\sigma))^{1/2}} \left\{ \exp\left[-\frac{(\phi_k'(\sigma) + n)^2}{2\phi_k''(\sigma)}\right] + o(1) \right\}$$

as $\sigma \to 0$. Denote by σ_n the unique root of

$$\phi_0'(\sigma) + n = 0.$$

By (11) below this exists because $\phi'_0(\sigma)$ goes monotonically from 0 to $-\infty$ when σ goes from $+\infty$ to 0 through positive values. from (5)

$$(7) \frac{p^{(k+1)}(n)}{p^{(k)}(n)} = (1 - e^{-\sigma n}) \left(\frac{\phi_k''(\sigma_n)}{\phi_{k+1}''(\sigma_n)}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left\{ \exp\left[\frac{(\phi_k'(\sigma_n) - \phi_0'(\sigma_n))^2}{2\phi_k''(\sigma_n)} - \frac{(\phi_{k+1}'(\sigma_n) - \phi_0'(\sigma_n))^2}{2\phi_{k+1}''(\sigma_n)}\right] + o(1) \right\}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

We shall show in the next section that

(8)
$$\phi_{k+1}^{"}(\sigma_n) = \phi_k^{"}(\sigma_n)(1+o(1)) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty \text{ i.e., as } \sigma_n \to 0$$

and

$$(9) \qquad (\phi'_k(\sigma_n) - \phi'_0(\sigma))^2 = o(\phi''_k(\sigma_n)) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

Then from (7)

$$(10) \qquad \frac{p^{(k+1)}(n)}{p^{(k)}(n)} = (1 - e^{-\sigma_n})(1 + o(1)) = \sigma_n(1 + o(1)) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty \ .$$

3. Proof that $\phi_k''(\sigma) \to \infty$ as $\sigma \to 0$ and proof of (8) and (9). From

$$\phi_k(\sigma) = \log f_k(e^{-\sigma}) = \log \left\{ (1 - e^{-\sigma})^k \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (1 - e^{-\sigma a})^{-1} \right\}$$

we have

(11)
$$\phi'_{k}(\sigma) = \frac{ke^{-\sigma}}{1 - e^{-\sigma}} - \sum_{a \in A} \frac{ae^{-\sigma a}}{1 - e^{-\sigma a}} ,$$

$$\phi''_{k}(\sigma) = -\frac{ke^{-\sigma}}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^{2}} + \sum_{a \in A} \frac{a^{2}e^{-\sigma a}}{(1 - e^{-\sigma a})^{2}} .$$

Since condition (I) implies that A is infinite, we have

(12)
$$\phi_k''(\sigma) = -\frac{ke^{-\sigma}}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^2} + \sum_{a \in A} \left(\frac{a}{1 + e^{-\sigma} + \dots + e^{-\sigma(a-1)}}\right)^2 \frac{e^{-\sigma a}}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^2} > \frac{e^{-\sigma}}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^2} \left(-k + \sum_{a \in A} e^{-\sigma(a-1)}\right) \to +\infty \quad \text{as } \sigma \to 0 ,$$

so that (a) holds. And (8) is immediate since

$$\phi_{k+1}^{"}(\sigma) = -\frac{e^{-\sigma}}{(1-e^{-\sigma})^2} + \phi_k^{"}(\sigma)$$

and since

$$\frac{e^{-\sigma}}{(1-e^{-\sigma})^2} = o\Big\{\frac{e^{-\sigma}}{(1-e^{-\sigma})^2}\Big(-k + \sum_{a\in A} e^{-\sigma(a-1)}\Big)\Big\} = o(\phi_k^{\prime\prime}(\sigma)) \qquad \text{as } \sigma\to 0 \ .$$

Also from (11)

$$(\phi_k'(\sigma) - \phi_0'(\sigma))^2 = rac{k^2 e^{-2\sigma}}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^2}$$

and so by (12)

$$\frac{(\phi_k'(\sigma) - \phi_0'(\sigma))^2}{\phi_k''(\sigma)} < \frac{k^2 e^{-\sigma}}{\left(-k + \sum\limits_{\alpha \in A} e^{-\sigma(\alpha - 1)}\right)} \to 0$$

as $\sigma \to 0$. Hence (9) holds.

