# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS ON GRASSMAN SPACES

ROY WESTWICK

Vol. 14, No. 3

July 1964

## LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS ON GRASSMANN SPACES

### R. Westwick

1. Let U denote an n-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field F, and let  $G_{nr}$  denote the set of nonzero pure r-vectors of the Grassmann product space  $\bigwedge^r U$ . Let T be a linear transformation of  $\bigwedge^r U$  which sends  $G_{nr}$  into  $G_{nr}$ . In this note we prove that T is nonsingular, and then, by using the results of Wei-Liang Chow in [1], we determine the structure of T.

For each  $z = x_1 \land \cdots \land x_r \in G_{nr}$ , we let [z] denote the *r*-dimensional subspace of U spanned by the vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ . By Lemma 5 of [1], two independent elements  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  of  $G_{nr}$  span a subspace all of whose nonzero elements are in  $G_{nr}$  if and only if dim  $([z_1] \cap [z_2]) = r - 1$ ; that is, if and only if  $[z_1]$  and  $[z_2]$  are adjacent. If  $V \subseteq \bigwedge^r U$  is a subspace such that each nonzero vector in V is in  $G_{nr}$  and if V is maximal (that is, not contained in a larger such subspace) then  $\{[z] \mid z \in V, z \neq 0\}$ is a maximal set of pairwise adjacent r-dimensional subspaces of U. These sets of subspaces are of two types; namely, the set of all r-dimensional subspaces of U containing a common (r-1)-dimensional subspace, and the set of all r-dimensional subspaces of an (r+1)dimensional subspace of U. We adopt the usual convention of calling these sets of subspaces maximal sets of the first and second kind respectively. We will let  $A_r$  denote the set of those maximal V which determine a set of pairwise adjacint subspaces of the first kind, and we will let  $B_r$  denote the set of those maximal V which determine a set of pairwise adjacent subspaces of the second kind.

2. In this section we prove that if T sends each member of  $B_r$  into a member of  $B_r$  then T is nonsingular.

Let  $U_1, \dots, U_t$  be k-dimensional pairwise adjacent subspaces of Uand let  $z_i \in G_{nk}$  be such that  $[z_i] = U_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Then  $\{U_1, \dots, U_t\}$ is said to be independent if and only if  $\{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$  is an independent subset of  $\bigwedge^k U$ . We note the following facts concerning an independent set  $\{U_1, \dots, U_t\}$ . If it is of the first kind (in the sense of the previous section) then there is an independent set of vectors  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_1, \dots, y_t\}$ of U such that for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ ,  $U_i = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_i \rangle \cdot \langle \dots \rangle$  denotes the linear subspace spanned by the vectors enclosed. If it is of the second kind, then there is an independent set of vectors  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}\}$ such that  $U_i = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{k+1} \rangle$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . It is easily

Received July 2, 1963. The author is indebted to M. Marcus for his encouragement and help.

deduced from this that dim  $(\bigwedge^r U_1 + \dots + \bigwedge^r U_i)$  is equal to  $t \binom{k-1}{r-1} + \binom{k-1}{r}$  or  $\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \binom{k-i}{r-1}$  according as the set of subspaces  $\{U_i\}$  is of the first or second kind. We adopt the usual convention that  $\binom{m}{n} =$ 0 if m < n. Finally, if the set  $\{U_1, \dots, U_t\}$  is not independent, then for some  $i, \bigwedge^r U_i \subseteq \bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_{i-1}$ . In fact, the choice of isuch that  $\{z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}\}$  is independent and  $z_i \in \langle z_1, \dots, z_{i-1} \rangle$  will do.

