Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COVERING SPACES OF PARACOMPACT SPACES

ALEXANDER ZABRODSKY

Vol. 14, No. 4

August 1964

COVERING SPACES OF PARACOMPACT SPACES

A. ZABRODSKY

Introduction. Let \tilde{X} and X be two Hausdorff spaces and f a continuous¹ mapping of \tilde{X} into X. We say that f is a covering mapping if f maps \tilde{X} onto X and there exist an open covering¹ \mathscr{V} of X having the following property:

(1) For every $V \in \mathscr{V}$, $f^{-1}[V]$ is a union of a family $\mathscr{F}(V)$ consisting of pairwise-disjoint open sets each of which is mapped homeomorphically onto V by f.

The pair (\tilde{X}, f) is called a covering space of X.

If X is a metric space, nothing can be said, in general, about the diameters of the elements of the covering \mathscr{V} of X, the diameters of the elements of $\mathscr{F}(V)$, $V \in \mathscr{V}$, or any isometric properties of f, as can be seen from the following example:

EXAMPLE 1. Let \widetilde{X} be the real line with the usual metric, X the unit circle |z| = 1 in the complex Z-plane with length of minor arc as the distance between two points and finally f the function: $f(\widetilde{x}) = e^{i|\widetilde{x}|\widetilde{x}|}$.

Then (\tilde{X}, f) is a covering space of X, if \mathscr{V} is the set of arcs of length one. Now, let V be the unit spherical region (i.e. the arc of length one) with z = 1 as centre. One can easily see that $f^{-1}[V]$ consists of intervals of the form $2\kappa\pi - 1 < x^2 < 2\kappa\pi + 1$ and the infinum of their diameters is zero. Thus if $\tilde{V} \in \mathscr{F}(V)$, $f | \tilde{V}$ has in general no isometric properties. But it is easily seen that the metric in \tilde{X} can be changed (without changing the topology of \tilde{X}) in such a way that $f | \tilde{V}$ will be an isometry for every $\tilde{V} \in \mathscr{F}(V)$ and every $V \in \mathscr{V}$. This leads to the following problem:

Problem. Let (\tilde{X}, f) be a covering space of a metrisable space X. Does there exist a metric $\tilde{\rho}$ in \tilde{X} and a metric ρ in X, inducing the topologies of \tilde{X} and X respectively and such that the family \mathcal{S} of unit spherical regions in (X, ρ) has the following property:

(A) For every $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $f^{-1}[S]$ is a union of a family $\mathcal{F}(S)$, consisting of pairwise-disjoint unit spherical regions in $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho})$ each of

Received September 12, 1963. This paper represents part of a thesis submitted to the Senate of the Technion-Israel Institute of Technology in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science. The author wishes to thank Professor H. Hanani for his guidance in the preparation of this paper. Acknowledgement is also due to Dr. M. Reichaw (Reichbach) for his help.

¹ In this paper all mappings and functions are assumed to be continuous, and all coverings to be open. The qualifying adjectives are omitted accordingly.

which is mapped isometrically onto S by f?

In this paper we give a positive answer to this question for locallyconnected spaces (Part 1). If X is not locally-connected, it may happen that no metrics $\tilde{\rho}$ and ρ can be found, so that (A) will be satisfied (Example 2). But similar results are also valid in general spaces (not necessarily locally connected). (Theorem 3, Part 2)

Part 1. Covering spaces of locally-connected paracompact spaces. In this part we deal with paracompact uniform spaces. It contains Theorem 1, providing a solution to a problem analogous to the original one stated in the introduction for paracompact locallyconnected uniform spaces. From this theorem a solution to the original problem concerning locally-connected metric spaces is derived (Theorem 2).

LEMMA 1. Let (\tilde{X}, f) be a covering spaces of a locally-connected paracompact space X. There exists a covering \mathscr{V} of X having property (1) and satisfying the following condition:

(2) For every V and W of \mathcal{V} , each element of $\mathscr{F}(V)$ intersects at most one element of $\mathscr{F}(W)$.

Proof. Let \mathscr{U} be a covering of X by connected open sets having property (1). Let \mathscr{V} be a \mathscr{A} -refinement² of \mathscr{U} consisting of connected open sets. It is clear that \mathscr{V} has property (1). It has to be shown that (2) holds. In fact, suppose there exist elements V and W of \mathscr{V} , an element \widetilde{W} of $\mathscr{F}(W)$ and two distinct elements \widetilde{V}_1 and \widetilde{V}_2 of $\mathscr{F}(V)$ such that $\widetilde{V}_1 \cap \widetilde{W} \neq \phi$ and $\widetilde{V}_2 \cap \widetilde{W} \neq \phi$. Thus $V \cap W \neq \phi$ and since \mathscr{V} is a \mathscr{A} -refinements of \mathscr{U} , it follows that $V \cup W$ is contained in some element U of \mathscr{U} . The sets $\widetilde{V}_1, \widetilde{V}_2$ and \widetilde{W} , being homeomorphic with connected sets, are connected, and since $\widetilde{V}_1 \cap \widetilde{W}$ and $\widetilde{V}_2 \cap \widetilde{W}$ are not empty we have that the set $\widetilde{V}_1 \cup \widetilde{V}_2 \cup \widetilde{W} \subset f^{-1}[U]$, and since $f^{-1}[U]$ is a union of disjoint open sets, this set, being connected, is contained in one and only one element \widetilde{U} of $\mathscr{F}(\widetilde{U})$. Then, however, $f(\widetilde{V}_1) = f(\widetilde{V}_2)$ contradicts the fact that $f \mid \widetilde{U}$ is a homeomorphism.

THEOREM 1. Let (X, \mathscr{A}) be a locally-connected paracompact uniform space with \mathscr{A} as the maximal uniformity³, and \tilde{X} a Hausdorff space and f a mapping of \tilde{X} onto X.

² We recall that a Δ -refinement \mathcal{V} of a covering \mathcal{U} of space X is a covering of X having the property that the union of the elements of \mathcal{V} which contain a fixed element x of X is contained in some element of \mathcal{U} . For the proof of the existence of such refinement in paracompact spaces see [3].

⁸ I.e., the uniformity consisting of all neighbourhoods of the diagonal in $X \times X$. The equivalence of paracompactness and the existence of such uniformity is proved in [1].

Then (\tilde{X}, f) is a covering space of X if and only if and only if (3) There exist a symmetric open neighbourhood \tilde{C} of the diagonal in $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ and an open element C of \mathscr{A} such that:

(3a) For every $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$, $f(\tilde{C}[\tilde{x}]) = C[f(\tilde{x})]$.

(3b) If $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is the family consisting of all subsets of $\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X}$ of the form $\widetilde{C} \cap F^{-1}[A]$ for all $A \in \mathscr{A}$, where $F: \widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X} \to X \times X$ is defined by $F(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) = (f(\widetilde{x}), f(\widetilde{y}))$, then $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is a basis for a uniformity $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$ in \widetilde{X} inducing the given topology of \widetilde{X} .

Proof of necessity. Suppose that (\tilde{X}, f) is a covering space of X. By Lemma 1, we can choose a covering of X satisfying (1) and (2) and which by the paracompactness of X is an even covering. (See [2] p. 156). Then clearly we can find a symmetric open neighbourhood C of the diagonal in $X \times X$ such that the covering $\mathscr{V} = \{C[x] | x \in X\}$ satisfies (1) and (2).

