# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## A MORE GENERAL PROPERTY THAN DOMINATION FOR SETS OF PROBABILITY MEASURES

TOM STEPHEN PITCHER

Vol. 15, No. 2

October 1965

## A MORE GENERAL PROPERTY THAN DOMINATION FOR SETS OF PROBABILITY MEASURES

## T. S. PITCHER

In posing a statistical problem one specifies a set X, a  $\sigma$ field S of subsets of X, and a collection M of probability measures on (X, S). It is often convenient to impose some condition on M in order to avoid measure theoretic difficulties and the condition most often used is domination, i.e., the existence of a probability measure with respect to which each of the measures in M is absolutely continuous. In this paper we introduce a more general condition, which we call compactness, implying the existence of a best sufficient subfield and of certain estimates. It is also possible to characterize, under this condition, those functions on M admitting unbiased estimates of certain types.

The increased generality thus afforded should be useful in dealing with certain problems in stochastic estimation where M is not known a priori to be dominated. In any case it is hoped that the present exposition, which leans heavily on some of the more elementary parts of functional analysis, will appeal to those who are oriented toward that subject.

1. The compactness condition. We will assume throughout this paper that the field S is closed with respect to M, that is that S contains every set whose outer measure is 0 for each  $\mu$  in M. Such sets will be referred to hereafter as M-null sets.

For each  $\mu$  in M, S-measurable f and real number p with  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we will write  $||f||_{p,\mu}$  for the (finite or infinite) number  $\left[\int |f|^p d\mu\right]^{1/p}$  and  $||f||_{\infty,\mu}$  for the  $\mu$ -essential supremum of |f|. For all  $p \geq 1$  we define

$$||f||_{p,M} = \sup_{\mu \in M} ||f||_{p,\mu}$$

and write  $E_p(X, S, M)$  for the set of f with  $||f||_{p,M} < \infty$ . In what follows, whenever no confusion can result, we will write  $E_p$  for  $E_p(X, S, M)$  and  $||f||_p$  for  $||f||_{p,M}$ . We will also use the same symbol for a measurable function as for its equivalence class in  $E_p(X, S, M)$ .

LEMMA 1.1.  $E_p$  with  $||f||_p$  as norm is a Banach space.

**Proof.** Only the completeness of  $E_p$  needs to be proved. If  $(f_n)$ Received July 17, 1963, and in revised form March 31, 1964. is a Cauchy sequence in  $E_p$ , we can choose a subsequence  $(f_{n_j})$  satisfying  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ||f_{n_{j+1}} - f_{n_j}||_p < \infty$ . Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} ||f_{n_{j+1}} - f_{n_j}||_{p,\mu} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} ||f_{n_{j+1}} - f_{n_j}||_p < \infty$$

the sequence  $(f_{n_j})$  converges almost everywhere with respect to each  $\mu$  in M. Writing f for the limit of the  $f_{n_j}$ 's we have

$$\begin{split} ||f - f_{n_{f}}||_{p} &= \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} ||f - f_{n_{f}}||_{p,\mu} \leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} ||f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_{k}}||_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} ||f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_{k}}||_{p} \end{split}$$

which goes to 0 as j goes to  $\infty$ .

The spaces  $E_p$  are new as far as we know but they are related to spaces considered by other authors. In particular if M is a dominated set of Borel measures on a locally compact Hausdorff space then  $E_1$  is a Kothe space (see reference [1]). The subset  $\mathcal{C}_p$  of the dual space, introducted below, is closely related to the Kothe dual. On the other hand if  $1 and <math>E_p$  is reflexive it is an MT space (see reference [3]).

Each  $\mu$  in M and h in  $L_q(\mu)$  give rise to an element  $l(h, \mu)$  in  $E_p(X, S, M)^*$  through the formula  $l(h, \mu)(f) = \int fhd\mu$ . Clearly  $||l(h, \mu)|| \leq ||h||_{q,\mu}$ . We will write  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  for the set of all finite linear combinations of such elements.  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  is a total subset of  $E_p(X, S, M)^*$ , i.e., if l(f) = 0 for some f in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  and every l in  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  then f = 0. Hence  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  induces a Hausdorff topology on  $E_p(X, S, M)$ , namely the weakest topology in which the elements of  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  are continuous. We will write  $B_p(X, S, M)$  for the unit ball in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  and will generally shorten  $B_p(X, S, M)$  and  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  to  $B_p$  and  $\mathscr{C}_p$  respectively.

DEFINITION. (X, S, M) is compact if and only if  $B_p(X, S, M)$  is compact in the  $\mathcal{C}_p(X, S, M)$  topology for some p, 1 . It will $be seen later (Theorem 1.1) that if <math>B_p(X, S, M)$  is  $\mathcal{C}_p(X, S, M)$  compact for some 1 it is compact for all such <math>p.

We note before going on that M can always be replaced by the set  $C(M) = [\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mu_i | \alpha_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1, \mu_i \in M]$  since  $||f||_{p,M} = ||f||_{p,\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M) = \mathscr{C}_p(X, S, C(M))$ .

 $W_p(\mu)$ , the weakly topologized unit ball in  $L_p(\mu)$  is compact if  $1 and hence so is the product space <math>\prod_{\mu \in M} W_p(\mu)$  with the usual Tychonoff topology. The diagonal mapping  $i_p$  which sends each f in  $B_p$  into the element of the product space whose value at  $W_p(\mu)$  is f maps  $B_p$  in a one-to-one way into the product space and the topology thus induced on  $B_p$  is easily seen to be identical to the  $\mathscr{C}_p$ 

topology. Thus (X, S, M) is compact if and only if  $i_p(B_p)$  is closed. Elements of the product space will be written  $(f_{\mu})$ . We will write  $f = g[\mu]$  if f is equal to g almost everywhere with respect to  $\mu$ .

LEMMA 1.2. The following are equivalent:

1.  $(f_{\mu})$  is the closure of  $i_p(B_p)$ ;

2. for every finite set  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  from M there is an f in  $B_p$  satisfying  $f = f_{\mu_i}[\mu_i]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;

3. for every countable set  $(\mu_i)$  from M there is an f in  $B_p$  satisfying  $f = f_{\mu_i}[\mu_i]$  for all i.

