

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON THE SOLVABILITY OF NONLINEAR FUNCTIONAL
EQUATIONS OF 'MONOTONIC' TYPE**

GEORGE JAMES MINTY, JR.

ON THE SOLVABILITY OF NONLINEAR FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS OF 'MONOTONIC' TYPE

GEORGE J. MINTY

1. Introduction. Let X and Y be a conjugate pair of reflexive Banach spaces (with real or complex scalars) such that X has a smooth unit ball. For $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, we denote the natural pseudo-inner-product by $\langle x, y \rangle$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous monotonic function—i.e., one satisfying $\operatorname{Re} \langle x_1 - x_2, f(x_1) - f(x_2) \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. The main object of this paper is to present a theorem on the solvability of the equation $f(x) = u$, for given $u \in Y$, analogous to the ordinary “intermediate-value theorem” for a continuous (monotonic!) real-valued function of a real variable. In finite-dimensions, the known result [1] is that the range R of f is an almost-convex set (contains the interior of its convex hull, where “interior” may be taken relative to the smallest real flat containing R —see below for the definitions).

In order to preserve, so far as possible, duality between the domain and the range of f , theorems will be proved first on “monotonic” subsets of the product-space $X \times Y$, and then afterwards applied to the graph of f .

The theorems of this paper result from an attempt to obtain the same general kind of theorems as one gets by the “variational method”, as developed especially by E. H. Rothe, without assuming that f is the Fréchet differential of a real scalar function. In the variational theory, the assumption of monotonicity of f turns up in the form of convexity of the associated scalar, which in turn guarantees weak lower-semicontinuity. (In order to see the connection, compare Theorem 6 of [3] and Theorem 4.2 of [6]).

2. Preliminaries. Let X be a Banach-space and Y its conjugate-space, or vice versa. In $X \times Y$, we define the M -relation (as in [1], [3]) by: $(x_1, y_1)M(x_2, y_2)$ provided $\operatorname{Re} \langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0$. A set $E \subset (X \times Y)$ is called *monotonic* provided each pair of points of E is M -related, and is called *maximal* if it cannot be embedded in a properly larger monotonic subset of $X \times Y$. If, for any (x_1, y_1) and $(x_2, y_2) \in E$ we have $\operatorname{Re} \langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle = 0$ implies $x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$, then E will be called *strictly* monotonic.

Note that $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a bilinear form rather than a sesquilinear form, that is, for α complex, $\langle x, \alpha y \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle$. Nevertheless, in the theorems of this paper, it may be thought of as the usual inner product when $X = Y = H$, where H is a Hilbert space, because the

statements of the theorems refer only to the functional value of $\langle x, y \rangle$, and not to the function itself.

For a set S in a normed linear space, $K[S]$ denotes the convex hull of S . All topological terms refer to the norm-topology unless explicitly otherwise stated. The unit ball of a real Banach-space is called *smooth* provided that at each point of the boundary there is a *unique* hyperplane of support; sufficient conditions for this are that the norm be Fréchet-differentiable at all points of the boundary, or that the unit ball of the conjugate-space be strictly convex. The unit ball of a complex Banach-space is called smooth if the unit ball of the real Banach-space obtained by restricting the scalars to be real, is smooth. A *real linear subspace* is a linear subspace of this real Banach-space, in the complex case; in the real case, it is an ordinary linear subspace. A *real flat* is a translate of a closed real linear subspace. The symbols P_1 and P refer to the projection-maps of a product space: $P_1(x, y) = x$, $P(x, y) = y$. The open sphere with center u and radius ε is called $S(\varepsilon; u)$, and the closed sphere (ball) is called $\mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon; u)$. The zero-vector of a linear space is called θ .

