Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON SUB-ALGEBRAS OF A C*-ALGEBRA

JOHN R. RINGROSE

Vol. 15, No. 4 December 1965

ON SUB-ALGEBRAS OF A C*-ALGEBRA

J. R. RINGROSE

The following noncommutative extension of the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem has been obtained by Glimm.

Theorem. Let \mathscr{A} be a C^* -algebra with identity I, and let \mathscr{A} be a C^* -sub-algebra containing I. Suppose that \mathscr{A} separates the pure state space of \mathscr{A} . Then $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}$.

In the present paper, we apply Glimm's theorem to obtain the following noncommutative generalisation of another result of Stone.

Let $\mathscr A$ be a C^* -algebra with identity I and pure state space $\mathscr P$. Let $\mathscr B$ be a C^* -sub-algebra of $\mathscr A$, and define $\mathscr N=\{f\colon f\text{ is a pure state of }\mathscr A\text{ and }f(B)=0\ (B\in\mathscr B)\}$, $\mathscr E=\{(g,h)\colon g,h\in\mathscr P\text{ and }g(B)=h(B)\ (B\in\mathscr B)\}$, $\mathscr H_\mathscr B=\{A\colon A\in\mathscr A,\ f(A)=0\ (f\in\mathscr N)\text{ and }g(A)=h(A)\ ((g,h)\in\mathscr E)\}$. Then $\mathscr B=\mathscr H_\mathscr B$.

We will refer to this as Theorem 2 in the sequel. Glimm's theorem is to be found in [1]; Stone's, in [3].

Once it is known that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is a C^* -sub-algebra of \mathscr{A} , Theorem 2 is an almost immediate consequence of Glimm's theorem (see § 4). It is clear that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is a closed self-adjoint linear subspace of \mathscr{A} ; accordingly, most of this paper is devoted to proving that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is closed under multiplication (see § 3).

We remark that, if \mathscr{M} is commutative, then \mathscr{P} consists exactly of all homomorphism from \mathscr{M} on to the complex plane C; so in this case, it is immediate from its definition that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{M}}$ is a C^* -sub-algebra. However, this seems not to be obvious in the general case. Indeed, for a general set \mathscr{N} of pure states of \mathscr{M} and a general subset \mathscr{E} of $\mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}$, the class

$$\{A:\ A\in\mathscr{A},\ f(A)=0\ (f\in\mathscr{N})\ \mathrm{and}\ g(A)=h(A)\ ((g,h)\in\mathscr{E})\}$$

need not be a sub-algebra of \mathscr{A} ; for example, let \mathscr{A} consist of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H, let \mathscr{A} be void, and let \mathscr{E} consist of a single pair of vector states arising from orthogonal unit vectors.

2. Notation. Throughout, \mathscr{A} is a C^* -algebra-by which we shall mean a uniformly closed self-adjoint algebra of operators acting on a (complex) Hilbert space H. We shall always assume that \mathscr{A} contains

Received September 30, 1964.

the identity operator I on H. A state of $\mathscr A$ is a linear functional f on $\mathscr A$ such that $f(A^*A) \geq 0$ $(A \in \mathscr A)$ and f(I) = 1. The set of all states is convex and weak * compact; the Krein-Milman theorem ensures the existence of extreme points, and these are called *pure states*. The pure state space of $\mathscr A$, denoted by $\mathscr P$ (or $\mathscr P(\mathscr A)$ if $\mathscr A$ has to be specified), is the weak * closure of the set of all pure states.

Given a state f of \mathscr{A} , there is a *-representation ϕ_f of \mathscr{A} on a Hilbert space H_f , and a unit vector x_f in H_f , such that $\phi_f(\mathscr{A})x_f$ is dense in H_f , and

$$f(A) = \langle \phi_f(A) x_f, x_f \rangle \quad (A \in \mathscr{A})$$
.

To within unitary equivalence, ϕ_f is unique. Furthermore, ϕ_f is irreducible if and only if f is a pure state (see, for example, [2] 245, 265, 266). We shall always use the symbols ϕ_f , H_f , x_f in the sense just described.