*Proof.* Clearly the third condition implies the second which in turn implies the first. We will complete the proof by showing that the first condition implies the third. Let  $\nu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \mu_n$ , let  $A_n$  be the set where  $d\mu_1/d\nu$  and  $d\mu_n/d\nu$  are positive, and let g be the characteristic function of a measurable subset of  $A_n$  on which  $d\mu_1/d\mu_n$  is bounded. Since  $(f_{\mu})$  is in the closure of  $i_p(B_p)$  there exists, for every positive  $\varepsilon$ , an h in  $B_p$  for which  $\left| \int (f_{\mu_1} - h)gd\mu_1 \right| < \varepsilon$  and

$$\left|\int (f_{\mu_n}-h)g\,rac{d\mu_1}{d\mu_n}\,d\mu_n\,
ight|=\left|\int (f_{\mu_n}-h)gd\mu_1
ight|$$

form which it follows that  $\left|\int (f_{\mu_1} - f_{\mu_n})gd\mu_1\right| < 2\varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\int (f_{\mu_1} - f_{\mu_n})gd\mu_1 = 0$  and hence  $f_{\mu_1} = f_{\mu_n}[\mu_1]$  on  $A_n$ . Thus, if we define  $g_n$  to be the characteristic function of the set where  $(d\mu_n/d\nu) > 0$  and  $(d\mu_j/d\nu) = 0$  for j < n and set  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n f_{\mu_n}$  we have  $f = f_{\mu_n}[\mu_n]$  for all n.

THEOREM 1.1.  $B_p(X, S, M)$  is compact in the  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  topology for some  $p, 1 if and only if <math>B_{\infty}(X, S, M)$  is compact in the  $\mathscr{C}_1(X, S, M)$  topology. The  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  topology coincides with the  $\mathscr{C}_1(X, S, M)$  topology on  $B_{\infty}(X, S, M)$  for all p with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* We will write  $f^{(n)}$  for the function whose value at x is f(x) if  $|f(x)| \leq n$  and 0 otherwise. The last assertion follows from the fact that any function  $l(f, \mu)$  from  $\mathscr{C}_p$  is the uniform limit on  $B_{\infty}$  of the  $\mathscr{C}_1$ -continuous functions  $l(f^{(n)}, \mu)$ . If  $i_p(B_p)$  is compact, so is its closed subset  $i_p(B_{\infty})$ . Hence  $B_{\infty}$  is  $\mathscr{C}_p$ -compact and consequently  $\mathscr{C}_1$ -compact if  $B_p$  is  $\mathscr{C}_p$ -compact. Conversely, if  $B_{\infty}$  is  $\mathscr{C}_1$ -compact and  $(f_{\mu})$  is in the closure of  $i_p(B_p)$ , then  $((1/n)f_{\mu}^{(n)})$  is in the  $\mathscr{C}_1$ -closure of  $i_p(B_p)$ , then  $(1/n)f_{\mu}^{(n)}$  is in the  $\mathscr{C}_1$ -closure of  $i_p(B_p)$ , then  $(1/n)f_{\mu}^{(n)}$  is in the  $\mathscr{C}_1$ -closure of  $i_p(B_p)$ , then  $(1/n)f_{\mu}^{(n)}$  is in the  $\mathscr{C}_1$ -closure of  $i_p(B_p)$ , then  $(1/n)f_{\mu}^{(n)}$  is in the  $\mathscr{C}_1$ -closure of  $i_p(B_p)$ , then  $(1/n)f_{\mu}^{(n)}$  is in the  $\mathscr{C}_1$ -closure of  $i_p(B_p)$  so there exists a  $b_n$  in  $B_{\infty}$  with  $nb_n = f_{\mu}^{(n)}[\mu]$  for all  $\mu$  and it is easily seen that  $nb_n$  converges almost everywhere with respect to

each  $\mu$  to a function f which is therefore S-measurable and satisfies  $f = f_{\mu}[\mu]$  for all  $\mu$ .

Another characterization of compactness is contained in Theorem 3.4.

THEOREM 1.2. If (X, S, M) is compact, so is (X, S, M') for any  $M' \subset M$ . If (X, S, M) is compact, so is  $(X, S, \overline{M})$  where  $\overline{M}$  is the set of probability measures  $\nu$  which are dominated by some countable subset of M. (X, S, M) is compact if M is dominated.

**Proof.** The identity map from  $B_{\omega}(X, S, M)$  to  $B_{\omega}(X, S, M')$  is continuous so its image is a compact subset of  $B_{\omega}(X, S, M')$ . Since any equivalence class in  $B_{\omega}(X, S, M')$  contains an element f with  $|f| \leq 1$  everywhere the image of  $B_{\omega}(X, S, M)$  is all of  $B_{\omega}(X, S, M')$ so the first assertion is proved. Any  $\nu$  in  $\overline{M}$  has an expansion  $d\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i d\mu_i$  where the  $\mu_i$  are in M and the  $f_i$  are nonnegative functions with  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int f_i d\mu_i = 1$ . If h is bounded, the function  $l(h, \nu)$  on  $B_{\omega}$  is the uniform limit of the  $\mathscr{C}_1$ -continuous functions  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} l(\inf(f_i, n)h, \mu_i)$ . Hence no new continuous functions are added and the topology is the same—in particular compactness is preserved. The last assertion follows from the fact that  $(X, S, (\mu))$  is compact and that M is a subset of some  $(\overline{\mu})$  if it is dominated.

Two unsolved problems should be mentioned at this point. First, if  $(X, S, M_i)$  are compact, is  $(X, S, M_1 \cup M_2)$  compact, or, what is probably equivalent, is  $(M, S, \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i)$  compact? Second, if (X, S, M) and (Y, T, N) are compact and  $X \times Y$ ,  $S \times T$ , and  $M \times N$  are the product space, the field generated by the S and T cylinder sets and the set of product measures, is  $(X \times Y, S \times T, M \times N)$  compact? The second problem corresponds to the case of independent trials.

We close this section with a list of examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $(\alpha)$  be a parameter set and let  $(X_{\alpha}, M_{\alpha}, S_{\alpha})$  be compact with disjoint  $X_{\alpha}$ . Let  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ ,  $S = [A | A \cap X_{\alpha} \in S_{\alpha}]$  and extend  $M_{\alpha}$  to S be defining  $\mu(A) = \mu(A \cap X_{\alpha})$  for  $\mu$  in  $M_{\alpha}$ . Then  $(X, S, \bigcup_{\alpha} M_{\alpha})$  is compact for if  $(f_{\mu})$  is in the closure of  $i_{p}(B_{p})$  there is, for each  $\alpha$ , an  $f_{\alpha}$  with  $f_{\alpha} = f_{\mu}[\mu]$  for  $\mu \in M_{\alpha}$  and the f obtained by setting f equal to  $f_{\alpha}$  on  $X_{\alpha}$  is S-measurable and  $i_{p}(f) = (f_{\mu})$ . Note that  $\bigcup_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}$  cannot be dominated if the parameter set is not countable so that the compactness condition is really more general than domination.

