

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

KLEENE QUOTIENT THEOREMS

J. D. McKNIGHT

KLEENE QUOTIENT THEOREMS

J. D. McKNIGHT, JR.

0. Introduction. The collection of *regular subsets* of a semigroup A is the smallest collection of subsets of A having among its members the finite subsets of A , the collectionwise products EF and unions $E \cup F$ of any members E and F of it, and the subsemigroups E^* of A generated by each of its members E . For convenience, we set $\emptyset^* = \emptyset$ for the empty set \emptyset although a semigroup is required to have at least one element. By a *quotient* of a semigroup A we shall mean, as usual, the set of inverse images of elements of a homomorphic image of A with multiplication so defined that this partition of A is isomorphic to the homomorphic image in the natural way.

A theorem of S. C. Kleene [3], first proved a dozen years ago, not only may be regarded as the fundamental theorem of the traditional theory of finite automata (compare C. C. Elgot [2] and Section 4 below), but it may be considered a contribution to the theory of quotients of certain classes of semigroups. Kleene's results are often summarized [2] by a statement equivalent to the following: *The regular subsets of a finitely generated free semigroup are the unions of subsets of finite quotients of the semigroup.* This result has two evident parts. The first we call the *Kleene Quotient Theorem*: *Each element of a finite quotient of a finitely generated free semigroup is a regular subset of the semigroup.* The second is one of several theorems we call the *Converse Theorems*: *Every regular subset of a finitely generated free semigroup is a union of elements of some finite quotient of the semigroup.* This result may be decomposed into two main parts (Section 3), each of which is also a Converse Theorem:

(a) *The collection wise product of unions of elements of finite quotients of a finitely generated free semigroup is a union of elements of a finite quotient of the semigroup.*

(b) *The subsemigroup generated by a union of elements of a finite quotient of a finitely generated free semigroup is a union of elements of a finite quotient of the semigroup.*

Our main purposes have been to remove the word *free* from the Kleene Quotient Theorem and to remove the adjective *finitely generated* from all the Converse Theorems. We have indeed been able to give some general inductive formulas (Section 1) which may themselves be regarded as a generalization of the Kleene Quotient Theorem, and we

Received August 18, 1963, and in revised form October 18, 1963. This work was sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research of the Office of Aerospace Research under Contract No. AF 49 (638)-1215, with General Electric Computer Laboratory.

have given a more incisive proposition (Theorem 5) than the Converse Theorems. But our main contribution is one of method. For example, we avoid transformation semigroups completely in our proofs of the Converse Theorems, introducing instead a class of refinements of quotients. It would be possible to mimic Kleene's proofs [3] to prove our major propositions, but the tools we introduce below are much more transparent.

Section 3 is independent of Sections 1 and 2. It is also easier to read because there are no long formulas to check and it is more like conventional algebra.

That part of our terminology which is not simply the language of general mathematics is found mainly in [1]. Unfortunately, collectionwise quotients, which are used in almost every branch of algebra, are not discussed there, but we have no use for any deep properties of them.

1. Collectionwise quotients of quotient classes. An operation often associated with the collectionwise product is the *collectionwise quotient* $\alpha : \beta$ defined for each pair of subsets α and β of a semigroup A . The set $\alpha : \beta$ consists of all those elements x of A for which $x\beta \subset \alpha$. It follows trivially that $(\alpha : \beta)\beta \subset \alpha$; in fact, $\alpha : \beta$ is the largest of the subsets c of A for which $c\beta \subset \alpha$. The most familiar uses of collectionwise quotients require that A be the multiplicative semigroup of a ring and that α and β be left ideals of the ring. Then $\alpha : \beta$ is an ideal called the quotient ideal of α by β . We shall use $\alpha : \beta$ only when α and β are elements of a quotient \mathcal{A} of the semigroup A . In this case, $\alpha : \beta$ contains every element of \mathcal{A} which intersects it nonvacuously; therefore, $\alpha : \beta$ is the union of all those quotient classes $c \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $c\beta \subset \alpha$.

If B is a subsemigroup of A and \mathcal{B} is the collection of all non-empty subsets of B of the form $\alpha \cap B$ for α in a quotient \mathcal{A} of A , then \mathcal{B} is a quotient of B , the quotient induced by \mathcal{A} on B . If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$, then $(\alpha : \beta) \cap B$ is the union of all those $c \in \mathcal{B}$ for which $c\beta \subset \alpha$. If also $\beta \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $(\alpha : \beta) \cap B = ((\alpha \cap B) : (\beta \cap B)) \cap B$.

