

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**BOUNDED GENERALIZED ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ON THE  
TORUS**

VICTOR LENARD SHAPIRO

# BOUNDED GENERALIZED ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ON THE TORUS

VICTOR L. SHAPIRO

1. **Introduction.** We shall operate in Euclidean  $k$ -space,  $E_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (x_1, \dots, x_k); & y &= (y_1, \dots, y_k); \\ \alpha x + \beta y &= (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \dots, \alpha x_k + \beta y_k); \\ (x, y) &= x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_k y_k; & |x| &= (x, x)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

$T_k$  will designate the  $k$ -dimensional torus  $\{x; -\pi < x_j \leq \pi, j = 1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $v$  will always designate a point a distance one from the origin, i.e.,  $|v| = 1$ , and  $m$  will always designate an integral lattice point. If  $f$  is in  $L^1$  on  $T_k$ , then  $\hat{f}(m)$  will designate the  $m$ th Fourier coefficient of  $f$ , i.e.,  $(2\pi)^{-k} \int_{T_k} f(x) e^{-i(m, x)} dx$ .

We shall say that  $f(x)$  in  $L^1$  on  $T_k$  is a generalized analytic function on  $T_k$  if there exists  $v$  such that  $f$  is in  $A_v$ , where  $A_v = A_v^+ \cup A_v^-$ , and  $A_v^+$  is defined as follows:

$f$  is in  $A_v^+$  if there exists an  $m_0$  such that if  $m \neq m_0$  and  $(m - m_0, v) \leq 0$ , then  $\hat{f}(m) = 0$ .

We shall say that  $f(x)$  in  $L^1$  on  $T_k$  is a strictly generalized analytic function on  $T_k$  if there exists a  $v$  such that  $f$  is in  $B_v$ , where  $B_v = B_v^+ \cup B_v^-$ , and  $B_v^+$  is defined as follows:

$f$  is in  $B_v^+$  if there exists an  $m_0$  and a  $\gamma$  with  $0 < \gamma < 1$  such that if  $(m - m_0, v) < \gamma |m - m_0|$ , then  $\hat{f}(m) = 0$ .

It is quite clear that  $B_v \subset A_v$ . In this paper, we shall obtain a result which is false for bounded functions in  $A_v$  but which is true for bounded functions in  $B_v$ . It is primarily with the class  $B_v$  and its extension to finite complex measures that the classical paper of Bochner [2, p. 718] is concerned. On  $T_k$ , it is essentially with the class  $A_v$  that the papers of Helson and Lowdenslager [5], [6], and de Leeuw and Glicksberg [4] are concerned.

We shall be concerned in this paper with a class of functions  $C_v$  which for bounded functions is intermediate between the two classes  $B_v$  and  $A_v$ .

We first note that if  $f$  is in  $B_v^+$ , then  $\sum_m |\hat{f}(m)| e^{(m, v)\sigma} < \infty$  for every  $\sigma < 0$ . For with  $\|f\|_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , designating the  $L^p$ -norm of  $f$  on  $T_k$ , we see that there exists a  $\gamma$  with  $0 < \gamma < 1$  and an  $m_0$  such that

---

Received October 8, 1963. This research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

$$\sum_m |\hat{f}(m)| e^{(m,v)\sigma} \leq \|f\|_1 \sum_{\gamma|m-m_0| \leq (m-m_0,v)} e^{(m,v)\sigma},$$

and

$$\sum_{\gamma|m-m_0| \leq (m-m_0,v)} e^{(m,v)\sigma} \leq e^{(m_0,v)\sigma} \sum_m e^{\gamma|m-m_0|\sigma} < \infty.$$

Next, we note that if  $\sum_m |\hat{f}(m)| e^{(m,v)\sigma_0} < \infty$ , then

(1) there exists a function  $g(x)$  in  $L^1$  on  $T_k$  which is continuous in an open subset of  $T_k$  and which furthermore has  $\sum_m \hat{f}(m) e^{(m,v)\sigma_0} e^{i(m,x)}$  as its Fourier series.