3. Uniqueness-theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Let $E \subset (X \times Y)$ be a maximal monotonic set, and $u \in Y$. Then $S = \{x : (x, u) \in E\}$ is a closed convex set. Moreover, if E is strictly monotonic, then S is at most a single point.*

Proof. Let $(x_1, u), (x_2, u) \in E$, and let s, t be positive real numbers with $s + t = 1$. Let (x_0, y_0) be any point of E . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle (sx_1 + tx_2) - x_0, u - y_0 \rangle \\ = s \operatorname{Re} \langle x_1 - x_0, u - y_0 \rangle + t \operatorname{Re} \langle x_2 - x_0, u - y_0 \rangle \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that $(sx_1 + tx_2, u) \in E$. Hence $(sx_1 + tx_2, u) \in E$ by the maximality of E ; it follows that S is convex. To show that S is closed, we put $Z = \{(x, u) : x \in X\}$, and note that

$$E = \bigcap_{(x_0, y_0) \in E} \{(x, y) : \operatorname{Re} \langle x - x_0, y - y_0 \rangle \geq 0\}$$

and Z are both closed subsets of $X \times Y$ (taken with the usual product-topology), so that $T = Z \cap E$ is a closed subset of $X \times Y$, and hence of Z . Now, there is an obvious homeomorphism of Z onto X which maps T onto S ; the closedness of S follows.

The second statement of Theorem 1 is trivial.

The main purpose of presenting this rather elementary theorem is to exhibit that a maximal monotonic set has essentially the same uniqueness-properties as the graph of the Fréchet differential of a

convex function, which is (broadly speaking) a special case.

4. Main existence-theorem. If X is a complex Banach-space with conjugate-space Y , and X' is the corresponding real Banach-space obtained by restricting the scalars to be real, with conjugate-space Y' , it is well known that $y' = Re \langle \cdot, y \rangle$ is a norm-preserving isomorphism of Y onto Y' . It would be possible to prove the lemmas and theorem of this section first in the case of a real Banach-space, and then use this isomorphism to extend them to the complex case; however, we shall continue for the present to work simultaneously with the real and complex cases.

LEMMA 1. *Let X be a Banach-space and Y its conjugate-space, or vice-versa. Suppose $A \subset (X \times Y)$ is a monotonic set with the properties:*

(i) *$K[P(A)]$ contains a ball $\mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon; \theta)$, with $\varepsilon > 0$.*

(ii) *The set $\{Re \langle x, y \rangle : (x, y) \in A\}$ is bounded above by $M > 0$.*

Then, for any $y_0 \in Y$ with $\|y_0\| > M/\varepsilon$, there exists a finite subset $\{(x_i, y_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$ of A such that, with

$$Q = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \{x : Re \langle x_i - x, y_i \rangle \geq 0\},$$

$x \in Q$ implies $Re \langle x, y_0 \rangle < \|y_0\|^2$.

Proof. Consider any y_0 with $\|y_0\| > M/\varepsilon$. Then $\varepsilon y_0 / \|y_0\|$ lies in $\mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon; \theta)$, and hence by (i) it is a convex combination of vectors of $P(A)$:

$$\varepsilon y_0 / \|y_0\| = \lambda_1 y_1 + \dots + \lambda_m y_m \quad (\lambda_i > 0, I = \sum \lambda_i),$$

Forming the pseudo-inner-product of both sides with any $x \in Q$ and then taking real parts, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon}{\|y_0\|} Re \langle x, y_0 \rangle &= \sum \lambda_i Re \langle x, y_i \rangle \leq \sum \lambda_i Re \langle x_i, y_i \rangle \\ &\leq \sum \lambda_i M = M \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$Re \langle x, y_0 \rangle \leq \frac{M \|y_0\|}{\varepsilon} \leq \|y_0\|^2.$$

LEMMA 2. *With X and Y as in Lemma 1, let $\{(x_i, y_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$ be any finite subset of $X \times Y$. Then the set*

$$R = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \{x : Re \langle x_i - x, y_i \rangle \geq 0\}$$

is nonempty.

Proof. The main theorem of [2] asserts the stronger statement that for any $y \in Y$, there exists x satisfying $Re \langle x_i - x, y_i - y \rangle \geq 0$ for all i . Actually, the theorem of [2] is stated for Hilbert spaces, so a short argument is necessary here, as follows:

If Y is the conjugate-space of X : let X' be the finite-dimensional linear subspace of X generated by x_1, \dots, x_m . Let Y' be the conjugate-space of X' , and let $y'_i \in Y'$ be the restrictions of the functionals y_i to X' . Distinguishing a basis in X' , one can now easily make a Hilbert space out of X' in the usual way, and identify Y' with X' ; the rest of the proof is simple.