4. Proof of (b) for $f_k(e^{-s})$.

4.1. First we obtain a result for $|t| \le \sigma/4$ and then specialize it to obtain (b). For $|z - \sigma| \le \sigma/4$ and σ sufficiently small we have for some constant B

$$|\phi_k''(z)| < B\phi_k''\left(\frac{3}{4}\sigma\right).$$

For

$$|\phi_{k}''(z)| = \left|\sum_{a \in A} \frac{a^{2}e^{-za}}{(1 - e^{-za})^{2}} - \frac{ke^{-z}}{(1 - e^{-z})^{2}}\right|$$

$$= \left|\sum_{a \in A} a^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} je^{-zaj} - k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} je^{-zj}\right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{a \in A} a^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} je^{-(Rez)aj} + |k| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} je^{-(Rez)j}$$

$$\leq \phi_{k}(Rez) + \frac{2|k|e^{-Rez}}{(1 - e^{-Rez})^{2}}$$

$$\leq B \phi_{k}''(Rez) \qquad \text{for a constant } B$$

and

$$\mid \phi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\prime\prime}(z)\mid \ \leq B\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\prime\prime}(Re\ z) \ \leq B\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\prime\prime}\Bigl(rac{3}{4}\sigma\Bigr)$$
 ,

since $(3/4)\sigma \leq Re z \leq (5/4)\sigma$ and $\phi_k''(\sigma)$ is monotonically decreasing for sufficiently small σ (by an argument like those of the preceding section).

Thus we have a power series development

$$\phi_k''(z) = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z-\sigma)^n$$
 , $|z-\sigma| \leqq \sigma/4$,

where by Cauchy's inequality and (13)

$$\mid c_n \mid \leq rac{B \phi_k^{\prime\prime} \Big(rac{3}{4}\sigma\Big)}{(\sigma/4)^n}$$
 .

Now we integrate both sides of the above power series and we have

$$\phi'_{k}(z) = \phi'_{k}(\sigma) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{n}}{n+1} (z-\sigma)^{n+1}$$
.

Since $c_0 = \phi_k''(\sigma)$, by integrating again we have

(14)
$$\phi_k(z) = \phi_k(\sigma) + (z - \sigma)\phi_k'(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2}(z - \sigma)^2\phi_k''(\sigma) \\ + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{(n+1)(n+2)} (z - \sigma)^{n+2} .$$

Now we have for $|z - \sigma| \le \sigma/4$, $n \ge 1$,

$$\mid c_{\scriptscriptstyle n}(z-\sigma)\mid^{\scriptscriptstyle n+2} \leq \frac{B\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\prime\prime}\!\!\left(\frac{3}{4}\sigma\right)}{(\sigma/4)^{\scriptscriptstyle n}} \mid z-\sigma\mid^{\scriptscriptstyle n+2} \leq \frac{B\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\prime\prime}\!\!\left(\frac{3}{4}\sigma\right) \mid z-\sigma\mid^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}}{\sigma/4} \;.$$

We now specialize the above by putting $z = s = \sigma + it$ with $|t| \le \sigma/4$. Then (14) gives

(15)
$$\log f_{\scriptscriptstyle k}(e^{-s}) = \log f_{\scriptscriptstyle k}(e^{-\sigma}) + it\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}'(\sigma) - rac{1}{2}t^2\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}''(\sigma) + R_{\scriptscriptstyle k}(s)$$
 ,

where

$$|||R_{\scriptscriptstyle k}(s)|| \leq rac{B\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\prime\prime}\!\!\left(rac{3}{4}\sigma
ight)\!||t||^3}{\sigma/4}\sum_{n=1}^\inftyrac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} = rac{2B\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\prime\prime}\!\!\left(rac{3}{4}\sigma
ight)\!||t||^3}{\sigma}\;.$$

4.2. Now we use the condition (I) to estimate $\phi_k''(\sigma)$ in terms of σ . First

(16)
$$\phi_{0}(\sigma) = \log f_{0}(e^{-\sigma}) = \log \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\sigma a_{m}})^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log (1 - e^{-\sigma a_{m}})^{-1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma \int_{a_{m}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\sigma u}}{1 - e^{-\sigma u}} du$$

$$= \sigma \int_{a_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\sigma u}}{1 - e^{-\sigma u}} \left(\sum_{a_{m} \leq u} 1\right) du = \sigma \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{n(u)}{e^{\sigma u} - 1} du .$$

