Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A REPRESENTATION OF THE BERNOULLI NUMBER B_n

NAND KISHORE

Vol. 14, No. 4 August 1964

A REPRESENTATION OF THE BERNOULLI NUMBER B_n

NAND KISHORE

The function $\sigma_n(\nu)$ and the polynomial $\phi_n(\nu)$ have been defined in [2] and [3] respectively. Let $J_{\nu}(z)$ be the Bessel function of the first kind, and $j_{\nu,m}$ be the zeros of $z^{-\nu}J_{\nu}(z)$, then

$$\sigma_n(
u) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (j_{
u, m})^{-2n}, \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots,$$

(2)
$$\phi_n(\nu) = 4^n \prod_{k=1}^n (\nu + k)^{[n/k]} \sigma_n(\nu)$$
,

where [x] is the greatest integer $\leq x$.

 $\sigma_n(\nu)$ is a rational function of ν with rational coefficient. $\phi_n(\nu)$ is a polynomial in ν with positive integral coefficients, and has degree $1-2n+\sum_{k=1}^n [n/k]$. All real zeros of $\phi_n(\nu)$ lie in the interval (-n,-2). These polynomials also satisfy certain congruences [3].

Let B_n and G_n be the Bernoulli and Genocchi numbers:

(3)
$$B_n = \sum\limits_{k=0}^n \left(rac{n}{k}
ight) B_k \;, \qquad n
eq 1$$
 ,

$$G_n = 2(1-2^n)B_n.$$

The symmetric function $\sigma_n(\nu)$ can be expressed in terms of the Bernoulli and Genocchi numbers by means of the following formulas:

(5)
$$\sigma_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{2^{2n-1}}{(2n)!} B_{n} ,$$

(6)
$$\sigma_n\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = (-1)^n \frac{2^{2n-2}}{(2n)!} G_n,$$

where by B_n and G_n we understand the even-suffix numbers B_{2n} and G_{2n} [2].

In a previous paper [4] a structure of $\phi_n(\nu)$ has been given. This in turn leads, through (2), to a corresponding structure of $\sigma_n(\nu)$. And since for $\nu = 1/2$, $\sigma_n(\nu)$ is expressible in terms of the Bernoulli number B_n it is natural to enquire about a structure of B_n corresponding to that of $\sigma_n(\nu)$.

Three formulas from a previous paper [4, (8), (15), (18)] will be used here. They are written down as formulas (7), (8) and (9).

Received November 28, 1963.

(7)
$$\phi_n(\nu) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \alpha_k \Omega_k(\nu) \phi_k(\nu) \phi_{n-k}(\nu) ,$$

where $\alpha_k = 2$, $k < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, and for $k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$,

$$\alpha_k = \frac{2}{1} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd,}$$

$$\Omega_k(\nu) = \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} (\nu + s)^{\varepsilon(s,k,n)}, \, \varepsilon(s,k,n) = \left[\frac{n}{s}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{s}\right] - \left[\frac{n-k}{s}\right].$$

(8)
$$\phi_n(\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^{c(n)} 2^{n_i} \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} (\nu + j)^{n_{ij}}$$
,

where (i) c(n) is the number of components of $\phi_n(\nu)$,

(ii) at most one $n_i = 0$,

(iii)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{c(n)} 2^{n_i} = n^{-1} \binom{2n-2}{n-1}$$
,

(iv)
$$\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} n_{ij} = 1 - 2n + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \left[\frac{n}{s} \right]$$
, for all *i*, and

(v) given an integer s, 1 < s < n, n > 3, there exists i such that $0 < n_{is} \le [n/s]$.

(9)
$$c(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} c(k)c(n-k)$$
, $c(1) = 1$.

We shall obtain specific information about certain components of $\phi_n(\nu)$ which will be used later on. We begin with

(10) For 2 < s < n, $(\nu + s)^{[n/s]}$ is a factor of some component of $\phi_n(\nu)$, and if s = 2, $(\nu + s)^{[n/s]-1}$ is a factor of a component of $\phi_n(\nu)$, n > 3.

Consider the first part of the statement. We observe that if 2 < s < n, the statement is true for n = 4, 5, 6, 7 (see [3]). Assume the statement to be true for $k = 4, 5, \cdots, n-1$. Take the kth term of (7), $T_k = \alpha_k \Omega_k(\nu) \phi_k(\nu) \phi_{n-k}(\nu)$, $k \ge 4$, $n \ge 8$. Then some component of $\phi_k(\nu) \phi_{n-k}(\nu)$ has a factor $(\nu + s)^{\lfloor k/s \rfloor + \lfloor (n-k)/s \rfloor}$. However, $\Omega_k(\nu)$ has a factor $(\nu + s)$ if and only if $\varepsilon(s, k, n) = 1$. Therefore, some component of T_k which is a component of $\phi_n(\nu)$ has a factor

$$(\nu + s)^{[k/s] + [(n-k/s)] + \varepsilon(s,k,n)} = (\nu + s)^{[n/s]}$$
.

