Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CHEBYSHEV APPROXIMATION TO ZERO

JAMES MCLEAN SLOSS

Vol. 15, No. 1

CHEBYSHEV APPROXIMATION TO ZERO

JAMES M. SLOSS

In this paper we shall be concerned with the questions of existence, uniqueness and constructability of those polynomials in k+1 variables (x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_k,y) of degree not greater than n_s in x_s and m in y which best approximate zero on $I_1\times I_2\times\cdots\times I_{k+1}, I_s=[-1,1]$, in the Chebyshev sense

It is a classic result that among all monic polynomials of degree not greater than n there is a unique polynomial whose maximum over the interval [-1,1] is less than the maximum over [-1,1] of any other polynomial of the same type and moreover it is given by $\tilde{T}_n(x) = 2^{1-n} \cos[n \text{ are } \cos x]$, the normalized Chebyshev polynomial.

Our method of attack will be to prove a generalization of an inequality for monic polynomials in one variable concerning the lower bound of the maximum viz. $\max_{-1 \le x \le 1} |P_n(x)| \ge 2^{1-n}$ where $P_n(x)$ is a monic polynomial of degree not greater than n. The theorem will show that the only hope for uniqueness is to normalize our class of polynomials. This is done in a very natural way viz. by considering only polynomials, if they exist, of the form:

$$(0.1) \qquad P(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k, y) = A_m(x_1, \cdots, x_k)y^m \ + A_{m-1}(\cdots)y^{m-1} + \cdots + A_0(\cdots)$$

for which $A_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is the best polynomial approximation to zero on $I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_k$. Thus if k = 1, we consider only polynomials of the form:

$$(0.2) P(x_1, y) = \tilde{T}_n(x_1)y^m + A_{m-1}(x_1)y^{m-1} + \cdots + A_0(x_1).$$

We find in the case of (0.2) that there is a unique best polynomial approximation and it is given by $\widetilde{T}_n(x_1)\widetilde{T}_m(y)$. Thus we can consider the question of existence, uniqueness and constructability of a polynomial of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (0.3) & P(x_1, x_2, y) = \ \widetilde{T}_{n_1}(x_1) \, \widetilde{T}_{n_2}(x_2) y^m \\ & + A_{m-1}(x_1, x_2) y^{m-1} + \cdots + A_0(x_1, x_2) \end{array}$$

that best approximates zero. We find in this case there is a unique best polynomial approximation and it is given by $\widetilde{T}_{n_1}(x_1)\widetilde{T}_{n_2}(x_2)\widetilde{T}_m(y)$. Continuing in this way we shall show that the question is meaning-

Received December 18, 1963.

ful in general and that there is a unique best polynomial approximation to zero of the form (0.1) given by $\widetilde{T}_{n_1}(x_1)\widetilde{T}_{n_2}(x_2)\cdots\widetilde{T}_{n_k}(x_k)\widetilde{T}_m(y)$.

The uniqueness and constructability are the most surprising results, since as Buck [1] has shown, F(x, y) = xy has amongst those polynomials of the form

$$p(x, y) = a_0 + a_1(x + y) + a_2(x^2 + y^2)$$

infinitely many polynomials of best approximation which are given by:

$$\alpha f_1 + \beta f_2$$
 , $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$

where

$$f_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) - \frac{1}{4}$$
,

$$f_2(x, y) = x + y - \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) - \frac{1}{4}$$
.

We shall finally normalize the polynomials in a different way and show by construction, the existence of a polynomial, of best approximation in this class. However in this case the question of uniqueness remains open.

1. Notation. Let n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k be positive fixed integers. Let σ be the finite set of vectors $\{(x_{1j_1}, x_{2j_2}, \dots, x_{kj_k})\}$, where j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k are integers with $0 \leq j_1 \leq n_1, 0 \leq j_2 \leq n_2, \dots, 0 \leq j_k \leq n_k$; and where also $-1 \leq x_{1j_1} \leq 1, -1 \leq x_{2j_2} \leq 1, \dots, -1 \leq x_{kj_k} \leq 1$ and no two of the x_{1j_1} are the same, no two of the x_{2j_2} are the same, \dots , no two of the x_{kj_k} are the same. Let $Q(x, y) = Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y)$ be any polynomial in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k and y of degree $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + m - 1$ where $x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k + x_k + \dots + x_k +$