Let $K(v) = v/(e^v - 1)$. Then we have

(17)
$$\phi_0(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{K(\sigma u)}{u} n(n) du ,$$

$$\phi_0'(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty K'(\sigma u) n(u) du ,$$

$$\phi_0''(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty K''(\sigma u) u n(u) du .$$

Here K(v), -K'(v) and K''(v) have positive limits as $v \to 0$ and are all $O(ve^{-v})$ as $v \to \infty$. Now for any positive ε we have from (I) that if u_0 is sufficiently large, then

$$n(u) \leq u^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$$
 for $u \geq u_0$.

Here for suitable constants C and D, depending on ε , we have

$$\phi_0''(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty K''(\sigma u) u n(u) du$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty K''(\sigma u) u^{1+\alpha+\varepsilon} du + \int_0^{u_0} K''(\sigma u) u n(u) du$$

$$\leq \sigma^{-(2+\alpha+\varepsilon)} \int_0^\infty K''(v) v^{1+\alpha+\varepsilon} dv + C \int_0^{u_0} u n(u) du$$

$$= \sigma^{-(2+\alpha+\varepsilon)} \left\{ -(1+\alpha+\varepsilon) \int_0^\infty K'(v) v^{\alpha+\varepsilon} dv \right\} + D$$

$$= \sigma^{-(2+\alpha+\varepsilon)} (1+\alpha+\varepsilon) (\alpha+\varepsilon) \int_0^\infty K(v) v^{-1+\alpha+\varepsilon} dv + D$$

$$= (\alpha+\varepsilon) (1+\alpha+\varepsilon) \Gamma(1+\alpha+\varepsilon) \zeta(1+\alpha+\varepsilon) \sigma^{-(2+\alpha+\varepsilon)} + D.$$

Hence

$$\phi_0^{\prime\prime}(\sigma) = O(\sigma^{-(2+\alpha+\epsilon)})$$
.

Since from (11)

$$\phi_k''(\sigma)\sim\phi_0''(\sigma)$$
 as $\sigma\to 0$,
$$\phi_k''(\sigma)=O(\sigma^{-(2+\alpha+z)})\;.$$

Returning to (15) we have now

$$|R_k(s)| = O(\sigma^{-(3+\alpha+\epsilon)})|t|^3$$
.

Finally for $|t| \le \delta(\sigma) = \sigma^{1+(2\alpha/5)}$ and for $\varepsilon < \alpha/5$ we have $|R_k(s)| = O(\sigma^{(\alpha/5)-\varepsilon}) = o(1) \qquad \text{as } \sigma \longrightarrow 0 ...$

Thus (15) yields (b) for $f_k(e^{-s})$ with this value of $\delta(\sigma)$.

5. Proof of (c) for $f_k(e^{-s})$. Let us define m to be such that

$$a_m < 1/\sigma \le a_{m+1}.$$

In the sequel we shall express the magnitudes of $\phi_k''(\sigma)$ and $f_k(e^{-s})/f_k(e^{-\sigma})$ in terms of m and we shall compare them with the help of the condition (II).

Since from (I)

(20)
$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\log a_{m+1}}{\log m} = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\log a_m}{\log m} = \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\log u}{\log n(u)} = \frac{1}{\alpha},$$

we have from (19)

(21)
$$\log \sigma^{-1} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} \log m \qquad \text{as } \sigma \to 0 .$$

Thus it follows from (18) that

(22)
$$\log (\phi_k''(\sigma))^{1/2} = O(\log m) .$$

Now consider

(23)
$$\log\left\{\frac{|f_k(e^{-s})|}{f_k(e^{-\sigma})}\right\} = \log\left\{\frac{|1-e^{-s}|^k}{(1-e^{-\sigma})^k}\prod_{a\in A}\frac{1-e^{-\sigma a}}{|1-e^{-sa}|}\right\}.$$