We require the

LEMMA 1. Let  $\{U_1, \dots, U_{s+1}\}$  be a set of pairwise adjacent kdimensional subspaces of U. Suppose further that the set is independent and is of the second kind. Let  $V \subseteq \bigwedge^r U_1 \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_{s+1}$  be a subspace with dimension  $\binom{k-s}{r-s}$ , where  $s \leq r \leq k$ . Then there is a set  $\{V_1, \dots, V_s\}$  of pairwise adjacent k-dimensional subspaces of U such that  $V \cap (\bigwedge^r V_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r V_s) \neq \{0\}.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $m = \begin{pmatrix} k - s \\ r - s \end{pmatrix}$  and let  $\{z_1, \cdots, z_m\}$  be a basis of V. Choose an independent set of vectors  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}\}$  of U such that for  $i = 1, \dots, s + 1, U_i = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{k+1} \rangle$ . We can write

$$z_i = z_1^i + x_1 \wedge \, \cdots \, \wedge \, x_{s-1} \wedge \, x_s \wedge \, z_2^i + x_1 \wedge \, \cdots \, \wedge \, x_{s-1} \wedge \, x_{s+1} \wedge \, z_s^i$$

where

$$z_1^i \in \bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_{s-1}$$
 and  $z_2^i, z_3^i \in \bigwedge^{r-s} \langle x_{s+2}, \cdots, x_{k+1} \rangle$ 

for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . In the case that s = 1, we take  $z_1^i \in \bigwedge^r \langle x_3, \dots, x_{k+1} \rangle$ . In the case that s = r, we take  $z_2^i, z_3^i \in F$ . If  $\{z_2^1, \dots, z_2^m\}$  or  $\{z_3^1, \dots, z_3^m\}$ is dependent, then we can form a linear combination of  $z_1, \dots, z_m$  which will be in  $\bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots \bigvee^r U_{s-1} + \bigwedge^r U_{s+1}$  or  $\bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_{s-1} + \bigwedge^r U_s$ respectively. If, on the other hand, both sets are independent then each is a basis of  $\bigwedge^{r-s} \langle x_{s+2}, \cdots, x_{k+1} \rangle$  since dim  $(\bigwedge^{r-s} \langle x_{s+2}, \cdots, x_{k+1} \rangle) =$  $egin{pmatrix} k-s\ r-s\end{pmatrix}=m. \ \ ext{Let}\ z_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^i=\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}z_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}^j,\ i=1,\ \cdots,\ m. \ \ ext{Choose}\ \lambda
eq 0 \ ext{and}\ b_i\in F,$ not all equal to zero, such that

$$\lambda b_j = \sum\limits_{i=1}^m b_i a_{ij}$$
 ,  $j=1,\,\cdots,\,m$  .

Then

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{0} \neq \sum\limits_{j=1}^m b_j z_j = \sum\limits_{j=1}^m z_1^j + \sum\limits_{j=1}^m x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{s-1} \wedge (x_s + \lambda^{-1} x_{s+1}) \wedge b_j z_2^j \\ \\ \in \bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_{s-1} + \bigwedge^r V_1 \end{array}$$

 $V_1 = \langle x_1 \cdots, x_{s-1}, x_s + \lambda^{-1} x_{s+1}, x_{s+2}, \cdots, x_{k+1} \rangle.$ where The subspaces  $U_1, \dots, U_{s-1}, V_1$  are pairwise adjacent and so the Lemma is proved.