If \mathfrak{B} is a collection of subsemigroups of A and $\alpha \subset A$, we shall denote by $(\alpha)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ the set $\alpha \cap (\bigcup \mathfrak{B})$. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{A} is a quotient of A , then $(\alpha)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is the union of the induced quotient classes $\alpha \cap B$ for $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\alpha \cap B \neq \emptyset$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$, then $(\alpha : \beta)_{\mathfrak{B}} = \bigcup \{(\alpha : \beta) \cap B : B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$, which is the union of all the induced quotient classes c in the quotients induced by \mathcal{A} on elements of \mathfrak{B} for which $c\beta \subset \alpha$. In particular, if A is generated by \mathfrak{B} (i.e., if A is the smallest subsemigroup of A containing every element of \mathfrak{B}), then for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\alpha = (\alpha)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cup \bigcup \{(\alpha : \beta)_{\mathfrak{B}} : \beta \in \mathcal{A}\}$, for each element of α either is an element

of some element of \mathfrak{B} or has a left factor which is an element of some element of \mathfrak{B} . More explicitly, if $x \in a$ and $x \notin (a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$, then $x = yz$, where $y \in B$ for some $B \in \mathfrak{B}$. But then $z \in b$ for some $b \in \mathcal{A}$, so that $x \in (a:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}b$. This observation may be strengthened by factoring each element of a completely into factors lying in the elements of \mathfrak{B} . Thus, if \mathfrak{B} generates A and a is an element of the quotient \mathcal{A} of A , then a is the union of $(a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and all those products of the form $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a_1:a_2)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_{n-1}:a_n)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a_n)_{\mathfrak{B}}$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$. A factor $(b:c)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ or $(b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ of some of these products may, of course, be the empty set, but then any such product is empty.

To simplify our computations we introduce the set $r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b)$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$. We define $r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b)$ to be the union of $(a:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and all sets of the form $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a_1:a_2)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_n:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{B}$. If \mathfrak{B} generates A , then for any element a of the quotient \mathcal{A} we have

$$(1) \quad a = (a)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cup \bigcup \{r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{A}, b)(b)_{\mathfrak{B}} : b \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

We intend to write $r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b)$ in a convenient form for later use. To this end we introduce the sets $p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b)$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$. We define $p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b)$ to be the union of all those sets of the form $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a_1:a_2)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_n:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ for which $a_1 \neq a$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{B}$, where we count $(a:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ as one of these products if $a \neq b$. We then have, if $a \in \mathcal{B}$, the formulas

$$r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, a) = (a:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}^* \cup p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, a) \cup (a:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}^* p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, a)$$

(2) and

$$r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b) = p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b) \cup (a:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}^* p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b) \text{ for } a \neq b.$$

The first of these formulas follows from the fact that the sets $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a_1:a_2)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_n:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ may be classified as those for which each a_k is equal to a , those for which $a_1 \neq a$, and those for which $a_1 = a$ with some other $a_k \neq a$. The second follows from the fact that for $b \neq a$ the sets $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a_1:a_2)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_n:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $(a:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$, for $a \neq b$, may be classified as those, including $(a:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$, for which $a_1 \neq a$ and those for which $a_1 = a$.

We also have, if $a \in \mathcal{B}$, the formulas

$$p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, a) = [\bigcup \{r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}, c)[(c:a)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cup (c:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}^*] : c \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}\}]^*$$

(3) and

$$p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b) = r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}, b) \cup p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, a)r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}, b) \text{ for } a \neq b.$$

To show that the first of these equations is valid, we observe that its right-hand member is the union of all sets of the form