Here

$$\begin{split} \log \left\{ & \frac{|1 - e^{-\sigma - it}|^k}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^k} \right\} = \frac{k}{2} \log \left\{ \frac{(1 - e^{-\sigma - it})(1 - e^{-\sigma + it})}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{k}{2} \log \left\{ \frac{1 - 2e^{-\sigma} \cos t + e^{-2\sigma}}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^2} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Hence for small σ

$$\begin{split} \Big| \log \Big\{ & \frac{|1 - e^{-\sigma - it}|^k}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^k} \Big\} \Big| \leqq \frac{|k|}{2} \log \frac{(1 + e^{-\sigma})^2}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^2} \\ & \leqq |k| \log \frac{2}{1 - e^{-\sigma}} \leqq 2 |k| \log \sigma^{-1} \;. \end{split}$$

Thus by (21) we have for any fixed k

(24)
$$\log \frac{|1 - e^{-\sigma - it}|^k}{(1 - e^{-\sigma})^k} = O(\log m).$$

Now

$$\begin{split} \log \prod_{a \in A} \frac{1 - e^{-\sigma a}}{|1 - e^{-\sigma a - ita}|} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in A} \log \frac{(1 - e^{-\sigma a})^2}{(1 - e^{-\sigma a - ita})(1 - e^{-\sigma a + ita})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in A} \log \left\{ 1 - \frac{2e^{-\sigma a}(1 - \cos ta)}{(1 - e^{-\sigma a})^2 + 2e^{-\sigma a}(1 - \cos ta)} \right\} \\ &< -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in a} \frac{2e^{-\sigma a}}{(1 + e^{-\sigma a})^2} (1 - \cos ta) \\ &< -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a < 1/\sigma} \frac{2e^{-\sigma a}}{(1 + e^{-\sigma a})^2} (1 - \cos ta) \\ &< -\frac{e^{-1}}{(1 + e^{-1})^2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (1 - \cos ta_j) \; . \end{split}$$

Write $E = e/(1 + e)^2$ and $t = 2\pi\beta$. Then

$$egin{aligned} -E \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \cos 2\pi eta a_j
ight) \ &= -E \sum_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \cos 2\pi || eta a_j ||
ight) \ &= -E \sum_{j=1}^m 2 \sin^2 \pi || eta a_j || \ &\leq -8E \sum_{j=1}^m || eta a_j ||^2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the condition (II) for $(2a_m)^{-1} < \beta \le 1/2$ we have

(25)
$$\frac{1}{\log m} \log \left\{ \prod_{a \in A} \frac{1 - e^{-\sigma a}}{|1 - e^{-\sigma a - ita}|} \right\} \to -\infty \quad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

From (19) $m \to \infty$ if and only if $\sigma \to 0$. Therefore from (22), (23), (24) and (25) we conclude now that for $\pi a_m^{-1} < |t| \le \pi$ we have

$$egin{align} & rac{|f_k(e^{-s})|}{f_k(e^{-\sigma})} (\phi_k''(\sigma))^{1/2} \ &= \exp\left\{\lograc{|f_k(e^{-s})|}{f_k(e^{-\sigma})} + \log\left(\phi_k''(\sigma)
ight)^{1/2}
ight\} o 0 \qquad ext{as } \sigma o 0 \; . \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, if $\delta(\sigma) \leq |t| \leq \pi a_m^{-1}$, we have

$$\delta(\sigma)/(2\pi) \le |\beta| = |t|/(2\pi) \le (2a_m)^{-1}$$
.

Thus if ε is a given positive number less than $\alpha/(8\alpha + 40)$, then by (20) $\sigma^{-1} \leq a_{m+1} < m^{(1+\varepsilon)/\alpha}$ for sufficiently small σ and so

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{m} || \, \beta a_j \, ||^2 = \beta^2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j^2 \geqq \, c_1 \delta(\sigma)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} j^{(2/\alpha)(1-\epsilon)} \\ & \geqq \, c_1 \delta(\sigma)^2 \! \int_0^{\sigma^{-\alpha/(1+\epsilon)}} \! \! x^{(2/\alpha)(1-\epsilon)} dx \geqq \, c_2 \delta(\sigma)^2 \sigma^{-2\lceil (1-\epsilon)/(1+\epsilon) \rceil - \lceil \alpha/(1+\epsilon) \rceil} \\ & \geqq \, c_2 \sigma^{-(\alpha/5) + 4\epsilon \lceil 1 + (\alpha/5) \rceil} \geqq \, c_2 \sigma^{-\alpha/10} \end{split}$$

for sufficiently small σ , where the constants c_1 and c_2 depend on ε . Now from (21)

$$rac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{m}||eta a_j||^2}{\log m}>rac{c_2\sigma^{-lpha/10}}{2lpha\log\sigma^{-1}} o\infty \qquad \qquad ext{as } \sigma o 0$$
 .