EXAMPLE 2. Let X be the closed interval [0, 1], S the Borel sets and M all the measures which are either concentrated at a point or else are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, Every subset of [0, 1] gives rise to an element in the closure of  $i_p(B_p)$ on setting  $f_{\mu} = 1$  if  $\mu$  is concentated at a point x in A and 0 otherwise. It is easily seen that  $(f_{\mu}) = i_p(b)$  implies that b is the characteristic function of A which is impossible if A is not in S so (X, S, M) is not compact. If only the point measures were involved we could replace S by the set T of all subsets of X in which case (X, T, M) would be compact, but Lebesgue measure, of course, cannot be extended to T.

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $\omega$  be a probability measure on (X, S) and for some  $C \ge 1$  set  $M = [\mu | \mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\omega$ and  $(d\mu/d\omega) \le C$ ]. Then  $\int |f|^p d\omega \le \sup_{\mu \in M} \int |f|^p d\mu \le C \int |f|^p d\omega$  so  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is equivalent to  $L_p(\omega)$ . Thus  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive if  $1 . Reflexive <math>E_p$ 's are discussed in § 4.

EXAMPLE 4. Let  $\nu$  be a probability measure on (X, S) and let  $M = [\mu | \mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ ]. It is easily seen that  $E_p$  is isometrically equivalent to  $L_{\infty}(\nu)$  for all  $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

2. Sufficient subfields of S. We will need the following extension of S.

DEFINITION.  $\hat{S} = [A | \text{ for every } \mu \text{ in } M, A \text{ is equal almost every$  $where to an element of } S].$ 

It is clear that  $S \subset \hat{S}$  and that every  $\mu$  in M can be extended to  $\hat{S}$ . A function b is  $\hat{S}$ -measurable if and only if, for each  $\mu$ , it is almost everywhere equal to an S-measurable function.  $\hat{S}$  may properly contain S, in fact, if M is the set of all point measures on X and S is any field, then there are no M-null sets but  $\hat{S}$  is the field of all subsets of X.

THEOREM 2.1. If (X, S, M) is compact, then  $S = \hat{S}$ .

*Proof.* As previously noted we can replace M by C(M), the convex set spanned by M. Let b be an  $\hat{S}$ -measurable function of absolute bound 1. For each  $\mu$  there is a  $b_{\mu}$  in  $B_{\infty}$  equal  $\mu$ -almost everywhere to b.  $(b_{\mu})$  is in the closure of  $i_{p}(B_{\infty})$  since for any  $\mu_{1}, \dots, \mu_{n}$  if  $\nu = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}$ , then  $b_{\nu} = b_{\mu_{i}}[\mu_{i}]$  for each i. Hence there is an S-measurable  $b_{1}$  with  $b_{1} = b_{\mu} = b[\mu]$  and b and  $b_{1}$  clearly differ only on an M-null set.

THEOREM 2.2. If (X, S, M) is compact and T is a subfield of S, then  $(X, \hat{T}, M)$  is compact.

**Proof.** If  $(b_{\mu})$  is in the closure of  $i_p(B_p(X, \hat{T}, M))$ , then for every  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  there is a  $\hat{T}$  measurable b' with  $b' = b_{\mu i}[\mu_1]$ . Since  $\hat{T} \subset \hat{S} = S$ , b' can be replaced by an S measurable b'' so  $(b_{\mu})$  is in the closure of  $i_p(B_p(X, S, M))$ . Hence there is an S-measurable function b with  $b = b_{\mu}[\mu]$  for all  $\mu$  and b is clearly  $\hat{T}$ -measurable.

If T is a subfield of S,  $\mu$  is a probability measure on S, and f is in  $L_p(\mu)$ , then the conditional expectation<sup>1</sup> of f on T with respect to  $\mu$  written  $E(f \mid T, \mu)$  is the unique T-measurable element of  $L_p(\mu)$ satisfying  $\int gE(f \mid T, \mu)d\mu = \int gfd\mu$  for every T-measurable element of  $L_q(\mu)$ . If  $a \leq f \leq b$  then  $a \leq E(f \mid T, \mu) \leq b$ . If there exists a Tmeasurable function satisfying the above equation for all  $\mu$  in M, we will write it  $E(f \mid T, M)$ . If  $E(b \mid T, M)$  exists for each bounded Smeasurable b, the subfield T is said to be sufficient.

THEOREM 2.3. If T is a sufficient subfield for  $(X, \hat{S}, M)$ , then  $T = \hat{T}$ .

**Proof.** Let b be a bounded  $\hat{T}$ -measurable function and  $b' = E(b \mid T, M)$ . b - b' is  $\hat{T}$ -measurable and if c is any other bounded  $\hat{T}$ -measurable function, there is for each  $\mu$  a T-measurable function  $c_{\mu}$  with  $c = c_{\mu}[\mu]$  so  $\int (b - b')cd\mu = \int (b - b')c_{\mu}d\mu = 0$ . Hence b differs from the T-measurable function b' only on an M-null set.

THEOREM 2.4. If  $\hat{T}$  is a sufficient subfield for  $(X, \hat{S}, M)$  then  $(X, \hat{S}, M)$  is compact if and only if  $(X, \hat{T}, M)$  is compact and  $[b | b \in B_{\infty}(X, \hat{S}, M)$  and  $E(b | \hat{T}, M) = 0]$  is compact in the  $\mathcal{C}_1(X, \hat{S}, M)$  topology.

**Proof.** Suppose first that  $(X, \hat{S}, M)$  is compact. Then  $(X, \hat{T}, M)$  is compact by Theorem 2.2.  $B_2(X, \hat{S}, M)$  is  $\mathscr{C}_1$  compact and hence so is its closed subset  $B_{\infty}(X, \hat{S}, M)$ . Thus it only remains to show that  $K = [b \mid E(b \mid \hat{T}, M) = 0]$  is  $\mathscr{C}_1$  closed. But if c is in the closure of K,  $\mu$  is in M and f is a bounded  $\hat{T}$ -measurable function then there is a sequence  $(b_n)$  from K with

$$\int cfd\mu = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int b_n fd\mu = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int E(b_n \mid \hat{T}, M) fd\mu = 0$$

and it follows that  $E(c \mid \hat{T}, M) = 0$ , i.e., that K is closed.