3. Some lemmas. Throughout this section we shall assume that \mathscr{B} is a C^* -sub-algebra of \mathscr{A} , and that $I \in \mathscr{B}$. We use the notations introduced in the statement of Theorem 2; note that, since $I \in \mathscr{B}$, \mathscr{N} is empty and

$$\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{A}}=\{A:\ A\in\mathscr{A}\ \mathrm{and}\ g(A)=h(A)\ ((g,h)\in\mathscr{E})\}$$
 .

For completeness, we give a proof of the following simple result.

LEMMA 1. (i) Let $f \in \mathscr{P}$, $S \in \mathscr{A}$ and suppose that $f(S^*S) = 1$. Define $g(A) = f(S^*AS)$ $(A \in \mathscr{A})$. Then $g \in \mathscr{P}$.

(ii) Let $f \in \mathscr{P}$, $x \in H_f$, ||x|| = 1, and define $g(A) = \langle \phi_f(A)x, x \rangle$ $(A \in \mathscr{A})$. Then $g \in \mathscr{P}$.

Proof. (i) Clearly g is a state. Suppose first that f is a pure state, and let $x = \phi_f(S)x_f$. Then for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$,

(1)
$$\langle \phi_f(A)x, x \rangle = \langle \phi_f(S^*AS)x_f, x_f \rangle = f(S^*AS) = g(A)$$
.

With A = I we obtain ||x|| = 1; and since f is a pure state, ϕ_f is irreducible, so $\phi_f(\mathscr{A})x$ is dense in H_f . This, with (1), implies that ϕ_f and ϕ_g are unitarily equivalent. Thus ϕ_g is irreducible, so g is pure.

Now suppose only that $f \in \mathscr{T}$. There is a net (f_i) of pure states which converges to f in the weak * topology. Since $f_i(S^*S) \to f(S^*S) = 1$, we may suppose that $f_i(S^*S) > 0$ for each i. Let $k_i = [f_i(S^*S)]^{-1/2}$, $S_i = k_i S$, and define $g_i(A) = f_i(S_i^*AS_i)$ $(A \in \mathscr{M})$. Then $f_i(S_i^*S_i) = 1$, and the argument of the preceding paragraph shows that g_i is a pure state. For each $A \in \mathscr{M}$,

$$g_i(A) = \frac{f_i(S^*AS)}{f_i(S^*S)} \rightarrow f(S^*AS) = g(A)$$
.

Hence (g_i) is a net of pure states which converges to g in the weak * topology, so $g \in \mathscr{P}$.

(ii) Since $\phi_f(\mathscr{A})x_f$ is dense in H_f , we may choose $S_n \in \mathscr{A}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$ such that

$$||\,\phi_f(S_{\scriptscriptstyle n})x_f\,||\,=\,1$$
 , $||\,\phi_f(S_{\scriptscriptstyle n})x_f\,-\,x\,||\,\,{
ightarrow}\,0$.

Thus $f(S_n^*S_n)=1$, and by part (i) of this lemma, we may define g_n in $\mathscr O$ by $g_n(A)=f(S_n^*AS_n)$ $(A\in\mathscr A)$. Then for each $A\in\mathscr A$,

$$g_{\scriptscriptstyle n}(A) = ig<\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle f}(A)\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle f}(S_{\scriptscriptstyle n})x_{\scriptscriptstyle f}, \ \phi_{\scriptscriptstyle f}(S_{\scriptscriptstyle n})x_{\scriptscriptstyle f}ig> \, {
ightarrow} \, ig<\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle f}(A)x, \, xig> = g(A)$$
 .

Thus $g \in \mathscr{P}$.

LEMMA 2. Let $T \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $S \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $S^*TS \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Proof. Let $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathscr{C}$. We have to show that $f_1(S^*TS) = f_2(S^*TS)$. Since $S^*S \in \mathscr{B}$, we have $f_1(S^*S) = f_2(S^*S)$; and after multiplying S by a suitable scalar, we may clearly suppose that $f_1(S^*S)$ is either 0 or 1.

