# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# A SET OF NONNORMAL NUMBERS

MICHEL MENDÈS FRANCE

Vol. 15, No. 4 December 1965

# A SET OF NONNORMAL NUMBERS

## MICHEL MENDÈS FRANCE

Let P be the set of real polynomials and let E(P) be the the set of real numbers whose nth binary digit from a certain point on is 0 or 1 according as  $[\varphi(n)]$  is even or odd for some  $\varphi \in P$ . We prove that no number in E(P) is normal in the binary system and that E(P) has Hausdorff dimension 0.

Some notations and definitions. It is well known that every real number x of the unit interval which is not a binary fraction can be expanded in the binary system

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n(x)}{2^n}$$

where  $(\varepsilon_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a uniquely determined sequence of functions taking values 0 or 1. The functions  $r_n(x)=1-2\,\varepsilon_n(x)$  are known as the Rademacher functions.

We shall say that x is a normal number (in the binary system) if for every positive integer s and every sequence of positive, strictly increasing integers  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s$  one has:

(1) 
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{n+k_1}(x) \cdots r_{n+k_s}(x) = 0.$$

One can prove that this definition is equivalent to the other usual ones [3], [4], [6].

If t is a real number, [t] will denote the greatest integer not greater than t and  $\{t\} = t - [t]$  the fractional part of t.

Let P be the set of real polynomials and let E(P) be the set of points x such that for some  $\varphi \in P$  and for some  $n_0 \ge 0$ ,  $r_n(x) = \exp i\pi[\varphi(n)]$  for all integers  $n > n_0$ .

We wish to prove first the following theorem:

Theorem 1. E(P) contains only nonnormal numbers.

This result shows that the measure of E(P) is null, since almost all numbers are normal. Now the question arises if E(P) contains "almost all" (in a sense soon to be made precise) nonnormal numbers or not. We answer this question by stating the known result:

Received July 30, 1964. The preparation of this paper was sponsored by the Office of Naval Research. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

The Hausdorff dimension of the set of nonnormal numbers is 1, (see for example [1]),

and by proving our second theorem:

Theorem 2. The Hausdorff dimension of E(P) is 0.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let x be an element of E(P). We show that for a certain sequence of increasing positive integers  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s$  the equation (1) does not hold.

Let  $\varphi$  be a polynomial such that  $r_n(x) = \exp i\pi[\varphi(n)]$  for all sufficiently large integers n. Without loss of generality we may suppose that this relation holds for all positive integers, for normality or non-normality are asymptotic properties. Let the expansion of  $\varphi$  be

(2) 
$$\varphi(n) = \alpha_{\nu} n^{\nu} + \alpha_{\nu-1} n^{\nu-1} + \cdots + \alpha_{1} n + \alpha_{0}, \quad \nu \geq 1.$$

If all the numbers  $\alpha_j(1 \leq j \leq \nu)$  are rational, then x is clearly rational, hence nonnormal. If one of the numbers  $\alpha_j(1 \leq j \leq \nu)$  is irrational, we can without loss of generality suppose that the leading coefficient  $\alpha_{\nu}$  is irrational. Indeed, suppose that  $\alpha_{\mu}(1 \leq \mu < \nu)$  is irrational and that  $\alpha_{\mu+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\nu}$  are rational. Let q be the least common denominator of the  $\nu - \mu$  fractions  $\alpha_{\mu+1} \cdots, \alpha_{\nu}$ . If x is normal, then so is the number y defined by  $r_n(y) = \exp i\pi[\varphi(2qn)]$  for all integers n. But clearly  $[\varphi(2qn)] \equiv [\psi(n)] \pmod{2}$  where  $\psi(n) = \alpha_{\mu}(2q)^{\mu}n^{\mu} + \dots + \alpha_{0}$ . This shows that we can now deal with  $\psi$ , the leading coefficient of which is irrational.

From now on in this section,  $\varphi$  is defined by equation (2) where  $\alpha_{\nu}$  is an irrational number.