Suppose conversely that  $(X, \hat{T}, M)$  is compact. If  $(b_{\mu})$  is in the closure of  $i_2(B_{\infty}(X, \hat{S}, M))$  then  $(E(b_{\mu} | \hat{T}, \mu))$  is in the closure of  $i_2(B_{\infty}(X, \hat{T}, M))$  since  $b_{\mu_i} = b[\mu_i]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  implies  $E(b_{\mu_i} | \hat{T}, M) =$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For definitions and properties of sufficient and pairwise sufficient subfields and conditional expectations, see [2].

 $E(b \mid \hat{T}, M)[\mu_i]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence these is a  $\hat{T}$ -measurable c with  $c = E(b_{\mu} \mid \hat{T}, M)[\mu]$  for all  $\mu$  in M.  $((1/2)_{\mu} - c)$  is thus in the closure of  $\varphi^{-1}(0) \cap B_{\infty}(X, \hat{S}, M)$  so if this set is compact and hence closed there is a b in  $B_{\infty}(X, \hat{S}, M)$  with  $b = (1/2)(b_{\mu} - c)[\mu]$  for all  $\mu$ . Thus  $(b)_{\mu} = i_2(c+2b)$  is in  $B_{\infty}(X, \hat{S}, M)$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** If (X, S, M) is compact, then there exists a best sufficient subfield of S, i.e., a sufficient subfield T such that  $T \subset T_1$  for any other sufficient subfield  $T_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T_0$  be the subfield generated by all the functions  $[d\mu/d(\mu + \nu)]$  for  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in M.  $T_0$  is pairwise sufficient,<sup>2</sup> i.e., for any  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in M and b in  $B_{\infty}(X, S, M)$  there is a  $T_0$ -measurable b' with  $b' = E(b \mid T_0, \mu)[\mu]$  and  $b' = E(b \mid T_0, \nu)[\nu]$ . This property is easily extended to finite subsets of  $M^3$  and it follows that  $(E(b \mid T_0, \mu))$  is the closure of  $i_p(B_{\infty}(X, S, M))$  so there is a b'' with  $b'' = E(b \mid T_0, \mu)[\mu]$  for all  $\mu$ . b'' is  $\hat{T}_0$ -measurable and  $b'' = E(b \mid \hat{T}_0, M)$  so  $\hat{T}_0 = T$  is a sufficient subfield. By Theorem 2.1  $S = \hat{S}$  and thus by Theorem 2.3 any sufficient subfield  $T_1$  of S has  $T_1 = \hat{T}_1$ . It is known<sup>4</sup> that  $T_1$  contains  $T_0$  if it is sufficient so  $T_1 = \hat{T}_1 \supset \hat{T}_0 = T$ .

3. Estimation. If F is a real-valued function on M and f is an estimate of F, that is, an S-measurable function, then one measure of the error to be expected from f is  $e_p(f) = \sup_{\mu \in M} ||f - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu}$ .

THEOREM 3.1. If (X, S, M) is compact, F is a bounded function on M, and  $1 , then there is an f in <math>E_p(X, S, M)$  which minimizes  $e_p(f)$ .

*Proof.* Replacing F by aF we can assume that  $\sup_{\mu \in M} |F(\mu)| \leq (1/3)$ . If  $\alpha = \inf_{f \in E_p} e_p(f)$ , then  $\alpha \leq e_p(0) = \sup_{\mu \in M} |F(\mu)| \leq (1/3)$ . Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence from  $E_p$  with  $e_p(f_n)$  converging to  $\alpha$ . For large enough n,  $||f_n||_{p,\mu} \leq ||f_n - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu} + |F(\mu)| \leq e_p(f_n) + |F(\mu)| \leq 1$  so  $f_n$  is in  $B_p$ . The sequence has a point of accumulation f in  $B_p$  and for any  $\mu$  in M and h in  $L_q(\mu)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left| \int (f - F(\mu)) h d\mu \right| &= \lim_{j \to \infty} \left| \int (f_{n_j} - F(\mu)) h d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{j \to \infty} \sup ||f_{n_j} - F(\mu)||_{p, \mu} ||h||_{q, \mu} \leq \alpha ||h||_{q, \mu} \end{split}$$

so  $||f - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu} \leq \alpha$  and hence  $e_p(f) = \alpha$ .

- <sup>2</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>3</sup> Ibid.
- 4 Ibid.

An estimate is said to be unbiased if  $\int f d\mu = F(\mu)$  for all  $\mu$  in M.

THEOREM 3.2. If (X, S, M) is compact, F is a bounded function on M, and 1 , then if there is an unbiased estimate of F in $<math>E_p$ , there is one which minimizes  $e_p(f)$  among all the unbiased estimates of F in  $E_p(X, S, M)$ .

*Proof.*  $C_p = \left[ f | f \in B_p \text{ and } \int f d\mu = F(\mu) \text{ for all } \mu \right]$  is an  $\mathscr{C}_p$ -closed and hence compact, subset of  $B_p$ . The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 3.1 with  $B_p$  replaced by  $C_p$ .

We will say that an estimate f of F is p-admissible if f is in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  and there is no g in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  with  $||g - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu} \leq ||f - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu}$  for all  $\mu$  in M and  $||g - F(\nu)||_{p,\nu} < ||f - F(\nu)||_{p,\nu}$  for some  $\nu$  in M. We will say that f is a p-admissible unbiased estimate of F if f is an unbiased estimate of F in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  and there is no unbiased estimate g of F in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  with  $||g - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu} \leq ||f - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu}$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $||g - F(\nu)||_{p,\nu} < ||f - F(\nu)||_{p,\nu}$  for some  $\nu$  in M.

THEOREM 3.3. Suppose (X, S, M) is compact, F is a bounded function on M and 1 . Then for every estimate <math>f of F in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  there is a p-admissible estimate  $f_0$  of F with  $||f_0 - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu} \leq$  $||f - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu}$  for all  $\mu$  in M and for every unbiased estimate g of F in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  there is a p-admissible unbiased estimate  $g_0$  of Fwith  $||g_0 - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu} \leq ||g - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu}$  for all  $\mu$  in M.

