Pacific Journal of Mathematics

QUASI-ISOMORPHISM FOR INFINITE ABELIAN *p*-GROUPS

DOYLE OTIS CUTLER

Vol. 16, No. 1

November 1966

QUASI-ISOMORPHISM FOR INFINITE ABELIAN *p*-GROUPS

DOYLE O. CUTLER

This paper is concerned with the investigation of two closely related questions. The first question is: What relationships exist between G and nG where G is an Abelian group and nis a positive integer?

It is shown that if G' and H' are Abelian groups, n is a positive integer and $nG' \cong nH'$, then $G \cong H$ where $G' = S \oplus G$ and $H' = T \oplus H$ such that S and T are maximal *n*-bounded summands of G' and H', respectively. A corollary of this is: Every automorphism of nG can be extended to an automorphism of G.

We define two primary Abelian groups G and H to be quasi-isomorphic if and only if there exists positive integers m and n and subgroups S and T of G and H, respectively, such that $p^nG \subset S$, $p^mH \subset T$ and $S \cong T$, the second question is: What does quasi-isomorphism have to say about primary Abelian groups? It is shown that if two Abelian p-groups G and Hare quasi-isomorphic then G is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if H is a direct sum of cyclic groups, G is closed if and only if H is closed, and G is a Σ -group if and only if H is a Σ -group.

In this paper the word "group" will mean "Abelian group," and we shall use the notation in [5] except that a direct sum of groups A and B will be denoted by $A \bigoplus B$. Also if $a \in A$ then $H_A^p(a)$ will denote the *p*-height of *a* in *A*. (If it is clear which group or which prime is referred to then either sub- or super-script may be dropped or both.)

At a symposium on Abelian groups held at New Mexico State University, L. Fuchs asked the question: What does quasi-isomorphism (see Definition 3.2) have to say about primary Abelian groups? A question posed by John M. Irwin that arises in the investigation of this question is: What relationships exist between G and nG where G is an Abelian groups and n is a positive integer? The purpose of this paper is to investigate these two questions.

First, we will begin by considering to what extent nG determines G where G is a group and n is a positive integer. It will be shown that if G' and H' are groups, n is a positive integer, and $nG' \cong nH'$, then $G \cong H$ where $G' = S \bigoplus G$ and $H' = T \bigoplus H$ such that S and T

Received July 24, 1964. The results in this paper were part of a doctor's thesis completed in May 1964 under Professor John M. Irwin at New Mexico State University.

are maximal *n*-bounded summands of G' and H', respectively. A corollary to this is: Every automorphism of nG can be extended to an automorphism of G.

In looking at quasi-isomorphism of primary Abelian groups, it is shown that if two Abelian *p*-groups G and H are quasi-isomorphic then G is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if H is a direct sum of cyclic groups, G is closed if and only if H is closed, and G is a Σ -group if and only if H is a Σ -group. Other related results are also obtained.

1. An isomorphism theorem.

LEMMA 1.1. Let G be a p-group and B' be a basic subgroup of $p^{n}G$. Then there exists a basic subgroup B of G such that $p^{n}B = B'$.

Proof. Write $B' = \sum_{\lambda \in A} \{x_{\lambda}\}$. Now there exists $y_{\lambda} \in G$, for all $\lambda \in A$, such that $p^n y_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda}$. Let $B_n^* = \sum_{\lambda \in A} \{y_{\lambda}\}$. Now B_n^* is pure in G and $[y_{\lambda} : \lambda \in A]$ is a maximal pure independent set with respect to the property of B_n^* having no cyclic summand of order $\leq p^n$. To see the purity of B_n^* first notice that $(B_n^*)[p] = (B')[p]$. Let $x \in (B_n^*)[p]$. Now $H_{B_n^*}(x) = n + H_p n_G(x)$ and if $H_p n_G(x) = m$, $H_G(x) = m + n$ and $H_{B_n^*}(x) = m + n$. Hence $H_G(x) = H_{B_n^*}(x)$, and B_n is pure in G. That B_n^* is maximal pure as above is clear. Thus B_n^* can be extended to a basic subgroup B of G, and $B = S_n \bigoplus B_n^*$ where $p^n S_n = 0$ (see p. 97 of [5]). Hence $p^n B = B'$.