The nonsingularity of T is now proved as follows. Let W be a subspace of U. We prove, by induction on the dimension of W, that T is one-to-one on  $\bigwedge^r W$  and that the image of  $\bigwedge^r W$  under T is  $\bigwedge^r W'$  for some subspace W' of U with dim  $(W) = \dim (W')$ . When dim (W) = r + 1 this is clear since we are assuming that  $B_r$  is sent into  $B_r$  by T. Suppose that the statement has been proved for k-dimensional subspaces, and consider a (k + 1)-dimensional subspace W of U. Let s be the largest integer such that for any set  $\{W_1, \dots, W_s\}$  of pairwise adjacent k-dimensional subspaces of W, T is one-to-one on  $\bigwedge^r W_1$  +  $\cdots + \bigwedge^r W_s$ . If  $s \ge r+1$  then T is one-to-one on  $\bigwedge^r W_s$ , since in this case, for an independent set  $\{W_1, \dots, W_s\}$  we must have  $\bigwedge^r W =$  $\bigwedge^r W_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r W_s$ . Suppose then that  $1 \leq s \leq r$  and let  $\{U_1, \cdots, U_{s+1}\}$ be any set of s + 1 pairwise adjacent k-dimensional subspaces of W. If the set is dependent then T is one-to-one  $\bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_{s+1}$ since we may drop one of the terms. Therefore we assume that the set is independent. Choose k-dimensional subspaces  $U'_1, \dots, U'_{s+1}$  such that  $T(\bigwedge^r U_i) = \bigwedge^r U'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s + 1$ . For each  $j \leq s, T$  maps  $\bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_j$  onto  $\bigwedge^r U'_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U'_j$ . Therefore, since T is one-to-one on  $\bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_s$ , the set  $\{U'_1, \dots, U'_s\}$  is independent. Furthermore, the set  $\{U'_1, \dots, U'_{s+1}\}$  is also independent. If not, then the image under T of both  $\bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_s$  and  $\bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots \bigwedge^r U_{s+1}$  is  $\bigwedge^r U'_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U'_s$ . But then the dimension of the null space of T in  $\bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_{s+1}$  is at least as large as the difference in the dimensions of  $\bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_{s+1}$  and  $\bigwedge^r U_1 + \cdots + \bigwedge^r U_s$ , that is,  $\binom{k-s}{r-s}$ . We apply Lemma 1 to contradict the choice of s. It follows that T is one-to-one on all of  $\bigwedge^r W$ . Finally, let  $\{W_1, \dots, W_{k+1}\}$ be an independent set of k-dimensional pairwise adjacent subspaces of W (necessarily of the second kind). Let  $W'_i$  be chosen so that  $T(\bigwedge^r W_i) = \bigwedge^r W'_i$ . It follows easily that  $\{W'_1, \dots, W'_{k+1}\}$  is of the second kind also, so that the image of  $\bigwedge^r W$  is  $\bigwedge^r W'$  where W' is the (k + 1)-dimensional subspace of U containing  $W'_1, \dots, W'_{k+1}$ . By taking W = U we see that T is one-to-one on  $\bigwedge^r U$ .

3. It is necessary to investigate whether a general T does necessarily send each element of  $B_r$  into  $B_r$ . For the cases n > 2r, n < 2r, this is proved directly, using Lemma 2. The case n = 2r requires a more delicate argument, given at the end of this section; there it is shown that if some element of  $B_r$  is sent into  $B_r$  by T, then T sends  $B_r$  into  $B_r$ .

LEMMA 2. Let r < n and let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be in  $A_r$  such that  $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \{0\}$ . Then, if  $V \subseteq V_1 + V_2$  and dim(V) = n - r, we have  $V \cap G_{nr} \neq \phi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U_i$  be the (r-1)-dimensional subspace of U determined by  $V_i$  for i = 1, 2. Since  $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \{0\}$ , either  $U_1 = U_2$  or dim  $(U_1 \cap U_2) = r-2$ .

If  $U_1 = U_2$  then  $V_1 = V_2$ , so that in this case it is clear that  $V \cap G_{nr} \neq \phi$ .

Suppose that dim  $(U_1 \cap U_2) = r - 2$  and let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{r-2}\}$  be a basis of this intersection. Choose  $y_i$  such that  $U_i = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{r-2}, y_i \rangle$  for i = 1, 2. Choose  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  in U,  $i = 1, \dots, n-r$ , such that

$$\{z_i=x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge x_{r-2}\wedge (y_1\wedge u_i+y_2\wedge v_i)\,|\,i=1,\,\cdots,\,n-r\}$$

forms a basis of V. If

$$\{x_1, \cdots, x_{r-2}, y_1, y_2, v_1, \cdots, v_{n-r}\}$$
 or  $\{x_1, \cdots, x_{r-2}, y_1, y_2, u_1, \cdots, u_{n-r}\}$ 