We use (1) to define the class  $C_v = C_v^+ \cup C_v^-$ . In particular we say that  $f$  is in  $C_v^+$  if the following three conditions are met:

- (i)  $f$  is in  $L^\infty$  on  $T_k$ ,
- (ii)  $f$  is in  $A_v^+$ ,
- (iii) there exists a  $\sigma_0 < 0$  such that (1) holds.

We note once again that if (ii) is replaced by

- (ii')  $f$  is in  $B_v^+$ ,

then (iii) follows automatically.

With every unit point  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$  there is also associated a one-parameter subgroup of  $T_k$  which we shall call  $G_v$  where

$$G_v = \{x; -\pi < x_j \leq \pi, x_j \equiv tv_j \pmod{2\pi}, -\infty < t < \infty\}.$$

If  $v$  is linearly independent with respect to rational coefficients, then  $G_v$  is dense on  $T_k$ . If  $v$  is linearly dependent with respect to rational coefficients,  $G_v$  is not dense on  $T_k$ . (We say  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is linearly dependent with respect to rational coefficients if there exist rational numbers  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  with  $r_1^2 + \dots + r_k^2 \neq 0$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^k r_j v_j = 0$ .) In either case, however, the statement that a set  $E \subset G_v$  is of positive linear measure is well-defined. In particular, we set  $E^* = \{t; \text{there exists an } x \text{ in } E \text{ such that } x_j \equiv tv_j \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k\}$ . Then  $E^*$  is a set on the real line  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . We say that  $E$  is of positive linear measure if  $E^*$  is a set with positive 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

In the sequel, we shall work primarily with functions  $f$  in  $L^\infty$  on  $T_k$ . Also, all functions initially defined in  $T_k$  will be understood to be extended to all of  $E_k$  by periodicity of period  $2\pi$  in each variable.

Given a function  $f$  in  $L^\infty$  on  $T_k$ , we shall set

$$(2) \quad f(x, h) = \sum_m \hat{f}(m) e^{i(m,x)} e^{-|m|h} \quad \text{for } h > 0.$$

We shall say that  $f$  vanishes at  $x_0$  if

$$(3) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} f(x_0, h) = 0.$$

We note that the changing of  $f$  on a set of  $k$ -dimensional measure zero does not affect its vanishing at the point  $x_0$ . (In classical termi-

nology, (3) says that the Fourier series of  $f$  is Abel summable to zero at  $x_0$ .)

We shall say that  $f$  vanishes on a set  $E$  if  $f$  vanishes at all points of  $E$ .

With  $B(x, h)$  representing the open  $k$ -ball with center  $x$  and radius  $h$  and  $|B(x, h)|$  representing the  $k$ -dimensional volume of  $B(x, h)$ , we set

$$(4) \quad f_h(x) = |B(x, h)|^{-1} \int_{B(x, h)} f(y) dy$$

and note that if  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f_h(x_0) = 0$ , then  $f$  vanishes at  $x_0$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} f(x_0, h) = 0$  (See [10, p. 55]).

The theorem that we shall prove is the following:

**THEOREM.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that every  $f$  in  $C_v$  which vanishes on a subset of  $G_v$  of positive linear measure be zero almost everywhere on  $T_k$  is that  $v$  be linearly independent with respect to rational coefficients.*

We first note that the sufficiency of the above theorem is false for bounded functions in  $A_v$ . This fact will be established in § 4.

We next note that if  $f(x)$  is in  $C_v$ , so is  $f(x + x_0)$ . Consequently, the above theorem implies that if  $f$  is in  $C_v$ ,  $v$  linearly independent with respect to rational coefficients, and  $f$  vanishes on a subset of  $x_0 + G_v$  of positive linear measure, then  $f$  is zero almost everywhere on  $T_k$ .

We finally note that for  $k = 1$  the above theorem reduces to the well-known theorem of F. and M. Riesz for holomorphic functions on the unit disc in the form that they first proved it, i.e., for bounded functions, [9]. There have been other extensions of the F. and M. Riesz Theorem to higher dimensions (see [5, p. 176] and [4, p. 188]), but these always involve the vanishing of  $f$  on sets of positive  $k$ -dimensional measure. Here, we only ask that  $f$  vanish on particular sets of positive 1-dimensional measure, but on the other hand, we deal with a more restricted class of functions.