*Proof.* We will write g < h if  $||g - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu} \leq ||h - F(\mu)||_{p,\mu}$  for all  $\mu$  in M, and  $D_g$  for the set [h | h < g].  $D_g$  is  $\mathscr{C}_p$  closed and if h is in  $D_g$  then

$$egin{aligned} \|h\|_{p,\mu} &\leq \|h-F(\mu)\|_{p,\mu} + |F(\mu)| \ &\leq \|g-F(\mu)\|_{p,\mu} + |F(\mu)| \ &\leq \|g\|_p + 2 \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} |F(\mu)| = K \end{aligned}$$

Hence all the  $D_g$  for g < f are compact subsets of  $KB_p$ . Thus if  $D_{g_{\alpha}}$  is a linearly ordered set of such sets, i.e.,  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  implies  $D_{g_{\alpha_1}} \subset D_{g_{\alpha_2}}$ , their intersection is nonempty. Clearly  $D_g \subset D_{g_{\alpha}}$  for any g in the intersection and any  $\alpha$ . By Zorn's lemma then there is a minimal such  $D_g$  and any element of  $D_g$  satisfies the conditions for  $f_0$ . The proof for the unbiased case is similar.

Theorem 3.3 does not hold without the assumption of compactness. If in Example 2 we set  $F(\mu) = 1$  for  $\mu$  which are concentrated on a point x in some fixed nonmeasurable set A and  $F(\mu) = 0$  for all other  $\mu$  in M it is clear that any estimator of F can be improved upon.

The compactness of (X, S, M) does not imply that  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive (see Example 4) but the next theorem shows that  $E_p^{**}(X, S, M)$ is the direct sum of the image of  $E_p(X, S, M)$  under the natural map and the annihilator of  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  if (X, S, M) is compact and 1 .

THEOREM 3.4. (X, S, M) is compact if and only if for each 1 and <math>L in  $E_p^{**}(X, S, M)$  with  $||L|| \leq A$  there is an f in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  with  $||f||_{p,M} \leq A$  and  $L(l(h, \mu)) = \int hfd\mu$  for all  $\mu$  in M and h in  $L_q(\mu)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the condition of the theorem is satisfied and  $(f_{\mu})$ is in the closure of  $i_p(B_p)$ . The functional L on  $\mathcal{C}_p$  given by  $L(l(h, \mu)) =$  $\int h f_\mu d\mu$  is well defined for if  $l(h,\,\mu)=l(g,\,
u)$  and f is an element of  $\check{B}_p$  satisfying  $f = f_\mu[\mu]$  and  $f = f_\nu[\nu]$  then  $L(l(h, \mu)) = l(h, \mu)(f) =$  $l(g, \nu)(f) = L(l(g, \nu))$ . L is also bounded on  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  since, for some f in  $B_{p}(X, S, M)$  with  $f = f_{\mu}[\mu] | L(l(h, \mu)) = | l(h, \mu)(f) | \leq$  $||l|||f|| \leq ||l||$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem L has an extension  $\overline{L}$ to  $E_p^{**}(X, S, M)$  so there is an f in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  with  $\overline{L}(l(h, \mu)) =$  $\int hfd\,\mu = \int hf_\mu d\,\mu$  for all  $\mu$  in M and h in  $L_q(\mu)$ . Clearly  $f = f_\mu[\mu]$ for all  $\mu$  in M, i.e.,  $i_p(B_p)$  is closed and hence (X, S, M) is compact. Suppose conversely that (X, S, M) is compact and L is an element of  $E_{p}^{**}(X, S, M)$ . It will be sufficient to do the case  $||L|| \leq 1$ . For each  $\mu$  we can define a linear functional  $L_{\mu}$  on  $L_q(\mu)$  by setting  $L_{\mu}(h) =$  $L(l(h, \mu))$ . Since  $|L_{\mu}(h)| \leq ||l(h, \mu)|| \leq ||h||_{q,\mu}$  there is an  $f_{\mu}$  in  $L_{p}(\mu)$ with  $||f_{\mu}||_{p,\mu} \leq 1$  and  $L_{\mu}(h) = \langle hf_{\mu}d\mu$ . The proof will be completed if we can show that  $(f_{\mu})$  is in the closure of  $i_p(B_p)$  for then there will be an f with  $f = f_{\mu}[\mu]$  and  $L(l(h, \mu)) = L_{\mu}(h) = \sqrt{hfd\mu} = l(h, \mu)(f)$ . For any  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  let  $\nu = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$ . By the argument above there is an  $f_{\nu}$  satisfying  $\int f_{\nu}hd\nu = L(l(h,\nu))$  for all h in  $L_q(d\nu)$ . If  $h_j$  is in  $L_q(\mu_j)$ , then  $h_j(d\mu_j/d\nu) \leq nh_j$  is in  $L_q(d\nu)$  so

$$egin{aligned} &\int f_{
u}h_{j}d\mu_{j} = \int f_{
u}h_{j}rac{d\mu_{j}}{d
u} d
u = Lig( lig(h_{,}rac{d\mu_{j}}{d
u},oldsymbol{
u}ig)ig) \ &= L(l(h_{,},\mu_{j})) = \int f_{\mu_{j}}h_{j}d\mu_{j} \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $f_{\nu} = f_{\mu_j}[\mu_j]$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

THEOREM 3.5. If (X, S, M) is compact and  $1 , then a bounded function F on M has an unbiased estimator in <math>E_p(X, S, M)$  of norm not greater than A if and only if

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}F(\mu_{i})
ight| \leq A \sup_{||f||_{p} \leq 1} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\int \!\!\!\! f d\mu_{i}
ight|$$

for every finite set of real numbers  $(c_i)$  and elements  $(\mu_i)$  from M.

*Proof.* The linear functional  $L_0$  given by:  $L_0(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i l(1, \mu)) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i F(\mu_i)$  has bound not greater than A on its domain, hence, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, it has an extension L in  $E_p^{**}$  of norm not greater than A. By the preceding theorem there is an f in  $E_p$  with  $||f||_p \leq A$  and  $\int f d\mu = L(l(1, \mu)) = L_0(l(1, \mu)) = F(\mu)$ . The converse is trivial since if f is the assumed estimate,

$$igg| \sum\limits_{i=1}^n c_i F(\mu_i) igg| = igg| \sum\limits_{i=1}^n c_i \int \!\! f d\, \mu_i igg| \leq A \left\| \sum\limits_{i=1}^n c_i l(1,\,\mu_i) 
ight\| \ = A \sup_{||f||_p \leq 1} igg| \sum\limits_{i=1}^n c_i \int \!\! f d\, \mu_i igg| \; .$$

4. Reflexivity of  $E_p(X, S, M)$ . We have already given (Example 3 of § 1) an example in which  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive for all 1 . It is clear that the set <math>M used there could be chosen considerably smaller while still retaining the property that  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is equivalent to  $L_p(\omega)$  for each  $1 . The following example shows that this is by no means the more general case of a reflexive <math>E_p(X, S, M)$ .