We need the known identity for polynomials of degree  $\nu$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\ 3\ ) & \varphi(n+\nu) \equiv {\nu \choose 1} \varphi(n+\nu-1) \\ & - {\nu \choose 2} \varphi(n+\nu-2) + \cdots + (-1)^{\nu-1} {\nu \choose \nu} \varphi(n) + \nu \ ! \ \alpha_{\nu} \end{array}$$

and the lemma:

**LEMMA 1.** If  $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\nu})$  is a Riemann integrable function which is of period 1 in each variable and if  $\varphi$  is a real polynomial of degree  $\nu$ , the leading coefficient of which is irrational, then the following equality holds:

$$egin{aligned} &\lim_{N o\infty}rac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^NF(arphi(n),\;arphi(n+1),\;\cdots,\;arphi(n+
u-1))\ &=&\int_{T^
u}F(x_1,\,x_2,\,\cdots,\,x_
u)dx_1,\;\cdots,\;dx_
u\;. \end{aligned}$$

This is a very well known corollary of Weyl's theorems on uniform distribution (see for example [2]).

Combining equality (3) and Lemma 1, one can write:

$$egin{aligned} L &= \lim rac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp i\pi \Big( [arphi(n+
u)] \ &- inom{
u}{1} [arphi(n+
u-1)] + \cdots + (-1)^
u inom{
u}{
u} [arphi(n)] \Big) \ &= \lim rac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp i\pi \Big( igg[inom{
u}{1} arphi(n+
u-1)] \ &- inom{
u}{2} arphi(n+
u-2) + \cdots + 
u \,! \, lpha_
u igg] \ &- inom{
u}{1} [arphi(n+
u-1)] + \cdots + (-1)^
u [arphi(n)] \Big) \ &= \int_{T^
u} \exp i\pi \Big( igg[inom{
u}{1} 2x_
u - inom{
u}{2} 2x_
u-1 + \cdots + 
u \,! \, lpha_
u igg] \ &- inom{
u}{1} [2x_
u] + \cdots + (-1)^
u [2x_1] \Big) dx_1 \cdots dx_
u \,. \end{aligned}$$

By putting  $2x_j = y_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$ , the integral becomes

$$egin{aligned} L &= rac{1}{2^
u} \int_{\scriptscriptstyle(0,2)
u} \exp i\pi \left( igg[inom{
u}{1} y_
u - inom{
u}{2} y_{
u-1} + \cdots + 
u \,! \, lpha_
u igg] \ &- igg( rac{
u}{1} igg) [y_
u] + \cdots + (-1)^
u [y_
u] igg) dy_
u \cdots dy_
u \,. \end{aligned}$$

Now the identity  $[x + \varepsilon y] = [x] + \varepsilon [y] + [\{x\} + \varepsilon \{y\}]$ ,  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  shows that one has:

$$egin{split} \left[inom{
u}{1}y_
u - inom{
u}{2}y_
u_{
u-1} + \cdots + 
u! & lpha_
u 
ight] = inom{
u}{1}[y_
u] - inom{
u}{2}[y_
u-1] + \cdots + [
u! & lpha_
u] \ + \left[inom{
u}{1}[y_
u] - \cdots + \{
u! & lpha_
u\} 
ight] \end{split}$$

so that:

$$egin{aligned} L &= rac{\pm}{2}^1\!\int_{\scriptscriptstyle(0,2)^{
u}} \exp i\pi iggl[inom{
u}{1}igl\{y_{
u}igr\} - igl(rac{
u}{2}igr)igl\{y_{
u-1}igr\} + \cdots + igl\{
u\,!\,lpha_{
u}igr\} iggl] dy_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\cdots dy_{\scriptscriptstyle 
u} \ &= \pm\int_{\scriptscriptstyle T^{
u}} \exp i\pi igl[inom{
u}{1}igr)y_{\scriptscriptstyle 
u} - igl(rac{
u}{2}igr)y_{\scriptscriptstyle 
u-1} + \cdots + igl\{
u\,!\,lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 
u}igr\} igr] dy_{\scriptscriptstyle 
u}\cdots dy_{\scriptscriptstyle 
u} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the hyperplane  $\binom{\nu}{1}y_{\nu}-\binom{\nu}{2}y_{\nu-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{\nu-1}y_1=-\{\nu\,!\,\alpha_{\nu}\}$  in the euclidean space  $R^{\nu}$ . It has rational coefficients except for the constant term, which is irrational. Hence it cannot split the unit cube  $(0,1)^{\nu}$  into two regions of equal volume. Therefore the integral L

cannot be 0. Finally we notice that L may be written

$$L = \lim_{N o \infty} rac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N r_{n+
u}(x) (r_{n+
u-1}(x))^{\binom{
u}{1}} \cdots r_n(x) \; ;$$

this completes the demonstration.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. Let  $P_{\nu}$  denote the set of real polynomials of degree  $\nu$ , the coefficients of which are all in the interval [0, 2[. It is easily seen that to prove Theorem 2, it is sufficient to prove the lemma:

LEMMA 2. Let  $E^{\flat}$  be the set of numbers x such that for some  $\varphi \in P_{\flat}$ ,  $r_n(x) = \exp i\pi [\varphi(n)]$  for all integers n. Then the Hausdorff dimension of  $E^{\flat}$  is 0.