The second part of the statement may be proved by a similar method.

The following may be obtained from (10)

(11)
$$\max (n_{ij}) = [n/j], \ 2 < j < n,$$
$$= [n/2] - 1, \ j = 2.$$

(12) For s > 2, and m such that (2m + 1)s + m < n, the product

$$\prod(n, m) \equiv \prod_{\lambda=0}^{m} \{\nu + (2\lambda + 1)s + \lambda\}^{[n/(2\lambda+1)s+\lambda]}$$

is a factor of some component of $\phi_n(\nu)$.

Proof. We shall use induction. Define the set of integers

$$I_m = \{ ext{integers } x \colon (2m+1)s + m < x < (2m+3)s + m+1 \}, \ m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots.$$

If $n \in I_0$, $\Pi(n,0) = (\nu + s)^{\lfloor n/s \rfloor}$ and $(\nu + s)^{\lfloor n/s \rfloor}$ is a factor of some component of $\phi_n(\nu)$ by (10). Assume that for $k \leq m-1$, $n \in I_k$ implies Π (n,k) is a factor of some component of $\phi_n(\nu)$. Let $n \in I_m$, and suppose n = (2m+1)s + m+i, $1 \leq i \leq 2s$. Then $n-2i = (2m+1)s + m-i \in I_{m-1}$. Take formula (7), and consider the (2i)-th term,

$$T_{2i} = \alpha_{2i}\Omega_{2i}(\nu)\phi_{2i}(\nu)\phi_{n-2i}(\nu)$$
.

By induction hypothesis there are components V_1 of $\phi_{2i}(\nu)$ and V_2 of $\phi_{n-2i}(\nu)$ such that Π_1 and Π_2 are factors of V_1 and V_2 respectively, where

$${\it II}_1=\prod_{\lambda=0}^p\left\{
u+(2\lambda+1)s+\lambda
ight\}^{[2i/(2\lambda+1)s+\lambda]}$$
 ,

$${\it \Pi}_2 = \prod\limits_{\lambda=0}^{m-1} \{
u + (2\lambda+1)s + \lambda \}^{ [n-2i/(2\lambda+1)s+\lambda] }$$
 ,

and (2p+1)s+p<2i, (2m-1)s+m-1< n-2i. Since the term T_{2i} yields a component of $\phi_n(\nu)$, we have that $\alpha_{2i}\Omega_{2i}(\nu)$ $\Pi_1\Pi_2$ is a factor of $\alpha_{2i}\Omega_{2i}V_1V_2=V$, where V is a component of $\phi_n(\nu)$. However,

$$arOmega_{2i}(
u) = \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} (
u + r)^{arepsilon(r,2i,n)}$$
 .

Hence after a simplification, we obtain

$$\alpha_{2i}\Omega_{2i}(\nu)\Pi_1\Pi_2=P(\nu)\Pi(n,m)$$
.

where $P(\nu)$ is a polynomial in ν of degree ≥ 0 . Thus the term T_{2i} yields a component V of $\phi_n(\nu)$ such that $\Pi(n, m)$ is a factor of V.

(13) $V(n) \equiv 2^{n-2} \prod_{r=2}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (\nu + r)^{\lfloor n/r \rfloor - 1}, \ n \ge 2$, is a component and the only component of $\phi_n(\nu)$ with the greatest numerical factor 2^{n-2} .

Proof. First we shall show that V(n) is a component of $\phi_n(\nu)$. Observe that for n=2,3,4, V(n) is a component of $\phi_n(\nu)$. Assume: V(m) is a component of $\phi_m(\nu)$, $2 \le m \le n-1$. Consider the first term

 T_1 of (7): $T_1=2\Omega_1(\nu)\phi_{n-1}(\nu)$. There is a component V(n-1) of $\phi_{n-1}(\nu)$ such that

$$V(n-1)=2^{n-3}\prod_{r=2}^{\lceil n-1/2
ceil}(
u+r)^{\lceil n-1/r
ceil-1}$$
 .

Hence $2\Omega_1(\nu) V(n-1)$ is a component of $\phi_n(\nu)$. Substituting the expression for $\Omega_1(\nu)$, we obtain

$$2arOmega_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(
u)\,V(n-1)=2^{n-2}\prod_{r=2}^{{\scriptscriptstyle [n/2]}}\,(
u+r)^{{\scriptscriptstyle [n/r]}-1}=\,V(n)$$
 .