$$Q(x, y) = p_m(x)y^m + p_{m-1}(x)y^{m-1} + \cdots + p_0(x)$$

where $p_m(x)$ is a polynomial in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k of

$$degree \leq n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k - 1$$

and $p_s(x)$, $0 \le s \le m-1$, are polynomials of degree $\le n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$ in x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k . Let

$$A[p_{\scriptscriptstyle{m}};\pi,\sigma] = \min_{\scriptscriptstyle{x \text{ in }\sigma}} \mid x_1^{n_1} x_1^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} - p_{\scriptscriptstyle{m}}(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_k) \mid$$

which does not depend on the particular Q, but only on the class π and the leading coefficient polynomial of y.

THEOREM 1. If Q(x, y) is any polynomial in π and if σ is any set of the type described above then

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \leq x_k \leq 1 \\ -1 \leq y \leq 1}} |x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} y^m - Q(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k, y)| \geq A[p_m; \pi, \sigma] 2^{1-m}.$$

Proof. Assume not. Then there exists a $Q^*(x, y)$ in π and a set σ of the type described such that:

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \leq x_{\delta} \leq 1 \ -1 \leq y \leq 1}} |x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} y^m - Q^*(x,y)| < A[p_m;\pi,\sigma] 2^{1-m}$$

consider the polynomial:

$$P(x, y) = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} y^m$$

- $Q^*(x, y) - [x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} - p_m(x)] \widetilde{T}_m(y)$

where $p_m(x)$ is the coefficient of y^m in $Q^*(x, y)$ and where

$$(1)$$
 $\widetilde{T}_m(y) = 2^{1-m}T_m(y) = 2^{1-m}\cos[m \operatorname{arc} \cos y]$.

Then P(x, y) is a polynomial of degree $\leq m - 1$ in y and thus can be written:

$$P(x, y) = q_{m-1}(x)y^{m-1} + q_{m-2}(x)y^{m-2} + \cdots + q_0(x)$$

where $q_s(x)$, $0 \le s \le m-1$, are polynomials in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k of degree $\le n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$.

Let $(x_{1i_1}, x_{2i_2}, \dots, x_{ki_k})$ belong to σ and y_r be one of the points

$$y_r = \cos rac{r\pi}{m}$$
 , $0 \le r \le m$, $r = ext{integer}$.

Then $\widetilde{T}_m(y_r)=(-1)^r2^{1-m}$ and we can show that the sign of

$$P[x_{1j_1}, x_{2j_2}, \cdots, x_{kj_k}, y_r]$$

is the same as the sign of $-[x_{1j_1}^{n_1}\cdots x_{kj_k}^{n_k}-p_m(y_{1j_1},\cdots,x_{kj_k})]$. $\widetilde{T}_m(y_r)$. To see this note that:

$$egin{aligned} \mid \widetilde{T}_{\mathit{m}}(y_{\mathit{r}}) \mid \mid x_{1j_{1}}^{n_{1}} \cdots x_{kj_{k}}^{n_{k}} - p_{\mathit{m}}(x_{1j_{1}}, \cdots, x_{kj_{k}}) \mid \\ &= \mid x_{1j_{1}}^{n_{1}} \cdots x_{kj_{k}}^{n_{k}} - p_{\mathit{m}}(x_{1j_{1}}, \cdots, x_{1j_{k}}) \mid 2^{1-m} \\ &\geq A[p_{\mathit{m}}; \pi, \sigma] 2^{1-m} \ . \end{aligned}$$

But by the assumption

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \le x_0 \le 1 \ -1 \le y \le 1}} |x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_k^{n_k} y^m - Q^*(x,y)| < A[p_m;\pi,\sigma] 2^{1-m}$$

and thus a fortiori

$$||x_{1j_1}^{n_1}\cdots x_{kj_k}^{n_k}y_r^m-Q^*(x_{1j_1},\cdots,x_{kj_k},y_r)| < A[p_m;\pi,\sigma]2^{1-m}$$
 .