**2. Proof of sufficiency.** Since  $C_v = C_{-v}$  and  $G_v = G_{-v}$  with no loss in generality, we can assume from the start that  $f$  is in  $C_v^+$ .

Since  $\hat{f}$  is in  $C_v^+$ , it is in  $A_v^+$ . Consequently there exists an  $m_0$  such that  $\hat{f}(m) = 0$  if  $m \neq m_0$  and  $(m - m_0, v) \leq 0$ . If we set  $a(x) = e^{-i(m_0, x)} f(x)$ , then  $a(x)$  is in  $A_v^+$  with  $m_0 = 0$ . Furthermore, it is clear that since  $f(x)$  satisfies (1),  $a(x)$  does also. If we can show that

$$(5) \quad \text{if } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} f(x_0, h) = 0, \text{ then } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} a(x_0, h) = 0,$$

it will be sufficient to prove the theorem for  $a(x)$ .

To establish (5), set  $b(x) = a(x) - e^{-i(m_0, x_0)} f(x)$ . Then  $a(x, h) = b(x, h) + e^{i(m_0, x_0)} f(x, h)$ , and by the remark after (4), (5) will follow once it is shown that  $b_h(x_0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} |b_h(x_0)| &\leq O(h^{-k}) \|f\|_\infty \int_{B(x_0, h)} |e^{-i(m_0, x)} - e^{-i(m_0, x_0)}| dx \\ &\leq O(h^{-k}) \|f\|_\infty |m_0| \int_{B(x_0, h)} |x - x_0| dx \\ &\leq o(1) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and (5) is established.

We now replace  $a(x)$  by  $f(x)$  and proceed, i.e., we set

$$(6) \quad M = \{m; (m, v) \geq 0\}$$

and assume

$$(7) \quad \text{if } m \text{ is not in } M, \text{ then } \hat{f}(m) = 0.$$

Setting  $P(x, h) = \sum_m e^{i(m, x) - |m|h}$  for  $h > 0$  and noticing that  $P(x, h) > 0$  for  $x$  on  $T_k$  and  $h > 0$ , [3, p. 32], and that  $(2\pi)^{-k} \int_{T_k} P(x, h) dx = 1$  we see that  $f(x, h)$  defined in (2) is given by

$$f(x, h) = (2\pi)^{-k} \int_{T_k} f(x - y) P(y, h) dy.$$

Consequently,

$$(8) \quad |f(x, h)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \text{ for } h > 0 \text{ and } x \text{ on } T_k.$$

Next, with  $z = \sigma + it$  and  $\sigma \leq 0$ , we set

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} F(z, h) &= \sum_m \hat{f}(m) e^{i(tv, m)} e^{\sigma(v, m)} e^{-|m|h} \\ &= \sum_{m \text{ in } M} \hat{f}(m) e^{\lambda_m z} e^{-|m|h} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(10) \quad \lambda_m = (m, v) \text{ for } m \text{ in } M.$$

By (6), (7), (9), and (10),  $F(z, h)$  is, for fixed  $h > 0$ , analytic in the left half-plane  $\sigma < 0$  and continuous in the closed half-plane  $\sigma \leq 0$ . Furthermore, since  $F(it, h) = f(tv, h)$ , we have by (8) that

$$(11) \quad \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |F(it, h)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \text{ for } h > 0.$$

Also, it is clear that for  $\sigma \leq 0$ ,  $|F(\sigma + it, h)| \leq \sum_{m \text{ in } M} |\hat{f}(m)| e^{-|m|h} < \infty$  and therefore that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |F(\sigma + it, h)| \leq |\hat{f}(0)| \leq \|f\|_\infty.$$

Consequently, it follows from the Phragmen-Lindelof theorem, [1, p. 137], that

$$(12) \quad \|F(z, h)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty \quad \text{for } \sigma \leq 0 \text{ and } h > 0.$$

But then by Montel's theorem [1, p. 132],

- (13) there exists a function  $F(z)$ , analytic for  $\sigma < 0$ , and a sequence  $h_1 > h_2 > \dots > h_j > \dots \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(z, h_j) = F(z)$  uniformly on any compact subset of the open left half-plane  $\sigma < 0$ .