If X is the conjugate-space of Y : let Y' be the finite-dimensional linear subspace generated by y_1, \dots, y_m , and let X' be its conjugate-space. Let $x_i \in X'$ be the restrictions of the x_i to Y' . Impose a Hilbert-space structure on Y' , identify X' with Y' , and construct x' in X' by the theorem of [2]. Now let x be any Hahn-Banach extension of x' to all of X .

LEMMA 3. *Now let X be a Banach-space and Y be its conjugate-space, and assume that the unit ball of X is smooth. Suppose the monotonic set $E \subset (X \times Y)$ has a subset A satisfying (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1. Let $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ be any finite subset of E , and let $R = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x : Re \langle x_i - x, y_i \rangle \geq 0\}$. Then R contains a point x_0 having $\|x_0\| \leq M/\varepsilon$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, R is nonempty. Now, R is convex and closed, so (as is well known) the norm in X assumes its minimum on R at a point x_0 . Suppose $\|x_0\| > M/\varepsilon$. We take up first the case where the scalars are real.

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\langle x_0, y_0 \rangle = \|x_0\|^2$, and $\|y_0\| = \|x_0\|$. (Note that by the smoothness of the unit ball in X , there is only one y_0 satisfying these conditions.) Since $\|y_0\| > M/\varepsilon$, Lemma 1 asserts that there exists $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), \dots, (x_{n+m}, y_{n+m})$ in A , and hence in E , such that, with

$$Q = \bigcap_{i=n+1}^{n+m} \{x : \langle x_i - x, y_i \rangle \geq 0\},$$

$x \in Q$ implies that $\langle x, y_0 \rangle < \|y_0\|^2$, and thus that $\langle x, y_0 \rangle < \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle$. Now, by Lemma 2, $R \cap Q$ is nonempty; say, $x_1 \in R \cap Q$. Thus

$$\langle x_1, y_0 \rangle < \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle \tag{*}$$

and $x_1 \neq x_0$.

Now, if x_1 is linearly dependent on x_0 , then $x_1 = cx_0$ for some real c , so that $c\langle x_0, y_0 \rangle < \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle$, and $c < 1$ (since $\langle x_0, y_0 \rangle > 0$). Since R is convex, it contains the line-segment joining x_0 and x_1 , so x_0 could

not be an element of R with smallest norm. On the other hand, if x_1 and x_0 are linearly independent, we can apply the Hahn-Banach theorem to obtain $y_1 \in Y$ such that $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle = \|x_1\| \cdot \|x_0\|$, and $\langle x_0, y_1 \rangle = \|x_0\|^2$, and $\|y_1\| = \|x_0\|$. By the smoothness of the unit ball, $y_1 = y_0$, and hence from (*), $\|x_1\| \cdot \|x_0\| < \|x_0\|^2$ and $\|x_1\| < \|x_0\|$, again contradicting the minimality of the norm of x_0 over R . This completes the proof when the scalars are real.

If the scalars are complex, we make appeal to the earlier-mentioned norm-preserving isomorphism between the conjugate-spaces of the complex Banach-space X and the corresponding real Banach-space X' , and the conclusion is immediate.

THEOREM 2. (Main Existence-Theorem) *Let X and Y be a conjugate pair of reflexive Banach-spaces such that X has smooth unit ball, and suppose $E \subset (X \times Y)$ is a maximal monotonic set. Then a sufficient condition for $\theta \in P(E)$ is that there exist a set $A \subset E$ with the properties:*

- (i) $\theta \in \text{int } K[P(A)]$
- (ii) $\{Re \langle x, y \rangle : (x, y) \in A\}$ is bounded above.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon; \theta) \subset K[P(A)]$, and $M > 0$ be such that $\{Re \langle x, y \rangle : (x, y) \in A\} < M$. Let the points of E be indexed by α , so that $E = \{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)\}$. Consider the sets

$$T_\alpha = \{x : Re \langle x_\alpha - x, y_\alpha \rangle \geq 0, \|x\| \leq M/\varepsilon\}.$$

By Lemma 3, the intersection of any finite subcollection of these sets is nonempty. Since the T_α are all weakly-closed subsets of the weakly-compact ball $\mathfrak{S}(M/\varepsilon; \theta)$, it follows (from the "finite-intersection property" of compact sets) that the intersection of $\mathfrak{S}(M/\varepsilon; \theta)$ and all the T_α is nonempty: say, it contains x . Thus for every α , $(x, \theta)M(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$, and since E is maximal, $(x, \theta) \in E$ and $\theta \in P(E)$.