$q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{2n}$, where each q_{2k-1} has the form $(a:c)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ or $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a_1:a_2)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_m:c)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ for some $c, a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}$ and q_{2k} is either $(c:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ or $(c:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}^p$ for some p . Because of the forms of q_1, \dots, q_{2n} , each such $q_1 \cdots q_{2n}$ is contained in $p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, a)$ according to its definition. Conversely, if $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is one of the sets which, according to our definition, makes up $p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, a)$, then $a_1 \neq a$. Either $a_k \neq a$ for each k , in which case $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is of the form $q_1 q_2$ above (i.e., $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}} \subset r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}, a_q)(a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$) or there is a smallest k for which $a_{k+1} = a$. In the latter case, there is some $p \geq 1$ for which $a = a_{k+1} = \cdots = a_{k+p}$ and for which either $k+p = q$ or $a_{k+p+1} \neq a$. If $k+p = q$, then again $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is of the form $q_1 q_2$ above (i.e., $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}} \subset r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}, a_k)(a_k:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}^p$). If $k+p < q$, then $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is of the form $q_1 q_2 (a:a_{k+p+1})_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$, where q_1 and q_2 have the form specified above. The shorter expression $(a:a_{k+p+1})_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ may be treated exactly as $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ to produce factors q_3 and q_4 , and by repetition of the above procedure we finally have, for some n , $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_q:a)_{\mathfrak{B}} = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{2n}$, where the q_k are as specified above. Therefore, $p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, a)$ is contained in set expressed in the right-hand member of the first equation (3).

The second formula of (3) is more easily verified. If $a \neq b \in \mathcal{B}$, the sets $(a:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $(a:a_1)_{\mathfrak{B}}(a_1:a_2)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cdots (a_n:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ for which $a_1 \neq a$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{B}$ may be classified as those, including $(a:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$, for which $a_k \neq a$ for every k and those for which there is a greatest k satisfying $a_k = a$. The union of those of the former class is simply $r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}, b)$; the union of those of the latter is $p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, a)r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}, b)$.

The pairs (2) and (3) of formulas apply only to the case $a \in \mathcal{B}$. For $a \notin \mathcal{B}$ we have the obvious relationships

$$(4) \quad r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b) = p_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b) = (a:b)_{\mathfrak{B}} \cup \bigcup \{(a:c)_{\mathfrak{B}} r_{\mathfrak{B}}(c, \mathcal{B}, b) : c \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

The formulas (1)–(4) are valid quite generally. Our reasons for deriving them are apparent only when the quotient \mathcal{A} , the subset \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , and the collection \mathfrak{B} of subsemigroups of A suffer special joint conditions. Our first lemma, its corollary (Theorem 1), and the results of the next section make our reasons clear.

LEMMA 1. *If \mathcal{A} is a quotient of the semigroup A , if \mathcal{B} is a finite subset of \mathcal{A} , if \mathfrak{B} is a collection of subsemigroups of A , and if $(a:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a regular subset of A for each $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$, then $r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b)$ is a regular subset of A for each $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. If, moreover, a quotient class $a \in \mathcal{A}$ has the property that $(a:b)_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a regular subset of A for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$, then $r_{\mathfrak{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b)$ is a regular subset of A for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. The second statement follows easily from the first and formula (4). We prove the first statement by induction on the number of elements of \mathcal{B} . The first of formulas (2) reduces to $r_{\mathcal{B}}(a, \{a\}, a) = (a : a)_{\mathcal{B}}^*$ for $\mathcal{B} = \{a\}$. If $a \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}$ has fewer elements than has \mathcal{B} , and, according to formulas (3), $p_{\mathcal{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b)$ is regular if each set $r_{\mathcal{B}}(a, \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}, c)$ is regular for $c \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}$. Writing $r_{\mathcal{B}}(a, \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}, c)$ in the form prescribed by (4) and invoking the induction hypothesis, we conclude that $r_{\mathcal{B}}(a, \mathcal{B} \setminus \{a\}, c)$ is regular; hence, $p_{\mathcal{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b)$ is regular. According to formulas (2), therefore, $r_{\mathcal{B}}(a, \mathcal{B}, b)$ is regular.

THEOREM 1. *If \mathcal{A} is a finite quotient of the semigroup A , if \mathcal{B} is a collection of subsemigroups of A generating A , and if $a \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{B})$ is a regular subset of A for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then each element of \mathcal{A} is a regular subset of A .*

Proof. According to Lemma 1, $r_{\mathcal{B}}(a, \mathcal{A}, b)$ is regular for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, Formula (1) then leads to our conclusion. Here, and in Lemma 2 below, the referee has saved the author from the error of adjoining an "obviously redundant" additional hypothesis that such sets as $(a : b) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{B})$ be regular.