As in the previous case this implies that in the case when $\delta(\sigma)/(2\pi)$ $\leq |\beta| \leq (2a_m)^{-1}$ also (c) holds.

Thus we have completed the proof of (c) for $\delta(\sigma) \leq |t| \leq \pi$. Note that the uniformity in (b) and (c) is clear from our proofs.

- 6. Application to the Bateman-Erdös conjecture. In this section we shall estimate σ_n in (3) in term of n under each of the conditions (I) and (I*).
 - 6.1. Under (I), for given any positive ε we have

$$u^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \leq n(u) \leq u^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$$
 for large u .

Recall that σ_n was defined by (6):

$$n + \phi_0'(\sigma_n) = 0$$
.

Now from (17)

$$\phi_0'(\sigma_n) = \int_0^\infty K'(\sigma_n u) n(u) du$$
.

Hence

$$-\int_0^\infty K'(\sigma_n u)u^{\alpha-\varepsilon}du \leq n \leq -\int_0^\infty K'(\sigma_n u)u^{\alpha+\varepsilon}du.$$

Then a computation similar to (18) gives

$$(\alpha - \varepsilon)\Gamma(1 + \alpha - \varepsilon)\zeta(1 + \alpha - \varepsilon)\sigma_n^{-(1+\alpha-\varepsilon)}$$

$$\leq n \leq (\alpha + \varepsilon)\Gamma(1 + \alpha + \varepsilon)\zeta(1 + \alpha + \varepsilon)\sigma_n^{-(1+\alpha+\varepsilon)}.$$

Thus we have

$$\log n = -(1 + \alpha + o(1))\log \sigma_n$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

Hence

(26)
$$\sigma_n = n^{-1/[1+\alpha+o(1)]}.$$

Furthermore, we have always $n(u) \leq u$ and so

$$n \leq -\int_0^\infty K'(\sigma_n n) u du = -\frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \int_0^\infty K'(v) v dv$$

= $\frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \Gamma(2) \zeta(2) = \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ (see (18)).

Hence always $\sigma_n \leq (\pi/\sqrt{6})(1/\sqrt{n})$. Since we obtained (3) under the conditions (I) and (II), under these conditions

(27)
$$p^{(k+1)}(n)/p^{(k)}(n) \leq \left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} + \varepsilon\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for sufficiently large n. Of course this is weaker than (26) when $\alpha < 1$. However note that (27) implies the Bateman-Erdös conjecture under these conditions. In fact it would be reasonable to conjecture that (27) holds for any set A of positive integers.

6.2. Under (I^*) we have

$$n(u) \sim u^{\alpha} L(u)$$
 as $u \to \infty$ $(0 < \alpha \le 1)$.

LEMMA 2. The condition (I*) implies

$$-\phi_0'(\sigma) \sim \alpha \Gamma(1+\alpha) \zeta(1+\alpha) (1/\sigma)^{1+\alpha} L(1/\sigma)$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Suppose L is defined on $[a, \infty)$, a > 0. Choose $0 < \gamma < \alpha$. Then by Karamata's representation theorem for slowly oscillating function [3] there exists $b \ge a$ such that

$$0 < n(u) < 2u^{\alpha}L(u) , \qquad u \ge b$$

and

$$rac{1}{2} \left(rac{x}{u}
ight)^{-\gamma} < rac{L(x)}{L(u)} < 2 \left(rac{x}{u}
ight)^{\gamma}$$
 for $x \geq u \geq b$.

