# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A GENERALISATION OF W\*-ALGEBRAS

GEORGE A. REID

Vol. 15, No. 3

November 1965

## A GENERALISATION OF W\*-ALGEBRAS

## G. A. REID

Using the theory of double centralisers due to B. E. Johnson, we define a  $QW^*$ -algebra as being a  $B^*$ -algebra, A, such that the algebra of double centralisers of each closed \*-subalgebra B is contained in a suitable related closed \*-subalgebra  $B_{00}$ .

After obtaining explicit descriptions of the algebras of double centralisers of commutative and noncommutative  $B^*$ algebras, we prove that in the general noncommutative case a  $W^*$ -algebra is c,  $QW^*$ -algebra, and a  $QW^*$ -algebra is an  $AW^*$ -algebra, while in the commutative case the  $QW^*$  and  $AW^*$  conditions are equivalent.

We prove that if A is  $QW^*$  then so are its centre, any maximal commutative \*-subalgebra, and any subalgebra of the form eAe for e a projection in A.

We shall be concerned with centraliser theory, for the basic details of which reference may be made to Johnson [2], [3].

I should like to take this opportunity of expressing my sincere gratitude to Dr. J. H. Williamson, my research supervisor, for his advice and encouragement.

DEFINITION 1. A left centraliser  $\mathcal{T}$  of the algebra A is a linear map  $\mathcal{T}$  of A into itself such that  $\mathcal{T}(xy) = (\mathcal{T}x)y$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

A right centraliser S is a linear operator on A such that S(xy) = x(Sy) for all  $x, y \in A$ .

A double centraliser (the concept is due to Johnson [2]) is a pair of linear operators  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S})$  such that  $x \cdot (\mathcal{T}y) = (\mathcal{S}x) \cdot y$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

The set of all double centralisers on A is denoted by Q(A).

We will assume throughout that xA = 0 or Ax = 0 only holds for x = 0. We note that this holds for  $B^*$ -algebras since  $xA = 0 \Rightarrow xx^* = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ , and  $Ax = 0 \Rightarrow x^*x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ .

It is not difficult to see that defining  $(\mathscr{T}_x, \mathscr{S}_x) \in Q(A)$  for  $x \in A$  by  $\mathscr{T}_x(y) = xy, \, \mathscr{S}_x(y) = yx$ , and algebraic operations in Q(A) by

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1(\mathscr{T}_1,\mathscr{S}_1) + \lambda_2(\mathscr{T}_2,\mathscr{S}_2) &= (\lambda_1\mathscr{T}_1 + \lambda_2\mathscr{T}_2,\lambda_1\mathscr{S}_1 + \lambda_2\mathscr{S}_2) \\ (\mathscr{T}_1,\mathscr{S}_1)\boldsymbol{\cdot}(\mathscr{T}_2,\mathscr{S}_2) &= (\mathscr{T}_1\mathscr{T}_2,\mathscr{S}_2\mathscr{S}_1) \end{split}$$

Received August 27, 1964.

we have A embedded as a subalgebra of Q(A), which is an algebra with identity. A = Q(A) if and only if A has an identity. Also, for  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) \in Q(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a left centraliser and  $\mathcal{S}$  is a right centraliser, and either of  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}$  determines the other uniquely.

If A is commutative, the notions of right, left and double centraliser coincide, and for  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) \in Q(A)$  we have  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S}$ .

PROPOSITION 1. If A is a Banach algebra then all double centralisers are continuous.

Proof. Suppose 
$$(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) \in Q(A)$$
 and say  $x_n \to x, \mathcal{T}x_n \to y$ . Then  
 $z \cdot (\mathcal{T}x_n) = (\mathcal{S}z) \cdot x_n$   
 $\to z \cdot y \to (\mathcal{S}z) \cdot x = z \cdot (\mathcal{T}x)$ .

So  $z(y - \mathcal{T}x) = 0$  for all  $z \in A$  i.e.  $A(y - \mathcal{T}x) = 0$  and so  $y = \mathcal{T}x$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{T}$  is a closed operator on the Banach space A, hence by the Closed Graph Theorem,  $\mathcal{T}$  is continuous. Likewise so is  $\mathcal{S}$ .