2. Quotients of finitely generated semigroups. The last section has provided us with the main tools for carrying out a proof that the elements of a finite quotient of a finitely generated semigroup are regular subsets of the semigroup. After one more bit of preparation, we shall use an argument involving the number of generators of the semigroup.

LEMMA 2. *If \mathcal{A} is a finite quotient of the finitely generated semigroup A , if \mathcal{B} is a collection of subsemigroups of A generating A , and if $a \cap B$ is a regular subset of A for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then each element of \mathcal{A} is a regular subset of A .*

Proof. Each element of a finite set of generators of A is in the subsemigroup of A generated by some finite subcollection of \mathcal{B} . Therefore, there is a finite subset \mathcal{B}' of \mathcal{B} generating A . Since $a \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{B}') = \bigcup \{a \cap B : B \in \mathcal{B}'\}$ the set $a \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{B}')$ is regular for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The conclusion then follows from Theorem 1.

LEMMA 3. *If \mathcal{A} is a quotient of the semigroup A and if A is generated by one element, then every element of \mathcal{A} is a regular subset of A .*

Proof. A is either free or finite. That is, every semigroup with

a single generator is a homomorphic image of the additive semigroup of positive integers N . If A is finite, the proposition is trivial. If A is free, it is isomorphic to N . Since the form of quotient classes of N is well known, we may regard the proposition as well known for the free case.

THEOREM 2. *If \mathcal{A} is a finite quotient of the finitely generated semigroup A , then every element of \mathcal{A} is a regular subset of A .*

Proof. If A has several generators, it is generated by a finite collection \mathcal{B} of subsemigroups, each of which has fewer generators than A . For example, \mathcal{B} may be the collection of subsemigroups of A generated by the single elements of a finite set of generators of A . The result then follows from Lemmas 2 and 3.

COROLLARY. *Every element of any restriction of a finite quotient of a semigroup A to a finitely generated subsemigroup of A is a regular subset of A .*

3. Finite quotients of free semigroups. We shall use some special refinements of quotients to study regular subsets of free semigroups. We shall first define them as refinements in the set-theoretic sense, proving afterward that they are quotients if the semigroups are appropriate.

The *joint refinement* of quotients \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of A , written $\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}$, is the coarsest covering of A which is a refinement of both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} ; i.e., $\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}$ consists of all nonempty sets of the form $a \cap b$, where $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. The *composite refinement* of the quotient \mathcal{A} of A , written $\pi\mathcal{A}$, is the coarsest covering of A by disjoint subsets which refines \mathcal{A} and which is such that each ab is a union of its elements for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$; i.e., if $x, y \in A$, then x and y are in the same element of $\pi\mathcal{A}$ if and only if they belong to the same element of \mathcal{A} and the same products ab of elements a and b of \mathcal{A} . More generally, if \mathcal{B} is a subset of the quotient \mathcal{A} of A , we define the *composite refinement* of \mathcal{A} modulo \mathcal{B} , written $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}$: Elements x and y of A are in the same element of $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}$ if and only if they are in the same element of \mathcal{A} and the same products ab for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, and, for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, x is in ab or $ac_1 \cdots c_m b$ for some $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if y is in ab or $ad_1 \cdots d_n b$ for some $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{B}$. Obviously, $\pi = \pi_{\emptyset}$.

We regard the next result as well known and easily proved.

LEMMA 4. If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are quotients of a semigroup A , the joint refinement $\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}$ is a quotient of A .

LEMMA 5. If \mathcal{B} is a subset of the quotient \mathcal{A} of a free semigroup A , the composite refinement of \mathcal{A} modulo \mathcal{B} is a quotient of A .

Proof. We suppose that x and y are in the same element of $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}$ and that x' and y' are in the same element of $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}$. Since xx' and yy' are obviously in the same element of \mathcal{A} , we only have to show that $xx' \in ab$ implies $yy' \in ab$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and that $xx' \in ac_1 \cdots c_m b$ for some $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $yy' \in ab$ or $yy' \in ad_1 \cdots d_n b$ for some $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{B}$, where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,

If $xx' \in ab$, then $xx' = ab$ for some $a \in a, b \in b$. If $x = a$ and $x' = b$, then $y \in a$ and $y' \in b$ so that $yy' \in ab$. If $x \neq a$, then, because A is free, either x is a left factor of a or x' is a right factor of b . In the former case we have $a = xa_1$ and $x' = a_1 b$. There are elements $a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $x \in a_0$ and $a_1 \in a_1$. Then $a_0 a_1 \subset a$ and $y' \in a_1 b$. Since $y \in a_0$, $yy' \in a_0 a_1 b \subset ab$. The latter case is analogous.