Now from (17)

$$\frac{\phi'_{0}(\sigma)}{(1/\sigma)^{1+\alpha}L(1/\sigma)} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} K'(\sigma u)n(u)du}{(1/\sigma)^{1+\alpha}L(1/\sigma)}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma\int_{0}^{b} K'(\sigma u)n(u)du + \int_{b\sigma}^{\infty} K'(v)n(v/\sigma)dv}{(1/\sigma)^{\alpha}L(1/\sigma)}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{b} n(u)du}{(1/\sigma)^{1+\alpha}L(1/\sigma)}\right) + \int_{b\sigma}^{\infty} \frac{n(v/\sigma)L(v/\sigma)}{(v/\sigma)^{\alpha}L(v/\sigma)L(1/\sigma)}K'(v)v^{\alpha}du$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{(1/\sigma)^{1+\alpha}L(1/\sigma)}\right) + \int_{0}^{\infty} g(v,\sigma)dv$$

where

$$g(v,\sigma) = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if} \quad v < b\sigma \;, \ rac{n(v/\sigma)}{(v/\sigma)^2 L(v/\sigma)} rac{L(v/\sigma)}{L(1/\sigma)} K'(v) v^{lpha} & ext{if} \quad v \geqq b\sigma \;. \end{cases}$$

For fixed positive v

$$\lim_{\sigma\to 0}g(v,\,\sigma)=K'(v)v^{\sigma}.$$

Also if $\sigma \leq 1/b$ and $v \geq b\sigma$ we have

$$rac{L(v/\sigma)}{L(1/\sigma)} < egin{cases} 2v^{-\gamma} & ext{if} \ v \leq 1 \ 2v^{\gamma} & ext{if} \ v \geq 1 \ . \end{cases}$$

And if $v \ge b\sigma$,

$$0<rac{n(v/\sigma)}{(v/\sigma)^{lpha}L(v/\sigma)}<2$$
 .

Thus $|g(v, \sigma)| \leq h(v)$, where

$$h(v) = egin{cases} 4 \mid K'(v) \mid v^{lpha - \gamma} & ext{if} \ v \leq 1 \ 4 \mid K'(v) \mid v^{lpha + \gamma} & ext{if} \ v \geq 1 \ . \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\int_0^\infty h(v)dv < \infty$$
 ,

the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem gives

$$\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \int_0^\infty \!\! g(v,\sigma) dv = \int_0^\infty \!\! K'(v) v^\alpha dv = -\alpha \varGamma(1+\alpha) \zeta(1+\alpha) \qquad \text{(see (18))} \; .$$

Therefore from (28) we have since

$$O\Bigl(rac{1}{(1/\sigma)^{lpha}L(1/\sigma)}\Bigr)=o(1)$$
 as $\sigma o 0$,

$$\lim_{\sigma o 0} rac{\phi_0'(\sigma)}{(1/\sigma)^{1+lpha}L(1/\sigma)} = -lpha \Gamma(1+lpha)\zeta(1+lpha)$$
 .

Thus we have now

$$n=-\phi_0'(\sigma_n)\sim lpha arGamma(1+lpha)\zeta(1+lpha)L(1/\sigma_n)(1/\sigma_n)^{1+lpha}$$
 as $n o\infty$.

Hence

(29)
$$\sigma_n \sim n^{-1/(1+\alpha)} \{\alpha \Gamma(1+\alpha) \zeta(1+\alpha) L(1/\sigma_n)\}^{1/(1+\alpha)} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

To obtain (4) from (29) we introduce the following result, due to de Bruijn [2],: If M(x) is a slowly oscillating function, then there exists a slowly oscillating function M^* , called the conjugate of M, such that

$$M^*(xM(x))M(x) \to 1$$
 as $x \to \infty$, $M(xM^*(x))M^*(x) \to 1$ as $x \to \infty$.

Moreover M^* is asymptotically uniquely determined.

Put

$$M(x) = \{lpha \Gamma(1+lpha) \zeta(1+lpha) L(x)\}^{1/(1+lpha)}$$
 .

Then

$$M^*(M(1/\sigma_n)1/\sigma_n)M(1/\sigma_n) \to 1$$
 as $n \to \infty$

But since from (29)

$$M^*(M(1/\sigma_n)1/\sigma_n) \sim M^*(n^{1/(1+\alpha)})$$
,

we have

$$M^*(n^{1/(1+\alpha)})M(1/\sigma_n) \rightarrow 1$$
 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus we have from (29)

$$\sigma_n \sim n^{-1/(1+\alpha)} M^* (n^{1/(1+\alpha)})^{-1}$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

Since by the property of a slowly oscillating function $M^*(n^{1/(1+\alpha)})^{-1}$ is a slowly oscillating function of n, by letting

$$L_1(n) = M^*(n^{1/(1+\alpha)})^{-1}$$

we obtain (4) from (3) and (29).