We are particularly interested in  $C^*$ -algebras and in both the commutative and noncommutative cases explicit descriptions of their centraliser algebras may be given.

By the Gelfand Representation Theorem a commutative  $B^*$ -algebra is isometrically isomorphic to the space  $C_0(Z)$  of all continuous functions vanishing at infinity on its carrier space, Z, a locally compact Hausdorff space.

PROPOSITION 2. For a locally compact Hausdorff space Z we have  $QC_0(Z) = C(Z)$ , the space of all bounded continuous functions on Z.

*Proof.* Certainly any  $h \in C(Z)$  defines an element  $\mathscr{T}_h$  of  $QC_0(Z)$  by  $\mathscr{T}_h f = h \cdot f$  for  $f \in C_0(Z)$ , for

$$f \in C_0(Z), h \in C(Z) \Longrightarrow hf \in C_0(Z)$$

and

$$h(fg) = (hf)g$$
.

We clearly have  $||\mathcal{T}_h|| \leq ||h||_{\infty}$ . Suppose conversely we are given a centraliser  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $C_0(Z)$ . Then for  $f, g \in C_0(Z)$  we have

$$(\mathscr{T}f)g = \mathscr{T}(fg) = \mathscr{T}(gf) = (\mathscr{T}g)f$$

so for  $z \in Z$  taking any  $f \in C_0(Z)$  such that  $f(z) \neq 0$  and defining  $h(z) = \mathscr{T}f(z)/f(z)$  we have h(z) well defined independently of f.

Being a quotient of continuous functions, h is continuous at z, for each  $z \in Z$ . And for any  $g \in C_0(Z)$ ,

$$\mathscr{T}g(z) = rac{\mathscr{T}f(z)}{f(z)}g(z) = h(z)g(z)$$

so

$$\mathscr{T}g = hg = \mathscr{T}_hg$$
 .

Now by Proposition 1,  $\mathscr{T}$  is a bounded operator, so taking  $f \in C_0(Z)$  such that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  and f(z) = 1 we have  $h(z) = \mathscr{T}f(z)$  and  $|\mathscr{T}f(z)| \leq ||\mathscr{T}f||_{\infty} \leq ||\mathscr{T}|| ||f||_{\infty} = ||\mathscr{T}||$  so  $||h||_{\infty} \leq ||\mathscr{T}||$  and we see  $h \in C(Z)$ .

Hence all  $\mathscr{T}$  are of the form  $\mathscr{T}_h$  and  $||\mathscr{T}|| = ||h||_{\infty}$ . So  $QC_0(Z) = C(Z)$ .

PROPOSITION 3. If A is a  $C^*$ -algebra over H, principal identity E, then Q(A) is isometrically isomorphic to

$$\{T\in \mathscr{B}(H): T=ETE, TA\cup AT\subset A\}$$
.

*Proof.* Recall that the principal identity of a  $C^*$ -algebra A is defined to be the orthogonal projection of H onto  $M = H \bigoplus N$  where  $N = \{\xi \in H: A\xi = 0\}$ . Equivalently M is the closure of

$$M_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \{T {m \xi}: T \,{\in}\, A,\, {m \xi} \,{\in}\, H\}$$
 .

Suppose given  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) \in Q(A)$ , then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a bounded left centraliser.

Since A is a C\*-algebra it has an approximate identity (Segal [6]),  $(Z_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in A}$  say, so  $||Z_{\lambda}|| = 1$ , and  $SZ_{\lambda} \to S$ ,  $Z_{\lambda}S \to S$  for each  $S \in A$ . So  $\mathscr{T}(Z_{\lambda}S) \to \mathscr{T}(S)$ . But  $\mathscr{T}(Z_{\lambda}S) = \mathscr{T}(Z_{\lambda})S = T_{\lambda}S$  where  $T_{\lambda} = \mathscr{T}(Z_{\lambda})$ , so  $\mathscr{T}(S) = \lim_{\lambda} T_{\lambda}S$  and  $||T_{\lambda}|| \leq ||\mathscr{T}|| ||Z_{\lambda}|| = ||\mathscr{T}||$ . For  $\xi \in M_{1}$ ,  $\xi = S\eta$  some  $S \in A, \eta \in H$  so  $\mathscr{T}(S)\eta = \lim_{\lambda} T_{\lambda}S\eta = \lim_{\lambda} T_{\lambda}\xi$ . Define  $T\xi = \lim_{\lambda} T_{\lambda}\xi = \mathscr{T}(S)\eta$ , then T maps  $M_{1}$  into M and  $||T\xi|| \leq ||\mathscr{T}|| ||\xi||$ so  $||T|| \leq ||\mathscr{T}||$ .