Using the above notation note that $G = S_n \oplus G_n$ where $G_n = B_n^* + p^n G$ and B_n^* is basic in G_n . This follows from a theorem of Baer's (Theorem 29.3 in [5]). We shall continue using this notation and refer to this decomposition as Baer's decomposition. From a theorem of Szele's [Theorem 29.4 in [5]) it follows that S_n is a maximal p^n -bounded summand of G. From this it is easy to show that, if H' is a group, then $H' = T \oplus H$ such that T is a maximal n-bounded summand of H'.

THEOREM 1.2. Let G and H be p-groups such that $p^n G \cong p^n H$ (under an isomorphism ϕ) for some positive integer n. Then $G_n \cong$ H_n according to Baer's decomposition.

Proof. We may assume that G and H are reduced by Test Problem I and Exercise 9 in [9]. Now $p^*G_n = p^*G \cong p^*H = p^*H_n$. Let $p^*(B_{\sigma_n})$ be a basic subgroup of p^*G such that B_{σ_n} is a basic subgroup of G_n , and let B_{H_n} be a basic subgroup of H_n such that $\phi(p^*B_{\sigma_n}) = p^*B_{H_n}$ a basic subgroup of p^*H . This is possible by the above lemma and the fact that $p^*G \cong p^*H$ under ϕ . From the proof of the above lemma it is easily seen that there exists an isomorphism $\overline{\phi}: B_{\sigma_n} \to B_{H_n}$ such that

 $\bar{\phi} \mid p^n B_{G_n} = \phi \mid p^n B_{G_n}$. We may write $G_n = B_{G_n} + p^n G_n$ and $H_n = B_{H_n} + p^n H_n$. Define

$$\psi \colon G_n o H_n \colon g_n = b + p^n g_{n_1} o ar{\phi}(b) + \phi(p^n g_{n_1})$$

where $b \in B_{G_n}$ and $g_{n_1} \in G_n$.

Suppose $g_n = b + p^n g_{n_1} = b' + p^n g_{n_2}$, where $b, b' \in B_{\sigma_n}$ and $g_{n_1}, g_{n_2} \in G_n$. Then $b - b' = p^n (g_{n_2} - g_{n_1})$ implies $b - b' \in p^n B_{\sigma_n}$, and $\bar{\phi}(b) - \bar{\phi}(b') = \bar{\phi}(b - b') = \phi(p^n g_{n_2} - p^n g_{n_1}) = \phi(p^n g_{n_2}) - \phi(p^n g_{n_1})$. Therefore

$$ar{\phi}(b) + \phi(p^n g_{n_1}) = ar{\phi}(b') + \phi(p^n g_{n_2})$$
 .

Hence ψ is well defined. Clearly ψ is a homomorphism.

Suppose $g_n \in G_n$ and $\psi(g_n) = 0$. Now $g_n = b + p^n g_{n_1}$ where $b \in B_{G_n}$ and $g_{n_1} \in G_n$, and $\psi(g_n) = \psi(b + p^n g_n) = \overline{\phi}(b) + \phi(p^n g_n) = 0$. Hence $\overline{\phi}(b) = -\phi(p^n g_{n_1})$ and $b \in p^n G_n$. Thus $\overline{\phi}(b) = \phi(b) = \phi(-p^n g_{n_1})$ and $\phi(b + p^n g_n) = 0$. Therefore $g_n = b + p^n g_{n_1} = 0$ and ψ is one-to-one. If $h_n \in H_n$ then $h_n = b + p^n h_{n_1}$ for some $b \in B_{H_n}$ and $h_{n_1} \in H_n$. Since ϕ and $\overline{\phi}$ are onto, ψ is onto.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let G be a p-group. Let B and B' be basic subgroups of G such that $G_n = B_n^* + p^n G$ and $G'_n = (B')_n^* + p^n G$. Then $G'_n \cong G_n$.

COROLLARY 1.4. Let G and H be torsion groups such that $nG \cong nH$ for some positive integer n. Then $G \bigoplus B_1 \cong H \bigoplus B_2$ where B_1 and B_2 are groups of bounded order bounded by n.