If we fix x in σ then P(x,y) is a polynomial of the one variable y and of degree $\leq m-1$. And as y takes on the values $y_r=\cos{(\pi r/m)}$, P(x,y) changes sign m+1 times. Thus P(x,y) has m zeros, which means $q_{m-1}(x)=0$, $q_{m-2}(x)=0$, \cdots , $q_0(x)=0$ since P(x,y) is only of degree $\leq m-1$.

Since x was an arbitrary point of σ , then

$$q_s[x_{1j_1}, x_{2j_2}, \, \cdots, \, x_{kj_k}] = 0$$
 , $0 \leq s \leq m-1$

where $0 \le j_1 \le n_1$, $0 \le j_2 \le n_2$, ..., $0 \le j_k \le n_k$. But $q_s(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\le n_1$ in x_1 , of degree $\le n_2$ in x_2 , ..., of degree $\le n_k$ in x_k and thus

$$q_s[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k] \equiv 0$$
, $0 \leq s \leq m-1$.

From which we see $P(x, y) \equiv 0$ and thus:

$$[x_1^{n_1}\cdots x_k^{n_k}y^m-Q^*(x,y)\equiv [x_1^{n_1}\cdots x_k^{n_k}-p_m(x)]\widetilde{T}_m(y)$$
 .

But clearly:

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \leq x \leq 1 \\ -1 \leq y \leq 1}} |x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_k^{n_k} - p_{\scriptscriptstyle m}(x)| \mid \widetilde{T}(y)| \geq A[p_{\scriptscriptstyle m}; \pi, \sigma] 2^{1-m}$$

which is a contradiction and thus the theorem is proved.

Let us now consider the subset of polynomials π_0 of π for which Q(x, y) belongs to π and $p_m(x) = 0$. Then by the above theorem, a lower bound for the maximum is

$$A[0;\pi,\sigma] = \min_{x \text{ in } \sigma} |\, x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle n_1} \cdots x_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\scriptscriptstyle n_k} | < 1$$

which clearly depends on the set σ . We shall next show that for this subset π_0 , we get a lower bound for the maximum that is independent of σ and moreover the lower bound is larger than $A[0; \pi, \sigma]$ for all σ , namely it is unity. In the third theorem we shall show that unity is the best possible lower bound i.e. there is a polynomial in π_0 for which the maximum is 2^{1-m} .

Theorem 2. Let Q(x, y) be any polynomial in π_0 , then

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \leq x_{S} \leq 1 \ -1 \leq y \leq 1}} |x_{1}^{n_{1}}x_{2}^{n_{2}} \cdots x_{k}^{n_{k}}y^{m} - Q(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{k}, y| \geq 2^{1-m} \;.$$

Proof. By contradiction. Assume there exists a $Q(x_1, \dots, x_k, y)$ in π_0 such that:

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \le x_s \le 1 \ -1 \le y_s \le 1}} |x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} y^m - Q(x_1, \cdots, x_k, y)| < 2^{1-m}$$
 .

Then there exist δ_s 's, $1 \le s \le k$, $1 > \delta_s > 0$ such that:

$$\max_{\substack{-1\leq x_s\leq 1\-1\leq y\leq 1}}|x_1^{n_1}\cdots x_k^{n_k}y^m-Q(x_1,\cdots,x_k,y)|< 2^{1-m}\prod_{s=1}^k\delta_s^{n_s}$$
 .

Let $\widetilde{T}_m(y)$ be given by (1) and consider the polynomial

$$P(x_1,\cdots,x_k,y)\equiv x_1^{n_1}\cdots x_k^{n_k}y^m-Q(x_1,\cdots,x_k,y)-x_1^{n_1}\cdots x_k^{n_k}\widetilde{T}_m(y)$$
 .

 $P(x_1,\cdots,x_k,y)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$ in y and of degree $\leq n_s$ in x_s $1 \leq s \leq k$.