5. Generalizations of the main theorem. The main theorem generalizes easily in many directions simultaneously, so that a very general, but unwieldy, main theorem could be stated. The writer feels that it is best merely to indicate the directions of such generalizations. To keep the discussion lucid, we shall discuss only the special case where the maximal monotonic set E is the graph of a monotonic function $f: X \rightarrow Y$. (See Paragraph 6.)

REMARK 1. It is easily seen, from the proof of Theorem 2, that an upper bound on the norm of the solution of $f(x) = \theta$ constructed

there, is given by $\|x\| \leq M/\varepsilon$. It is not hard to sharpen this upper bound to M_0/ε_0 , where M_0 is the g.l.b. of all possible M , and ε_0 is the l.u.b. of all possible ε , by applying Theorem 2 (or rather, the proof of the theorem) to the sequence of pairs $(M_1, \varepsilon_1), (M_2, \varepsilon_2), \dots$, where M_i is a decreasing sequence approaching M_0 and ε_i is an increasing sequence approaching ε_0 , and using the weak compactness of $\mathfrak{S}(N_i/\varepsilon_i; \theta)$. If the unit ball of X is not smooth, an equivalent norm may sometimes be introduced to smooth it. But note that introduction of an equivalent norm changes not only the *form* of the inequality $\|x\| \leq M/\varepsilon$, but may also effect a change in ε , since the norm in Y must be changed correspondingly.

REMARK 2. To prove the existence of a solution of the equation $f(x) = u$, with $u \neq \theta$, one should work with the function $g(x) = f(x) - u$, or even with $g(x) = f(x - v) - u$, with a judicious choice of v .

REMARK 3. The existence of a solution of $x + f(x) = u$, for monotonic f , has already been shown elsewhere [3]. The theorems of [3] can be generalized to solve the equation $\lambda x + f(x) = u$, for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$, by the use of the map $\phi(x, y) = (\lambda x + y, \bar{\lambda}x - y)$ in place of the map ϕ of that paper.

REMARK 4. If the entire range of f is contained in a closed linear proper subspace Y_0 of Y , then it is impossible to satisfy (i) of Theorem 2. But virtually the same trick can be used as in [1]: note that f must be constant on any coset of $X \bmod Y_0^\perp$, the orthogonal complement of Y_0 , so that f can be regarded as a function mapping X/Y_0^\perp into Y_0 , this function is still monotonic. Also, by a standard argument, X/Y_0^\perp and Y_0 are a conjugate pair of reflexive Banach-spaces, and the unit ball of X/Y_0^\perp is smooth if that of X is. Also: it is easily seen, by the discussion given in [1], that one can work with the underlying real Banach-space of X and take Y_0 as the smallest *real* closed linear subspace containing the range of f .

This trick corresponds to taking the "interior," in the statement of Theorem 2, relative to the smallest closed real linear subspace containing the range of f . In case one wishes to solve the equation $f(x) = u$, as in Remark 2, the interior is taken relative to the smallest real flat containing the range of f .

6. An application. Let H be a Hilbert space; let $f: H \rightarrow H$ have the property that its Fréchet differential exists everywhere and is a "dissipative" linear operator:

$$\text{Re} \langle \Delta x, f'(x; \Delta x) \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } x, \Delta x,$$

and that the range of f lies entirely in some closed linear subspace $H_0 \subset H$. Then we can state the following:

THEOREM 3. *A sufficient condition for $f(x) = \theta$ to have a solution is: there exists a set $B \subset H$ such that*

(i) $\theta \in \text{int } K[P(f(B))]$ (interior relative to H_0)

and

(ii) the set $\{Re \langle x, f(x) \rangle : x \in B\}$ is bounded below.

The solution is unique if f' is strictly dissipative.

Proof. Regard f as a monotonic function from H_0 into H_0 , as in Remark 4. Let $g(x) = -f(x)$. Then g has an "accretive" Fréchet differential. By Theorem 6 of [3], the graph of g is a maximal monotonic set in $H_0 \times H_0$. Theorem 2 above completes the existence-proof, and an adaptation of the proof of Theorem 6 of [3] shows that if g' is strictly accretive, then g is strictly monotonic; Theorem 1 of the present paper completes the uniqueness proof.