COROLLARY 1.5. Let G' and H' be torsion groups and n a positive integer. Write $G' = S \bigoplus G$ and $H' = T \bigoplus H$ where S and T are maximal n bounded summands of G' and H', respectively. Suppose that nG' = nH'. Then $G \cong H$.

COROLLARY 1.6. Let G and H be p-groups such that $p^m G \cong p^n H$, n > m. Then $p^{n-m} H_m \cong G_m$.

Proof. Now $p^m(p^{n-m}H) \cong p^m G$ implies $(p^{n-m}H)_m \cong G_m$ by 1.2. By Baer's Theorem $H = S_m \bigoplus H_m$ where $H_m = B_m^* + p^n H$. Thus $p^{n-m}H = p^{n-m}S_m \bigoplus (p^{n-m}B_m^* + p^{n-m}(p^mH))$. Also

$$p^{n-m}H = p^{n-m}S_m \oplus (p^{n-m}B_m^* + p^m(p^{n-m}H))$$

since $p^{n-m}(S_m \bigoplus B_m^*)$ is a basic subgroup of $p^{n-m}H$. Thus $(p^{n-m}H)_m \cong p^{n-m}(H_m)$ and we have that $G_m \cong p^{n-m}H_m$.

A generalization of Theorem 1.2 is the following:

THEOREM 1.7. Let G' and H' be groups such that $nG' \cong nH'$, for some positive integer n. Write $G' = S \bigoplus G$ and $H' = T \bigoplus H$ such that S and T are maximal n-bounded summands of G' and H', respectively. Then $G \cong H$.

Proof. If G' and H' are torsion groups, we are done by 1.5. Thus suppose that G' and H' are not torsion groups. It suffices to prove the theorem for n = p, a fixed but arbitrary prime. To see this write $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdot p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_m^{k_m}$ such that p_i 's are distinct primes. Let n_i be chosen such that $p_i n_i = n$. Observe that if $p_i(n_{ij}G) \cong p_i(n_iH)$ implies that $n_iG \cong n_iH$, then by finite induction $G \cong H$.

Suppose that $pG' \cong pH'$ under the isomorphism σ . Write $G' = S \bigoplus G$ and $H' = T \bigoplus H$ such that S and T are maximal p-bounded summands of G' and H', respectively. Let G_t and H_t be the torsion subgroups of G and H, respectively. Then $pG_t \cong pH_t$ under the isomorphism $\sigma \mid pG_t$. By 1.5, $G_t \cong H_t$ under an isomorphism σ_t such that $\sigma_t \mid pG_t = \sigma \mid pG_t$. Define a map

$$\phi: G_t + pG \rightarrow H_t + pH: \phi(t+g) = \sigma_t(t) + \sigma(g)$$

where $t \in G_t$ and $g \in pG$. Note that ϕ is an isomorphism since $\sigma | G_t \cap pG = \sigma_t | G_t \cap pG$ and $\sigma(G_t \cap pG) = H_t \cap pH$. (The proof of this is similar to that in Theorem 1.2.)

Next we will show that there exists a pair (S', ϕ') such that $S' = \{G_t, pG, x\}$ with $x \in G$ and $x \notin G_t + pG, \phi'$ is an isomorphism from S' to a subgroup of H, and $\phi' | G_t + pG = \phi$. To this end let $x \in G$ such that $x \notin G_t + pG$. Then x is torsion free. Let $y \in H$ such that $py = \phi(px)$. Define

$$\phi'$$
: { G_t , pG , x } \rightarrow { H_t , pH , y }: $\phi'(t + g + nx) = \phi(t + g) + ny$

such that (n, p) = 1 or $n = 0, t \in G_t$ and $g \in G$. Note that $H^p(nx + t) = 0$ for all $t \in G_t$ and (n, p) = 1. If there exists $z \in G$ such that pz = nx + t then $nx = pz - t \in G_t + pG$, a contradiction to the choice of x. Thus $H^p(t + g + nx) = 0$ for $(n, p) = 1, t \in G_t$ and $g \in pG$. Suppose that g' + nx = g'' + mx with $g', g'' \in G_t + pG$. Then $\phi'(g' + nx) = \phi(g') + ny$ and $\phi'(g'' + mx) = \phi(g'') + my$. Now $(n - m)x = g'' - g' \in pG$ since $H^p(kx + t) = 0, (k, p) = 1$, for all $t \in G_t$. For if p does not divide (n - m), then $H^p(x + t) > 0$ for some $t \in G_t$ since g'' - g' = g - t such that $g \in pG$ and $t \in G_t$. Thus $n - m = pn_1$, and

$$\phi(g'' - g') = \phi((n - m)x) = \phi(n_1 p x) = n_1 p y = (n - m)y$$
 .