So extend T to a map of M into M and define T = 0 on  $H \ominus M$ , so we have T = ETE and  $\mathscr{T}(S)\eta = \lim_{\lambda} T_{\lambda}S\eta = TS\eta$ . Therefore  $\mathscr{T}(S) = TS$  and  $||\mathscr{T}|| \leq ||T||$ . So  $||\mathscr{T}|| = ||T||$ .

We have

$$(\mathscr{S}S)Z_{\lambda} = S(\mathscr{T}Z_{\lambda}) = STZ_{\lambda}$$
  
 $\rightarrow \mathscr{S}S \qquad \rightarrow ST.$ 

So  $\mathscr{S}(S) = ST$  for all  $S \in A$ , and as for  $\mathscr{T}, || \mathscr{S} || = || T ||$ . Since  $TS, ST \in A$  for all  $S \in A$  we have  $TA \cup AT \subset A$ . Conversely given any

T such that T = ETE and  $TA \cup AT \subset A$ , the maps  $S \to TS$ ,  $S \to ST$  both map A into itself and define a double centraliser of A. Hence result.

Denote the set  $\{T \in \mathscr{B}(H): T = ETE, TA \cup AT \subset A\}$  by I(A), the idealiser of A in  $E \cdot \mathscr{B}(H) \cdot E$ .

Now let us suppose that B is a closed \*-subalgebra of the B\*-algebra A. We define  $B_0 = \{x \in A : Bx = xB = 0\}$  and  $B_{00} = (B_0)_0$ . Then  $B_{00}$  is a closed \*-subalgebra of A containing B. Should it be necessary to make explicit mention of the algebra A we will write  $B_0(A)$ , etc.

Suppose two elements  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  of  $B_{00}$  give the same double centraliser on B, so  $x_1y = x_2y$  and  $yx_1 = yx_2$  for all  $y \in B$ . Then  $(x_1 - x_2)B = B(x_1 - x_2) = 0$  so  $x_1 - x_2 \in B_0$ . But  $(x_1 - x_2)^* \in B_{00}$  so we have

$$(x_1 - x_2)^*(x_1 - x_2) = 0$$

and hence  $x_1 - x_2 = 0$ . So  $x_1 = x_2$ .

DEFINITION 2. A  $B^*$ -algebra A is said to be a  $QW^*$ -algebra if for each closed \*-subalgebra B of A all double centralisers of B are given by elements of  $B_{00}$ . We see that for each double centraliser the corresponding element of  $B_{00}$  is unique, and so we may briefly say that A is  $QW^*$  if and only if  $Q(B) \subset B_{00}$  for all closed \*-subalgebras B.

We recall the definition of an  $AW^*$ -algebra (Kaplansky [4]).

DEFINITION 3. A  $B^*$ -algebra A is said to be an  $AW^*$ -algebra if (i) every set of orthogonal projections in A has a least upper bound in A.

(ii) every maximal commutative \*-subalgebra B of A is generated by its projections.

We also recall that a  $W^*$ -algebra is a  $C^*$ -algebra, over H say, which is closed in the weak operator topology defined by seminorms  $||T||_{\xi,\eta} = |\langle T\xi, \eta \rangle|$  for  $\xi, \eta \in H$ . Denote weak closure by  ${}^{-w}$ .

PROPOSITION 4. For A a C\*-algebra,  $I(A) \subset A^{-w}$ .

*Proof.* By von Neumann's Double Commutant Theorem,  $A^{-w} = \{T \in \mathscr{B}(H): T = ETE, T \in A''\}$  where as usual A'' denotes the double commutant of A.

