

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NOTE ON REFLEXIVE MODULES

EDGAR EARLE ENOCHS

A NOTE ON REFLEXIVE MODULES

E. E. ENOCHS

For any ring A and left (resp. right) A -module E we let E^* denote the right (resp. left) A -module $\text{Hom}_A(E, A_s)$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_A(E, A_d)$) where A_s (resp. A_d) denotes A considered as a left (resp. right) A -module. Then the mapping $E \rightarrow E^{**}$ such that $x \in E$ is mapped onto the mapping $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi(x)$ is linear.

Specker [3] has shown that if E is a free Z -module with a denumerable base (where Z denotes the ring of integers) then E is reflexive, i.e. the canonical homomorphism $E \rightarrow E^{**}$ is a bijection. In this paper it is shown that a free module E with a denumerable base over a discrete valuation ring A is reflexive if and only if A is not complete and if and only if E is complete when given the topology having finite intersections of the kernels of the linear forms as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0. Specker's result can be deduced from these results. We note that this topology has been used and studied by Nunke [2] and Chase [1].

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a discrete valuation ring with prime Π and let E be a free A -module with a denumerable base. Then E is reflexive if and only if A is not complete.*

Proof. Let $(a_i)_{i \in N}$ (N the set of natural numbers) be a base of E and let $E_j = \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in E^*, \varphi(a_i) = 0, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j-1\}$. Let $a'_j \in E^*$ be such that $a'_j(a_j) = 1$, $a'_j(a_k) = 0$ if $j \neq k$. Then clearly $a'_j, a'_1, \dots, a'_{j-1}$ generate a supplement of E_j in E^* . For each $x \in E$ the canonical image of x in E^{**} annihilates some E_j , and conversely if $\psi \in E^{**}$ annihilates E_j , then ψ is the canonical image of $\sum_{i=0,1,\dots,j-1} \psi(a_i) a_i$. Hence $E \rightarrow E^{**}$ is a surjection if and only if each $\psi \in E^{**}$ annihilates some E_j . If $E \rightarrow E^{**}$ is not a surjection let $\psi \in E^{**}$ be such that $\psi(E_j) \neq 0$ for each $j \in N$ and let $\varphi_j \in E_j$ be such that $\psi(\varphi_j) \neq 0$. We can suppose that $\varphi_j \in \Pi^j E_j$ and that $\psi(\varphi_j) \in \Pi_j^m A$ but $\psi(\varphi_j) \notin \Pi^{m_{j+1}} A$ where $m_{i+1} > m_i$ for all $i \in N$. To show A complete it suffices to show that every series $\sum_{j \in N} \beta_j \Pi^{m_j}$, $\beta_j \in A$ converges. We can find a scalar multiple of φ_j , say φ'_j such that $\psi(\varphi'_j) = \beta_j \Pi_j^m$. Then let $\varphi \in E^*$ be such that $\varphi(x) = \sum_{j \in N} \varphi'_j(x)$ for all $x \in E$. This sum is defined since for a fixed $x \in E$ and M sufficiently large positive integer we have $\varphi_{M+i}(x) = 0$ for all $i \in N$. Furthermore, since $\varphi'_j \in \Pi^j E_j$ it is clear that the series $\sum \varphi'_j$ converges to φ when E^* is given the topology having

the submodules $\Pi^n E^*$, $n \in N$ as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0. Under this topology $\psi: E^* \rightarrow A$ is continuous. Hence

$$\sum_{j \in N} \psi(\varphi_j) = \sum_{j \in N} \beta_j \Pi^m j$$

converges to $\psi(\varphi)$. Thus A is complete.