Define \widetilde{C} to be the set of all pairs $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$ in $\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X}$ such that $F(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \in C$ and such that \widetilde{x} and \widetilde{y} are contained in the same element \widetilde{W} of $\mathscr{F}(C[f(\widetilde{x})])$. Hence, for each $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{X} \quad \widetilde{C}[\widetilde{x}]$ is the element of $\mathscr{F}(C[f(\widetilde{x})])$ containing \widetilde{x} .

We shall now show that \widetilde{C} is the required neighbourhood of the diagonal in $\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X}$. For this purpose we prove the following propositions:

(a) $\widetilde{C} = \widetilde{C}^{-1} = (\widetilde{C} \circ \widetilde{C}) \cap F^{-1}[C]$

(b) \widetilde{C} is an open neighbourhood of the diagonal in $\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X}$ satisfying (3a).

(c) The family $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}$, consisting of sets of the form $\widetilde{C} \cap F^{-1}[A]$ for all $A \in \mathscr{A}$ and $A \subset C$, is a basis for a uniformity $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$ of \widetilde{X} .

(d) The uniformity $\mathscr{\tilde{N}}$ of \widetilde{X} defined in (c) induces the topology of \widetilde{X} .

Proof of (a). Let (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) be an element of \tilde{C} . Thus, \tilde{y} and \tilde{x} are contained in the same element \tilde{W} of $\mathscr{F}(C[f(\tilde{x})])$. Let \tilde{V}_1 and \tilde{V}_2 be the two elements of $\mathscr{F}(C[f(\tilde{y})])$ containing \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} respectively. Hence $\tilde{V}_1 \cap \tilde{W}$ and $\tilde{V}_2 \cap \tilde{W}$ are not empty. By property (2) we must have $\tilde{V}_1 = \tilde{V}_2$. Thus, \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} are contained in the same element of $\mathscr{F}(C[f(\tilde{y})])$ which means that $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{x}) \in \tilde{C}$. Hence $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}^{-1}$. For proof of the second equality note that by $\tilde{C} \subset F^{-1}[C]$, we have $\tilde{C} \subset (\tilde{C} \circ \tilde{C}) \cap F^{-1}[C]$. On the other hand, $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in (\tilde{C} \circ \tilde{C}) \cap F^{-1}[C]$ implies that $f(\tilde{y}) \in C[f(\tilde{x})]$ and that there exists $\tilde{z}, \tilde{z} \in \tilde{X}$ such that (\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}) and (\tilde{z}, \tilde{y}) are in \tilde{C} . Thus, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} and \tilde{z} are contained in the same element \tilde{W} of $\mathscr{F}(C[f(\tilde{z})])$. Now, if \tilde{V}_1 and \tilde{V}_2 are the elements of $\mathscr{F}(C[f(\tilde{x})])$ containing \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} respectively, it follows by (2) that $\tilde{V}_1 = \tilde{V}_2$. Hence $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{C}$. It follows that $(\tilde{C} \circ \tilde{C}) \cap F^{-1}[C] \subset \tilde{C}$ which completes the proof of (a). Proof of (b). Let (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) be any element of \tilde{C} . There exist then open subsets V and W of X, such that $f(\tilde{x}) \in V$, $f(\tilde{y}) \in W$ and such that $V \times W \subset C$. Let \tilde{U} be the element of $\mathscr{F}(C[f(\tilde{x})])$ containing \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} . We put $\tilde{V} = \tilde{U} \cap f^{-1}[V]$ and $\tilde{W} = \tilde{U} \cap f^{-1}[W]$. It suffices to show that $\tilde{V} \times \tilde{W} \subset \tilde{C}$. But by (a) this last inclusion follows from the fact that

$$egin{aligned} \widetilde{V} imes \widetilde{W} &= (\widetilde{U} imes \widetilde{U})\cap [f^{-1}(V) imes f^{-1}(W)] \ &= \widetilde{U} imes \widetilde{U}\cap F^{-1}(V imes W) \subset (\widetilde{C}\circ \widetilde{C})\cap F^{-1}[C] = \widetilde{C} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \widetilde{C} is an open neighbourhood of the diagonal in $\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X}$, and by the definition of \widetilde{C} (3a) holds.

Proof of (c). Let \widetilde{A} be any element of \widetilde{C} ; then $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{C} \cap F^{-1}[A]$ for some A of \mathscr{M} , $A \subset C$. Since \mathscr{M} is a uniformity there exists an element B of \mathscr{M} , $B \subset C$ such that $B \circ B \subset A$. Let $\widetilde{B} = \widetilde{C} \cap F^{-1}[B]$. We have $\widetilde{B} \circ \widetilde{B} \subset (\widetilde{C} \circ \widetilde{C}) \cap F^{-1}[B \circ B] \subset (\widetilde{C} \circ \widetilde{C}) \cap F^{-1}[A]$. Since $A \subset C$, we have by (a) that

$$\widetilde{B} \circ \widetilde{B} \subset \widetilde{C} \cap F^{-1}[A] = \widetilde{A}$$

Thus, for every $\widetilde{A} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}$ there exists $\widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}$ such that $\widetilde{B} \circ \widetilde{B} \subset \widetilde{A}$, Simple calculations show that $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}$ has all other properties of uniformity and (c) holds.

Proof of (d). Let \widetilde{S} be any open neighbourhood of an arbitrary point \widetilde{x} of \widetilde{X} , and $\widetilde{T} = \widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{C}[\widetilde{x}]$. Being an open subset of $X, f(\widetilde{T})$ contains a set $A[f(\widetilde{x})]$ for some $A \in \mathscr{A}, A \subset C$. Putting $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{C} \cap F^{-1}[A]$ we now show that $\widetilde{A}[\widetilde{x}] \subset \widetilde{T} \subset \widetilde{S}$. In fact, let $g = f | \widetilde{C}[\widetilde{x}]$. We have $g(\widetilde{A}[\widetilde{x}]) = f(\widetilde{A}[\widetilde{x}]) \subset F(\widetilde{A})[f(\widetilde{x})] \subset A[f(\widetilde{x})] \subset f(\widetilde{T}) = g(\widetilde{T})$. Since g is a homeomorphism, it follows that $\widetilde{A}[\widetilde{x}] \subset \widetilde{T}$.

Finally, it is clear that for every $\widetilde{A} \in \widetilde{C}$ and every $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}$, $\widetilde{A}[\widetilde{x}]$ is a neighbourhood of \widetilde{x} , thus the uniformity $\widetilde{\mathscr{N}}$ with basis \widetilde{C} induces the topology of \widetilde{X} and (d) is proved.

To complete the proof of necessity of condition (3) we only have to note that the uniformity \mathscr{H} defined in (c) satisfies condition (3b).

Proof of sufficiency of condition (3). Let \mathscr{K} be the given uniformity of \tilde{X}, \tilde{C} the given neighbourhood of the diagonal of $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ and C the element of \mathscr{K} given in (3).

We have to show the existence of a covering \mathscr{V} of X having property (1). For this purpose we prove the following two properties:

(e) If $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{C}$, then $f(\tilde{x}) = f(\tilde{y})$ implies $\tilde{x} = \tilde{y}$. and

(f) For every $A \in \mathscr{M}$, $A \subset C$, let $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{C} \cap F^{-1}[A]$.