Hence $\phi(g') + ny = \phi(g'') + my$, and the map is well defined. Now ϕ' is clearly a homomorphism onto $\{H_i, pH, y\}$. If $\phi'(z) = 0$ for some

 $z \in \{G_t, pG, x\}$ then pz = 0 since $\phi' \mid pG$ is one-to-one. Thus $z \in G_t$. But $\phi' \mid G_t$ is one-to-one and hence z = 0. Thus we have an extension (S', ϕ') of $(G_t + pG, \phi)$.

Next let \mathscr{S} be the set of all pairs $(S_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})$ such that S_{α} is a subgroup of G containing $G_t + pG$ and ϕ_{α} is an extension of ϕ . Partially order \mathscr{S} as follows: If $(S_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}), (S_{\beta}, \phi_{\beta}) \in \mathscr{S}$, then $(S_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}) \ge (S_{\beta}, \phi_{\beta})$ if and only if $S_{\alpha} \supset S_{\beta}$ and ϕ_{α} is an extension of ϕ_{β} . Now $\mathscr{S} \neq 0$ as shown above, and every chain \mathscr{C} has a least upper bound in \mathscr{S} . To see this let $\mathscr{C} = [(S_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}): \alpha \in A]$. Let $S_c = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S$ and ϕ_c be defined by $\phi_c(s_{\alpha}) = \phi_{\beta}(s_{\alpha})$ for $\beta \ge \alpha, s_{\alpha} \in S_{\alpha}$.

Clearly (S_c, ϕ_c) is the least upper bound and $(S_c, \phi_c) \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore by Zorn's Lemma there exists a maximal element (S_M, ϕ_M) . Now $S_M = G$, for otherwise there exists $x \in G$ such that $x \notin S_M$, and we may extend ϕ_M to $\{S_M, x\}$ as before. Thus we have an isomorphism ϕ_M from G into H. If $y \in H$ and $x \in G$ such that $px = \phi^{-1}(py)$ then $\phi_M(x) - y = t \in H_t$ which implies that $y = \phi_M(x) - t \in H$. Thus ϕ_M is onto and G and H are isomorphic.

COROLLARY 1.8. Let G' be a group and n a positive integer. Then every automorphism of nG' can be extended to an automorphism of G'.

This is actually a corollary to the proof of Theorem 1.7. For if we write $G' = S \bigoplus G$, where S is a maximal *n*-bounded summand of G', every automorphism of nG' can be extended to an automorphism of G, as the proof of Theorem 1.7 indicates. This together with any automorphism of S gives the desired automorphism of G'.

COROLLARY 1.9. Let G and H be groups and n a positive integer. Suppose that $nG \cong nH$ and the maximal n-bounded summands of G and H are isomorphic. Then $G \cong H$.

COROLLARY 1.10. Let G' be a group and n a positive integer. The only pure subgroups between G' and nG' are groups of the form $S \oplus G$ where S is a pure subgroup of G' bounded by n and G' = $S' \oplus G$ such that S' is a maximal n-bounded summand of G'.

Proof. Let H' be a pure subgroup of G' such that $G' \supset H' \supset nG'$. Then $G'/H' \cong T$ a group of bounded order bounded by n. By Theorem 5 in [9], $G' = H' \oplus T'$ such that $T' \cong T$. Thus $nG' = nH' \oplus nT' = nH'$. By 1.7, $H \cong G$ where $H' = K \oplus H$ with K a maximal n-bounded summand of H'. Since H is pure in G' and G'/H is bounded by n, H is a summand of G' and $G' = S' \oplus H$. Therefore $H' \cong S \oplus G$ where S is a pure subgroup of G' bounded by n. COROLLARY 1.11. Let G be a group and n a positive integer. The pure subgroups between G and nG are all isomorphic up to summands of bounded order, bounded by n.