We propose to show that  $F(z)$  is identically zero. To do this we look at  $F(it, h_j)$ . By (11),  $\{F(it, h_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a bounded sequence of continuous functions on the interval  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . Consequently, it follows from the notion of weak\* convergence that there exists a function  $q(t)$  in  $L^\infty$  on  $-\infty < t < \infty$ , with  $|q(t)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$  for almost every  $t$  and a subsequence  $\{h_{j_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{j_n} = 0$  such that for every  $\xi(t)$  in  $L^\infty \cap L^1$  on  $-\infty < t < \infty$ ,

$$(14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \xi(t)^x(it, h_{j_n}) dt = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \xi(t) q(t) dt.$$

Choosing  $\xi$  in (14) to be the function

$$\xi(u) = -\sigma[\sigma^2 + (u - t)^2]^{-1} \pi^{-1} \quad \text{where } \sigma < 0,$$

we see from (13) that

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} F(\sigma + it) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\sigma + it, h_{j_n}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\pi^{-1} \sigma \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(iu, h_{j_n}) [\sigma^2 + (u - t)^2]^{-1} du \\ &= -\pi^{-1} \sigma \int_{-\infty}^\infty q(u) [\sigma^2 + (u - t)^2]^{-1} du. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|F(\sigma + it, h)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$  for  $h > 0$  and  $\sigma \leq 0$ , it follows from (13) that  $|F(\sigma + it)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$  for  $\sigma < 0$ , and consequently from (15) and [7, p. 447] that

$$(16) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^-} F(\sigma + it) = q(t) \quad \text{for almost every } t.$$

If we can show that  $q(t) = 0$  on a set of positive measure, then it will follow from (16) and the F. and M. Riesz Theorem for a half-plane, [7, p. 449], that  $F(\sigma + it)$  is identically zero for  $\sigma < 0$ .

To show that  $q(t) = 0$  on a set of positive measure we set

$$E^* = \left\{ t, \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(tv, h) = 0 \right\}.$$

By hypothesis,  $E^*$  is a set of positive linear measure in the infinite interval  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . Let  $B^*$  be any measurable subset of  $E^*$  of finite measure and let  $\xi_{B^*}(t)$  be the indicator function of  $B^*$ . Then by (14)

$$(17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_{B^*}(t) F(it, h_{j_n}) dt = \int_{B^*} q(t) dt .$$

However,  $F(it, h_{i_n}) = f(tv, h_{j_n})$ ,  $f(tv, h_{j_n}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $t$  in  $B^*$ , and  $|f(tv, h_{j_n})| \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$ . We conclude from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that

$$(18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_{B^*}(t) F(it, h_{j_n}) dt = 0 .$$

From (17) and (18), we obtain that  $\int_{B^*} q(t) dt = 0$ .  $B^*$ , however, is an arbitrary subset of  $E^*$  of finite measure. Therefore  $q(t)$  must equal zero almost everywhere in  $E^*$ . Consequently,  $q(t) = 0$  on a set of positive measure, and we have that

$$(19) \quad F(\sigma + it) = 0 \quad \text{for } \sigma < 0 .$$

By hypothesis, there exist a  $\sigma_0 < 0$ , an open set  $U \subset T_k$  and a function  $g(x)$  in  $L^1$  on  $T_k$  such that the following facts prevail:

$$(21) \quad \hat{g}(m) = \hat{f}(m) e^{(v,m)\sigma_0} \quad \text{for every } m :$$

$$(22) \quad g \text{ is continuous in } U .$$

From (9), (13), and (19), it follows that

$$(23) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_m \hat{f}(m) e^{(v,m)\sigma_0} e^{i(tv,m)} e^{-|m|h_j} = 0 \quad \text{for } -\infty < t < \infty .$$

On the other hand, as is well-known (see [10, p. 55]), (21) and (22) imply

$$(24) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum \hat{f}(m) e^{(m,v)\sigma_0} e^{i(m,x)} e^{-|m|h_j} = g(x) \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } U .$$

We conclude from (23) and (24) that  $g(x) = 0$  for  $x$  in  $U \cap G_v$ . However, since  $G_v$  is dense in  $T_k$  and  $U$  is open,  $U \cap G_v$  is dense in  $U$ , and consequently,  $g(x) = 0$  in all of  $U$ .