The second part of the statement that V(n) is the only component of $\phi_n(\nu)$ with the greatest numerical factor 2^{n-2} may be proved by induction.

(14)
$$V_1(n) \equiv \frac{(\nu+3)\,V(n)}{4(\nu+2)}$$
, $n \ge 4$, is a component of $\phi_n(\nu)$.

This may be proved by considering the first term T_1 of (7) and using induction.

(15) For $\nu = 1/2$, the value of $V_1(n)$ is less than the value of any other component of $\phi_n(\nu)$.

Proof. Take the kth term T_k of (7),

$$T_k = lpha_k \Omega_k(
u) \phi_k(
u) \phi_{n-k}(
u)$$
 .

 $V_1(n)$ is obtained from T_1 . For k=2,3 and $\nu=1/2$, the smallest components of T_k correspond to the smallest components of $\phi_{n-k}(\nu)$, because $\alpha_k \Omega_k(\nu)\phi_k(\nu)$ is constant. We observe that for n=4,5,6,7, $V_1(n)$ is less than any other component of $\phi_n(\nu)$, $\nu=1/2$. Assume that for $\nu=1/2$, $V_1(m)$ is less than any other component of $\phi_m(\nu)$, $4 \leq m < n$. Using the induction hypothesis it is seen that for $\nu=1/2$, $V_1(n)$ is less than any component obtained from T_k , k=2,3. For $k \geq 4$, $n \geq 8$, $\alpha_k \Omega_k(\nu) V_1(k) V_1(n-k)$ is a component of $\phi_n(\nu)$ and its value at $\nu=1/2$ is less than the value of any other component obtained from T_k . Thus among all components of $\phi_n(\nu)$ there is a set S of exactly [n/2] minimum components

$$S = \left\{ lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle k} \mathcal{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle k}(
u) \, V_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\!(k) \, V_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\!(n-k) : 1 \leqq k \leqq \left[rac{n}{2}
ight]
ight\}$$
 .

Obviously $V_1(n) \in S$. We claim: $V_1(n)$ is less than any other element of S. It suffices to show that

$$\lim_{
u o 1/2}rac{V_{
m l}(n)}{lpha_k \Omega_k(
u)\,V_{
m l}(k)\,V_{
m l}(n-k)}< 1$$
 , $k
eq 1$.

A verification of this inequality is left to the reader.

Let (8) be multiplied by $2^{2-n}(\nu+2)^{1-[n/2]}$. Then considering (7), induction yields the following

$$(16) n-[n/2]-1 \ge n_i-n_{i2}.$$

Theorem. The Bernoulli number B_n has the following representation:

(17)
$$B_n = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}(2n)!}{20 \cdot 6^n \cdot (2n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{c(n)} (2^{ni}a_i)^{-1},$$

1.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{c}(n)} (2^{ri}a_i)^{-1} \equiv egin{array}{ccc} 30 & if & n=1 \ 5 & if & n=2 \ 1 & if & n=3 \ \end{array}$$

$$a_i = \prod\limits_{m=1}^{n-2} \left(2m+3
ight)^{i_m}$$
 , $\sum\limits_{m=1}^{n-2} i_m = n-3$, $0 \leq i_m < \left[rac{n}{2}
ight]$,

$$3. \quad 2^{r_1}a_1=rac{4}{7}\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 9 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot (2n-1) \; , \ rac{4}{7}\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 9 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot (2n-1) > 2^{r_i}a_i > 7^{n-3} \; , \quad i>1 \; ,$$

4.
$$r_1 = 2$$
, $r_2 = 0$; $r_i \neq 0$, $i \neq 2$,

5.
$$\sum_{i} 2^{-r_i} = 2^{2-n} \cdot n^{-1} \binom{2n-2}{n-1}$$
,

6. the g.c.d.
$$(2^{r_1}a_1, 2^{r_2}a_2, \cdots) = 1$$
, and

7. given an odd integer s, $5 < s \le 2n - 1$, there is i such that $s^{\lfloor 2n/s - 1 \rfloor}$ divides a_i ; if s = 5 then $s^{\lfloor 2n/s - 1 \rfloor - 1}$ divides a_i , for some i.

