Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A SUBDETERMINANT INEQUALITY

MARVIN DAVID MARCUS AND H. MINC

Vol. 15, No. 3

November 1965

A SUBDETERMINANT INEQUALITY

MARVIN MARCUS¹ AND HENRYK MINC²

Let A be an *n*-square positive semi-definite hermitian matrix and let $D_m(A)$ denote the maximum of all order *m* principal subdeterminants of A. In this note we prove the inequality

$$(D_m(A))^{1/m} \ge (D_{m+1}(A))^{1/(m+1)}$$
, $m = 1, \cdots, n-1$,

and discuss in detail the case of equality. This result is closely related to Newton's and Szász's inequalities.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an *n*-square positive semi-definite hermitian matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$. We introduce some notation. For $1 \leq m \leq n$ let $Q_{m,n}$ denote the set of all $\binom{n}{m}$ sequences $\omega = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_m)$, $1 \leq \omega_1 < \omega_2 < \cdots < \omega_m \leq n$. Let $A[\omega \mid \omega]$ denote the *m*-square submatrix of *A* whose (i, j) entry is $a_{\omega_i \omega_4}, i, j = 1, \cdots, m$.

THEOREM. If A is a positive semi-definite hermitian matrix then

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{(1)} \qquad \qquad \displaystyle \max_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_{m-n} \\ \\ \end{array}} (\det (A[\alpha \mid \alpha]))^{1/m} \\ \\ \displaystyle \geq \displaystyle \max_{\substack{\omega \in \mathcal{Q}_{m+1,n} \\ \\ \end{array}} (\det (A[\omega \mid \omega]))^{1/m+1}, \qquad \qquad m=1, \ \cdots, \ n-1 \ . \end{array}$$

Equality holds for a given m if and only if either A has rank less than m or $A[\omega^0 | \omega^0]$ is a multiple of the identity, where the sequence $\omega^0 \in Q_{m+1,n}$ is one that satisfies

$$(2) \qquad \qquad \det \left(A[\omega^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \,|\, \omega^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}]\right) = \max_{\omega \in \mathcal{Q}_{m+1}} \det A[\omega \,|\, \omega] \ .$$

There are two classical results that are closely related to the inequalities (1). These are Szász's inequalities and the Newton inequalities. Szász proved that [1, p. 119]

(3)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \prod_{\alpha \in Q_m \ n} (\det (A[\alpha \mid \alpha]))^{1/\binom{n}{m}})^{1/m} \\ \geq \left(\prod_{\omega \in Q_{m+1,n}} (\det (A[\omega \mid \omega]))^{1/\binom{n}{m+1}} \right)^{1/(m+1)}.$$

Newton's inequalities [1, p. 106] state that if $E_m(A)$ is the *m*th elementary symmetric function of the nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ then

Received August 27, 1964.

¹ The research of this author was supported by N. S. F. Grant G. P. 1085.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ The research of this author was supported by U.S. Air Force Grant No. AFAFOSR-432-63.

$$(4) \qquad \left(\left. E_m(A) \middle/ {\binom{n}{m}} \right)^{1/m} \ge \left(\left. E_{m+1}(A) \middle/ {\binom{n}{m+1}} \right)^{1/(m+1)} \right. \cdot$$

However,

(5)
$$E_m(A) = \sum_{\alpha \in Q_{m,n}} \det (A[\alpha \mid \alpha])$$

and hence (4) can be written

(6)
$$\frac{\left(\sum_{\alpha \in Q_{m,n}} \det \left(A[\alpha \mid \alpha]\right) \middle/ \binom{n}{m}\right)^{1/m}}{\geq \left(\sum_{\omega \in Q_{m+1,n}} \det \left(A[\omega \mid \omega]\right) \middle/ \binom{n}{m+1}\right)^{1/(m+1)}}.$$

Notice that (3) compares the geometric mean of the principal subdeterminants of order m with the geometric mean of the principal subdeterminants of order m + 1. Also (6) makes the same kind of comparison for the arithmetic means of these quantities. The result (1) compares the maxima of the two sets of subdeterminants.

To prove the theorem we state and prove a preliminary lemma.

LEMMA. If A is a positive semi-definite n-square hermitian matrix then

(7)
$$\max_{\alpha \in Q_{m,n}} \det \left(A[\alpha \mid \alpha] \right) \ge (\det (A))^{m/n} , \qquad 1 \le m \le n .$$

Equality holds if and only if either the rank of A is less than m or A is a multiple of the identity matrix.

Proof. We use some properties of the compound matrix of A, denoted by $C_m(A)$. The essential facts concerning $C_m(A)$ are [1, pp. 17, 24, 70]:

- (i) det $(C_m(A)) = (\det(A))^{\binom{n-1}{m-1}}$ (Sylvester-Franke theorem);
- (ii) if A is positive semi-definite hermitian, so is $C_m(A)$;
- (iii) the characteristic roots of $C_m(A)$ are the $\binom{n}{m}$ products

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_{\omega_i}, \quad \omega \in Q_{m,n}$$
 .