Let $\sigma^* = \{(x_{1j_1}, x_{2j_2}, \dots, x_{kj_k})\}$ where j_1, \dots, j_k are integers with

$$egin{aligned} 0 & \leq j_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \leq n_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} + 1, \, 0 \leq j_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \leq n_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} + 1, \, \cdots, \, 0 \leq j_{\scriptscriptstyle k} \leq n_{\scriptscriptstyle k} + 1; \ \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} < x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1j_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} \leq 1, \, \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} < x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2j_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \leq 1, \, \cdots, \, \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle k} < x_{\scriptscriptstyle kj_{\scriptscriptstyle k}} \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and the x_{ij_1} are distinct, \cdots , the x_{kj_k} are distinct.

Note that for x in σ^* , the sign of $P(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{kj_k}, y)$ is the same as the sign of $-x_{1j_1}^{n_1} \cdots x_{kn_k}^{n_k} \widetilde{T}_m(y_r)$ for $y_r = \cos(r\pi/m), r = 0, 1, \dots, m$. This follows from the fact that:

$$||x_{1j_1}^{n_1}\cdots x_{kj_k}^{n_k}y_r^m-Q(x_1,\,\cdots,\,x_k,\,y_r)|<2^{1-m}\prod\limits_{s=1}^k\delta_s^{n_s}$$

and the fact that:

$$||x_{1j_1}^{n_1}\cdots x_{kj_k}^{n_k}\widetilde{T}_{{m}}(y_r)|=2^{1-m}\prod\limits_{s=1}^k x_{sj_s}^{n_s}>2^{1-m}\prod\limits_{s=1}^k \delta_s^{n_s}$$
 .

Thus we conclude that $P(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{kj_k}, y)$ has m+1 sign changes for $(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{kj_k})$ in σ^* . Let us write

$$P(x, y) = p_{m-1}(x)y^{m-1} + p_{m-2}(x)y^{m-2} + \cdots + p_0(x)$$

where $p_s(x)$, $0 \le s \le m-1$, are polynomials of degree $\le n_s$ in x_s , $0 \le s \le k$. For each x in σ^* , P(x,y) has m+1 sign changes and thus $p_{m-1}(x)=0$, $p_{m-2}(x)=0$, \cdots , $p_0(x)=0$ for each x in σ^* . If for $(x_{1j_1},x_{2j_2},\cdots,x_{kj_k})$ in σ^* , we fix all but the first component, we get n_1+2 values in σ^* for which $p_s(x)=0$, $0 \le s \le m-1$, but these $p_s(x)$ are of degree $\le n_1$ in x_1 and thus $p_s(x_1,x_{2j_2},x_{3j_3},\cdots,x_{kj_k})=0$ for all real x_1 . Continuing in this way, we see that $p_s(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_k)\equiv 0$ for all (x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_k) , x_s real. Thus:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y) \equiv 0$$

for all real x_s and real y. Thus

$$x_1^{n_1}\cdots x_k^{n_k}\widetilde{T}_m(y)\equiv x_1^{n_1}\cdots x_k^{n_k}y^m-Q(x_1,\cdots,x_k,y)$$
.

But

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \leq x_k \leq 1 \ -1 \leq y_k \leq 1}} |x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_k^{n_k} \widetilde{T}_m(y)| = 2^{1-m}$$

which gives a contradiction and the theorem is proved.

2. Normalization of competing polynomials and construction of the best polynomial. We shall now consider a subset $\pi(\beta)$ of the set of polynomials π . We shall then answer the question of existence, uniqueness and constructability of the best polynomial approximation in the maximum norm to zero within this class $\pi(\beta)$ on the cube

$$-1 \le x_1 \le 1, \dots, -1 \le x_k \le 1, -1 \le y \le 1$$
.

It is apparent from Theorem 1, that if we want uniqueness independent of σ , it is necessary to consider some subset of π .

DEFINITION. A polynomial

$$egin{aligned} Q(x,\,y) &= \, p_{_m}(x_1,\,x_2,\,\cdots,\,x_k) y^m \ &+ \, p_{_{m-1}}(x_1,\,x_2,\,\cdots,\,x_k) y^{m-1} \,+\,\cdots\,+\,p_{_0}(x_1,\,x_2,\,\cdots,\,x_k) \end{aligned}$$

which is in π and for which

$$x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}\cdots x_k^{n_k}-p_m(x_1,x_2\cdots x_k)=\widetilde{T}_{n_1}(x_1)\widetilde{T}_{n_2}(x_2)\cdots \widetilde{T}_{n_k}(x_k)$$

is said to be in $\pi(\beta)$.