Proof. Substitute (2) in (8) and let $\nu = 1/2$, then in view of (5) the following is obtained after some simplification

$$B_n = rac{(-1)^{n-1}(2n)\,!}{20\!\cdot\!6^n\!\cdot\!(2n+1)}\sum_{i=1}^{c(n)}\left\{2^{r_i}\!\cdot\!5^{-1}\prod_{k=2}^{n-1}(2k+1)^{[n/k]-n_{ik}}
ight\}^{-1}$$
 ,

where $r_i = n - 2 - n_i \ge 0$ by (13). Note that

$$\prod_{k=2}^{n-1} (2k+1)^{[n/k]-n_{ik}}$$

is divisible by 5 for each *i*, because by (11) $[n/2] - n_{i2} \ge 1$. And $-1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \{[n/k] - n_{ik}\} = n - 3$ by (8, (iv)). Therefore, we may write

$$a_i \equiv 5^{-1} \prod_{k=2}^{n-1} (2k+1)^{[n/k]-n} = \prod_{m=1}^{n-2} (2m+3)^{i_m}$$
 ,

where $\sum_{m=1}^{n-2} i_m = n - 3$, $0 \le i_m < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ by (11).

and
$$i_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = [n/2] - 1 - n_{i_2}$$
 , $i_{\scriptscriptstyle m} = [n/h] - n_{i_k}$, $h = m+1$, $m>1$.

Thus property 2 is verified.

By (13) and (14), V(n) and $V_1(n)$ are components of $\phi_n(\nu)$. If the components of $\phi_n(\nu)$ are ordered in such a way that $V_1(n)$ is the first and V(n) is the second component, then for $\nu=1/2$, the values of $V_1(n)$ and V(n) correspond to $2^{r_1}a_1$ and $2^{r_2}a_2$. By actual calculation it is seen that $2^{r_1}a_1=4/7\cdot5\cdot7\cdot9\cdot\cdots\cdot(2n-1)$, $r_1=2$, $r_2=0$. Therefore, by (15) $2^{r_i}a_i<4/7\cdot5\cdot7\cdot9\cdot\cdots\cdot(2n-1)$, i>1. Since $r_i=n-2-n_i$, it follows from (13) that $r_i\neq 0$, if $i\neq 2$. By (16),

$$r_i = n - 2 - n_i \ge \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1 - n_{i2} = i_1$$
.

Hence for each i,

$$egin{align} 2^{r_i}a_i&=2^{r_i}\sum\limits_{m=1}^{n-2}{(2m+3)^{i_m}}\ &=2^{r_i-i_1}10^{i_1}\sum\limits_{m=2}^{n-2}{(2m+3)^{i_m}}>7^{n-3} \;. \end{align}$$

Properties 3 and 4 are proved. Property 5 is derived from (8, (iii));

$$\sum\limits_{i}2^{-r_{i}}=\sum\limits_{i}2^{2-n+n_{i}}=2^{2-n}\sum\limits_{i}2^{n_{i}}=2^{2-n}n^{-1}inom{2n-2}{n-1}$$
 .

Concerning property 6, in view of 4, it suffices to prove that g.c.d. $(a_1, a_2, \dots) = 1$. Note that each a_i is a product of odd integers. By (12), $\prod (n, m)$ is a factor of a component, say V_p , of $\phi_n(\nu)$. However,

$$V_p 2^{2-n} \prod_{k=2}^{n-1} (
u + k)^{-\lceil n/k
ceil} = \{P(
u)\}^{-1}$$
 ,

where $P(\nu)$, a product of linear factors, is a polynomial in ν of degree >0. $P(\nu)$ is not divisible by any factor of H(n, m). For $\nu=1/2$, H(n, m) is divisible by all odd factors q(2s+1), $q=1,3,5,\cdots$, which are less than n. Therefore, for $\nu=1/2$, $P(\nu)$ is not divisible by any factor q(2s+1). Since $P(\nu)$, for $\nu=1/2$, corresponds to some a_i the latter does not contain any factor q(2s+1). Thus for each s>2, there is a_i which is not divisible by q(2s+1), $q=1,3,5,\cdots$. Hence the g.c.d. $(a_1,a_2, \dots)=1$.