Then, for every $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{X} f(\widetilde{A}[\widetilde{x}]) = A[f(\widetilde{x})]$.

Proof of (e). Suppose $f(\tilde{x}) = f(\tilde{y})$. Hence $F(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ is in the diagonal of $X \times X$ and therefore, $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in F^{-1}[A]$ for every $A \in \mathscr{A}$. If $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{C}$ then (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) is contained in every element of a basis for a uniformity of \tilde{X} , and since \tilde{X} is a Hausdorff space we have $\tilde{x} = \tilde{y}$.

Proof of (f). By (3a) we have $F(\tilde{C}) = C$. Hence, $F(\tilde{A}) \subset F(\tilde{C}) \cap A = C \cap A = A$. It follows that $f(\tilde{A}[\tilde{x}]) \subset F(\tilde{A})[f(\tilde{x})] \subset A[f(\tilde{x})]$. On the other hand, if $y \in A[f(\tilde{x})]$ then $y \in C[f(\tilde{x})]$. Now by (3a) we have that $f(\tilde{C}[\tilde{x}]) = C[f(\tilde{x})]$; hence there exists $\tilde{y}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{C}[x] \cap f^{-1}[y]$. Thus $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{C} \cap F^{-1}[A] = \tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{A}[\tilde{x}]$. Hence $y \in f(\tilde{A}[\tilde{x}])$ and $f(A[\tilde{x}]) \supset A[f(\tilde{x})]$, which completes the proof of (f).

Now, let \widetilde{B} be a symmetric element of $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ (defined in 3b) satisfying $\widetilde{B} \circ \widetilde{B} \subset \widetilde{C}$. Thus, $\widetilde{B} = \widetilde{C} \cap F^{-1}[B]$ for some $B \subset C$, $B \in \mathscr{M}$ and we can assume that \widetilde{B} and B are open. By (e) $f | \widetilde{B}[\widetilde{x}]$ is one-to-one for each $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}$. By (f) f is an open mapping. From (e) it also follows that $\{\widetilde{B}[\widetilde{x}] \mid \widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}\}$ are disjoint. Thus, $f | \widetilde{B}[\widetilde{x}]$ is a homeomorphism. To complete the proof we still have to show that

(f') For every symmetric neighbourhood A of \mathscr{A} and for every $x \in X$, $\widetilde{A}[f^{-1}[x]] = f^{-1}(A[x])$ where $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{C} \cap F^{-1}[A]$.

Proof of (f'). By (f) $\widetilde{A}[\widetilde{x}] \subset f^{-1}(A[x])$ for every $\widetilde{x} \in f^{-1}(x)$. Hence, $\widetilde{A}[f^{-1}(x)] \subset f^{-1}(A[x])$.

On the other hand, since A and \widetilde{A} are symmetric, we have that $\widetilde{y} \in f^{-1}(A[x])$ implies $x \in A[f(\widetilde{y})]$. Therefore by (f), there exists $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}$ such that $f(\widetilde{x}) = x$ and $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \in \widetilde{A}$. Hence, $\widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{A}[\widetilde{x}] \subset \widetilde{A}[f^{-1}(x)]$ and we thus have $f^{-1}(A[x]) \subset \widetilde{A}[f^{-1}(x)]$, and (f') is proved. Since \widetilde{B} is symmetric we have by (f') that $f^{-1}(B[x]) = \bigcup_{\widetilde{x} \in f^{-1}[x]} \widetilde{B}[\widetilde{x}]$. It follows now that $f^{-1}(B[x])$ is a union of a family $\mathscr{F}(B[x]) = \{\widetilde{B}[\widetilde{x}] \mid \widetilde{x} \in f^{-1}(x)\}$ consisting of pairwise-disjoint open sets, each of which is mapped homeomorphically onto B[x] by f. Thus, $\mathscr{V} = \{B[x] \mid x \in X\}$ has property (1) and Theorem 1 is proved.

REMARK 1. Note that the fact of the uniformity \mathscr{A} of X in Theorem 1 being maximal has been used, in the proof of Theorem 1, only for stating that \mathscr{A} contains the neighbourhood C of the diagonal in $X \times X$ defined in (3). Thus, if the maximality of \mathscr{A} is replaced by the statement that the uniformity \mathscr{A} contains an open element C such that $\mathscr{H} = \{C[x] | x \in X\}$ has properties (1) and (2) the proof of Theorem 1 is still valid.

REMARK 2. Note that the only reason for X being locally connected is to ensure the existence of a covering of X having both properties (1) and (2); hence the restriction that X is locally connected may be replaced by the assumption that there exists a covering of X having properties (1) and (2).

We shall now use Theorem 1 to give a solution to the original problem concerning locally-connected metric spaces. For this purpose we require some additional lemmas.

LEMMA 2. Let X be a paracompact space and C a neighbourhood of $X \times X$. There exists a uniformity C of X which contains C, has a countable basis and whose members are neighbourhoods of the diagonal in $X \times X$.

Proof. It is known that in a paracompact space X, for every neighbourhood A of the diagonal in $X \times X$, there exists a symmetric neighbourhood of the diagonal B such that $B \circ B \subset A$ (see [2] p. 157). Now let $B_0 = C$ and, by induction, B_n the symmetric neighbourhood of the diagonal of $X \times X$ such that $B_n \circ B_n \subset B_{n-1}$ holds. The family $\{B_n\}$ $n = 1, 2, 3 \cdots$ is a basis for a uniformity C consisting of neighbourhoods of the diagonal, containing $C = B_0$ which has a countable basis and Lemma 2 is proved.

Further, one can easily prove the following

LEMMA 3. Let \mathscr{A} and \mathscr{B} be two uniformities in a set X. Then the family

 $\mathscr{D} = \{ D \mid D = A \cap B; A \in \mathscr{A}, B \in \mathscr{B} \}$

is again a uniformity in X.

LEMMA 4. Let X be a metrisable space, and C any neighbourhood of the diagonal in $X \times X$. There exists a uniformity \mathcal{D} of X, containing C, having a countable basis and inducing the topology of X.

Proof. Let \mathscr{M} be a uniformity of X containing C, having a countable basis and consisting of neighbourhoods of the diagonal in $X \times X$ (see lemma 2). Let \mathscr{B} be a uniformity of X having a countable basis and inducing the topology of X (any metric uniformity has such properties). By Lemma $3 \mathscr{D} = \{D \mid D = A \cap B; A \in \mathscr{M}, B \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is again a uniformity of X. Evidently \mathscr{D} has a countable basis and contains C. Finally, since the elements of \mathscr{D} are neighbourhoods of the diagonal in $X \times X$, and since each element of \mathscr{B} is one of \mathscr{D}, \mathscr{D} induces the given topology of X.

COROLLARY 1. Let X be a metrisable space and C a neighbourhood of the diagonal in $X \times X$. There exists a metric ρ of X inducing

the topology of X and such that

$$C \supset \{(x, y) \mid \rho(x, y) < 1\}$$
 .

Proof. By Lemma 4 there exists a uniformity \mathscr{D} of X, having a countable basis, containing C and inducing the topology of X. By a well known theorem (see [2] p. 186) there exists a metric $\tilde{\rho}$ of Xfor which \mathscr{D} is the metric uniformity. Thus, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $C \supset \{(x, y) \mid \tilde{\rho}(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$. The metric $\rho = \tilde{\rho}/\varepsilon$ is the required metric.