COROLLARY 1.12. Let G be a group and suppose that $G = S \oplus G' = T \oplus G''$ where S and T are maximal n-bounded summands of G. Then $G' \cong G''$.

2. Some properties of G and nG. In this section we will be concerned mainly with the question: If P is a property of a group, does G have property P if and only if nG has property P where G is a group and n is a positive integer? This question is of interest in itself, but it is also of interest in looking at the question of Fuchs: What does quasi-isomorphism have to say about primary Abelian groups? We shall begin by proving a decomposition theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. Let G be a group and n a positive integer such that $nG = \sum_{\lambda \in A} G'_{\lambda}$. Then $G = \sum_{\lambda \in A} G_{\lambda}$ such that $nG_{\lambda} = G'_{\lambda}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Set $H_{\alpha} = G/\sum_{A'} G'_{\lambda}$, where $\Lambda' = \Lambda - \alpha$, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, and observe that $nH_{\alpha} \cong G'_{\alpha}$. Now $H_{\lambda} = S^{\lambda}_{n} \bigoplus (H_{\lambda})_{n}$ where S^{λ}_{n} is a maximal *n*-bounded summand of H_{λ} . Set $\hat{G} = \sum_{A} (H_{\lambda})_{n} \bigoplus S_{n}$ (external direct sum) where S_{n} is a maximal *n*-bounded summand of G. Now $n\hat{G} \cong nG$. By 1.9, $\hat{G} = G$. Therefore $G = \sum_{A} G_{\lambda}$ where $G_{\lambda} \cong (H_{\lambda})_{n}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ except $\beta \in \Lambda$, and $G_{\beta} = (H_{\beta})_{n} \bigoplus S_{n}$. Also $nG_{\lambda} \cong G'_{\lambda}$.

Now $nG = \sum_{\lambda} nG_{\lambda} = \Sigma G'_{\lambda}$. Let ϕ be an automorphism of nG such that $\phi(nG_{\lambda}) = G'_{\lambda}$. By 1.8, we can extend ϕ to an automorphism of G, say ψ . Thus we have $G = \Sigma \psi(G_{\lambda})$ such that $n\psi(G_{\lambda}) = \psi(nG_{\lambda}) = G'_{\lambda}$.

THEOREM 2.2. Let G be a group. Let H be a pure subgroup of nG, n a positive integer. Then there exists a pure subgroup K of G such that nK = H and K[p] = H[p] for each prime p.

We will first prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.3. Let G be a p-group. Let H' be a pure subgroup of $p^{n}G$. Then there exists a pure subgroup H of G such that $p^{n}H =$ H' and H[p] = H'[p].

Proof. Let $X = [y \in H': H_{H'}(y) = 0]$. For each $y \in X$ let $x_y \in G$ such that $p^n x_y = y$. Let $\hat{H} = \{[x_y: y \in X], H'\}$. Now

$$p^nH=\{[p^nx_y\colon y\in X],\ p^nH'\}\subset H'$$
 .

If $x \in H'$ then either $H_{H'}(x) = \infty$ or $H_{H'}(x) < \infty$. If $H_{H'}(x) = \infty$ then $x \in p^n H'$ and $x \in p^n \hat{H}$. If $H_{H'}(x) = k < \infty$ then there exists $z \in H'$ such that $p^k z = x$, and there exists $x_z \in X$ such that $p^n x_z = z$. Thus $p^{k+n} x_z = x$ and $x \in p^n \hat{H}$. Therefore $H' \subset p^n \hat{H}$, and $p^n \hat{H} = H'$. Now write $\hat{H} = S_n \bigoplus H_n$ according to Baer's decomposition. Let $H = \hat{H}_n$. Clearly $p^n H = H'$. Also H[p] = H'[p] and H is pure in G. Now H[p] = H'[p] since $H = H_n$ and thus $H[p] = (p^n H)[p] = H'[p]$. To see that H is pure in G suppose not; i.e., suppose that there exists $y \in H[p]$ such that $H_H(y) < H_G(y)$. Since H[p] = H'[p] and $H' \subset H$ we may assume that $H_G(y) = k < \infty$. Now $H_{H'}(y) = k - n$, (k > n). Thus $H_H(y) = H_{\hat{H}}(y) = k$, a contradiction. Hence H is pure.