Suppose  $T \in I(A)$ ,  $S \in A'$ ,  $R \in A$ , then certainly T = ETE and (ST - TS)R = S(TR) - T(SR) = TRS - TRS = 0. So (ST - TS)E = 0

and therefore ST = TSE. Since  $T^* \in I(A)$ ,  $S^* \in A'$  we have  $S^*T^* = T^*S^*E$  so TS = EST. Thus TS = EST = ETSE = TSE = ST and so  $T \in A''$ . Hence  $I(A) \subset A''$ .

THEOREM 1. For a B\*-algebra A,  $W^* \Rightarrow QW^* \Rightarrow AW^*$ .

If A is commutative, carrier space Z, then A is  $QW^* \Leftrightarrow A$  is  $AW^* \Leftrightarrow Z$  is extremally disconnected.

*Proof.* If A is a  $W^*$ -algebra and B is a closed \*-subalgebra of A with principal identity E, then since A is  $W^*$  we note  $E \in A$ , and by Proposition 4,  $I(B) \subset B^{-w} \subset A^{-w} = A$ . Also we easily see that  $B_0 = (I - E)A(I - E)$  so  $B_{00} = EAE$ . Thus  $Q(B) \subset B_{00}$  by Proposition 3 and hence A is  $QW^*$ .

Suppose now that A is a commutative  $B^*$ -algebra, carrier space Z, so by the Gelfand Representation Theorem A is isometrically isomorphic to  $C_0(Z)$ .

It is well known that A is  $AW^*$  if and only if Z is an extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff space.

Suppose A is  $QW^*$ , then taking B = A we see that A has an identity, so Z is compact Hausdorff.

Let U be any open dense subset of Z.

Then taking  $B = \{f \in C(Z) : f = 0 \text{ on } Z \setminus U\} = C_0(U)$ , B is a closed \*-ideal in A so  $Q(B) = C(U) \subset A$ .

So any continuous function f on U is extendible to Z. Therefore Z is extremally disconnected (see Gillman and Jerison [1], p. 96).

Now suppose that Z is an extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff space, and suppose B is a closed \*-subalgebra of A = C(Z).

Let  $(Z_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in I}$  be the sets of constancy of *B* (see Rickart [5], Ch. 3, § 2), then these form an upper semicontinuous decomposition of *Z*, so the space of these sets, *Z'* say, is a compact Hausdorff space and *B* may be considered as a space of continuous functions on *Z'*.

*B* is self-adjoint and separates points of Z', so by the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, *either B* consists of all continuous functions on Z', in which case *B* has an identity so Q(B) = B, or *B* consists of all continuous functions on Z' vanishing at some point  $Z_0$  of Z'. So Q(B) =all continuous functions on  $Z' \setminus \{Z_0\}$ .

Given any function on  $Z' \setminus \{Z_0\}$  it corresponds to a function f on  $Z \setminus Z_0 = Y$  say.

Y is open, so  $\overline{Y}$  is a compact open subset of Z, and therefore  $\overline{Y}$  is extremally disconnected (Gillman and Jerison [1], p. 23). So there exists an extension of f to  $\overline{Y}$ , and defining f = 0 on  $Z \setminus \overline{Y}$  we extend f to a continuous function on Z.

Now since

$$B_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \{g \in C(Z) \colon g = 0 \ ext{ on } Y \} \ = \{g \in C(Z) \colon g = 0 \ ext{ on } ar{Y} \}$$

and

$$B_{\scriptscriptstyle 00} = \{g \in C(Z) \colon g = 0 \hspace{0.1 cm} ext{on} \hspace{0.1 cm} Z ackslash ar{Y} \}$$

we therefore have  $Q(B) \subset B_{00}$ .

So A is  $QW^*$  and we have proved our theorem for A commutative. Now let us return to the general case and suppose A to be  $QW^*$ . (i) Suppose  $(e_{\alpha})$  is a set of orthogonal projections in A (so  $\alpha \neq \beta \Rightarrow e_{\alpha}e_{\beta} = 0$ ).

Let B = closed \*-subalgebra of A generated by the  $e_{\alpha}$ 's.

= closed linear hull of the  $e_{\alpha}$ 's.