Suppose s=2m+1. Take a component V' of $\phi_n(\nu)$ which does not have the factor $(\nu+m)$. It may be shown that there exists such a component V'. Then

$$V'2^{2-n}\prod\limits_{k=2}^{n-1}(
u+k)^{[n/k]}=\{Q(
u)\}^{-1}$$
 ,

where the polynomial $Q(\nu)$ has a factor $(\nu + m)^{[n/m]}$, m > 2. For $\nu = 1/2$, $Q(\nu)$ corresponds to some a_i and $(\nu + m)^{[n/m]}$ corresponds to the factor $(2m+1)^{[n/m]}$ of a_i . However, if m=2 than $5^{[n/2]-1}$ is a factor of a_i for some i. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We remark that the Genocchi number G_n and the numbers defined by L. Carlitz (see [1]).

$$a_r = 2^{2r} r ! (r-1)! \sigma_r(0)$$

may be expressed in a manner similar to (17). In fact, for the numbers a_r we have the following

(18)
$$a_r = \{(r-1)!\}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{c(r)} 2^{r_i} \prod_{k=2}^{r-1} k^{k_{ik}-[r/k]}.$$

A list of first few Bernoulli numbers expressed according to the theorem is given below.

$$\begin{split} B_1 &= \frac{2\,!}{20\cdot 6\cdot 3} \, (30) \,\,, \\ B_2 &= -\frac{4\,!}{20\cdot 6^2\cdot 5} \, (5) \,\,, \\ B_3 &= \frac{6\,!}{20\cdot 6^3\cdot 7} \, (1) \,\,, \\ B_4 &= -\frac{8\,!}{20\cdot 6^4\cdot 9} \, \left(\frac{1}{2^2\cdot 5} + \frac{1}{7}\right) \,\,, \\ B_5 &= \frac{10\,!}{20\cdot 6^5\cdot 11} \, \left(\frac{1}{2^2\cdot 5\cdot 9} + \frac{1}{7\cdot 9} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 5\cdot 7}\right) \,\,, \\ B_6 &= -\frac{12\,!}{20\cdot 6^5\cdot 13} \, \left(\frac{1}{2^2\cdot 5\cdot 9\cdot 11} + \frac{1}{7\cdot 9\cdot 11} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 9} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 11} \right. \\ &\qquad \qquad + \frac{1}{2^2\cdot 5\cdot 7^2} + \frac{1}{2^3\cdot 5^2\cdot 9}\right) \,\,, \\ B_7 &= \frac{14\,!}{20\cdot 6^7\cdot 15} \, \left(\frac{1}{2^2\cdot 5\cdot 9\cdot 11\cdot 13} + \frac{1}{7\cdot 9\cdot 11\cdot 13} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 9\cdot 13} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 9\cdot 11} \right. \\ &\qquad \qquad + \frac{1}{2\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 13} + \frac{1}{2^3\cdot 5^2\cdot 7\cdot 11} + \frac{1}{2^3\cdot 5^2\cdot 9\cdot 13} + \frac{1}{2^3\cdot 5^2\cdot 7\cdot 9} \\ &\qquad \qquad + \frac{1}{2^3\cdot 5^2\cdot 9\cdot 11} + \frac{1}{2^3\cdot 5^2\cdot 9\cdot 13}\right) \,\,. \end{split}$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. L. Carlitz, A sequence of integers related to the Bessel functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14 (1963), 1-9.
- 2. N. Kishore, The Rayleigh function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14 (1963), 527-533.
- The Rayleigh polynomial, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc..
 A structure of the Rayleigh polynomial, Duke Math. Journal, 31 (1964), 513-518.

University of Toledo

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN

Stanford University Stanford, California

M. G. Arsove

University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington

J. Dugundji

University of Southern California

Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal
but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 14, No. 4 August, 1964

Homer Franklin Bechtell, Jr., <i>Pseudo-Frattini subgroups</i>	1129
Thomas Kelman Boehme and Andrew Michael Bruckner, Functions with convex means	1137
Lutz Bungart, Boundary kernel functions for domains on complex manifolds	1151
L. Carlitz, Rings of arithmetic functions	1165
D. S. Carter, Uniqueness of a class of steady plane gravity flows	1173
Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Oehmke, <i>Idempotent semigroups with</i>	
distributive right congruence lattices	1187
Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, Measurable sets of measures	1211
Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables.	1223
Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings	1237
Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected	1231
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1241
Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_{δ} sets	
Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula.	
Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$	
John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing.	
	1203
G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems	
Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n	1297
Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus	1305
Peter A. Lappan, <i>Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions</i>	1321
Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces	1327
Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold	1335
J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems	
Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups	
Philip Miles, <i>Derivations on B* algebras</i>	
J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity	1367
Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency	
K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares	1395
P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra	
Johanan Schonheim, On coverings	
Victor Lenard Shapiro, Bounded generalized analytic functions on the torus	
James D. Stafney, Arens multiplication and convolution	
Daniel Sterling, Coverings of algebraic groups and Lie algebras of classical	1 123
type	1449
Alfred B. Willcox, Šilov type C algebras over a connected locally compact abelian	
group. II	
Bertram Yood, Faithful *-representations of normed algebras. II	
Alexander Zabrodsky, Covering spaces of paracompact spaces	1489