is dependent, then there is a linear combination of the  $z_i$  which is in  $V_1$  or  $V_2$  respectively. If, on the other hand, both sets are independent, then they are both bases for U and we may write

$$u_i=w_i+c_iy_2+\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-r}a_{ij}v_j$$
 ,  $i=1,\,\cdots,\,n-r$  ,

where  $w_i \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_{r-2}, y_1 \rangle$  and  $c_i, a_{ij} \in F$ . We note that det  $(a_{ij}) \neq 0$  so we can choose  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $b_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n-r$ , not all zero, such that  $\lambda b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} b_i a_{ij}$ . Then

$$0\neq\sum_{j=1}^{n-r}b_jz_j=x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge x_{r-2}\wedge(y_1+\lambda^{-1}y_2)\wedge\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-r}b_jc_j\right)y_2+\lambda\sum_{j=1}^{n-r}b_jv_j\right]$$

is an element of  $V \cap G_{nr}$ . This proves the Lemma.

For  $n \neq 2r$  the image under T of an element of  $B_r$  is an element of  $B_r$ . For n < 2r this is clearly so since the subspaces of  $\bigwedge^r U$  in  $B_r$ have dimension r + 1, which is greater than the dimension (n - r + 1)of the subspaces in  $A_r$ .

For n > 2r we proceed as follows. The image of an  $A_r$  is an  $A_r$ . Suppose that the image of a  $W \in B_r$  is a subspace of a  $V \in A_r$ . Choose two elements  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of  $A_r$  such that  $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \{0\}$  and dim  $(V_1 \cap W) =$ dim  $(V_2 \cap W) = 2$ . One does this by choosing  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  so that the (r-1)-dimensional subspaces of U determined by them are adjacent subspaces of the (r+1)-dimensional subspace determined by W. Now,  $T(V_1) = T(V_2) = V$  since each is in  $A_r$  and each intersects V in at least two dimensions. Therefore  $T(V_1 + V_2) = V$  and so the null space of T in  $V_1 + V_2$  has dimension equal to (2n - 2r + 1) - (n - r + 1) =n - r. By Lemma 2, it follows that the null space of T intersects  $G_{nr}$ which contradicts the hypothesis that T sends  $G_{nr}$  into  $G_{nr}$ . In the case that n = 2r the image of a  $B_r$  may be an  $A_r$  since the dimensions are equal. However, we prove that if some  $B_r$  is sent into a  $B_r$  by T, then the image of each  $B_r$  is a  $B_r$ . Suppose not. Then we can choose (r + 1)-dimensional subspaces  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  of Usuch that  $T(\bigwedge^r W_1) \in A_r$  and  $T(\bigwedge^r W_2) \in B_r$ . Furthermore, we can choose  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  adjacent, so that dim  $(W_1 \cap W_2) = r$ . Choose three distinct elements  $V_1, V_2$ , and  $V_3$  of  $A_r$  such that the (r - 1)-dimensional subspaces of U determined by these elements are contained in  $W_1 \cap W_2$ . Then dim  $(V_i \cap \bigwedge^r W_j) = 2$  for i = 1, 2, 3 and j = 1, 2, so that  $T(V_i)$ intersects  $T(\bigwedge^r W_j)$  in at least two dimensions for each i, j. This implies that each  $T(V_i)$  is equal to one of  $T(\bigwedge^r W_j)$  and so two of them are equal. The argument of the previous paragraph now leads to a contradiction.

4. By essentially the same argument as used by Chow in [1] to prove his Theorem 1, we can prove that; if S is a nonsingular linear transformation of  $\bigwedge^r U$  sending  $G_{nr}$  into  $G_{nr}$ , and if the image of each  $B_r$  is a  $B_r$ , then S is a compound. (By a compound we mean a linear transformation of  $\bigwedge^r U$  which is induced by a linear transformation of U.)