Now there exists a unique  $e \in B_{00}$  such that ex = xe = x for all  $x \in B$  and  $e^*$ ,  $e^2 \in B_{00}$  with

$$e^*x = xe^* = x$$
  
 $e^2x = xe^2 = x$  for all  $x \in B$ .

So  $e^2 = e^* = e$  and thus e is a projection.

Also  $ee_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha}e = e_{\alpha}$  all  $\alpha$ , so  $e \ge e_{\alpha}$  all  $\alpha$ .

Now suppose f is a projection in A such that  $f \ge all e_{\alpha}$ . Then  $fe_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha}f = e_{\alpha}$  all  $\alpha$ , so since all  $x \in B$  are limits of linear combinations of the  $e_{\alpha}$ 's, we have fx = xf = x for all  $x \in B$ .

Now

$$y \in B_{\circ} \Rightarrow yfx = yx = 0$$
  
 $xyf = 0$  all  $x \in B \Rightarrow yf \in B_{\circ}$ 

so for all  $y \in B_0$ ,

$$fey=f0=0 \ yfe=0 ext{ thus } fe \in B_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}$$
 .

But

$$fex = fx = x$$
  
 $xfe = xe = x$ 

all  $x \in B$ , so since e is unique, e = fe. So ef = fe = e and  $e \leq f$ .

Hence e is a least upper bound in A for the  $e_{\alpha}$ 's.

(ii) Suppose B is a maximal commutative \*-subalgebra of A. Then by Proposition 5 below, B is  $QW^*$ , thus since B is commutative it follows from the above result that B is  $AW^*$ , and is a maximal commutative \*-subalgebra of itself and therefore generated by its projections. Thus we have both conditions for A to be  $AW^*$ .

The obvious question of interest arising from this theorem is whether or not the  $QW^*$  and the  $AW^*$  conditions are equivalent in the noncommutative case, but so far we have not been able to settle this problem.

We now prove some results for  $QW^*$ -algebras similar to those holding for  $W^*$ - and  $AW^*$ -algebras. We are indebted to the referee for pointing out case (iv) of Proposition 5 as generalising cases (i) and (ii).

PROPOSITION 5. If A is a  $QW^*$ -algebra then so also are the following closed \*-subalgebras of A:

(i) the centre Z of A,

(ii) any maximal commutative \*-subalgebra of A,

(iii) the subalgebra eAe for any projection e in A,

(iv) S'' for any subset S of A such that  $S^* = S$ , where S'' is the double commutant of S in A.

**Proof.** We first prove (iv) from which (i) and (ii) follow immediately. (iv) Suppose B is a closed \*-subalgebra of S''.

Since A is  $QW^*$  any double centraliser on B is given by some  $x \in B_{00}(A)$ .

To prove  $x \in B_{00}(S'')$ , since  $B_0(S'') \subset B_0(A)$ , we need only show  $x \in S''$ . Let  $y \in S'$ ,  $z \in B \subset S''$ , then

$$(xy - yx)z = x(yz) - y(xz) = xzy - xzy = 0$$
  
 $z(xy - yx) = (zx)y - (zy)x = yzx - yzx = 0$ 

so  $xy - yx \in B_0(A)$ .

Now

$$egin{aligned} u \in B_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(A) &\Rightarrow yuz = 0 \ zyu = yzu = 0 \ ext{ all } z \in B \Rightarrow yu \in B_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(A) ext{ ,} \end{aligned}$$

and likewise  $u \in B_0(A) \Longrightarrow uy \in B_0(A)$ .

Therefore since  $x \in B_{00}(A)$ , xyu = 0 and uxy = 0 for all  $u \in B_0(A)$ , so  $xy \in B_{00}(A)$ , and likewise  $yx \in B_{00}(A)$ . So  $(xy - yx)^* \in B_{00}(A)$  and hence xy - yx = 0 for all  $y \in S'$ . Thus  $x \in S''$  and the result follows.

(i) We have Z = A', Z' = A so Z = Z'', and clearly  $Z = Z^*$ , so the result follows from (iv).

(ii) Suppose C is a maximal commutative \*-subalgebra of A, then by maximality C is closed and C' = C, so C = C'' and the result follows from (iv).