If $xx' \in ac_1 \cdots c_m b$, then $xx' = ac_1 \cdots c_m b$, where $a \in a, c_k \in c_k$ and $b \in b$. There are six mutually exclusive cases to consider:

- (1) $a = xa_1$,
- (2) $a = x$,
- (3) $b = b_1 x'$,
- (4) $b = x'$,
- (5) $x = ac_1 \cdots c_k$ for some $k < m$, and
- (6) $x = ac_1 \cdots c_{k-1} c'_k$ for some $k, 1 \leq k \leq m$, where $c_k = c'_k c''_k$.

In case (1) we have $x \in a_0, a_1 \in a_1$ for some $a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{A}$, with $a_0 a_1 \subset a$ and $x' \in a_1 c_1 \cdots c_m b$. Then either $y' \in a_1 b$ or there exist $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{B}$ with $y' \in a_1 d_1 \cdots d_n b$, so that $yy' \in a_0 a_1 b \subset ab$ or $yy' \in a_0 a_1 d_1 \cdots d_n b \subset ad_1 \cdots d_n b$. In case (2) we have $x \in a$ and $x' \in c_1 \cdots c_m b$. Then either $y' \in c_1 b$ or $y' \in c_1 d_1 \cdots d_n b$ for $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{B}$, so that $yy' \in ac_1 b$ or $yy' \in ac_1 d_1 \cdots d_n b$. Our condition is fulfilled since $c_1 \in \mathcal{B}$. Cases (3) and (4) are analogous to cases (1) and (2), respectively. In case (5) we can conclude that $y \in ac_k$ or $y \in ad_1 \cdots d_n c_k$ for some $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{B}$ and that $y' \in c_{k+1} b$ or $y' \in c_{k+1} e_1 \cdots e_p b$ for some $e_1, \dots, e_p \in \mathcal{B}$, and the desired conclusion follows easily since $c_k, c_{k+1} \in \mathcal{B}$. In case (6) $y \in ac'_k$ or $y \in ad_1 \cdots d_n c'_k$ for some $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{B}$, where $c'_k \in c'_k$, and $y' \in c'_k b$ or $y' \in c'_k e_1 \cdots e_p b$ for some $e_1, \dots, e_p \in \mathcal{B}$, where $c''_k \in c''_k$. The desired conclusion follows easily since $c'_k c''_k \subset c_k \in \mathcal{B}$.

COROLLARY. If \mathcal{A} is a quotient of a free semigroup A , the composite refinement of \mathcal{A} is a quotient of A .

THEOREM 3. *If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are finite quotients of the free semigroup A , then there is a finite quotient \mathcal{C} of A so that if $E = \bigcup \mathcal{E}$ and $F = \bigcup \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$, there is some $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{C}$ so that $EF = \bigcup \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. There exists $\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}$ for which $E = \bigcup \mathcal{E}'$ and $F = \bigcup \mathcal{F}'$. If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are finite, so is $\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}$. Therefore, it is enough to prove the theorem for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$, so that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}$ and the notation is simplified. Then if $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and $b \in \mathcal{F}$, ab is a union of elements of $\pi\mathcal{A}$, as is any product of quotient classes, and EF is the union of all the products ab for $a \in \mathcal{E}, b \in \mathcal{F}$. If \mathcal{A} is finite, so is $\pi\mathcal{A}$ because there are then finitely many sets of products ab for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

THEOREM 4. *If \mathcal{A} is a finite quotient of the free semigroup A and $E = \bigcup \mathcal{E}$ for some $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$, then there is a finite quotient \mathcal{C} of A and some $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{C}$ so that $E^* = \bigcup \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. For a given subset \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{A} , the elements of $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{A}$ contained in some element of \mathcal{A} are determined by quadruples of sets of pairs (a, b) of elements of \mathcal{A} . That is, the element of $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{A}$ with element x is the subset of the element of \mathcal{A} with element x consisting of all those elements y of that element of \mathcal{A} satisfying:

(1) y is in the intersection of all those products ab , $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, for which $x \in ab$, (1') y is in the intersection of the complements of all those products ab , $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, for which $x \notin ab$,

(2) if $x \notin ab$ for some $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, but x is in the union of those sets of the form ac_1, \dots, c_mb for $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathcal{E}$, then y is in the same union, and (2') if $x \notin ab$ for some $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and x is not in the union of those sets of the form ac_1, \dots, c_mb for $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathcal{E}$, then y is not in that union. Therefore, if \mathcal{A} is finite, so is $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{A}$.