We want to prove that

(8)
$$\max_{\alpha \in Q_{m,n}} \det \left(A[\alpha \mid \alpha] \right) \ge (\det (A))^{m/n} .$$

If we apply the Hadamard determinant theorem [1, p. 114] to $C_m(A)$ then we conclude from (i)

$$(9) \qquad \prod_{\alpha \in Q_{m,n}} \det \left(A[\alpha \mid \alpha] \right) \ge \det \left(C_m(A) \right) = \left(\det \left(A \right) \right)^{\binom{n-1}{m-1}}$$

922

If for every $\alpha \in Q_{m,n}$, det $(A[\alpha \mid \alpha])$ were strictly less than $(\det(A))^{m/n}$ then from (9) we would conclude that

(10)
$$(\det(A))^{\binom{n-1}{m-1}} < ((\det(A))^{m/n})^{\binom{n}{m}} = (\det(A))^{\binom{n-1}{m-1}},$$

a contradiction. Thus (8) holds. If (8) were equality suppose first that not all det $(A[\alpha \mid \alpha]), \alpha \in Q_{m,n}$ are equal. Then from (9) we would obtain the same contradiction (10). Thus for equality to hold in (8)

$$\det \left(A[\alpha \mid \alpha] \right) = (\det (A))^{m/n}$$

for all $\alpha \in Q_{m,n}$. This means that all the main diagonal elements of $C_m(A)$ are equal. If this common value is 0 then A has rank at most m-1. If the common value is nonzero then (9) is equality throughout and as we know from the case of equality in the Hadamard determinant theorem $C_m(A)$ is a multiple of the identity. Thus from (iii) we know that the characteristic roots

$$\prod\limits_{i=1}^m \lambda_{lpha_i}, \;\; lpha \in Q_{m,n}, \;\; m < n$$
 ,

are equal. But then it follows that $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n$ and hence A is a multiple of the identity, completing the proof of the lemma.

To prove the inequality (1) we apply the lemma to submatrices. Let ω^0 be a sequence in $Q_{m+1,n}$ for which

(11)
$$\det \left(A[\omega^{0} \mid \omega^{0}]\right) = \max_{\omega \in Q_{m+1,n}} \det \left(A[\omega \mid \omega]\right).$$

For $\alpha \in Q_{m,n}$ and α a subsequence of ω^0 , i.e., $\alpha \subset \omega^0$, we know that $A[\alpha \mid \alpha]$ is an *m*-square submatrix of $A[\omega^0 \mid \omega^0]$. Hence, by the lemma,

(12)
$$\max_{\alpha \in Q_{m,n}, \alpha \subseteq \omega^0} \det \left(A[\alpha \mid \alpha] \right) \ge \left(\det \left(A[\omega^0 \mid \omega^0] \right) \right)^{m/(m+1)}$$

Thus a fortiori

(13)
$$\max_{\alpha \in Q_{m,n}} \det \left(A[\alpha \mid \alpha] \right) \ge \left(\det \left(A[\omega^0 \mid \omega^0] \right) \right)^{m/(m+1)}$$

Applying (11) we obtain the inequality (1) from (13).

If equality holds in (1) then (12) must be equality as well. Therefore either the rank of $A[\omega^0 | \omega^0]$ is less than m or $A[\omega^0 | \omega^0]$ is a multiple of the (m + 1)-square identity matrix. If the former is the case then det $(A[\omega^0 | \omega^0]) = 0$ and hence, since (13) is equality, every *m*th order principal subdeterminant of A is 0. Thus the rank of A is less than m.

M. MARCUS AND H. MINC

Reference

1. Marvin Marcus and Henryk Minc, A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1964.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI University of Southern California

Los Angeles, California 90007

*RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. No separate author's resumé is required. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

* Basil Gordon, Acting Managing Editor until February 1, 1966.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 15, No. 3 November, 1965

David R. Arterburn and Robert James Whitley, <i>Projections in the space of bounded linear operators</i>	739
Robert McCallum Blumenthal, Joram Lindenstrauss and Robert Ralph Phelps,	157
Extreme operators into $C(K)$	747
L. Carlitz, A note on multiple exponential sums	757
Joseph A. Cima, A nonnormal Blaschke-quotient	767
Paul Civin and Bertram Yood, <i>Lie and Jordan structures in Banach algebras</i>	775
Luther Elic Claborn, Dedekind domains: Overrings and semi-prime	
elements	799
Luther Elic Claborn, Note generalizing a result of Samuel's	805
George Bernard Dantzig, E. Eisenberg and Richard Warren Cottle, Symmetric	
dual nonlinear programs	809
Philip J. Davis, Simple quadratures in the complex plane	813
Edward Richard Fadell, On a coincidence theorem of F. B. Fuller	825
Delbert Ray Fulkerson and Oliver Gross, Incidence matrices and interval	
graphs	835
Larry Charles Grove, <i>Tensor products over</i> H*-algebras	857
Deborah Tepper Haimo, L^2 expansions in terms of generalized heat polynomials	
and of their Appell transforms	865
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs and Donald Steven Passman, A characterization of	
groups in terms of the degrees of their characters	877
Donald Gordon James, Integral invariants for vectors over local fields	905
Fred Krakowski, A remark on the lemma of Gauss	917
Marvin David Marcus and H. Minc, A subdeterminant inequality	921
Kevin Mor McCrimmon, Norms and noncommutative Jordan algebras	925
Donald Earl Myers, Topologies for Laplace transform spaces	957
Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets	961
Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability	971
Barbara Osofsky, A counter-example to a lemma of Skornjakov	985
Sidney Charles Port, Ratio limit theorems for Markov chains	989
George A. Reid, A generalisation of W*-algebras	1019
Robert Wells Ritchie, Classes of recursive functions based on Ackermann's	
function	1027
Thomas Lawrence Sherman, <i>Properties of solutions of nth order linear</i>	
differential equations	1045
Ernst Snapper, Inflation and deflation for all dimensions	1061
Kondagunta Sundaresan, On the strict and uniform convexity of certain Banach	
spaces	1083
Frank J. Wagner, <i>Maximal convex filters in a locally convex space</i>	1087
Joseph Albert Wolf, Translation-invariant function algebras on compact	
groups	1093