By Corollary 1, and since in a paracompact space each covering is even, we obtain:

COROLLARY 2. Let \mathcal{U} be a covering of a metrisable space X. There exists a metric ρ of X inducing the topology of X and such that the set of unit spherical regions in (X, ρ) refines \mathcal{U} .

THEOREM 2. Let (\tilde{X}, f) be a covering space of a metrisable locally connected space X. There then exist metrics $\tilde{\rho}$ in \tilde{X} and ρ in X, inducing the topologies of \tilde{X} and X respectively and such that the family S of unit sperical regions in (X, ρ) has the following property:

(A) for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $f^{-1}[S]$ is a union of a family $\mathcal{F}(S)$ consisting of pairwise-disjoint unit spherical regions in $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho})$ each of which is mapped isometrically onto S by f.

Proof. By Lemma 1, the proof of Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 there exists a metric ρ of X inducing the topology of X and such that the covering \mathscr{V} of X defined by $\mathscr{V} = \{C[x] \mid x \in X\}$ where $C = \{(x, y) \mid \rho(x, y) < 1\}$, has properties (1) and (2). We may assume that $\rho(x, y) \leq 1$ for all x and y in X.

Now, let \mathscr{A} be the metric uniformity of ρ . By Theorem 1 and Remark 1, there exists a uniformity \mathscr{A} of \widetilde{X} containing a symmetric open set \widetilde{C} such that \mathscr{A} , \widetilde{C} , \mathscr{A} and C satisfy (3). Exactly as in the proof of properties (a) and (e), in Theorem 1 it can be shown that Chas the same properties:

(a) $\widetilde{C} = \widetilde{C}^{-1} = (\widetilde{C} \circ \widetilde{C}) \cap F^{-1}[C]$ and

(e) if $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{C}$, then $f(\tilde{x}) = f(\tilde{y})$ implies $\tilde{x} = \tilde{y}$. Now let $\tilde{\rho}$ be defined on $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{
ho}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}) = egin{cases}
ho[f(\widetilde{x}),f(\widetilde{y})] & ext{ if } (\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y})\in\widetilde{C} \ 1 & ext{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\rho}$ is symmetric and that $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) = 0$. Moreover, if $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = 0$ then $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{C}$ and $f(\tilde{x}) = f(\tilde{y})$; hence by (e), $\tilde{x} = \tilde{y}$.

Thus, to prove that $\tilde{\rho}$ is a metric it remains to show that (g) $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \geq \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}).$

In the case of $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}) \in \tilde{C}$, or if (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) or (\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) are not in \tilde{C} , (g) obviously holds. If (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) and (\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) are both in \tilde{C} while (\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}) is not, by (a) it follows that $(f(\tilde{x}), f(\tilde{z})) = F(\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}) \notin C$ and therefore

$$\widetilde{
ho}(\widetilde{x},\,\widetilde{y})+\widetilde{
ho}(\widetilde{y},\,\widetilde{z})=
ho[f(\widetilde{x}),f(\widetilde{y})]+
ho[f(\widetilde{y}),f(\widetilde{z})]\geq
ho[f(\widetilde{x}),f(\widetilde{z})]\ =1=\widetilde{
ho}(\widetilde{x},\,\widetilde{z}), ext{ and } ext{(g) holds.}$$

Hence, $\tilde{\rho}$ is a metric, and one can see that \mathscr{A} is its metric uniformity. In fact, let \mathscr{B} be the family of all sets of the form $\{(x, y) \mid \rho(x, y) < \delta\}$ for some $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Since \mathscr{B} is a basis for \mathscr{A} , it follows by (3b) that

is a basis for \mathscr{A} . Now, for every $\widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}$ there exists δ , $0 < \delta \leq 1$ such that

 $\text{(h)} \quad \widetilde{B} = \widetilde{C} \cap F^{-1}\{(x,\,y) \,|\, \rho(x,\,y) < \delta\} = \{(\widetilde{x},\,\widetilde{y}) \,|\, \widetilde{\rho}(\widetilde{x},\,\widetilde{y}) < \delta\}$

Hence, \mathscr{N} is the metric uniformity of $\tilde{\rho}$.

Putting in (h) $\delta = 1$ it follows that $\{\widetilde{C}[\widetilde{x}] | \widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}\}$ is the set of unit spherical regions in \widetilde{X} and by the definition of \widetilde{C} (see Theorem 1) $\mathscr{F}(C[x]) = \{\widetilde{C}[\widetilde{x}] | \widetilde{x} \in f^{-1}(x)\}.$

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, it remains to show that for every $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$, $f \mid \tilde{C}[\tilde{x}]$ is an isometry. In fact, if \tilde{y} and $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{C}[\tilde{x}]$ then $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \in \tilde{C} \circ \tilde{C}$. Now, if $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \in \tilde{C}$ it follows by the definition of $\tilde{\rho}$ that $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = \rho[f(\tilde{y}), f(\tilde{z})]$. If $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \notin \tilde{C}$ we have by (a) $[f(\tilde{y}), f(\tilde{z})] \notin C$. Hence, $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = 1 = \rho[f(\tilde{y}), f(\tilde{z})]$ and $f \mid \tilde{C}[\tilde{x}]$ is an isometry.

REMARK 3. Note that the essential property of the metric ρ of X used in the proof of Theorem 2 is that the family of unit spherical regions in (X, ρ) satisfies (1) and (2). Thus, if (\tilde{X}, f) is a covering space of a metric space (X, ρ) such that the family \mathscr{S} of unit spherical regions of (X, ρ) has properties (1) and (2) it follows that there exists a metric $\tilde{\rho}$ of \tilde{X} such that \mathscr{S} satisfies (A).

Note also that if (\tilde{X}, f) is a cevering space of a compact locallyconnected metric space (X, ρ^*) then, by Lebesque's covering lemma (see [2] p. 154), the metric ρ of X can be obtained by multiplying ρ^* by a constant.

Part 2. Covering spaces of metrisable spaces which are not locally connected. In this part, the original problem for not necessarily locally connected spaces is considered and the following result is obtained: THEOREM 3. Let (\tilde{X}, f) be a covering space of a metrisable space X. There then exist metrics $\tilde{\rho}$ of \tilde{X} and ρ of X inducing the topologies of \tilde{X} and X respectively, such that the family S of unit spherical regions in (X, ρ) has the following property:

(A₁) for every $S \in S$, $f^{-1}[S]$ is a union of a family $\mathcal{F}(S)$ consisting of pairwise-disjoint open sets in $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho})$ each of which is mapped isometrically onto S.

The proof of Theorem 3 will be given later, after some remarks and Example 2 which, as we hope, explains the need for this theorem.

Comparing Theorem 3 with Theorem 2, we see that in this case it is not claimed that the elements of $\mathscr{F}(S)$, are spherical regions. Indeed, in Example 2 a covering space (\tilde{X}, f) of a metrisable non-locally connected space X is constructed in such a way that there do not exist metrics $\tilde{\rho}$ of \tilde{X} and ρ of X for which the family of unit spherical regions \mathscr{S} has property (A). For this purpose note that property (2) is not only a sufficient but a necessary condition for the validity of Theorem 2 (see Remark 2). In fact, if there exist metrics $\tilde{\rho}$ of \tilde{X} and ρ of X for which the family of unit spheres in (X, ρ) has property (A) then the family of spherical regions of radius 1/2 in (X, ρ) has property (2).