If $x \in E^*$, then $x \in E^k$ for some $k \geq 1$. If $k = 1$, then $x \in a$ for some $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Then the whole element of $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{A}$ of which x is an element is contained in $a \subset E \subset E^*$. If $k = 2$, then $x \in ab$ for some $a, b \in \mathcal{E}$. Then the element of $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{A}$ of which x is an element is contained in $ab \subset E^2 \subset E^*$. If $k > 2$, then $x \in ac_1 \dots c_{k-2}b$ for some $a, b, c_1, \dots, c_{k-2} \in \mathcal{E}$. If y is an element of the element of $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{A}$ of which x is an element, then $y \in ab$ or $y \in ad_1 \dots d_nb$ for some $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{E}$; i.e., $y \in E^2 \subset E^*$ or $y \in E^{n+2} \subset E^*$ for some $n \geq 1$. Therefore, E^* is a union of elements of $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{A}$.

THEOREM 5. *If \mathcal{A} is a finite quotient of the free semigroup A , then there is a finite quotient \mathcal{B} of A with the following properties:*

- (i) \mathcal{B} refines \mathcal{A} .
- (ii) Products of elements of \mathcal{A} are unions of elements of \mathcal{B} ; hence, products of unions of elements of \mathcal{A} are unions of elements of \mathcal{B} .
- (iii) The subsemigroup of A generated by any union of elements of \mathcal{A} is a union of elements of \mathcal{B} .

Proof. Since there are finitely many subsets of \mathcal{A} , then according to Theorems 3 and 4 we have only to form a finite sequence of joint refinements. In fact, according to the proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 we may use the quotient $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{A} \wedge \pi_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{A} \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \dots, \mathcal{G}$ are all the subsets of \mathcal{A} .

LEMMA 6. *Any finite subset of a free semigroup A is a union of elements of a finite quotient of A .*

Proof. We let E be the smallest subset of A generating A , F be a finite subset of A , and E_0 a finite subset of E so that $F \subset E_0^*$. Then $F \subset E_0 \cup E_0^2 \cup \cdots \cup E_0^n$ for some $n > 0$. The partition of A consisting of the singleton subsets of $E_0 \cup E_0^2 \cup \cdots \cup E_0^n$ and the set $A \setminus (E_0 \cup \cdots \cup E_0^n)$ is a finite quotient of A , and F is a union of some of its elements.

THEOREM 6. *Each regular subset of a free semigroup A is a union of elements of a finite quotient of A .*

Proof. By Lemma 6 the finite subsets of A are unions of elements of a finite quotient of A . By Theorems 3 and 4 collectionwise products of such unions and the subsemigroups of A generated by such unions are also such unions. The conclusion follows directly from the definition of regular subsets.

4. Transformation semigroups and automata. If h is a homomorphism from the semigroup A to the semigroup (under composition) of transformations on a set X (i.e., if (A, h) is a transformation semigroup) and if $x_0 \in X$, then we may define the subset A_{x_0} of A to consist of all those elements $a \in A$ for which $h(a)(x_0) = x_0$. Each such A_{x_0} is a union of elements of the quotient A/h , and the nonempty sets A_{x_0} constitute a partition of A which we denote by $A/(h, x_0)$. Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is a quotient of the semigroup A , then A acts on the semigroup \mathcal{A}^+ consisting of \mathcal{A} and an identity $1 \notin \mathcal{A}$ as follows: The homomorphism h^+ from A to the semigroup of transformations on \mathcal{A}^+ is defined by setting $h^+(a)(\alpha)$ equal to the element of \mathcal{A} containing $h(a)\alpha$ for $a \in A$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+$, where h is the natural homomorphism from

A to \mathcal{A} . Then $\mathcal{A} = A/(h^+, 1)$. Thus, all quotients of A are of the form $A/(h, x_0)$ for some transformation semigroup (A, h) . In particular, finite quotients may be obtained from finite "phase sets" X .