LEMMA. Let q(y) be a polynomial in y, let $y_0 > y_1 > \cdots > y_m$ be any set of real numbers for which

$$q(y_0) \leq 0, q(y_1) \geq 0, q(y_2) \leq 0, \cdots (-1)^m q(y_m) \leq 0$$
.

Then q(y) has m zeros including multiplicities on $[y_0, y_m]$.

Proof. (by induction): For m=1 obvious. Assume theorem to be true for $m \le k$. Let $y_0 > y_1 > y_2 > \cdots > y_{k+1}$ be any set of real numbers such that

$$q(y_0) \leq 0, q(y_1) \geq 0, \cdots (-1)^k q(y_k) \leq 0, (-1)^{k+1} q(y_{k+1}) \leq 0$$
.

Case 1. $q(y_s) \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq s \leq k$. Then by the induction hypothesis q(y) has s zeros on $[y_s, y_{k+1}]$. But $q(y_s) \neq 0$ thus q(y) has s zeros on $y_0 \leq y \leq y_s$ and thus q(y) has s + (k+1-s) = k+1 zeros on $y_0 \leq y \leq y_s$ and thus

Case 2. $q(y_0) < 0$. Then unless $q(y_s) = 0$ for $1 \le s \le k$ we are in Case 1 and we are finished. Therefore, assume $q(y_s) = 0$, $1 \le s \le k$.

We may as well assume q(y) < 0 on (y_0, y_1) since if not then q(y) has a zero there because $q(y_0) < 0$, and we are finished. Also, we may as well assume q(y) > 0 on (y_1, y_2) since if not and q(y) has no zeros on (y_1, y_2) (if does have a zero then we are finished) then since $q(y_0) < 0$ and $q(y_1) = 0$, we must have that q(y) has 2 zeros in (y_0, y_2) , continuing in this way we see that we may as well assume that $(-1)^s q(y) < 0$ on (y_s, y_{s+1}) for $0 \le s \le k$. In particular $(-1)^k q(y) < 0$ for y on (y_k, y_{k+1}) . But by assumption $(-1)^{k+1} q(y_{k+1}) \le 0$. Thus by the continuity of q(y), we have $q(y_{k+1}) = 0$ and $q(y_s) = 0$ for $1 \le s \le k+1$ i.e. q(y) has k+1 zeros on $[y_0, y_{k+1}]$.

Case 3. $q(y_0) = 0$ proof is obvious making use of Case 1.

THEOREM 3. There exists a unique $Q^*(x, y)$ in $\pi(\beta)$ such that

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \le x \le 1 \\ -1 \le y \le 1}} |x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} y^m - Q^*(x, y)|$$

is a minimum. Moreover:

$$Q^*(x, y) = -\widetilde{T}_{n_1}(x_1)\widetilde{T}_{n_2}(x_2)\cdots \widetilde{T}_{n_k}(x_k)\widetilde{T}_m(y) + x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}\cdots x_k^{n_k}y^m$$
 .

Proof. Existence by construction. Let the σ of Theorem 1 be the special set of vectors

$$\sigma(\beta) = \{(x_{1j_1}, x_{2j_2}, \cdots, x_{kj_k})\}$$

where

$$egin{align} x_{1j_1} &= \cos{(j_1\pi/n_1)}, \, x_{2j_2}, \, \cdots, \, x_{kj_k} &= \cos{(j_k\pi/n_k)} \ 0 &\leq j_1 \leq n_1, \, 0 \leq j_2 \leq n_2, \, \cdots, \, 0 \leq j_k \leq n_k \; . \end{split}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} A[p_m, \, \pi(\beta), \, \sigma(\beta)] &= \min_{\substack{x \text{ in } \sigma(\beta)}} | \, x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} - p_m(x_1, \, x_2, \, \cdots, \, x_k) \, | \\ &= \min_{\substack{x \text{ in } \sigma(\beta)}} | \, \widetilde{T}_{n_1}(x_1) \, \widetilde{T}_{n_2}(x_1) \, \cdots \, \widetilde{T}_{n_k}(x_k) \, | \\ &= 2^{1-n_1} 2^{1-n_2} \cdots 2^{1-n_k} \, . \end{split}$$