(iii) Let B be a closed \*-subalgebra of eAe, then since A is  $QW^*$ 

any double centraliser on B is given by some  $x \in B_{00}(A)$ . Since  $B \subset eAe$ we have  $y \in B_0(A) \Longrightarrow ey$ ,  $ye \in B_0(A)$  and  $x \in B_{00}(A) \Longrightarrow exe \in B_{00}(A)$ .

But for  $z \in A$  we have

$$zexe = (zx)e = zx$$
  
 $exez = e(xz) = xz$ 

so by the uniqueness of x in  $B_{00}(A)$  we have x = exe. Thus  $x \in eAe$  and so  $x \in B_{00}(eAe)$ . Hence eAe is  $QW^*$ .

## References

1. L. Gillman, and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, van Nostrand, Inc., Princeton, N.J. (1960).

2. B. E. Johnson, *Centralisers in topological algebras*, Ph.D. dissertation, Cambridge. (1961).

3. \_\_\_\_\_, An introduction to the theory of centralisers, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 14 (1964), 299-320.

4. I. Kaplansky, Projections in Banach algebras, Ann. of Math. 53 (1951), 235-249.

5. C. E. Rickart, General Theory of Banach Algebras, van Nostrand, Inc., Princeton, N.J. (1960).

6. I. E. Segal, Irreducible representations of operator algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947), 73-88.

ST. JOHN'S COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

\*RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSIDA

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \*

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. No separate author's resumé is required. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

\* Basil Gordon, Acting Managing Editor until February 1, 1966.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 15, No. 3 November, 1965