According to Theorem 6 and these last remarks, if E is a regular subset of the free semigroup A then there is a finite set X , a homomorphism h from A to the semigroup of transformations on X , and an element $x_0 \in X$ so that E is a union of elements of $A/(h, x_0)$; that is, there is a set $X_0 \subset X$ so that $E = \bigcup \{A_x : x \in X_0\}$. According to Theorem 2 and the remarks, if X is a finite set, x_0 an element of X , and h a homomorphism from the finitely generated semigroup A to the semigroup of transformations on X , then each element of $A/(h, x_0)$ is regular; that is, each A_x is regular.

A finite *automaton* (cf. [2]) is a homomorphism h from a finitely generated free semigroup A to the semigroup of transformations on a finite set X together with a subset X_0 of X . Each element x_0 of X then determines a regular subset of A consisting of the union of those elements A_x of $A/(h, x_0)$ for which $x \in X_0$. On the other hand, if E is a regular subset of the finitely generated free semigroup A , there exist appropriate X, X_0 and h defining a finite automaton so that E is the union of all A_x in $A/(h, x_0)$ for which $x \in X_0$, where x_0 is some element of X . These last statements are obvious consequences of the remarks above.

REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups I*, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys **7** (1962).
2. C. C. Elgot, *Decision problems of finite automata design and related arithmetics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **98** (1961), 21-51.
3. S. C. Kleene, *Representation of events in nerve nets and finite automata*, The Rand Corporation, RM-704 (1951), and Automata Studies, Ann. of Math. Studies, **34** (1956), 3-41.

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN

Stanford University
Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE

University of Washington
Seattle 5, Washington

J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California
Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE

University of California
Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$3.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Homer Franklin Bechtell, Jr., <i>Pseudo-Frattini subgroups</i>	1129
Thomas Kelman Boehme and Andrew Michael Bruckner, <i>Functions with convex means</i>	1137
Lutz Bungart, <i>Boundary kernel functions for domains on complex manifolds</i>	1151
L. Carlitz, <i>Rings of arithmetic functions</i>	1165
D. S. Carter, <i>Uniqueness of a class of steady plane gravity flows</i>	1173
Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Oehmke, <i>Idempotent semigroups with distributive right congruence lattices</i>	1187
Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, <i>Measurable sets of measures</i>	1211
Robert Pertsch Gilbert, <i>On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables</i>	1223
Harry Gonshor, <i>On abstract affine near-rings</i>	1237
Edward Everett Grace, <i>Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua</i>	1241
Edward Everett Grace, <i>On local properties and G_δ sets</i>	1245
Keith A. Hardie, <i>A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula</i>	1249
Lowell A. Hinrichs, <i>Open ideals in $C(X)$</i>	1255
John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Natural sums and abelianizing</i>	1265
G. W. Kimble, <i>A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems</i>	1283
Nand Kishore, <i>A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n</i>	1297
Melven Robert Krom, <i>A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus</i>	1305
Peter A. Lappan, <i>Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions</i>	1321
Lorraine Doris Lavallee, <i>Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces</i>	1327
Mark Mahowald, <i>On the normal bundle of a manifold</i>	1335
J. D. McKnight, <i>Kleene quotient theorems</i>	1343
Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, <i>On high subgroups</i>	1353
Philip Miles, <i>Derivations on B^* algebras</i>	1359
J. Marshall Osborn, <i>A generalization of power-associativity</i>	1367
Theodore G. Ostrom, <i>Nets with critical deficiency</i>	1381
Elvira Rapaport Strasser, <i>On the defining relations of a free product</i>	1389
K. Rogers, <i>A note on orthogonal Latin squares</i>	1395
P. P. Saworotnow, <i>On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra</i>	1399
Johanan Schonheim, <i>On coverings</i>	1405
Victor Lenard Shapiro, <i>Bounded generalized analytic functions on the torus</i>	1413
James D. Stafney, <i>Arens multiplication and convolution</i>	1423
Daniel Sterling, <i>Coverings of algebraic groups and Lie algebras of classical type</i>	1449
Alfred B. Willcox, <i>Šilov type C algebras over a connected locally compact abelian group. II</i>	1463
Bertram Yood, <i>Faithful $*$-representations of normed algebras. II</i>	1475
Alexander Zabrodsky, <i>Covering spaces of paracompact spaces</i>	1489