Thus, it suffices to construct a covering space (\tilde{X}, f) of a metrisable space X such that no covering of X has property (2). Such a covering space is constructed in the following

EXAMPLE 2. Let $\{g_n\}$ be the sequence defined by

$$g_0 = 1; g_1 = 2; g_n = 2 \prod_{k=2}^n (2^k - 2) \quad \text{for } n \ge 2 .$$

For each nonnegative integer n and for $m = 0, 1, \dots, g_n - 1$ let I(m, n) be the segment in E^2 defined by

$$I(m, n) = \{(x, y) | 2m \leq x \leq 2m + 1, y = n\}$$
.

Now let C denote the Cantor set in [0, 1]. We put

$$X = \{(x, y) \, | \, x \in C, \, 0 \leq y \leq 1\} \cup I(0, 0) \cup I(0, 1)$$
 .

To define \widetilde{X} note that for each integer n, C is contained in a union of 2^n disjoint segments of length $(1/3)^n$. We denote these segments by $D(n, k), k = 0, 1 \cdots 2^n - 1$ and it is clear that $C(n, k) = C \cap D(n, k)$ is homeomorphic with C and that

$$C(n, k) = C(n + 1, 2k) \cup C(n + 1, 2k + 1)$$
.

Now for each $\xi \in C$ and each two pairs (m_1, n_1) and (m_2, n_2) , where $n_1 \neq n_2$, $0 \leq m_i < g_{n_i}$, let $S(m_1, n_1; m_2, n_2; \xi)$ be the segment in E^2

having $\alpha_i = (2m_i + \xi, n_i)$ i = 1, 2 as end points. Finally, we put

 $P(m_1, n_1; m_2, n_2; n, k) = \bigcup \{S(m_1, n_1; m_2, n_2; \xi) | \xi \in C(n, k)\}$

and call such a set a path of width $(1/3)^n$ connecting $I(m_1, n_1)$ and $I(m_2, n_2)$. We shall call the points $(2m_i + \xi, n_i), \xi \in C(n, k), i = 1, 2$, the end points of the path. Now let

$$\widetilde{X}_0=I(0,\,0)$$

 $\widetilde{X}_1=I(0,\,0)\cup I(0,\,1)\cup I(1,\,1)\cup P(0,\,0;\,0,\,1;\,1,\,0)\cup P(0,\,0;\,1,\,1;\,1,\,1)$.

Suppose we have defined \widetilde{X}_q , $q \ge 1$, having the following properties (obviously holding for q = 1):

(i) $\widetilde{X}_q \supset \widetilde{X}_{q-1}$

(ii) $\widetilde{X}_q \supset \bigcup \{I(m, n) \mid 0 \leq m < g_n, 0 \leq n \leq q\}$

(iii) for each $n, 0 < n \leq q$, $0 \leq m < g_n, \tilde{X}_q$ contains one and only one path of width $(1/3)^n$ having end points in I(m, n). This path connects I(m, n) and a segment $I(m_1, n-1)$ for some $0 \leq m_1 < g_{n-1}$.

(vi) for each $0 \leq n < q$, every point $\alpha = (2m + \xi, n), 0 \leq m < g_n$, $\xi \in C$, is an end point of one and only one path in \widetilde{X}_q . This path is either the path of width $(1/3)^n$ indicated in (iii) connecting I(m, n) with $I(m_1, n-1)$ for some $0 \leq m_1 < g_{n-1}$ or of width $(1/3)^{n+1}$ connecting $I(m_2, n)$ and $I(m_2, n+1)$ for some $0 \leq m_2 < g_{n+1}$. Different paths connect I(m, n) with different segments.

(v) All the paths contained in \widetilde{X}_q are disjoint.

Thus, it follows that for each $m, 0 \leq m < g_q$, I(m, q) contains end points of one and only one path in \widetilde{X}_q , this path is of width $(1/3)^q$. Hence, for each $m, 0 \leq m < g_q$, there exist $2^{q+1}-2$ integers $0 \leq k(0, m) < k(1, m) < \cdots k(2^{q+1}-3, m) < 2^{q+1}$ such that if $\xi \in C(q + 1, k(r, m))$, $0 \leq r < 2^{q+1}-2$, then $(2m + \xi, q)$ is not an end point of a path contained in \widetilde{X}_q . We put

$$egin{aligned} \widetilde{X}_{q+1}' &= igcup \{ P(m,\,q;\,(2^{q+1}-2)m+r,\,q+1;\,q+1,\,k(r,\,m))\,|\,0\ &\leq r < 2^{q+1}-2,\,0 \leq m < g_q \} \end{aligned}$$

and let

 $\widetilde{X}_{q+1} = \widetilde{X}_q \cup \widetilde{X}'_{q+1} \cup \left[oldsymbol{U}\left\{I(m, q+1) \,|\, 0 \leq m < g_{q+1}
ight\}
ight]$.

It can be seen that conditions (i) to (v) hold. Finally, let $\tilde{X} = \bigcup_{q=0}^{\infty} \tilde{X}_q$. Then \tilde{X} satisfies

(i)' $\widetilde{X} \supset \bigcup \{I(m, n) \mid 0 \leq m < g_n, n = 0, 1, 2 \cdots \}$

(ii)' For each 0 < n and $0 \leq m < g_n$, \tilde{X} contains one and only one path of width $(1/3)^n$ which has end points in I(m, n). This path connects I(m, n) with a segment $I(m_1, n-1)$ for some $0 \leq m_1 < g_{n-1}$.

(iii)' Every point $\alpha = (2m + \xi, n), 0 \le m < g_n, \xi \in C$, is an end point of one and only one path [in \tilde{X} . This path is either the path

of width $(1/3)^n$ mentioned in (ii)' connecting I(m, n) with a segment $I(m_1, n-1)$ for some $0 \leq m < g_{n-1}$ or a segment of width $(1/3)^{n+1}$ which connects I(m, n) with some segment $I(m_2, n+1)$ for some $0 \leq m_2 < g_{n+1}$. Different paths connects I(m, n) with different segments.

(v)' All the paths in \tilde{X} are disjoint. Figure 1 illustrates the set \tilde{X}_2 .

In order to define $f, f: \tilde{X} \to X$, we first define it on $\bigcup \{I(m, n) \mid 0 \leq m < g_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. We put $f(2m + \xi, n) = (\xi, (1 + (-1)^n)/2)$ for $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$. Now we extend f linearly onto all of \tilde{X} , i.e. if α and β are end points of a segment in a path contained in \tilde{X} , we put $f(t\alpha + (1-t)\beta) = tf(\alpha) + (1-t)f(\beta), 0 \leq t \leq 1$. This mapping is continuous and maps \tilde{X} onto X. Moreover, if we put

$$egin{aligned} V_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} &= X \cap \left\{ (x,\,y) \,|\, y < rac{3}{4}
ight\} \ V_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} &= X \cap \left\{ (x,\,y) \,|\, y > rac{1}{4}
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

then $\mathscr{V}_0 = \{V_0, V_1\}$ satisfies (1). Indeed,

$$f^{-1}(V_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = \widetilde{X} \cap \left[igcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{(x,\,y)\,|\,2k-rac{3}{4} < y < 2k+rac{3}{4}
ight\}
ight]$$

and each component of $f^{-1}(V_0)$ contains one segment I(m, 2k) and the intersections of all paths in \tilde{X} which have end points in I(m, 2k) with the set $\{(x, y) | 2k - (3/4) < y < 2k + (3/4)\}$, and this set is homeomorphic under f with V_0 . Similar arguments hold for V_1 . Moreover, it can be shown that a covering \mathscr{V} of X satisfies (1) if and only if no element of \mathscr{V} intersects both I(0, 0) and I(0, 1).