If $f_i(S^*S)=0$, then S is in the left kernel of f_i (i=1,2), and $f_i(S^*TS)=f_i(S^*TS)=0$.

If $f_i(S^*S)=1$, define $g_i(A)=f_i(S^*AS)$ $(A\in\mathscr{A})$. By Lemma 1 (i), $g_i\in\mathscr{P}$. If $B\in\mathscr{B}$, then $S^*BS\in\mathscr{B}$, so $f_i(S^*BS)=f_i(S^*BS)$; that is, $g_i(B)=g_i(B)$. Hence $(g_i,g_i)\in\mathscr{E}$, and since $T\in\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$, it follows that $g_i(T)=g_i(T)$; that is, $f_i(S^*TS)=f_i(S^*TS)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3. Let $T \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $R, S \in \mathscr{B}$. Then $R^*TS \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2 since

$$egin{aligned} 4 \ R^*TS &= (R+S)^*T(R+S) - (R-S)^*T(R-S) \ &- i(R+iS)^*T(R+iS) + (R-iS)^*T(R-iS) \ . \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. Let $f \in \mathscr{F}$ and let M be a closed subspace of H_f which is invariant under $\phi_f(\mathscr{B})$. Then M is a invariant under $\phi_f(\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}})$.

Proof. Suppose that the lemma is false. Then we may choose $T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $x \in M$ such that $\phi_f(T)x \notin M$. Let $y = (I-E)\phi_f(T)x$, where E is the projection from H_f on to M. Given t in $[0, 2\pi)$, define $y_t = x + \exp{(it)y}, \ z_t = ky_t$, where

$$k = [||x||^2 + ||y||^2]^{-1/2} = ||y_t||^{-1}$$

Thus $z_t \in H_f$, $||z_t|| = 1$, and by Lemma 1 (ii) we may define $g_t \in \mathscr{T}$ by $g_t(A) = \langle \phi_f(A)z_t, z_t \rangle$ $(A \in \mathscr{A})$. Since $\phi_f(\mathscr{B})$ leaves both M and $H_f \bigoplus M$ invariant, it follows that for each $B \in \mathscr{B}$,

$$egin{align} g_t(B) &= k^2 \!\! \left\langle \phi_f(B)(x + e^{it}y), \; x + e^{it}y
ight
angle \ &= k^2 \!\! \left[\!\! \left\langle \phi_f(B)x, \, x
ight
angle + \!\! \left\langle \phi_f(B)y, \, y
ight
angle \!\! \right], \end{split}$$

which is independent of t. Hence, for each s, t in $[0, 2\pi)$, we have $(g_s, g_t) \in \mathscr{C}$. Since $T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$, it follows that $g_s(T) = g_t(T)$; so $g_t(T)$ is independent of $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. However,

$$egin{align} g_{t}(T) &= k^{2}\!\!\left\langle\phi_{f}(T)(x+e^{it}y),\;x+e^{it}y
ight
angle\ &= p+qe^{it}+re^{-it}\;, \end{gathered}$$

where p, q, r are independent of t and

$$r=k^2\langle\phi_f(T)x,y\rangle=k^2\,||y||^2
eq 0$$
 .

Thus $g_t(T)$ is not independent of $t \in [0, 2\pi)$, and we have obtained a contradiction. This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 5. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a C^* -sub-algebra of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Suppose that $(g,h) \in \mathscr{C}$. Let M_g be the closed subspace of H_g which is generated by $\phi_g(\mathscr{B})x_g$. It follows from Lemma 4 that M_g is invariant under $\phi_g(\mathscr{H}_g)$. When $T \in \mathscr{H}_g$, we shall write $\phi_g(T) \mid M_g$ for the operator (from M_g into M_g) obtained by restricting $\phi_g(T)$ to M_g . Similar notations will be used with h in place of g.