LEMMA 2.4. Let G be a torsion group. Let H be a pure subgroup of nG, n a positive integer. Then there exists a pure subgroup K of G such that nK = H and K[p] = H[p] for each prime p.

Proof. Write $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_m^{k_m}, p_1, \cdots, p_m$ distinct primes. Now by Theorem 1 in [9], $G = \sum_p G_p, p$ a prime and G_p the *p*-primary component of *G*. Likewise $H = \sum_p H_p$ where H_p is a pure subgroup of nG_p . (Note that if $(p, p_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \cdots, m$ then $nG_p = G_p$.) If $(p, p_i) =$ 1, $i = 1, \cdots, m$, let $K_p = H_p$. If $(p, p_i) \neq 1$ for some $i = 1, \cdots, m$, let K_p be a pure subgroup of G_p such that $nK_p = H_p$ and $K_p[p] =$ $H_p[p]$. Such a K_p exists by 2.3. Now define $K = \sum_p K_p$. Clearly Kis pure, K[p] = H[p] for all primes p and nK = H.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.2.

Proof. If H is a torsion group we are done by 2.4. Thus suppose that H is not a torsion group. Let G_t and H_t be the torsion subgroups of G and H, respectively. Then H_t is pure in $nG_t = (nG)_t$, and by 2.4 there exists \overline{K}_t , a pure subgroup of G_t , such that $n\overline{K}_t = H_t$ and $\overline{K}_t[p] = H_t[p]$ for all primes p. Now let $U = [x \in H: x \text{ is torsion free}]$. Let $V = [x \in U: H_H^{pi}(x) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m]$. For each $x \in V$ let $y_x \in G$ such that $ny_x = x$. Let $W = [y_x: x \in V]$. Define $\widehat{K} = \{\overline{K}_t, H, \{W\}\}$. Now $\widehat{K} = S_n \bigoplus K$ where S_n is a maximal n-bounded summand of \widehat{K} , and K is the desired group. To see this let K_t be the torsion subgroup of K. Now

$$\widehat{K}_t = {S}_n \oplus K_t = {S}_n \oplus ar{K}_t$$
 .

Thus $nK_t = n\bar{K}_t = H_t$ and $K_t[p] = H_t[p]$ for all primes p. Hence K_t is pure in G_t . Thus to check the purity of K, we need only check torsion free elements in K. Let $x \in K$ such that $o(x) = \infty$, and suppose that there exists $y \in G$ such that $p^r y = x$. (We need only check

divisibility for a power of an arbitrary prime p by p. 76 in [5].) We will show that there exists $z' \in K$ such that $p^r z' = x$. Now there exist nonnegative integers $j_1 \leq k_1, \dots, j_m \leq k_m$ such that $n_j = p_1^{j_1} \dots p_m^{j_m}$ and n_j is the least positive integer such that $n_j x \in H$. We consider two cases. First suppose that $(p, p_i) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then there exists $z \in K$ such that $p^r n_j z = n_j x$. Thus $p^r z - x = k_t \in K_t$ and

$$H^p_{G_t}(k_t) \geq \min\left(H^p_{g}(p^k z),\, H^p_{g}(x)
ight) \geq k$$
 .

Thus since K_t is pure in G_t there exists $k'_t \in K_t$ such that $p^r k'_t = k_t$. Hence $p^r(z - k'_t) = x$ and $z' = z - k'_t \in K$. Next suppose that $(p, p_i) \neq 1$ for some $i = 1, \dots, m$, say $i = i_0$. Then $H^p_G(n_j x) \ge j_{i_0} + r$. If $x \notin H$ then $H^p_G(n_j x) \le k_{i_0}$ and hence there exists $z \in K$ such that $p^r n_j z = n^j x$. Thus $p^r z - x = k_t \in K_t$, and as before there exists $k'_t \in K_t$ such that $p^r(z - k'_t) = x$ and $z' = z = k'_t \in K$. If $x \in H$, then $H^p_G(x) = H^p_H(x) + k_{i_0} < r$, and hence again there exists $z' \in K$ such that $p^r z' = x$. Thus K is a pure subgroup of G with the desired properties.

It is easily seen that another proof of Theorem 2.1 can be obtained by using Theorem 2.2.