Theorem 3 can be generalized to some cases in which f is not everywhere differentiable, or even everywhere-defined; see [3], Theorems 4 and 5.

7. Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Professor E. H. Rothe for many helpful conversations, and to remark that the present paper has been much influenced, in non-obvious ways, by his earlier papers [4] and [5].

8. Added in proof. A close relative of the theorem of this paper will appear in another paper of the writer in *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sciences* (U.S.A.).

REFERENCES

1. G. J. Minty, *On the maximal domain of a 'monotone' function*, Mich. Math. J., **8** (1961), 125-137.
2. ———, *On the simultaneous solution of a certain system of linear inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **13** (1962), 11-12.
3. ———, *Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J., **29** (1962), 341-346.
4. E. H. Rothe, *Gradient mappings and extrema in Banach spaces*, Duke Math. J., **15** (1948), 421-431.
5. ———, *Gradient mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **59** (1953), 5-19.
6. ———, *A note on the Banach spaces of Calkin and Morrey*, Pacific J. Math., **3** (1953), 493-499.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE
University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI
University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE
University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Richard Arens, <i>Normal form for a Pfaffian</i>	1
Charles Vernon Coffman, <i>Non-linear differential equations on cones in Banach spaces</i>	9
Ralph DeMarr, <i>Order convergence in linear topological spaces</i>	17
Peter Larkin Duren, <i>On the spectrum of a Toeplitz operator</i>	21
Robert E. Edwards, <i>Endomorphisms of function-spaces which leave stable all translation-invariant manifolds</i>	31
Erik Maurice Ellentuck, <i>Infinite products of isolos</i>	49
William James Firey, <i>Some applications of means of convex bodies</i>	53
Haim Gaifman, <i>Concerning measures on Boolean algebras</i>	61
Richard Carl Gilbert, <i>Extremal spectral functions of a symmetric operator</i>	75
Ronald Lewis Graham, <i>On finite sums of reciprocals of distinct nth powers</i>	85
Hwa Suk Hahn, <i>On the relative growth of differences of partition functions</i>	93
Isidore Isaac Hirschman, Jr., <i>Extreme eigen values of Toeplitz forms associated with Jacobi polynomials</i>	107
Chen-jung Hsu, <i>Remarks on certain almost product spaces</i>	163
George Seth Innis, Jr., <i>Some reproducing kernels for the unit disk</i>	177
Ronald Jacobowitz, <i>Multiplicativity of the local Hilbert symbol</i>	187
Paul Joseph Kelly, <i>On some mappings related to graphs</i>	191
William A. Kirk, <i>On curvature of a metric space at a point</i>	195
G. J. Kurowski, <i>On the convergence of semi-discrete analytic functions</i>	199
Richard George Laatsch, <i>Extensions of subadditive functions</i>	209
V. Marić, <i>On some properties of solutions of $\Delta\psi + A(r^2)X\nabla\psi + C(r^2)\psi = 0$</i> ...	217
William H. Mills, <i>Polynomials with minimal value sets</i>	225
George James Minty, Jr., <i>On the monotonicity of the gradient of a convex function</i>	243
George James Minty, Jr., <i>On the solvability of nonlinear functional equations of 'monotonic' type</i>	249
J. B. Muskat, <i>On the solvability of $x^e \equiv e \pmod{p}$</i>	257
Zeev Nehari, <i>On an inequality of P. R. Bessack</i>	261
Raymond Moos Redheffer and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Degenerate elliptic equations</i>	265
Abraham Robinson, <i>On generalized limits and linear functionals</i>	269
Bernard W. Roos, <i>On a class of singular second order differential equations with a non linear parameter</i>	285
Tôru Saitô, <i>Ordered completely regular semigroups</i>	295
Edward Silverman, <i>A problem of least area</i>	309
Robert C. Sine, <i>Spectral decomposition of a class of operators</i>	333
Jonathan Dean Swift, <i>Chains and graphs of Ostrom planes</i>	353
John Griggs Thompson, <i>2-signalizers of finite groups</i>	363
Harold Widom, <i>On the spectrum of a Toeplitz operator</i>	365