Conversely if A is complete let $(a'_i)_{i \in N}$ as defined above be a subfamily of the family $(a'_i)_{i \in N_1}$, $N_1 \supset N$ where $(a'_i + \Pi E^*)_{i \in N_1}$ is a base of the A/Π A module $E^*/\Pi E^*$. Then if E' is the submodule of E^* generated by the family $(a'_i)_{i \in N_1}$ it is easy to see that E' is free with base $(a'_i)_{i \in N_1}$ and that E' is a dense pure submodule of E^* , i.e. E^*/E' is divisible and torsion free. Then, since A is complete the map $E^{**} \rightarrow E''^*$ which maps an element of E^{**} onto its restriction to E' is a bijection. But this clearly implies the existence of a $\psi \in E^{**}$ such that $\psi(a'_i) \neq 0$ for all $i \in N_1$ and hence for all $i \in N$. Thus $E \rightarrow E^{**}$ is not a surjection.

COROLLARY. *If A is an integral domain with a prime Π such that the discrete valuation ring A_π is not complete then free A -modules with denumerable bases are reflexive.*

Proof. There exist canonical injections of E , E^* and E^{**} in E_π , E_π^* , and E_π^{**} and furthermore if for $x \in E$, $\varphi \in E^*$, and $\psi \in E^{**}$ we let \bar{x} , $\bar{\varphi}$, and $\bar{\psi}$ denote the image of x , φ , and ψ in E_π , E_π^* , and E_π^{**} then $\varphi(x) = \bar{\varphi}(\bar{x})$ and $\psi(\varphi) = \bar{\psi}(\bar{\varphi})$. Then if $(a_i)_{i \in N}$ is a base of E , $(\bar{a}_i)_{i \in N}$ is a base of E_π and if $(a'_i)_{i \in N}$ is defined as above we get $\bar{a}'_i(\bar{a}_i) = 1$, $\bar{a}'_i(\bar{a}_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Then if $\psi \in E^{**}$ is such that $\psi(E_j) = 0$ for each j then $\bar{\psi}$ is not in the image E_π under the canonical homomorphism since $\bar{\psi}(E_\pi)_j \neq 0$ where E_j and $(E_\pi)_j$ are defined as above.

THEOREM 2. *If A is a left Noethrian hereditary ring, then a left A module E is reflexive if and only if E is complete when endowed with the topology having the finite intersections of the kernels of the linear forms as a fundamental system neighborhoods of 0.*

Proof. Clearly E is separated with the topology described in the theorem if and only if the map $E \rightarrow E^{**}$ is an injection hence we suppose that E is separated. For each finite subset X of E^* consider the subset X° of E^{**} consisting of all $\psi \in E^{**}$ such that $\psi(X) = 0$. Let E^{**} be endowed with the topology having the submodules X° as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 where X ranges through all finite subsets of E^* . Then it is immediate that E^{**} is complete with this topology. If we can establish that the canonical map $E \rightarrow E^{**}$ maps E isomorphically onto a dense subset of E^{**} then it will

follow immediately that E is complete if and only if E is reflexive.

Let X be a finite subset of E^* . Then clearly the intersection of the kernels of the elements in X is mapped onto the intersection of X° with the canonical image of E in E^{**} hence E is mapped isomorphically onto a subset of E^{**} . Thus it only remains to prove that the image of E in E^{**} is dense in E^{**} . If $\psi \in E^{**}$ and $X = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ is a finite set of elements of E^* consider the map $E \rightarrow \prod_{i=1, \dots, n} A_i$ such that $x \rightarrow (\varphi_i(x))_{i=1, \dots, n}$ where $A_i = A_s$. Since A is left hereditary the kernel of this map $E_1 = \bigcap_{i=1, \dots, n} \varphi_i^{-1}(0)$ is a direct summand of E so let $E = E_1 + E_2$ (direct). Then since A is left Noetherian E_2 is a finitely generated projective module so it is reflexive. Now $E^* = E_1^\circ + E_2^\circ$ (direct) and $E^{**} = E_1^{\circ\circ} + E_2^{\circ\circ}$ (direct). Clearly $E_2^{\circ\circ}$ is isomorphic to E_2^{**} and the restriction of the canonical homomorphism $E \rightarrow E^{**}$ maps E_2 isomorphically onto $E_2^{\circ\circ}$. If $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$ where $\psi_1 \in E_1^{\circ\circ}$ let $x \in E_2$ be such that $x \rightarrow \psi_2$ under the map $E \rightarrow E^{**}$. Then since $\psi - \psi_2 \in E_1^{\circ\circ}$ and since $X = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\} \subset E_1^\circ$ we get $\psi - \psi_2 \in X^\circ$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCE

1. S. Chase, *Function topologies on Abelian groups*, Illinois J. of Math., **7** (1963), 593–608.
2. R. J. Nunke, *On direct products of infinite cyclic groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **13** (1962), 66–71.
3. E. Specker, *Additive gruppen von Folgen ganzer zahlen*, Protugaliae Math., (1950), 131–140.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN

Stanford University

Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California

Los Angeles 7, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington

Seattle 5, Washington

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California

Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION

SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES

NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 14, No. 3

July, 1964

Erik Balslev and Theodore William Gamelin, <i>The essential spectrum of a class of ordinary differential operators</i>	755
James Henry Bramble and Lawrence Edward Payne, <i>Bounds for derivatives in elliptic boundary value problems</i>	777
Hugh D. Brunk, <i>Integral inequalities for functions with nondecreasing increments</i>	783
William Edward Christilles, <i>A result concerning integral binary quadratic forms</i>	795
Peter Crawley and Bjarni Jónsson, <i>Refinements for infinite direct decompositions of algebraic systems</i>	797
Don Deckard and Carl Mark Pearcy, <i>On continuous matrix-valued functions on a Stonian space</i>	857
Raymond Frank Dickman, Leonard Rubin and P. M. Swingle, <i>Another characterization of the n-sphere and related results</i>	871
Edgar Earle Enochs, <i>A note on reflexive modules</i>	879
Vladimir Filippenko, <i>On the reflection of harmonic functions and of solutions of the wave equation</i>	883
Derek Joseph Haggard Fuller, <i>Mappings of bounded characteristic into arbitrary Riemann surfaces</i>	895
Curtis M. Fulton, <i>Clifford vectors</i>	917
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, <i>Maximal algebras and a theorem of Radó</i>	919
Kyong Taik Hahn, <i>Minimum problems of Plateau type in the Bergman metric space</i>	943
A. Hayes, <i>A representation theory for a class of partially ordered rings</i>	957
J. M. C. Joshi, <i>On a generalized Stieltjes transform</i>	969
J. M. C. Joshi, <i>Inversion and representation theorems for a generalized Laplace transform</i>	977
Eugene Kay McLachlan, <i>Extremal elements of the convex cone B_n of functions</i>	987
Robert Alan Melter, <i>Contributions to Boolean geometry of p-rings</i>	995
James Ronald Rutherford, <i>Basic sequences and the Paley-Wiener criterion</i>	1019
Dallas W. Sasser, <i>Quasi-positive operators</i>	1029
Oved Shisha, <i>On the structure of infrapolynomials with prescribed coefficients</i>	1039
Oved Shisha and Gerald Thomas Cargo, <i>On comparable means</i>	1053
Maurice Sion, <i>A characterization of weak* convergence</i>	1059
Morton Lincoln Slater and Robert James Thompson, <i>A permanent inequality for positive functions on the unit square</i>	1069
David A. Smith, <i>On fixed points of automorphisms of classical Lie algebras</i>	1079
Sherman K. Stein, <i>Homogeneous quasigroups</i>	1091
J. L. Walsh and Oved Shisha, <i>On the location of the zeros of some infrapolynomials with prescribed coefficients</i>	1103
Ronson Joseph Warne, <i>Homomorphisms of d-simple inverse semigroups with identity</i>	1111
Roy Westwick, <i>Linear transformations on Grassmann spaces</i>	1123