We shall show now that no covering \mathscr{V} of X for which (1) holds Indeed, let \mathscr{V} be a covering of X for which (1) holds. satisfies (2). Without loss of generality we may assume that \mathscr{V} consists of intersections of open disks in E^2 with X. Let n_0 be such that $(1/3)^{n_0}$ is less than the Lebesque number of \mathscr{V} . Consider any segment $I(m_0, n_0)$, $0 \leq m_0 < g_{n_0}$, and let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be the two end points of $D(n_0, k(m_0, 0))$, then the paths in \widetilde{X} of which $\widetilde{lpha}_1=(2m_0+\xi_1,\,n_0)$ and $\widetilde{lpha}_2=(2m_0+\xi_2,\,n_0)$ are end points connect $I(m_0, n_0)$ with two different segments- $I(m_1, n_0 + 1)$ and $I(m_2, n_0 + 1)$. For each $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ the points (ξ_1, η) and (ξ_2, η) , are both contained in some element of \mathscr{V} . Let V_0 be an element of \mathscr{V} containing $\alpha_1 = (\xi_1, 0)$ and $\alpha_2 = (\xi_2, 0)$ and $V_1 \in \mathscr{V}$ containing $\beta_1 =$ $(\xi_1, 1)$ and $\beta_2 = (\xi_2, 1)$. Assuming that n_0 is even we have that $\widetilde{\alpha}_1 =$ $(2m_0 + \xi_1, n_0)$ and $\widetilde{lpha}_2 = (2m_0 + \xi_2, n_0)$ as points of \widetilde{X} lie in the same element \tilde{V}_0 of $\mathcal{F}(V_0)$. Indeed, since V_0 is connected the elements of $\mathcal{F}(V_0)$ are connected, and since V_0 contains no points of I(0, 1), the element \tilde{V}_0 of $\mathcal{F}(V_0)$ which contains $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ does not contain points of segments I(m, n) other than $I(m_0, n_0)$. Thus, the point of $f^{-1}(\alpha_2)$ which \tilde{V}_0 contains must be $\tilde{\alpha}_2$. By the same arguments $\tilde{\beta}_1 = (2m_1 + \xi_1, n_0 + 1)$ and $\tilde{\beta}_2 = (2m_2 + \xi_2, n_0 + 1)$ lie in different elements $\tilde{V}_1^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{V}_1^{(2)}$ of $\mathscr{F}(v_1)$. Therefore, there must exist two elements V and U of \mathscr{V} , containing the points (ξ_1, η) and (ξ_2, η) for some $0 < \eta \leq 1$, and two elements of $\mathscr{F}(U)$ intersect some element of $\mathscr{F}(V)$, (see Figure 2) and (2) does not hold.

Before proving Theorem 3 let us introduce the following notions: Let X be a topological space and \mathscr{W} a convering of X. We say that a finite subset F of X, $F = \{x_0, x_1, x_2 \cdots x_n\}$ is a chain in \mathscr{W} if, for every $i, i = 0, 1, 2 \cdots n - 1, x_i$ and x_{i+1} are both contained in some







Fig. 2

element W of \mathcal{W} . The \mathcal{W} -component of x in X is the set of all points y of X contained, together with x, in some chain in \mathcal{W} . It is clear that the \mathcal{W} -components are disjoint open subsets of X.

Proof of theorem 3. Let \mathscr{W}^* be a covering of X having property (1), and \mathscr{W} a locally finite covering of X consisting of open sets whose closures refine \mathscr{W}^* . Furthermore, let W be any element of \mathscr{W} and W^* an elements of \mathscr{W}^* such that $\overline{W} \subset W^*$. We put

$$\mathscr{F}(W)=\{\widetilde{W}\,|\,\widetilde{W}=\widetilde{W}^*\cap f^{-1}(W);\,\widetilde{W}^*\in\mathscr{F}(W)\}$$
 .

It follows that \mathscr{W} also satisfies (1), and since \mathscr{W} is locally-finite and for every $W \in \mathscr{W}$, $\mathscr{F}(W)$ is discrete—the covering $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}} = \bigcup_{W \in \mathscr{W}} \mathscr{F}(W)$ is locally finite.

Given any metric ρ in X, we shall show that ρ can be "lifted" into X, i.e. there exists a metric $\tilde{\rho}$ of \tilde{X} such that for every $W \in \mathscr{W}$ and every $\tilde{W} \in \mathscr{F}(W), f | \tilde{W}$ is an isometry between \tilde{W} and W.

We now define $\tilde{\rho}$ as follows:

If \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} are elements of \tilde{X} belonging to different $\tilde{\mathscr{W}}$ -components, we put $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = 1$. If \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} are in the same component, we put

$$\widetilde{
ho}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}) = \inf \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}
ho[f(\widetilde{x}_i),f(\widetilde{x}_{i+1})]$$

where the infinum is taken over all chains $\{\widetilde{x} = \widetilde{x}_0, \widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_n = \widetilde{y}\}$ in $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ connecting \widetilde{x} and \widetilde{y} . It is clear that $\widetilde{\rho}$ is pseudometric and that $\widetilde{\rho}(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \ge \rho[f(\widetilde{x}), f(\widetilde{y})]$. We shall now show that $\widetilde{\rho}$ has the following properties:

(k₁) For every $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ there exists an open neighbourhood $\tilde{T}(\tilde{x})$ such that $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{T}(\tilde{x}) \subset \tilde{W}$ for every \tilde{W} of $\tilde{\mathscr{W}}$ which contains \tilde{x} .

(k₂) There exists a positive real number $\delta(\tilde{x})$ such that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}(\widetilde{x},\,\delta(\widetilde{x}))=\{\widetilde{y}\,|\,\widetilde{
ho}(\widetilde{x},\,\widetilde{y})<\delta(\widetilde{x})\}\subset\widetilde{T}(\widetilde{x})$$
 .

Proof of (k_1) . Since $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ is locally finite, each \widetilde{x} in \widetilde{X} is contained in an open set $\widetilde{M}(\widetilde{x})$ intersecting only a finite number of elements of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$.

Let $\widetilde{T}(\widetilde{x})$ be the intersection over the family $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}(\widetilde{x})$ which consists of $\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}(\widetilde{x})$, of the elements of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ which contain \widetilde{x} and of the complements of the closures of elements of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ which do not contain \widetilde{x} in their closure. Then $\widetilde{T}(\widetilde{x})$ is an open neighbourhood of \widetilde{x} satisfying (k_1) . By the definition of $\widetilde{T}(\widetilde{x})$ it follows that

(1) if $\widetilde{W} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ then $\widetilde{W} \cap \widetilde{T}(\widetilde{x}) \neq \phi$ implies $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{W}$.