Let 
$$\varphi(n) = \alpha_{\nu} n^{\nu} + \cdots + \alpha_{1} n + \alpha_{0}, \quad \alpha_{j} \in [0, 2]$$

and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu})$  be a point in the space  $(0, 2)^{\nu+1}$ . We are going to estimate the number  $N_{\nu}(p)$  of regions in  $(0, 2)^{\nu+1}$  which have the following property: when  $\alpha$  ranges over one of these regions, the sequence  $[\varphi(1)], [\varphi(2)], \dots, [\varphi(p)]$  stays invariant. First let us show:

LEMMA 3. The h-dimensional measure  $(0 \le h \le 1)$  of the set  $E_p^{\vee} = \{x \mid r_n(x) = \exp i\pi[\varphi(n)]; n = 1, 2, \cdots, p; \varphi \in P_{\nu}\}$  satisfies the inquality

$$h ext{-meas }(E_{\scriptscriptstyle p}^{\scriptscriptstyle 
u}) \leqq rac{N_{\scriptscriptstyle 
u}(p)}{2^{p_h}}$$
 .

Indeed, when  $\varphi$  runs through  $P_{\nu}$ ,  $\alpha$  ranges over  $(0,2)^{\nu+1}$ . The set  $E_p^{\nu}$  is composed of at most  $N_{\nu}(p)$  intervals, each of which has h-length  $\left(\frac{1}{2^p}\right)^h$ .

Now, if one notices that  $E^{\nu} = \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} E_p^{\nu}$ , one gets the result that the Hausdorff dimension of  $E^{\nu}$  cannot be greater than

$$\delta = \liminf_{p o \infty} rac{\log N_{
u}(p)}{p \log 2}$$
 .

We wish to show that  $\delta=0$  and we shall do so by proving our last lemma:

LEMMA 4. When p goes to infinity, one has

$$N_{
u}(p) = 0(p^{(
u+1)^2})$$
 .

*Proof.* Let q be an integer such that

$$0 \le q \le 2 (n^{\nu} + n^{\nu-1} + \cdots + n + 1) - 1$$

Consider the set  $R_{n,q}$  of the points  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  defined by

$$q \leq \alpha_{\nu} n^{\nu} + \cdots + \alpha_{1} n + \alpha_{0} < q + 1$$
.

Clearly, when  $\alpha$  runs through the region  $R_{n,q}$ , the quantity  $[\varphi(n)] = [\alpha_{\nu}n^{\nu} + \cdots + \alpha_{1}n + \alpha_{0}]$  stays equal to q. Then let  $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{p}$  be any sequence of integers such that  $0 \leq q_{j} < 2$   $(j^{\nu} + \cdots + j + 1)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \cdots, p$ . When  $\alpha$  ranges over the set  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{p} R_{n,q_{n}}$ , the sequence  $[\varphi(1)]$ ,  $[\varphi(2)]$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $[\varphi(p)]$  does not change. But the number of these regions is at most the number of different regions one can obtain by dissecting the space  $\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}$  by hyperplanes  $\alpha_{\nu}n^{\nu} + \cdots + \alpha_{1}n + \alpha_{0} = q$ . These hyperplanes are at most  $M = M_{\nu}(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} 2(j^{\nu} + \cdots + j + 1) = 0(p^{\nu+1})$ . Now, one can show that the space  $\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}$  is dissected into  $0(M^{\nu+1})$  regions by M hyperplanes [5] and therefore:

$$N_{\nu}(p) = 0(p^{(\nu+1)^2})$$
.

REMARK 1. It is easy to generalize Theorem 2 and obtain the following result. Let  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a countable set of real functions such that

$$\lim_{p o\infty}rac{\log^+|f_n(p)|}{p}=0$$
 ,  $orall n\in {f N}$  .

( $\log^+$  denotes the maximum of 0 and  $\log$ ). Let Q be the set of all real finite linear combinations of the family  $(f_n)$ . Then the Hausdorff dimension of the set E(Q) is 0.