EXAMPLE 5. Let  $\mu$  be a nonatomic probability measure on (X, S)and y a point in X such that the set (y) is in S. Choose p and swith  $1 \leq p < s < \infty$ . For each g in  $L_s(\mu)$  let  $\mu_g$  be the measure defined by

where

$$c_{g} = 1 - rac{\int \mid g \mid^{s-p} d\mu}{\left[ \int \mid g \mid^{s} d\mu 
ight]^{1-p/s}} \; .$$

An application of Hölders inequality shows that  $c_g \ge 0$  so  $\mu_g$  is a positive measure and since  $\int d\mu_g = 1$  it is a probability measure. We will write  $\mu_0$  for the probability measure concentrated at y and set  $M = [\mu_g | g \in L_s(\mu)]$ . We have, using Hölders inequality for the pair (s/p, (s/s - p)),

$$\begin{split} \int &|f|^{p} \, d\mu_{g} \leq \frac{\left[ \int &|f|^{s} \, d\mu \right]^{p/s} \left[ \int &|g|^{s} \, d\mu \right]^{1-(p/s)}}{\left[ \int &|g|^{s} \, d\mu \right]^{1-(p/s)}} + c_{g} \, |f(y)|^{p} \\ &\leq \left\{ \left[ \int &|f|^{s} \, d\mu \right]^{1/s} \right\}^{p} + |f(y)|^{p} \\ &\leq \left\{ \left[ \int &|f|^{s} \, d\mu \right]^{1/s} + |f(y)| \right\}^{p} \\ &\leq \left\{ 2 \left[ \int &|f|^{s} \, d(\mu + \mu_{0}) \right]^{1/s} \right\}^{p} \end{split}$$

so  $||f||_{p,M} \leq C ||f||_{s,\mu+\mu_0}$ . Setting  $g = \inf(|f|, n)$  for f in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  we have

$$||f||_{p,M}^p \geq \int |f|^p \, d\mu_g \geq \left[\int |g|^s \, d\mu
ight]^{p/s}$$

so f is in  $L_s(\mu)$ . Finally,

$$egin{aligned} &||f||_{p,M}^{p} &\geq rac{1}{2} \int &|f|^{p} \, d(\mu_{f} + \mu_{0}) \ &\geq rac{1}{2} \left\{ &\left[\int &|f|^{s} \, d\mu 
ight]^{1/s} + &|f(y)| 
ight\}^{p} \ &\geq c \left\{ &\left[\int &|f|^{s} \, d\mu 
ight]^{1/s} + &|f(y)| 
ight\}^{p} \ &\geq c &\left[\int &|f|^{s} \, d(\mu + \mu_{0}) 
ight]^{p/s} \end{aligned}$$

so  $c ||f||_{s,\mu+\mu_0} \leq ||f||_{p,M} \leq C ||f||_{s,\mu+\mu_0}$  and  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive.

In this example M is unbounded, that is no  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\omega$  exists with  $(d\nu/d\omega) \leq 1$  for all  $\nu$  in M. Choosing p = 1 also gives a case where  $E_1(X, S, M)$  is reflexive.

LEMMA 4.1. If  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive then  $\mathscr{C}_p(X, S, M)$  is norm dense in  $E_p(X, S, M)^*$  and all l in  $E_p(X, S, M)^*$  are countably additive, i.e., if  $(f_n)$  is a nonincreasing sequence of functions in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  converging to 0 except on an M-null set then  $l(f_n)$  converges to 0.

*Proof.* For any l outside the closure of the convex set  $\mathscr{C}_p$  there is an L in  $E_p^{**}$  with L(l) = 1 and  $L(\mathscr{C}_p) = 0$  by the Hahn-Banach theorem. By reflexivity L is the image of some f in  $E_p$ , but  $L(\mathscr{C}_p) =$  $\mathscr{C}_p(f) = 0$  implies that f = 0 which is a contradiction. The countable additivity of elements of  $E_p^*$  now follows directly from the fact that they can be approximated in norm by elements of  $\mathscr{C}_p$ . LEMMA 4.2. If  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive for some 1 , f $is in <math>E_1(X, S, M)$  and  $f_n$  is equal to f on the set where  $|f(x)| \leq n$ and vanishes elsewhere then  $\sup_{\mu \in M} \int |f - f_n| d\mu \to 0$ . If f is in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  then  $||f - f_n||_{p,M} \to 0$ .

*Proof.* We may suppose that  $f \ge 0$ . If the first assertion is false for f then there is a  $\theta > 0$  and a sequence  $(\mu_n)$  from M with  $\int (f - f_n) d\mu_n \ge \theta$ . The equation  $l_n(h) = \int h(f - f_n)^{1/q} d\mu_n$  defines an element  $l_n$  in  $E_p^*$  with  $|| l_n ||^q \le \int (f - f_n) d\mu_n \le 2 || f ||_{1,M}$ . Since the unit ball in  $E_p^*$  is weakly compact the sequence  $l_n$  has a point of accumulation l.  $f^{1/p}$  is in  $E_p$  and

$$egin{aligned} l(f^{1/p}) &= \lim_{j o\infty} \int\!\!\!\!\int f^{1/p} (f-f_{n_j})^{1/q} d\mu_{n_j} \ &= \lim_{j o\infty} \int\!\!(f-f_{n_j}) d\mu_{n_j} \geqq heta > 0 \end{aligned}$$

while

$$l(f_n^{1/p}) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int f_n^{1/p} (f - f_m)^{1/q} d\mu_{mj} = 0$$

which contradicts the countable additivity of *l*. If *f* is in  $E_p$  then, since  $|f - f_n|^p \leq ||f|^p - |f_n|^p|$ ,

$$\sup_{\mu \in M} \int |f - f_n|^p d\mu \leq \sup_{\mu \in M} \int ||f|^p - |f_n|^p |d\mu$$

which goes to 0.

It is easy to construct examples, nonreflexive of course, for which the bounded functions are not dense in  $E_p(X, S, M)$ . If we take Mto be  $[\mu_n \mid n = 1, 2, \cdots]$  where  $\mu_n$  is defined by:  $\int f d\mu_n = \int_n^{n+1} f(x) dx$  and set  $f(x) = n^{1/p}$  for  $n \leq x \leq n + (1/n)$  and 0 elsewhere,  $||f - b||_{p,M} = 1$ for any bounded b.