Thus by Theorem 1

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \leq x_j \leq 1 \\ -1 \leq y \leq 1}} |x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} y^m - Q(x,y)| \geqq 2^{1-n_1} 2^{1-n_2} \cdots 2^{1-n_k} 2^{1-m}.$$

But the polynomial

$$Q^*(x, y) = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} y^m - \tilde{T}_{n_1}(x_1) \tilde{T}_{n_2}(x_2) \cdots \tilde{T}_{n_k}(x_k) \tilde{T}_m(y)$$

clearly belongs to $\pi(\beta)$ and

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \le x_0 \le 1 \\ -1 \le y_0 \le 1}} |x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} y^m - Q^*(x,y)| = 2^{1-n_1} 2^{1-n_2} \cdots 2^{1-n_k} 2^{1-m}.$$

Thus $Q^*(x, y)$ is a best approximation from the set $\pi(\beta)$

Uniqueness. Let $Q^*(x, y)$ in $\pi(\beta)$ be a polynomial of best approximation and let

$$egin{aligned} P(x,\,y) &= x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} y^m - Q^*(x,\,y) - \widetilde{T}_{n_1}(x_1) \cdots \widetilde{T}_{n_k}(x_k) \widetilde{T}_m(y) \ &= [x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_1} \cdots x_k^{n_k} - p_m(x)] y^m - p_{m-1}(x) y^{m-1} - \cdots p_0(x) \ &- \widetilde{T}_{n_1}(x_1) \widetilde{T}_{n_2}(x_2) \cdots \widetilde{T}_{n_k}(x_k) \widetilde{T}_m(y) \ &= q_{m-1}(x) y^{m-1} + q_{m-2}(x) y^{m-2} + \cdots + q_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $q_{m-1}(x), \dots, q_0(x)$ are polynomials of degree $\leq n_s$ in x_s $0 \leq s \leq k$ since $Q^*(x, y)$ is in $\pi(\beta)$.

Let $x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_k^*)$ be a fixed but arbitrary element of $\sigma(\beta)$. Then we claim that $P(x^*, y)$ has m zeros including multiplicities in [-1, 1]. To see this let $y_s = \cos(s\pi/m)$, $0 \le s \le m$, then since

$$|x_1^{*n_1}x_2^{*n_2}\cdots x_k^{*n_k}y^m-Q^*(x^*,y)| \le 2^{1-n_1}2^{1-n_2}\cdots 2^{1-n_k}2^{1-m}$$
, $P(x^*,y_0) \le 0, P(x^*,y_1) \ge 0, \cdots (-1)^m P(x^*,y_m) \le 0$.

By the lemma $P(x^*,y)$ has m zeros counting multiplicities for $-1 \le y \le 1$. Thus $P(x^*,y)$ has m zeros but is only a polynomial of degree m-1, thus $P(x^*,y) \equiv 0$. But this holds for all x^* in $\sigma(\beta)$, thus $P(x,y) \equiv 0$ and the theorem is proved.

We could formulate Theorem 3 in the following way. Let $\pi(k)$, $k \ge 1$, be the set of polynomials of the form

$$Q(x, y) = p_m(x_1, \dots, x_k)x_{k+1}^m + p_{m-1}(x)x_{k+1}^{m-1} + \dots + p_0(x)$$

which is of degree $\leq n_s$ in x_s , $1 \leq s \leq k$ and for which $p_m(x_1 \cdots x_k)$ is a polynomial that best approximates zero, if such exists, on the cube $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_k$, $I_s = [-1, 1]$, $1 \leq s \leq k$.

Theorem 3 alternate. For $k = 2, 3, 4 \cdots$, the following is true:

Statement k. $\pi(k-1)$ is not empty and there exists a unique $M_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})$ in $\pi(k)$ such that:

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \le x_0 \le 1 \\ -1 \le y \le 1}} |M_k(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k, x_{k+1})|$$

is a minimum. Moreover:

$$M_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}) = \widetilde{T}_{n_1}(x_1) \widetilde{T}_{n_2}(x_2) \cdots \widetilde{T}_{n_k}(x_k) \widetilde{T}_{n_{k+1}}(x_{k_{n+1}})$$
.