REMARK 2. The proof of Theorem 2 shows that the set  $E^{\nu}$  is not dense on the unit interval (0,1). On the other hand,  $E^{\nu}$  is invariant under the mapping  $x \to \{2x\}$ . From these two remarks, one sees that  $E^{\nu}$  is a Rajchman H-set and that E(p) is therefore a set of uniqueness for trigonometric series. This result is to be compared with the following corollary of Pyatetski-Shapiro's theorem:

The set of nonnormal numbers is not a set of uniqueness.

### REFERENCES

- 1. W. A. Beyer, Hausdorff dimension of level sets of some Rademacher series, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 35-46.
- 2. J. W. S. Cassels, An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation, Cambridge University Press, 1957.

- 3. J. W. S. Cassels, On a paper of Niven and Zuckerman, Pacific J. Math. 2 (1952), 555-557.
- 4. M. Mendès France, Nombres normaux et fonctions pseudo-aléatoires, Ann. Inst. Fourier 13 (1963), 91–104.
- 5. T. S. Motzkin, *The probability of solvability of linear inequalities*, Proc. Second Symposium Linear Programming, Washington (1955), pp. 607-611.
- 6. I. Niven and H. S. Zuckerman, On the definition of normal numbers, Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951), 103-109.

University of California, Los Angeles

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

\*RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

# ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

# SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. No separate author's resumé is required. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal,
but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

\* Basil Gordon, Acting Managing Editor until February 1, 1966.

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 15, No. 4 December, 1965

Robert James Blattier, Group extension representations and the structure sp	oace 1101
Glen Eugene Bredon, On the continuous image of a singular chain complex	1115
David Hilding Carlson, On real eigenvalues of complex matrices	1119
Hsin Chu, Fixed points in a transformation group	1131
Howard Benton Curtis, Jr., The uniformizing function for certain simply con surfaces	
George Wesley Day, Free complete extensions of Boolean algebras	
Edward George Effros, The Borel space of von Neumann algebras on a sepa- space	
Michel Mendès France, A set of nonnormal numbers	
Jack L. Goldberg, Polynomials orthogonal over a denumerable set	1171
Frederick Paul Greenleaf, Norm decreasing homomorphisms of group algeb	ras1187
Fletcher Gross, The 2-length of a finite solvable group	1221
Kenneth Myron Hoffman and Arlan Bruce Ramsay, Algebras of bounded se	quences 1239
James Patrick Jans, Some aspects of torsion	1249
Laura Ketchum Kodama, Boundary measures of analytic differentials and u approximation on a Riemann surface	
Alan G. Konheim and Benjamin Weiss, Functions which operate on characteristics	
functions	
Ronald John Larsen, Almost invariant measures	
You-Feng Lin, Generalized character semigroups: The Schwarz decomposit	
Justin Thomas Lloyd, Representations of lattice-ordered groups having a ba	
Thomas Graham McLaughlin, On relative coimmunity	
Mitsuru Nakai, <i>Φ-bounded harmonic functions and classification of Rieman</i>	
L. G. Novoa, On n-ordered sets and order completeness	
Fredos Papangelou, Some considerations on convergence in abelian lattice-	
Frank Albert Raymond, Some remarks on the coefficients used in the theory	~ •
manifolds	1365
John R. Ringrose, On sub-algebras of a C*—algebra	
Jack Max Robertson, Some topological properties of certain spaces of d <mark>iffer</mark>	
homeomorphisms of disks and spheres	
Zalman Rubinstein, Some results in the location of zeros of polynomials	
Arthur Argyle Sagle, On simple algebras obtained from homogeneous genessystems	
Hans Samelson, On small maps of manifolds	
Annette Sinclair, $ \varepsilon(z) $ -closeness of approximation	
Edsel Ford Stiel, Isometric immersions of manifolds of nonnegative constan	
Earl J. Taft, Invariant splitting in Jordan and alternative algebras	
L. E. Ward, On a conjecture of R. J. Koch	
Neil Marchand Wigley, Development of the mapping function at a corner	
Horace C. Wiser, Embedding a circle of trees in the plane	
Adil Mohamed Yaqub, Ring-logics and residue class rings	
John W. Lamperti and Patrick Colonel Suppes, Correction to: Chains of infi application to learning theory	1471
Charles Vernon Coffman, <i>Correction to: Non-linear differential equatio<mark>ns o</mark></i>	
spaces	
P. H. Doyle, III, Correction to: A sufficient condition that an arc in $S^n$ be $ce$	
P. P. Saworotnow, Correction to: On continuity of multiplication in a co <mark>mpl</mark>	
	1474
Basil Gordon, Correction to: A generalization of the coset decompositio <mark>n o</mark>	f a finite group 1474