In the case that  $n \neq 2r$  it follows that T is necessarily a compound. For n = 2r, T is a compound if some  $B_r$  is sent into a  $B_r$ . If we let  $T_0$  denote a linear transformation of  $\bigwedge^r U$  induced by a correlation of the *r*-dimensional subspaces of U, then  $T_0$  is nonsingular and sends  $G_{nr}$  onto  $G_{nr}$ . The image of each  $A_r$  under  $T_0$  is a  $B_r$ . Therefore, if a  $B_r$  is sent by T into an  $A_r$ , the  $T_0T$  is a compound. We have proved the

THEOREM. Let U be an n-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field and let T be a linear transformation of  $\mathbf{A}^r$  U which sends  $G_{nr}$  into  $G_{nr}$ . Then T is a compound except, possibly, when n = 2r, in which case T may be the composite of a compound and a linear transformation induced by a correlation of the r-dimensional subspaces of U.

#### Reference

1. Wei-Liang Chow, On the Geometry of Algebraic Homogeneous Spaces, Annals of Math., **50** (1949), 32-67.

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN

Stanford University Stanford, California

M. G. Arsove

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington

I. Dugundji University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE University of California Los Angeles 24, California

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

F. WOLF

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K YOSIDA

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \*

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should by typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 14, No. 3 July, 1964

Erik Balslev and Theodore William Gamelin, <i>The essential spectrum of a class of ordinary differential operators</i>	755
James Henry Bramble and Lawrence Edward Pavne, <i>Bounds for derivatives in</i>	
elliptic boundary value problems	777
Hugh D. Brunk, Integral inequalities for functions with nondecreasing	
increments	783
William Edward Christilles, A result concerning integral binary quadratic	
forms	795
Peter Crawley and Bjarni Jónsson, Refinements for infinite direct decompositions of	
algebraic systems	797
Don Deckard and Carl Mark Pearcy, On continuous matrix-valued functions on a	
Stonian space	857
Raymond Frank Dickman, Leonard Rubin and P. M. Swingle, Another	
characterization of the n-sphere and related results	871
Edgar Earle Enochs, A note on reflexive modules	879
Vladimir Filippenko, On the reflection of harmonic functions and of solutions of the	
wave equation	883
Derek Joseph Haggard Fuller, Mappings of bounded characteristic into arbitrary	
Riemann surfaces	895
Curtis M. Fulton, <i>Clifford vectors</i>	917
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, Maximal algebras and a theorem of Radó	919
Kyong Taik Hahn, <i>Minimum problems of Plateau type in the Bergman metric</i>	
<i>space</i>	943
A. Hayes, A representation theory for a class of partially ordered rings	957
J. M. C. Joshi, On a generalized Stieltjes trasform	969
J. M. C. Joshi, <i>Inversion and representation theorems for a generalized Laplace</i>	
transform	977
Eugene Kay McLachlan, <i>Extremal elements of the convex cone</i> $B_n$ of functions	987
Robert Alan Melter, <i>Contributions to Boolean geometry of p-rings</i>	995
James Ronald Retherford, <i>Basic sequences and the Paley-Wiener criterion</i>	1019
Dallas W. Sasser, <i>Quasi-positive operators</i>	1029
Oved Shisha, On the structure of infrapolynomials with prescribed coefficients	1039
Oved Shisha and Gerald Thomas Cargo, <i>On comparable means</i>	1053
Maurice Sion, A characterization of weak* convergence	1059
Morton Lincoln Slater and Robert James Thompson, A permanent inequality for	
positive functions on the unit square	1069
David A. Smith, On fixed points of automorphisms of classical Lie algebras	1079
Sherman K. Stein, <i>Homogeneous quasigroups</i>	1091
J. L. Walsh and Oved Shisha, On the location of the zeros of some infrapolynomials	
with prescribed coefficients	1103
Ronson Joseph Warne, Homomorphisms of d-simple inverse semigroups with	
identity	1111
Roy Westwick, Linear transformations on Grassman spaces	1123