We can replace M by C(M), the set of finite convex combinations of elements of M, as already noted. Let  $K_p$  be the weak closure in  $E_p(X, S, M)^*$  of the set  $[l(1, \mu) | \mu \in C(M)]$ .  $K_p$  is weakly compact if  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive.

LEMMA 4.3. If  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive every element l of  $K_p$ can be represented in the form  $l(f) = \int f d\nu$  for some probability measure  $\nu$ . Let  $M'_p = [\nu \mid l(1, \nu) \in K_p]$ . Then  $E_p(X, S, M) = E_p(X, S, M'_p)$ , in fact  $||f||_{p,M} = ||f||_{p,M'_p}$  for all f in  $E_p(X, S, M)$ .

*Proof.* Any l in  $K_p$  is positive and countably additive and has

l(1) = 1 so can be represented as a probability integral, i.e.,  $l(f) = \int f d\nu$ . For any f in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  if  $f_n$  is the function whose value is f(x) or 0 depending on whether  $|f(x)| \leq n$  or not and  $(\mu_j)$  is a sequence from C(M) with  $l(1, \mu_j)$  converging to l we have

$$egin{aligned} &\int \mid f \mid^p d
u &= \lim_n \int \mid f_n \mid^p d
u \ &= \lim_n \lim_j \int \mid f_n \mid^p d\mu_j \leq \lim_n \mid \mid f_n \mid \mid_p^p . \end{aligned}$$

In the reflexive case this latter limit is  $||f||_{p,M}^{p}$  which completes the proof.

THEOREM 4.1. If  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive  $M'_p$  is dominated.

*Proof.* We define measures  $\mu_n$  in  $M'_p$ , sets  $A_n$  in S, and numbers  $\alpha_n$  inductively as follows:  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ,  $A_1 = X$ ,  $\mu_1$  is arbitrary,  $\alpha_{n+1}$  is the supremum of the numbers  $\mu(A)$  for  $\mu$  in  $M'_p$  and A such that  $\mu_1(A) = \mu_2(A) = \cdots = \mu_n(A) = 0$ , and  $\mu_{n+1}$  and  $A_{n+1}$  are chosen to satisfy

$$\mu_{n+1}(A_{n+1}) \geqq (lpha_{n+1/2}) \quad ext{and} \quad \mu_1(A_{n+1}) = \dots = \mu_n(A_{n+1}) = 0 \; .$$

 $(\alpha_n)$  is a decreasing sequence and if  $\lim \alpha_n = \alpha$  and  $B_n = A_n - \bigcup_{k=n+1}^{\infty} A_k$ then the  $B_n$  are disjoint,  $\mu_n(B_n) \ge \alpha/2$ , and  $\mu_n(B_m) = 0$  if m > n. Let l be a point of accumulation of  $l(1, \mu_n)$  in  $E_p(X, S, M)^*$  and let  $f_n$  be the characteristic function of the set  $\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} B_k$ . Then  $(f_n)$  decreases to 0 but

$$l(f_n) = \lim_{j o \infty} \int g_n d\mu_{m_j} \ge \lim_{j o \infty} \int f_{m_j} d\mu_{m_j} \ge lpha/2$$

so that  $\alpha \leq 2 \lim_{n \to \infty} l(f_n) = 0$ . Now if  $\mu_i(A) = 0$  for all i and  $\mu$  is in  $M'_p$  then  $\mu(A) \leq 2\alpha_i$  for each i so  $M'_p$  is dominated by  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \mu_n$ .

LEMMA 4.4. For each g in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  there is a  $\mu$  in  $M'_p$  with  $\int |g|^p d\mu = ||g||^p_{p,M}$  if  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive.

*Proof.* Let  $l(1, \mu)$  be a point of accumulation of a sequence  $l(1, \mu_n)$  with  $\int |g|^p d\mu_n \rightarrow ||g||_{p,M}^p$ . Setting  $g_k(x)$  equal to g(x) or 0 depending on whether  $|g(x)| \leq k$  or not we have

$$\begin{split} \int |g|^{p} d\mu &= \lim_{k} \int |g_{k}|^{p} d\mu = \lim_{k} \lim_{j} \int |g_{k}|^{p} d\mu_{n_{j}} \\ &\geq \lim_{k} \lim_{j} \left( \int |g|^{p} d\mu_{n_{j}} - ||g - g_{k}||_{p,M}^{p} \right) \\ &= ||g||_{p,M}^{p} . \end{split}$$

THEOREM 4.2. If  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive and 1 then $for every l in <math>E_p(X, S, M)^*$  there is a g in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  and a  $\mu$  in  $M'_p$  with

$$\int \mid g \mid^p d\mu = \mid \mid g \mid \mid_{p,M}^p = 1$$
 , $l(g) = \mid \mid l \mid \mid$ 

and

$$l = || l || l(| g |^{p-1} \operatorname{sign} (g), \mu)$$
.

*Proof.* We will write  $g^{p-1}$  for  $|g|^{p-1}$  sign (g) throughout this proof and will assume that ||l|| = 1. Since the unit ball in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  is compact it contains a g with l(g) = ||l|| and clearly  $||g||_{p,M} = 1$ . By the preceding lemma the convex set of  $\mu$ 's in M' with  $\int |g|^p d\mu = 1$  is nonempty. We wish to show that the set  $C = \left[ l(g^{p-1}, \mu) \left| \int |g|^p d\mu = 1 \right] \right]$ is weakly closed and hence compact and since C is convex it will be sufficient to show that it is strongly closed. If  $l(1, \mu_n)$  converges to l'and  $\mu$  is an accumulation point of the  $\mu_n$  then for any bounded h

$$l(g^{p-1}, \mu)(h) = \lim_{j} l(g^{p-1}, \mu_{k_j})(h) = l'(h)$$

so  $l(g^{p-1}, \mu) = l'$ . A straightforward argument similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4 shows that  $\int |g|^p d\mu = 1$  and completes the proof that C is closed.