David R. Arterburn and Robert James Whitley, Projections in the space of	
bounded linear operators	739
Robert McCallum Blumenthal, Joram Lindenstrauss and Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Extreme operators into</i> $C(K)$	747
L. Carlitz, A note on multiple exponential sums	757
Joseph A. Cima, A nonnormal Blaschke-quotient	767
Paul Civin and Bertram Yood, <i>Lie and Jordan structures in Banach algebras</i>	775
Luther Elic Claborn, <i>Dedekind domains: Overrings and semi-prime</i>	799
Luther Elic Claborn, Note generalizing a result of Samuel's	805
George Bernard Dantzig, E. Eisenberg and Richard Warren Cottle. <i>Symmetric</i>	005
dual nonlinear programs	809
Philip J. Davis, <i>Simple quadratures in the complex plane</i>	813
Edward Richard Fadell, <i>On a coincidence theorem of F. B. Fuller</i>	825
Delbert Ray Fulkerson and Oliver Gross, <i>Incidence matrices and interval</i>	
graphs	835
Larry Charles Grove, <i>Tensor products over H*-algebras</i>	857
Deborah Tepper Haimo, $L^2$ expansions in terms of generalized heat polynomials	
and of their Appell transforms	865
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs and Donald Steven Passman, A chardcterization of	
groups in terms of the degrees of their characters	877
Donald Gordon James, Integral invariants for vectors over local fields	905
Fred Krakowski, A remark on the lemma of Gauss	917
Marvin David Marcus and H. Minc, <i>A subdeterminant inequality</i>	921
Kevin Mor McCrimmon, <i>Norms and noncommutative Jordan algebras</i>	925
Donald Farl Myers Topologies for Laplace transform spaces	
Donald Lan Myers, Topologies for Euplace transform spaces	957
Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets	957 961
Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets         Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability	957 961 971
Olav Njstad, <i>On some classes of nearly open sets</i>	957 961 971 985
Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability Barbara Osofsky, A counter-example to a lemma of Skornjakov Sidney Charles Port, Ratio limit theorems for Markov chains	957 961 971 985 989
Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets         Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability         Barbara Osofsky, A counter-example to a lemma of Skornjakov         Sidney Charles Port, Ratio limit theorems for Markov chains         George A. Reid, A generalisation of W*-algebras	957 961 971 985 989 1019
Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets         Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability         Barbara Osofsky, A counter-example to a lemma of Skornjakov         Sidney Charles Port, Ratio limit theorems for Markov chains         George A. Reid, A generalisation of W*-algebras         Robert Wells Ritchie, Classes of recursive functions based on Ackermann's	957 961 971 985 989 1019
<ul> <li>Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets</li> <li>Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability</li> <li>Barbara Osofsky, A counter-example to a lemma of Skornjakov</li> <li>Sidney Charles Port, Ratio limit theorems for Markov chains</li> <li>George A. Reid, A generalisation of W*-algebras</li> <li>Robert Wells Ritchie, Classes of recursive functions based on Ackermann's function</li> </ul>	957 961 971 985 989 1019 1027
<ul> <li>Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets</li> <li>Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability</li> <li>Barbara Osofsky, A counter-example to a lemma of Skornjakov</li> <li>Sidney Charles Port, Ratio limit theorems for Markov chains</li> <li>George A. Reid, A generalisation of W*-algebras</li> <li>Robert Wells Ritchie, Classes of recursive functions based on Ackermann's function</li> <li>Thomas Lawrence Sherman, Properties of solutions of nth order linear</li> </ul>	957 961 971 985 989 1019 1027
<ul> <li>Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets</li> <li>Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability</li> <li>Barbara Osofsky, A counter-example to a lemma of Skornjakov</li> <li>Sidney Charles Port, Ratio limit theorems for Markov chains</li> <li>George A. Reid, A generalisation of W*-algebras</li> <li>Robert Wells Ritchie, Classes of recursive functions based on Ackermann's function</li> <li>Thomas Lawrence Sherman, Properties of solutions of nth order linear differential equations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>957</li> <li>961</li> <li>971</li> <li>985</li> <li>989</li> <li>1019</li> <li>1027</li> <li>1045</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets</li> <li>Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability</li> <li>Barbara Osofsky, A counter-example to a lemma of Skornjakov</li> <li>Sidney Charles Port, Ratio limit theorems for Markov chains</li> <li>George A. Reid, A generalisation of W*-algebras</li> <li>Robert Wells Ritchie, Classes of recursive functions based on Ackermann's function</li> <li>Thomas Lawrence Sherman, Properties of solutions of nth order linear differential equations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>957</li> <li>961</li> <li>971</li> <li>985</li> <li>989</li> <li>1019</li> <li>1027</li> <li>1045</li> <li>1061</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets</li> <li>Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability</li> <li>Barbara Osofsky, A counter-example to a lemma of Skornjakov</li> <li>Sidney Charles Port, Ratio limit theorems for Markov chains</li> <li>George A. Reid, A generalisation of W*-algebras</li> <li>Robert Wells Ritchie, Classes of recursive functions based on Ackermann's function</li> <li>Thomas Lawrence Sherman, Properties of solutions of nth order linear differential equations</li> <li>Ernst Snapper, Inflation and deflation for all dimensions</li> <li>Kondagunta Sundaresan, On the strict and uniform convexity of certain Banach</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>957</li> <li>961</li> <li>971</li> <li>985</li> <li>989</li> <li>1019</li> <li>1027</li> <li>1045</li> <li>1061</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets</li></ul>	<ul> <li>957</li> <li>961</li> <li>971</li> <li>985</li> <li>989</li> <li>1019</li> <li>1027</li> <li>1045</li> <li>1061</li> <li>1083</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets</li></ul>	<ul> <li>957</li> <li>961</li> <li>971</li> <li>985</li> <li>989</li> <li>1019</li> <li>1027</li> <li>1045</li> <li>1061</li> <li>1083</li> <li>1087</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Olav Njstad, On some classes of nearly open sets</li> <li>Milton Philip Olson, A characterization of conditional probability</li> <li>Barbara Osofsky, A counter-example to a lemma of Skornjakov</li> <li>Sidney Charles Port, Ratio limit theorems for Markov chains</li> <li>George A. Reid, A generalisation of W*-algebras</li> <li>Robert Wells Ritchie, Classes of recursive functions based on Ackermann's function</li> <li>Thomas Lawrence Sherman, Properties of solutions of nth order linear differential equations</li> <li>Ernst Snapper, Inflation and deflation for all dimensions</li> <li>Kondagunta Sundaresan, On the strict and uniform convexity of certain Banach spaces</li> <li>Frank J. Wagner, Maximal convex filters in a locally convex space</li> <li>Joseph Albert Wolf, Translation-invariant function algebras on compact</li> </ul>	957 961 971 985 989 1019 1027 1045 1061 1083 1087