Given $T\in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $R,S\in \mathscr{B}$, we have (Lemma 3) $R^*TS\in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{F}}$. Since $(g,h)\in \mathscr{E}$, it follows that $g(R^*TS)=h(R^*TS)$, or equivalently that

$$\langle \phi_g(T)\phi_g(S)x_g, \ \phi_g(R)x_g\rangle = \langle \phi_h(T)\phi_h(S)x_h, \ \phi_h(R)x_h\rangle.$$

By taking T=I, we deduce the existence of a unitary operator U from M_g on to M_h such that

$$(3) U\phi_a(S)x_a = \phi_b(S)x_b (S \in \mathscr{B}).$$

Equation (2) then implies that

$$\langle \phi_g(T)v, w \rangle = \langle \phi_h(T)Uv, Uw \rangle$$
 $(T \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}})$

for all $v, w \in \phi_g(\mathscr{B})x_g$, hence for all $v, w \in M_g$. The last equation is equivalent to

$$\phi_g(T) \mid M_g = U^* [\phi_h(T) \mid M_h] U \qquad (T \in \mathscr{H}_\mathscr{B}) \; .$$

Now suppose that T_1 , $T_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$. Given $(g, h) \in \mathcal{E}$, construct U as

above. Since $\phi_q(T_i)$ leaves M_q invariant (i = 1, 2), so does $\phi_q(T_1T_2)$, and

$$\phi_g(T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}T_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \mid M_g = [\phi_g(T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) \mid M_g] [\phi_g(T_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \mid M_g]$$
 ;

similar considerations apply with h in place of g. From (4), with $T=T_1,\,T_2$, we deduce that

$$\phi_g(T_1T_2) \mid M_g = U^*[\phi_h(T_1T_2) M_h]U$$
.

Since $x_g \in M_g$ and $Ux_g = x_h$, the last equation implies that

$$\langle \phi_g(T_1T_2)x_g, x_g \rangle = \langle \phi_h(T_1T_2)x_h, x_h \rangle;$$

that is, $g(T_1T_2)=h(T_1T_2)$. This holds whenever $(g,h)\in\mathscr{C}$, so $T_1T_2\in\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$.

We have now shown that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$ admits multiplication; since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is clearly a closed self-adjoint linear subspace of \mathscr{A} , the lemma is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. We shall use the notations introduced in the statement of Theorem 2. It is immediate from the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ that $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$.

We first consider the case in which $I \in \mathcal{B}$, so that the theory developed in § 3 applies to show that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a C^* -algebra. We remark that each element f of the pure state space $\mathscr{F}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{F}})$ can be extended to an element \overline{f} of $\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{A})$. For there is a net (f_i) of pure states of $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{F}}$, converging to f in the weak * topology. Each f_i can be extended to a pure state \overline{f}_i of \mathscr{A} (see, for example, [2] 304). Since $\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{A})$ is compact, the net (\overline{f}_i) has at least one weak * limit point $\overline{f} \in \mathscr{F}(\mathscr{A})$, and \overline{f} is an extension of f.

Suppose that $\mathscr{B} \neq \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$. Then by Glimm's theorem there exist distinct $g,h\in \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}})$ such that g(B)=h(B) $(B\in \mathscr{B})$. We may extend g,h to elements, \bar{g},\bar{h} respectively of $\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{A})$. Clearly $(\bar{g},\bar{h})\in \mathscr{E}$. Thus, by the definition of $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$, $\bar{g}(T)=\bar{h}(T)$ whenever $T\in \mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$; that is, g=h, contrary to hypothesis. This proves Theorem 2 for the case in which $I\in \mathscr{B}$.

If $I \in \mathcal{B}$, let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B} + CI$ be the C^* -algebra generated by I, \mathcal{B} (C denotes the complex field). With an obvious modification of the notation introduced in Theorem 2, it is clear that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}_1)$ is empty and that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{B}_1) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{B})$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}_1}$; since $I \in \mathcal{B}_1$, the first part of this proof shows that $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}_1}$, so $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1$.

Now let f be the pure state of \mathscr{G}_1 defined by $f(\lambda I + B) = \lambda$ $(\lambda \in C, B \in \mathscr{G})$, and let g be any extension of f to a pure state of \mathscr{A} . Clearly $g \in \mathscr{N}(\mathscr{G})$. Hence $g(\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{G}}) = (0)$, and

$$\mathscr{H}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathscr{B}}\subseteq\mathscr{B}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\cap g^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}(0)=f^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}(0)$$
 ;

that is, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{B}} \subseteq \mathscr{B}$. The reverse inclusion has already been noted, so $\mathscr{B} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$.