Proof. Obvious.

Finally we wish to prove:

THEOREM 4. There exists a monic polynomial

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_k, y) = x_1^{n_1} \dots x_k^{n_k} y^m - Q(x_1, \dots, x_k, y)$$

where Q(x, y) belongs to π_0 that best approximates zero on the cube $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_{k+1}$, $I_s = [-1, 1]$. The polynomial is

$$x_1^{n_1}\cdots x_k^{n_k}\widetilde{T}_m(y)$$
.

Proof. By Theorem 2

$$\max_{\stackrel{-1\leq x_8\leq 1}{-1\leq y\leq 1}}|P(x_1,\, \cdots,\, x_k^{n_k},\, y)|\geq 2^{1-m}$$
 .

But $x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_k^{n_k} \tilde{T}_m(y)$ is a monic polynomial of the correct form with

$$\max_{\substack{-1 \leq x_0 \leq 1 \ -1 \leq y \leq 1}} |x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_k^{n_k} \widetilde{T}_m(y)| = 2^{1-m}$$
 .

Thus the theorem is correct.

The question of uniqueness in this case is an open one.

REFERENCE

1. R. C. Buck, Linear spaces and approximation theory, On numerical approximation, Edited by R. E. Langer, Published by the University of Wisconsin Press, 1959.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

*RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 15, No. 1 September, 1965

Ponald Charles Benson, Unimodular solutions of infinite systems of linear equations	1
Richard Earl Block, Transitive groups of collineations on certain designs	13
Barry William Boehm, Existence of best rational Tchebycheff approximations	19
Joseph Patrick Brannen, A note on Hausdorff's summation methods	29
Dennison Robert Brown, Topological semilattices on the two-cell	35
Peter Southcott Bullen, Some inequalities for symmetric means	47
David Geoffrey Cantor, On arithmetic properties of coefficients of rational	47
functions	55
Luther Elic Claborn, Dedekind domains and rings of quotients	59
Allan Clark, Homotopy commutativity and the Moore spectral sequence	65
Allen Devinatz, The asymptotic nature of the solutions of certain linear systems of differential equations	75
Robert E. Edwards, Approximation by convolutions	85
Theodore William Gamelin, Decomposition theorems for Fredholm operators	97
Edmond E. Granirer, On the invariant mean on topological semigroups and on	71
topological groups	107
Noel Justin Hicks, Closed vector fields	141
Charles Ray Hobby and Ronald Pyke, <i>Doubly stochastic operators obtained from</i>	
positive operators	153
Robert Franklin Jolly, Concerning periodic subadditive functions	159
Tosio Kato, Wave operators and unitary equivalence	171
Paul Katz and Ernst Gabor Straus, Infinite sums in algebraic structures	181
Herbert Frederick Kreimer, Jr., On an extension of the Picard-Vessiot theory	191
Radha Govinda Laha and Eugene Lukacs, On a linear form whose distribution is	
identical with that of a monomial	207
Donald A. Ludwig, Singularities of superpositions of distributions	215
Albert W. Marshall and Ingram Olkin, Norms and inequalities for condition	
numbers	241
Horace Yomishi Mochizuki, Finitistic global dimension for rings	249
Robert Harvey Oehmke and Reuben Sandler, <i>The collineation groups of division</i>	
ring planes. II. Jordan division rings	259
George H. Orland, On non-convex polyhedral surfaces in E^3	267
Theodore G. Ostrom, Collineation groups of semi-translation planes	273
Arthur Argyle Sagle, On anti-commutative algebras and general Lie triple systems	281
Laurent Siebenmann, A characterization of free projective planes	293
Edward Silverman, Simple areas	299
James McLean Sloss, Chebyshev approximation to zero	305
Robert S. Strichartz, Isometric isomorphisms of measure algebras	315
Richard Joseph Turyn, Character sums and difference sets	319
L. E. Ward, Concerning Koch's theorem on the existence of arcs	347
Israel Zuckerman, A new measure of a partial differential field extension	357