If l is not in C then by reflexivity and the Hahn-Banach theorem there is an  $h_1$  in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  with  $c(h_1) \leq \alpha < \beta = l(h_1)$  for all c in C. Replacing  $h_1$  by  $h = h_1 - \alpha g$  and setting  $\gamma = \beta - \alpha$  we have  $c(h) \leq 0 < \gamma = l(h)$ . For every  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ 

$$| \ l(g+arepsilon h) |^{p} = (1+arepsilon \gamma)^{p} \leq \sup_{oldsymbol{
u} \in M'} \int \mid g+arepsilon h \mid^{p} d
u$$

so there exists  $\nu_{\varepsilon}$  in M' with

$$1 + p \varepsilon \gamma \leq \int (|g|^p + p \varepsilon g^{p-1}h) d
u_{\varepsilon} + o(\varepsilon)$$

or

$$p\gamma \leq rac{1}{arepsilon} \left( \int \mid g \mid^p d oldsymbol{
u}_arepsilon - 1 
ight) + \, pl(g^{p-1}, oldsymbol{
u}_arepsilon)(h) + \, o(1) \; .$$

It follows that  $\int |g|^p d\nu_e \to 1$  and then, by using bounded approximations to  $|g|^p$  and applying Lemma 4.2, that  $\int |g|^p d\mu = 1$  and hence  $l(g^{p-1}, \mu)(h) \leq 0$  whenever  $l(1, \mu)$  is a point of accumulation of the

 $l(1, \nu_{\varepsilon})$ . But, setting  $h_n(x)$  equal to h(x) or 0 depending on whether  $|h(x)| \leq n$  or not, we have

$$egin{aligned} &l(g^{p-1},\,\mu)(h)=\lim_n \,l(g^{p-1},\,\mu(h_n)\ &=\lim_n\,\lim_j\,l(g^{p-1},\,m{
u}_{arepsilon_j})(h_n)\ &\geq \lim_n\,(\gamma-||\,h-h_n\,||_{p,M})=\gamma>0 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

THEOREM 4.3. If  $1 , <math>E_p(X, S, M)$  is reflexive and L is a linear subset dense in each  $L_p(\mu)$  for  $\mu$  in  $M'_p$  then L is dense in  $E_p(X, S, M)$ .

*Proof.* If L is not dense there is an element l in  $E_p^*$  with l(L) = 0 but  $l \neq 0$ . But  $l = l(h, \mu)$  for some  $\mu$  in  $M'_p$  and h in  $L_q(\mu)$  and h must be 0 since  $\langle hfd\mu$  vanishes for f in a dense subset of  $L_p(\mu)$ .

The above theorem does not hold if we only require L to be dense in  $L_p(\mu)$  for  $\mu$  in M. For example let X = [0, 2], S be the Borel sets and  $M = [\mu_a \mid 0 < a \leq 1]$  where  $\int f d\mu_a = \int_a^{a+1} f(x) dx$ . Then  $E_p(X, \hat{S}, M)$ is equivalent to  $L_p(dx)$  for all p and  $\mu_0$  is in  $M'_p$  for every 1 . $The set <math>L = \left[ f \mid f \in E_p \text{ and } \int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0 \right]$  is dense in each  $L_p(\mu_a)$  since it contains, for each g in  $L_p(\mu_a)$  the function  $\overline{g}$ ,

$$ar{g}(x) = egin{cases} -rac{1}{a} \int_a^{a+1} g(x) dx & ext{if} \ \ 0 \leq x \leq a \ g(x) & ext{if} \ \ a < x \leq a+1 \ 0 & ext{if} \ \ a+1 < x \leq 2 \ . \end{cases}$$

L is not dense in  $E_p(X, S, M)$  for any p since  $l(1, \mu_0)$  is in every  $E_p(X, S, M)^*$  and  $l(1, \mu_0)(L) = 0$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Dieudonne, Sur les espaces de Kothe, J. d'Analyse Math. 1 (1951), 81-115.

2. P. R. Halmos, and L. J. Savage, Application of the Radon-Nikodym theorem to the theory of sufficient statistics, Ann. Math. Stat. 20 (1949), 225-241.

3. M. Morse, and W. Transue, Seminormed vector spaces with duals of integral type, J. d'Analyse Math. 4 (1955), 49-186.

LINCOLN LABORATORY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

#### J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSIDA

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* \*

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 15, No. 2 October, 1965

Patrick Robert Ahern, On the generalized F. and M. Riesz theorem	373
A. A. Albert, On exceptional Jordan division algebras	377
J. A. Anderson and G. H. Fullerton, On a class of Cauchy exponential	
series	405
Allan Clark, Hopf algebras over Dedekind domains and torsion in	
H-spaces	419
John Dauns and D. V. Widder, <i>Convolution transforms whose inversion</i>	
functions have complex roots	427
Ronald George Douglas, <i>Contractive projections on an</i> L <sub>1</sub> <i>space</i>	443
Robert E. Edwards, <i>Changing signs of Fourier coefficients</i>	463
Ramesh Anand Gangolli, Sample functions of certain differential processes on	
symmetric spaces	477
Robert William Gilmer, Jr., Some containment relations between classes of	
ideals of a commutative ring	497
Basil Gordon, A generalization of the coset decomposition of a finite	
<i>group</i>	503
Teruo Ikebe, On the phase-shift formula for the scattering operator	511
Makoto Ishida, <i>On algebraic homogeneous spaces</i>	525
Donald William Kahn, <i>Maps which induce the zero map on homotopy</i>	537
Frank James Kosier, Certain algebras of degree one	541
Betty Kvarda, An inequality for the number of elements in a sum of two sets of	
lattice points	545
Jonah Mann and Donald J. Newman, <i>The generalized Gibbs</i> phenomenon for	
regular Hausdorff means	551
Charles Alan McCarthy. <i>The nilpotent part of a spectral operator</i> . II	557
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Isomorphic groups and group rings</i>	561
R N Pederson Laplace's method for two parameters	585
Tom Stephen Pitcher A more general property than domination for sets of	202
nrobability measures	597
Arthur Argyle Sagle Remarks on simple extended Lie algebras	613
Arthur Argyle Sagle, <i>On simple artended Lie algebras over fields of</i>	015
characteristic zero	621
Tôru Saitô Proper ordered inverse semigroups	649
Oved Shisha Monotone approximation	667
Undreament Sinks, Reduction of sets of matrices to a triangular form	672
Indranand Sinna, <i>Reduction of sets of matrices to a triangular form</i>	073
kaymond Earl Smithson, Some general properties of multi-valued	601
Juncuons	705
John Stueiphagei, Euclidean fiberings of solvmanifolds	705
Richard Steven Varga, Minimal Gerschgorin sets	719
James Juei-Chin Yeh, <i>Convolution in Fourier-Wiener transform</i>	731