REFFRENCES

- J. Glimm, A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C*-algebras, Ann. of Math. 72 (1960), 216-244.
- 2. M. A. Naimark, Normed rings (English translation), Noordhoff, Groningen, 1959.
- 3. M. H. Stone, The generalised Weierstrass approximation theorem, Math. Mag. 21 (1947), 167-184; (1948), 237-254.

UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE, ENGLAND

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL
University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

*RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. No separate author's resumé is required. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

* Basil Gordon, Acting Managing Editor until February 1, 1966.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 15, No. 4 December, 1965

Robert James Blattner, Group extension representations and the structure space		1101
Glen Eugene Bredon, On the continuous image of a singular chain complex		1115
David Hilding Carlson, On real eigenvalues of complex matrices		1119
Hsin Chu, Fixed points in a transformation group		1131
Howard Benton Curtis, Jr., The uniformizing function for certain simply	connected Riemann	
surfaces		1137
George Wesley Day, Free complete extensions of Boolean algebras		1145
Edward George Effros, The Borel space of von Neumann algebras on a s		
space		
Michel Mendès France, A set of nonnormal numbers		
Jack L. Goldberg, Polynomials orthogonal over a denumerable set		
Frederick Paul Greenleaf, Norm decreasing homomorphisms of group al		
Fletcher Gross, <i>The</i> 2-length of a finite solvable group		
Kenneth Myron Hoffman and Arlan Bruce Ramsay, Algebras of bounded sequences		1239
James Patrick Jans, Some aspects of torsion		1249
Laura Ketchum Kodama, Boundary measures of analytic differentials and		
approximation on a Riemann surface		1261
Alan G. Konheim and Benjamin Weiss, Functions which operate on cha		
functions		
Ronald John Larsen, Almost invariant measures		
You-Feng Lin, Generalized character semigroups: The Schwarz decomposition		
Justin Thomas Lloyd, Representations of lattice-ordered groups having a basis		1313
Thomas Graham McLaughlin, On relative coimmunity		1319
Mitsuru Nakai, Φ-bounded harmonic functions and classification of Rie	mann surfaces	1329
L. G. Novoa, On n-ordered sets and order completeness		1337
Fredos Papangelou, Some considerations on convergence in abelian latt	ice-groups	1347
Frank Albert Raymond, Some remarks on the coefficients used in the the	ory of homology	
manifolds		1365
John R. Ringrose, On sub-algebras of a C*-algebra		1377
Jack Max Robertson, Some topological properties of certain spaces of d	ifferentiable	
homeomorphisms of disks and spheres		1383
Zalman Rubinstein, Some results in the location of zeros of polynomials		1391
Arthur Argyle Sagle, On simple algebras obtained from homogeneous g	eneral Lie triple	
systems		1397
Hans Samelson, On small maps of manifolds		
Annette Sinclair, $ \varepsilon(z) $ -closeness of approximation		1405
Edsel Ford Stiel, Isometric immersions of manifolds of nonnegative cons		
curvature		
Earl J. Taft, Invariant splitting in Jordan and alternative algebras		
L. E. Ward, On a conjecture of R. J. Koch		
Neil Marchand Wigley, Development of the mapping function at a corne	<i>r</i>	1435
Horace C. Wiser, <i>Embedding a circle of trees in the plane</i>		
Adil Mohamed Yaqub, Ring-logics and residue class rings		1465
John W. Lamperti and Patrick Colonel Suppes, Correction to: Chains of		
application to learning theory		1471
Charles Vernon Coffman, Correction to: Non-linear differential equation		
spaces		
P. H. Doyle, III, Correction to: A sufficient condition that an arc in S^n b		1474
P. P. Saworotnow, Correction to: On continuity of multiplication in a con-		
algebra		
Basil Gordon, Correction to: A generalization of the coset decompositio	n of a finite group	1474