Proof of k_2 . Let $\delta(\tilde{x}), \delta(\tilde{x}) < 1$ be a positive number such that

 $S[f(\widetilde{x}), \delta(\widetilde{x})] = \{y \mid y \in X; \rho[f(\widetilde{x}), y] < \delta(\widetilde{x})\} \subset f(\widetilde{T}(\widetilde{x})).$ We shall show that (k_2) holds.

In fact, let \tilde{y} be any point such that $\tilde{y} \notin \tilde{T}(\tilde{x})$. If \tilde{y} does not belong to the $\tilde{\mathscr{W}}$ -component of \tilde{x} then $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = 1 > \delta(\tilde{x})$ and thus, $\tilde{y} \notin \tilde{S}(\tilde{x}, \delta(\tilde{x}))$. Therefore we may assume that \tilde{y} belongs to the $\tilde{\mathscr{W}}$ -component of \tilde{x} . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a chain $\{\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_1 \cdots \tilde{x}_n = \tilde{y}\}$ in $\tilde{\mathscr{W}}$ such that

$$\widetilde{
ho}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y})+arepsilon \geqq \sum\limits_{i=0}^{n-1}
ho[f(\widetilde{x}_i),f(\widetilde{x}_{i+1})]$$
 .

Let i_0 be the first subscript such that $\tilde{x}_{i_0+1} \notin \tilde{T}(\tilde{x})$. We shall show that $f(\tilde{x}_{i_0+1}) \notin f(\tilde{T}(\tilde{x}))$. Suppose to the contrary that $f(\tilde{x}_{i_0+1}) \in f(\tilde{T}(\tilde{x}))$. There then exists an element \tilde{z} of $\tilde{T}(\tilde{x})$ (and therefore $\tilde{z} \neq \tilde{x}_{i_0+1}$) and such that $f(\tilde{z}) = f(\tilde{x}_{i_0+1})$. By definition of a chain it follows that there exists a $\tilde{W}, \tilde{W} \in \tilde{\mathscr{W}}$, such that \tilde{x}_{i_0} and $\tilde{x}_{i_0+1} \in \tilde{W}$. Since $\tilde{x}_{i_0} \in \tilde{T}(\tilde{x})$, $\tilde{W} \cap \tilde{T}(\tilde{x})$ is not empty and therefore by (1) $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{W}$. On the other hand, if \tilde{W}_1 is the element of $\mathscr{F}[f(\tilde{W})]$ containing \tilde{z} , we have by $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{T}(\tilde{x})$ and by (1) that $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{W}_1$. Hence, $\tilde{W}_1 \cap \tilde{W} \neq \phi$ which contradicts the fact that $\mathscr{F}(f(\tilde{W}))$ is discrete. Thus, $f(\tilde{x}_{i_0+1}) \notin f(\tilde{T}(\tilde{x}))$ and therefore $\rho(f(\tilde{x}), f(\tilde{x}_{i_0+1})) \geq \delta(\tilde{x})$. Thus we have:

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{
ho}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y})+arepsilon&\geq\sum\limits_{i=0}^{n-1}
ho[f(\widetilde{x}_i),f(\widetilde{x}_{i+1})]&\geq\sum\limits_{i=0}^{i_0}
ho[f(\widetilde{x}_i),f(\widetilde{x}_{i+1})]\ &\geq
ho[f(\widetilde{x}),f(\widetilde{x}_{i_0+1})]\geq\delta(\widetilde{x})\;. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \ge \delta(\tilde{x}) - \varepsilon$, and thus $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \ge \delta(\tilde{x})$. It follows that $\tilde{S}[\tilde{x}, \delta(\tilde{x})] \subset \tilde{T}(\tilde{x})$ and (k_2) is proved.

Suppose now that $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = 0$. Then by $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \ge \rho(f(\tilde{x}), f(\tilde{y}))$, we have $\rho[f(\tilde{x}), f(\tilde{y})] = 0$, hence $f(\tilde{x}) = f(\tilde{y})$ and by (k_2) $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{T}(\tilde{x})$. Since by (k_1) $f \mid \tilde{T}(\tilde{x})$ is a homeomorphism, it follows that $\tilde{x} = \tilde{y}$ and therefore $\tilde{\rho}$ is a metric. Moreover, by the definition of $\tilde{\rho}$ we have that for each $\tilde{W} \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}, f \mid \tilde{W}$ is an an isometry. Therefore by (k_2) we have that for each $\eta < \delta(\tilde{x}), \tilde{x} \in \tilde{W} \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$

$$S(\widetilde{x},\eta) = \widetilde{W} \cap f^{-1}[S(f(\widetilde{x}),\eta)]$$

which implies that $\tilde{\rho}$ induces the topology of \tilde{X} .

Now if we take the metric ρ of X to be a metric having the property that the set \mathscr{S} of unit spherical region in (X, ρ) refines \mathscr{W} (see corollary 2) (A₁) holds and the proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

References

1. H. H. Corson, The determination of paracompactness by uniformity, Amer. J. Math., **80** (1958), 185-190.

2. J. L. Kelly, General Topology, New York, Van Nostrand, 1955.

3. A. H. Stone, Paracompact and product spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 54 (1948), 977-982.

TECHNION, ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

ROBERT OSSERMAN

Stanford University Stanford, California

M. G. ARSOVE University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington J. DUGUNDJI University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California

LOWELL J. PAIGE University of California Los Angeles 24, California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced), and on submission, must be accompanied by a separate author's résumé. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, L. J. Paige at the University of California, Los Angeles 24, California.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 14, No. 4 August, 1964