One might note at this point that if n is a positive integer and K is pure in G then nK is pure in nG. Also $K^1 = \bigcap_n n! K$ is pure in $G^1 = \bigcap_n n! G$ (see p. 452 in [7]). We have just shown that if H is pure in nG then there exists K in G such that K is pure in G and nK = H. The question then arises: If H is a pure subgroup of G^1 , does there exist a pure subgroup K of G such that $K^1 = H$? The answer to this question is in the affirmative as will be seen in the next theorem. First we need a lemma.

LEMMA 2.5. Let G be a group and K a pure subgroup of G. Let E_{σ} be the divisible hull of G and $E_{\kappa} \subset E_{\sigma}$ the divisible hull of K. Then $E_{\kappa} \cap G = K$.

Proof. Clearly $E_{\kappa} \cap G \supset K$. Let $0 \neq x \in E_{\kappa} \cap G$. Then $\{x\} \cap K \neq 0$ (see Lemma 20.3, p. 66 in [5]). Let n be the smallest integer such that $nx \in K$. Now since K is pure, there exists $y \in K$ such that ny = nx. Observe that $y \in E_{\kappa}$ and thus $x - y \in E_{\kappa}$. We shall show that $\{x - y\} \cap K = 0$, thus $\{x - y\} = 0$ and thus $x = y \in K$. Suppose there exists m such that $0 \neq m(x - y) \in K$. Then clearly (m, n) = i < n and there exist integers s and t such that ms + nt = i. Now $mx \in K$ since $mx - my, my \in K$. Also msx + nsx = ix, and since $msx, nsx \in K$, we have $ix \in K$. But i < n, and this contradicts the fact that n was the smallest integer such that $nx \in K$. Thus $\{x - y\} \cap K = 0$, and we have $x \in K$. Therefore $E_{\kappa} \cap G = K$.

In [6] a high subgroup is defined to be a subgroup H of a group G

maximal with respect to disjointness from G^{1} .

THEOREM 2.6. Let G be a group with $G^1 = \bigcap_n n! G \neq 0$. Let H be a high subgroup of G. Let K be a pure subgroup of G^1 . Then there exists a pure subgroup M of G such that $M^1 = K$ and H is high in M.

Proof. Let ϕ be the natural homomorphism from G to G/H. Then $\phi \mid G^1$ is an isomorphism. Thus $\phi(K)$ is pure in $\phi(G^1)$. Let E be the divisible hull of $\phi(G^1)$ in G/H (note that E = G/H), and let D be the divisible hull of $\phi(K)$ contained in E. Then $D \cap \phi(G^1) = \phi(K)$ by 2.5. Now define $M = \phi^{-1}(D)$. Observe that H is pure in M, M/H = D, and $M/H \subset G/H$ as a pure subgroup. Thus by Lemma 2 in [9], M is pure in G. Now $G^1 \supset M^1 \supset K$ by construction, and $M^1 \subset K$ since $\phi(M^1) \subset D \cap \phi(G^1) = \phi(K)$. Hence $M^1 = K$. Also H is high in M since $H \cap M^1 = 0$ and $\{H, x\} \cap M^1 \neq 0$ for any $x \in M \setminus H$. The latter statement is true since if there exists an $x \in M \setminus H$ such that $\{H, x\} \cap M^1 = 0$, this would imply that $\{H, x\} \cap G^1 = 0$ which would contradict the hypothesis that H is high in G.

We will now show that several properties are possessed by a group G if and only if they are possessed by $p^{n}G$. We will only consider primary groups.

THEOREM 2.7. Let G be a reduced p-group. Then G is closed if and only if $p^{n}G$ is closed.

Proof. Suppose G is closed. Then $G = \overline{B}$ for some basic subgroup B of G. Now $B = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$, $p^n \Pi B_n = \Pi p^n B$, and thus $p^n(\overline{B}) = \overline{p^n B}$. Therefore $p^n G$ is closed.

Suppose $p^{n}G$ is closed. If B is a basic subgroup of G then $p^{n}B$ is a basic subgroup of $p^{n}G$. Let \overline{B} be a closed subgroup with basic subgroup B and identify G with its pure subgroup between B and \overline{B} (see p. 112 in [5]). Now $p^{n}\overline{B} \cong p^{n}G$ since $p^{n}G$ and $p^{n}\overline{B}$ are closed and have the same basic subgroup B. Also $(\overline{B})_{n} \cong G_{n}$ by Theorem 1.2. Thus $G \cong \overline{B}$ since they contain the same basic subgroup. Therefore G is closed.