Note that

$$\limsup_{x \to +\infty} L_{\mathbf{i}}(x) = \{lpha \Gamma(1+lpha)\zeta(1+lpha) \limsup_{x \to +\infty} L(x)\}^{1/(1+lpha)}$$

and similarly for lim inf. This remark gives (27) from (4), but only under the present more stringent conditions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. P. T. Bateman and P. Erdös, Monotonicity of partition functions, Mathematika, 3 (1956), 1-14.
- 2. N. G. de Bruijn, Pairs of slowly oscillating functions occurring in asymptotic problems concerning the Laplace transform, Nieuw. Arch. voor Wisk. (3) 7 (1959), 20-26.
- 3. N. G. de Bruijn, J. Korevaar and T. van Aardenne-Ehrenfest, A note on slowly oscillating functions, Nieuw. Arch. voor Wisk. (2) 23 (1949), 77-86.
- 4. W. K. Hayman, A generalization of Stirling's formula, J. Reine Angew. Math., 196 (1956), 67-95.
- 5. J. Karamata, Sur un mode de croissance reguliere des functions, Mathematica (Cluj) 4 (1930), 38-53.
- 6. E. E. Kohlbecker, Weak asymptotic properties of partitions, Trans. of Amer. Soc., 88, No. 2 (1958), 346-365.
- 7. K. F. Roth and G. Szekeres, Some asymptotic formulae in the theory of partitions, Quart. J. of Math., 5, ser. 2 (1954), 241-259.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN

Stanford University Stanford, California

M. G. Arsove

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington

J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 14, No. 1

May, 1964

Richard Arens, Normal form for a Pfaffian	1
Charles Vernon Coffman, Non-linear differential equations on cones in Banach	
spaces	9
Ralph DeMarr, Order convergence in linear topological spaces	17
Peter Larkin Duren, On the spectrum of a Toeplitz operator	21
Robert E. Edwards, Endomorphisms of function-spaces which leave stable all	
translation-invariant manifolds	31
Erik Maurice Ellentuck, Infinite products of isols	49
William James Firey, Some applications of means of convex bodies	53
Haim Gaifman, Concerning measures on Boolean algebras	61
Richard Carl Gilbert, Extremal spectral functions of a symmetric operator	75
Ronald Lewis Graham, On finite sums of reciprocals of distinct nth powers	85
Hwa Suk Hahn, On the relative growth of differences of partition functions	93
Isidore Isaac Hirschman, Jr., Extreme eigen values of Toeplitz forms associated	
with Jacobi polynomials	107
Chen-jung Hsu, Remarks on certain almost product spaces	163
George Seth Innis, Jr., Some reproducing kernels for the unit disk	177
Ronald Jacobowitz, Multiplicativity of the local Hilbert symbol	187
Paul Joseph Kelly, On some mappings related to graphs	191
William A. Kirk, On curvature of a metric space at a point	195
G. J. Kurowski, On the convergence of semi-discrete analytic functions	199
Richard George Laatsch, Extensions of subadditive functions	209
V. Marić, On some properties of solutions of $\Delta \psi + A(r^2)X\nabla \psi + C(r^2)\psi = 0$	217
William H. Mills, Polynomials with minimal value sets	225
George James Minty, Jr., On the monotonicity of the gradient of a convex	
function	243
George James Minty, Jr., On the solvability of nonlinear functional equations of	
'monotonic' type	249
J. B. Muskat, On the solvability of $x^e \equiv e \pmod{p}$	257
Zeev Nehari, On an inequality of P. R. Bessack	261
Raymond Moos Redheffer and Ernst Gabor Straus, Degenerate elliptic	
equations	265
Abraham Robinson, On generalized limits and linear functionals	269
Bernard W. Roos, On a class of singular second order differential equations with a non linear parameter	285
Tôru Saitô, Ordered completely regular semigroups	295
Edward Silverman, A problem of least area	309
Robert C. Sine, Spectral decomposition of a class of operators	333
Jonathan Dean Swift, Chains and graphs of Ostrom planes	353
John Griggs Thompson, 2-signalizers of finite groups	363
Harold Widom, On the spectrum of a Toeplitz operator	