Thomas Kelman Boehme and Andrew Michael Bruckner, Functions with convex 1137 means 1137 Lutz Bungart, Boundary kernel functions for domains on complex manifolds 1151 L. Carlitz, Rings of arithmetic functions 1165 D. S. Carter, Uniqueness of a class of steady plane gravity flows 1173 Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Ochmke, Idempotent semigroups with 1187 Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, Measurable sets of measures 1211 Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables variables 1223 Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings 1237 Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected 1241 Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G ₈ sets 1245 Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula 1249 Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in C (X) 1255 John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing 1265 G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral 1297 Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order 1297 Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order 1297 <tr< th=""><th>Homer Franklin Bechtell, Jr., <i>Pseudo-Frattini subgroups</i></th><th>1129</th></tr<>	Homer Franklin Bechtell, Jr., <i>Pseudo-Frattini subgroups</i>	1129
means1137Lutz Bungart, Boundary kernel functions for domains on complex manifolds1151L. Carlitz, Rings of arithmetic functions1165D. S. Carter, Uniqueness of a class of steady plane gravity flows1173Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Ochmke, Idempotent semigroups with distributive right congruence lattices1187Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, Measurable sets of measures1211Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables1233Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings1237Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_5 sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas at first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Reina spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on 8* algebras1359P. Nashall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity	Thomas Kelman Boehme and Andrew Michael Bruckner, <i>Functions with convex</i>	
Lutz Bungart, Boundary kernel functions for domains on complex manifolds1151L. Carlitz, Rings of arithmetic functions1165D. S. Carter, Uniqueness of a class of steady plane gravity flows1173Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Oehmke, Idempotent semigroups with distributive right congruence lattices1187Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, Measurable sets of measures1211Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables1223Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings1237Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_5 sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1283Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quadi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B^* algebras1359J. Marsha	means	1137
L. Carlitz, Rings of arithmetic functions1165D. S. Carter, Uniqueness of a class of steady plane gravity flows1173Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Ochmke, Idempotent semigroups with distributive right congruence lattices1187Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, Measurable sets of measures1211Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables1223Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings1237Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_5 sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in C (X)1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1283Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first ander predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353J. D. McKnight, Meeneralization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A no	Lutz Bungart, Boundary kernel functions for domains on complex manifolds	1151
D. S. Carter, Uniqueness of a class of steady plane gravity flows1173Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Oehmke, Idempotent semigroups with distributive right congruence lattices1187Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, Measurable sets of measures1211Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables1223Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings1237Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_{δ} sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formutas of first onder predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quast-Deano spaces1327Joharshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Pilip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	L. Carlitz, <i>Rings of arithmetic functions</i>	1165
Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Oehmke, Idempotent semigroups with distributive right congruence lattices1187Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, Measurable sets of measures1211Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables1223Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings1237Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_5 sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formutas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1355Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	D. S. Carter, Uniqueness of a class of steady plane gravity flows	1173
distributive right congruence lattices1187Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, Measurable sets of measures1211Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables1223Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings1237Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_{δ} sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first onler predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1395P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra1399<	Richard Albert Dean and Robert Harvey Oehmke, Idempotent semigroups with	
Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, Measurable sets of measures1211Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables1223Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings1237Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_{δ} sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1283Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1337Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	distributive right congruence lattices	1187
Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four variables.1223Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings1237Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_5 sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1283Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1305	Lester Eli Dubins and David Amiel Freedman, <i>Measurable sets of measures</i>	1211
variables1223Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings1237Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_{δ} sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1283Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formutas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quast-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1395P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra1395Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	Robert Pertsch Gilbert, On class of elliptic partial differential equations in four	
Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings1237Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_{δ} sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1266G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1283Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formutas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quast-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1395P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra1395Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	variables	1223
Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_5 sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1266G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1283Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1309	Harry Gonshor, On abstract affine near-rings	1237
continua1241Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_{δ} sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1283Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1340	Edward Everett Grace, Cut points in totally non-semi-locally-connected	
Edward Everett Grace, On local properties and G_{δ} sets1245Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula1249Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in $C(X)$ 1255John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing1265G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems1283Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	continua	1241
Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula 1249 Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in C(X) 1255 John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing 1265 G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems 1283 Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B _n 1283 Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B _n 1297 Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus 1305 Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions 1321 Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces 1327 Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold 1335 J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems 1343 Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups 1359 J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity 1367 Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency 1381 Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product 1389 K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares 1395 P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra 1399 Johanan Schonheim, On coverings 1405 </td <td>Edward Everett Grace, <i>On local properties and</i> G_{δ} <i>sets</i></td> <td>1245</td>	Edward Everett Grace, <i>On local properties and</i> G_{δ} <i>sets</i>	1245
Lowell A. Hinrichs, Open ideals in C(X) 1255 John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing 1265 G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems 1283 Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B _n 1297 Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus 1305 Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions 1321 Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces 1327 Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold 1335 J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems 1343 Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups 1359 J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity 1367 Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency 1381 Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product 1389 K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares 1395 P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra 1399 Johanan Schonheim, On coverings 1405	Keith A. Hardie, A proof of the Nakaoka-Toda formula	1249
John Rolfe Isbell, Natural sums and abelianizing 1265 G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems 1283 Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297 Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus 1305 Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions 1321 Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces 1327 Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold 1335 J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems 1343 Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups 1359 J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity 1367 Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency 1381 Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product 1389 K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares 1395 P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra 1399 Johanan Schonheim, On coverings 1405	Lowell A. Hinrichs, <i>Open ideals in</i> $C(X)$	1255
 G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral problems	John Rolfe Isbell, <i>Natural sums and abelianizing</i>	1265
problems1283Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n 1297Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	G. W. Kimble, A characterization of extremals for general multiple integral	
Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number B_n	problems	1283
Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1395P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	Nand Kishore, A representation of the Bernoulli number $B_n \dots$	1297
predicate calculus1305Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	Melven Robert Krom, A decision procedure for a class of formulas of first order	
Peter A. Lappan, Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions1321Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	predicate calculus	1305
Lorraine Doris Lavallee, Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces1327Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1395P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	Peter A. Lappan, <i>Identity and uniqueness theorems for automorphic functions</i>	1321
Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold1335J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1395P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	Lorraine Doris Lavallee, <i>Mosaics of metric continua and of quasi-Peano spaces</i>	1327
J. D. McKnight, Kleene quotient theorems1343Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1395P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	Mark Mahowald, On the normal bundle of a manifold	1335
Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups1353Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1395P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	J. D. McKnight, <i>Kleene quotient theorems</i>	1343
Philip Miles, Derivations on B* algebras1359J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity1367Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency1381Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product1389K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares1395P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra1399Johanan Schonheim, On coverings1405	Charles Kimbrough Megibben, III, On high subgroups	1353
J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity 1367 Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency 1381 Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product 1389 K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares 1395 P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra 1399 Johanan Schonheim, On coverings 1405	Philip Miles, <i>Derivations on B* algebras</i>	1359
Theodore G. Ostrom, Nets with critical deficiency 1381 Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product 1389 K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares 1395 P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra 1399 Johanan Schonheim, On coverings 1405	J. Marshall Osborn, A generalization of power-associativity	1367
Elvira Rapaport Strasser, On the defining relations of a free product 1389 K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares 1395 P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra 1399 Johanan Schonheim, On coverings 1405	Theodore G. Ostrom, <i>Nets with critical deficiency</i>	1381
K. Rogers, A note on orthoganal Latin squares 1395 P. P. Saworotnow, On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra 1399 Johanan Schonheim, On coverings 1405	Elvira Rapaport Strasser. On the defining relations of a free product	1389
P. P. Saworotnow, <i>On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra</i> 1399 Johanan Schonheim, <i>On coverings</i>	K. Rogers. A note on orthoganal Latin squares	1395
Johanan Schonheim, <i>On coverings</i>	P. P. Saworotnow. <i>On continuity of multiplication in a complemented algebra</i>	1399
	Johanan Schonheim. <i>On coverings</i>	1405
Victor Lenard Shapiro, <i>Bounded generalized analytic functions on the torus</i> 1413	Victor Lenard Shapiro. <i>Bounded generalized analytic functions on the torus</i>	1413
Iames D. Stafney. Arens multiplication and convolution 1423	James D. Stafney. Arens multiplication and convolution	1423
Daniel Sterling Coverings of algebraic groups and Lie algebras of classical	Daniel Sterling Coverings of algebraic groups and Lie algebras of classical	1120
type	type	1449
Alfred B. Willcox, <i>Šilov type C algebras over a connected locally compact abelian</i>	Alfred B. Willcox, <i>Šilov type C algebras over a connected locally compact abelian</i>	
group. II	group. II.	1463
Bertram Yood, <i>Faithful</i> *-representations of normed algebras. II	Bertram Yood, Faithful *-representations of normed algebras. II	1475
Alexander Zabrodsky, <i>Covering spaces of paracompact spaces</i>	Alexander Zabrodsky, <i>Covering spaces of paracompact spaces</i>	1489