Suppose that  $B(x_0, h_0) \subset U$ . Then for  $0 < h < h_0$  and  $g_h(x)$  defined by (4), we have that  $g_h(x)$  is a continuous periodic function which for each fixed  $h$  is zero on an open set. In particular,  $g_h(x + x_0)$  is zero on a subset of  $G_v$  of positive linear measure. Since

$$\hat{g}_h(m) = \hat{f}(m) e^{(m,v)\sigma_0} |B(0, h)|^{-1} \int_{B(0,h)} e^{i(m,x)} dx ,$$

we conclude from the argument previously given that  $g_h(tv + x_0) = 0$  for  $-\infty < t < \infty$  and  $0 < h < h_0$ . But then the continuous function  $g_h(x)$  is zero on a dense subset of  $T_k$ , and therefore for  $0 < h < h_0$ ,  $g_h(x) = 0$  for all  $x$  on  $T_k$ . Consequently,  $g(x) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $T_k$ . We conclude from (21) that  $\hat{f}(m) = 0$  for every  $m$ . Therefore  $f(x) = 0$  almost everywhere, and the proof of the sufficiency is complete.

**3. Proof of necessity.** Let  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$  be linearly dependent over the rationals with  $v_1^2 + \dots + v_k^2 = 1$ . We shall show that there exists a nonzero trigonometric polynomial  $f(x)$  in  $B_v^+$  (and therefore in  $C_v^+$ ) such that  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x$  in  $G_v$ .

Two cases present themselves. Either there exists a coordinate  $v_{j_0}$  of  $v$  which is zero or all the coordinates of  $v$  are different from zero. We handle the former case first.

Since  $|v| = 1$ , there exists a coordinate  $v_{j_1}$  of  $v$  which is different from zero. Let  $m'$  be the integral lattice point with 1 in the  $j_0$ -coordinate,  $\text{sgn } v_{j_1}$  in the  $j_1$ -coordinate, and zero at all other coordinates. Similarly define  $m''$  to be the integral lattice point with 2 in the  $j_0$ -coordinate,  $\text{sgn } v_{j_1}$  in the  $j_1$ -coordinate, and zero at all other coordinates. Then  $(m', v) = (m'', v) = |v_{j_1}| > 0$ , and the trigonometric polynomial  $f(x) = e^{i(m', x)} - e^{i(m'', x)}$  is clearly in  $B_v^+$ . Also,  $f(tv) = e^{it(m', v)} - e^{it(m'', v)} = 0$  for  $-\infty < t < \infty$ ;  $f(x)$  is zero on  $G_v$ , and the first case is settled.

Next, suppose that all the coordinates of  $v$  are different from zero. Since by assumption  $v$  is linearly dependent with respect to rational coefficients, there exists a nonzero integral lattice point  $m$  such that  $(m, v) = 0$ . Let  $m_{j_0}$  be the first coordinate of  $m$  which is different from zero. We can assume  $\text{sgn } m_{j_0} = \text{sgn } v_{j_0}$  for otherwise we can replace  $m$  by  $-m$ . Let  $m'$  be the integral lattice point with  $\text{sgn } v_{j_0}$  in the  $j_0$ -coordinate and zero elsewhere. Set  $m'' = m + m'$ . Then

$$(m'', v) = (m + m', v) = (m', v) = |v_{j_0}| > 0,$$

and the trigonometric polynomial  $f(x) = e^{i(m', x)} - e^{i(m'', x)}$  is in  $B_v^+$  and is zero on  $G_v$ . The second case is settled, and the proof of the theorem is complete.

**4. Counter-example for  $A_v$ .** Given  $v$  linearly independent with respect to rational coefficients, we shall exhibit a function  $f(x)$  in  $L^\infty$  on  $T_k$  and in  $A_v^+$  such that

$$(25) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f_h(x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \text{ in } G_v$$

and such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  in a set of positive measure on  $T_k$ .

We note once again that (25) implies that  $f$  vanishes on all of  $G_v$ .



We start in the classical manner (see [11, p. 276 and p. 105]). Observing that  $G_v$  is of  $k$ -dimensional measure zero, we see that there exists a sequence of sets  $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  each open in the torus sense on  $T_k$  with the following properties:

$$(26) \quad T_k \supset G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \dots \supset G_n \dots \supset G_v ;$$

$$(27) \quad \text{the } k\text{-dimensional measure of } G_n \text{ is } \leq n^{-4} .$$

We set

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} g_n(x) &= n^2 \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } G_n , \\ &= 0 \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } T_k - G_n , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(29) \quad g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty g_n(x) .$$

Now  $\int_{T_k} g(x)dx \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-2}$ . Consequently,  $g(x)$  is a nonnegative function on  $T_k$ , and the set  $\{x; g(x) = +\infty\}$  is of  $k$ -dimensional measure zero.

Next, we set  $a(x) = e^{-g(x)}$  and observe that  $a(x)$  is a Borel measurable function on  $T_k$  with the following properties:

$$(30) \quad 0 \leq a(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } T_k ,$$

$$(31) \quad \{x; a(x) = 0\} \text{ is of } k\text{-dimensional measure zero.}$$

Observing that  $G_v \subset G_n$  for every  $n$  by (27) and that by (29),  $a(x) \leq e^{-g_n(x)}$ , we see from (28) that for fixed  $n$  and a fixed  $x_0$  in  $G_v$ ,  $a_h(x_0) \leq e^{-n^2}$  for  $h$  sufficiently small. We conclude that

$$(32) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} a_h(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } G_v .$$

From (31) and (32), we see that there is no constant such that  $a(x)$  is equal to it almost everywhere on  $T_k$ . Consequently there exists an  $m_0 \neq 0$  such that  $\hat{a}(m_0) \neq 0$ . Since  $a(-x)$  satisfies (30), (31), and (32), with no loss in generality, we can also assume that  $(m_0, v) > 0$ . Thus we have

$$(33) \quad \hat{a}(m_0) \neq 0 \quad \text{and } (m_0, v) > 0 .$$

Next, as in [8, p. 60], we introduce the complex Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $T_k$  defined by

$$(34) \quad \int_{T_k} b(x)d\mu(x) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty b(tv)(1-it)^{-2}dt$$

for every bounded Borel measurable function on  $T_k$ .

From the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda t}(1-it)^{-2}dt &= 0 && \text{for } \lambda \geq 0 \\ &= -(2\pi)\lambda e^\lambda && \text{for } \lambda < 0, \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $\hat{\mu}(m) = (2\pi)^{-k} \int_{T_k} e^{-i(m,x)} d\mu(x)$  is such that

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(m) &\neq 0 && \text{for } (m, v) > 0 \\ &= 0 && \text{for } (m, v) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$(36) \quad f(x) = (2\pi)^{-k} \int_{T_k} a(x-y) d\mu(y)$$

and shall show that  $f$  has the requisite properties set forth at the beginning of this section.

In the first place, we see from (30), (34), and (36)

$$|f(x)| \leq (2\pi)^{-k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+t^2)^{-1} dt \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } T_k,$$

and consequently  $f(x)$  in  $L^\infty$  on  $T_k$ .

In the second place, we observe from (36) that  $\hat{f}(m) = \hat{a}(m)\hat{\mu}(m)$  and consequently by (35) that  $f(x)$  is in  $A_v^+$ . Furthermore, by (33) and (35),  $\hat{f}(m_0) \neq 0$ . Consequently,  $f(x) \neq 0$  on a set of positive measure on  $T_k$ .

All that remains to establish is (25). Let  $x_0$  be a fixed point in  $G_v$ . Then by (36) and Fubini's theorem,

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} (2\pi)^k f_h(x_0) &= \int_{T_k} a_h(x_0-y) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_h(x_0-tv)(1-it)^{-2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (30),  $|a_h(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x$  on  $T_k$ . Furthermore, since  $x_0$  is in  $G_v$ , so is  $x_0 - tv$  for  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . Therefore, by (32),  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} a_h(x_0 - tv) = 0$  for  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . We consequently conclude from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and (37) that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f_h(x_0) = 0$ , and (25) is established.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. S. Besicovitch, *Almost periodic functions*, Cambridge, 1932.
2. S. Bochner, *Boundary values of analytic functions*, *Annals of Math.*, **45** (1944), 708-722.

3. S. Bochner, *Harmonic analysis and the theory of probability*, University of California Press, 1955.
4. K. de Leeuw and I. Glicksberg, *Quasi-invariance and analyticity of measures on compact groups*, Acta Math., **109** (1963), 179-205.
5. H. Helson and D. Lowdenslager, *Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables*, Acta Math., **99** (1958), 165-201.
6. ———, *Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables II.*, Acta Math., **106** (1961), 175-212.
7. E. Hille, *Analytic Function Theory*, Vol. II., Ginn and Co., 1962.
8. K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Prentice-Hall, 1962.
9. F. Riesz and M. Riesz, *Über die Randwerte einer analytischen function*, Comptes Rendu du Quatrième Congrès des Mathématiciens Scandinaves tenu à Stockholm, 1916, pp. 27-44.
10. V. L. Shapiro, *Fourier series in several variables*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **70** (1964), 48-93.
11. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. I., Cambridge, 1959.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles 7, California

M. G. ARSOVE  
University of Washington  
Seattle 5, Washington

LOWELL J. PAIGE  
University of California  
Los Angeles 24, California

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION  
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$3.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Homer Franklin Bechtell, Jr., <i>Pseudo-Frattini subgroups</i> .....	1129
Thomas Kelman Boehme and Andrew Michael Bruckner, <i>Functions with convex means</i> .....	1137
Lutz Bungart, <i>Boundary kernel functions for domains on complex manifolds</i> .....	1151
L. Carlitz, <i>Rings of arithmetic functions</i> .....	1165
D. S. Carter, <i>Uniqueness of a class of steady plane gravity flows</i> .....	1173
Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Oehmke, <i>Idempotent semigroups with distributive right congruence lattices</i> .....	1187
Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, <i>Measurable sets of measures</i> .....	1211
Robert Pertsch Gilbert, <i>On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables</i> .....	1223
Harry Gonshor, <i>On abstract affine near-rings</i> .....	1237
Edward Everett Grace, <i>Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua</i> .....	1241
Edward Everett Grace, <i>On local properties and <math>G_\delta</math> sets</i> .....	1245
Keith A. Hardie, <i>A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula</i> .....	1249
Lowell A. Hinrichs, <i>Open ideals in <math>C(X)</math></i> .....	1255
John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Natural sums and abelianizing</i> .....	1265
G. W. Kimble, <i>A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems</i> .....	1283
Nand Kishore, <i>A representation of the Bernoulli number <math>B_n</math></i> .....	1297
Melven Robert Krom, <i>A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus</i> .....	1305
Peter A. Lappan, <i>Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions</i> .....	1321
Lorraine Doris Lavallee, <i>Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces</i> .....	1327
Mark Mahowald, <i>On the normal bundle of a manifold</i> .....	1335
J. D. McKnight, <i>Kleene quotient theorems</i> .....	1343
Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, <i>On high subgroups</i> .....	1353
Philip Miles, <i>Derivations on <math>B^*</math> algebras</i> .....	1359
J. Marshall Osborn, <i>A generalization of power-associativity</i> .....	1367
Theodore G. Ostrom, <i>Nets with critical deficiency</i> .....	1381
Elvira Rapaport Strasser, <i>On the defining relations of a free product</i> .....	1389
K. Rogers, <i>A note on orthogonal Latin squares</i> .....	1395
P. P. Saworotnow, <i>On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra</i> .....	1399
Johan Schoneheim, <i>On coverings</i> .....	1405
Victor Lenard Shapiro, <i>Bounded generalized analytic functions on the torus</i> .....	1413
James D. Stafney, <i>Arens multiplication and convolution</i> .....	1423
Daniel Sterling, <i>Coverings of algebraic groups and Lie algebras of classical type</i> .....	1449
Alfred B. Willcox, <i>Šilov type <math>C</math> algebras over a connected locally compact abelian group. II</i> .....	1463
Bertram Yood, <i>Faithful <math>*</math>-representations of normed algebras. II</i> .....	1475
Alexander Zabrodsky, <i>Covering spaces of paracompact spaces</i> .....	1489