DEFINITION 2.8. A Σ -group is any group G all of whose high subgroups are direct sums of cyclic groups. (see [6]).

THEOREM 2.9. Let G be a reduced p-group. Then G is a Σ -group if and only if $p^{n}G$ is a Σ -group.

Proof. Theorem 11, p. 1370 in [8], states that "Every subgroup L of a torsion Σ -group G with $L^1 = L \cap G^1$ is a Σ -group". Thus if G is a Σ -group then p^nG is a Σ -group since $(p^nG)^1 = G^1$.

If $p^{n}G$ is a Σ -group and H is high in G then $p^{n}H$ is high in $p^{n}G$ (see p. 1368 in [8]). Thus $p^{n}H$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups, and hence H is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Therefore G is a Σ -group.

DEFINITION 2.10. A group G is a direct sum of countable groups if and only if $G = \sum_{\lambda \in A} G_{\lambda}$ such that $|G_{\lambda}| \leq \aleph_0$ for all $\lambda \in A$.

THEOREM 2.11. A group G is a direct sum of countable groups if and only if $p^{n}G$ is a direct sum of countable groups.

Proof. If G is a direct sum of countable groups then clearly p^nG is.

Now suppose that $p^{n}G$ is a direct sum of countable groups. Write $G = S_{n} \bigoplus G_{n}$ according to Baer's decomposition. Then $p^{n}G = p^{n}G_{n} = \sum_{\lambda \in 4} G_{\lambda}$ such that $|G_{\lambda}'| \leq \aleph_{0}$. By Theorem 2.1, we may write $G_{n} = \sum_{\lambda \in 4} G_{\lambda}$ such that $p^{n}G_{\lambda} = G_{\lambda}'$. Now $G_{\lambda}[p] = G'[p]$ and thus $r(G_{\lambda}) = r(G_{\lambda}[p]) = r(G_{\lambda}'[p]) = r(G_{\lambda}')$. Also $|G_{\lambda}| = r(G_{\lambda}) \cdot \aleph_{0}$ and since $r(G_{\lambda}) = r(G_{\lambda}') \leq \aleph_{0}$ we have that $|G_{\lambda}| \leq \aleph_{0}$ (see pp. 32-33 in [5]). Thus G_{n} is a direct sum of countable groups. Since S_{n} is bounded, S_{n} is a direct sum of cyclic groups and hence a direct sum of countable groups.

THEOREM 2.12. A p-group G is a direct sum of closed groups if and only if $p^{n}G$ is a direct sum of closed groups.

Proof. Suppose that G is a direct sum of closed groups. Then $G = \sum_{\lambda \in A} G_{\lambda}$ such that G_{λ} is closed for each $\lambda \in A$ and $p^{n}G = \sum_{\lambda \in A} p^{n}G_{\lambda}$. By 2.7, $p^{n}G_{\lambda}$ is closed for each $\lambda \in A$. Thus $p^{n}G$ is a direct sum of closed groups.

Now suppose that $p^{n}G$ is a direct sum of closed groups. Then $p^{n}G = \sum_{\lambda \in A} G'_{\lambda}$ such that G'_{λ} is closed for all $\lambda \in A$. By 2.1, $G = \sum_{\lambda \in A} G_{\lambda}$ such that $p^{n}G_{\lambda} = G'_{\lambda}$. Thus by 2.7, G is closed for each $\lambda \in A$.

DEFINITION 2.13. A group G is essentially indecomposable if $G = A \bigoplus B$ implies that A or B is finite.

THEOREM 2.14. Suppose that the first n Ulm invariants of a p-group G are finite, n a positive integer. Then G is essentially indecomposable if and only if p^nG is essentially indecomposable.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from 2.1.

DEFINITION 4.14. A group G is "Fuchs 5" if and only if every infinite (pure) subgroup of G is contained in a summand of the same cardinality.

THEOREM 2.15. A p-group G is a Fuchs 5 group if and only if $p^{n}G$ is a Fuchs 5 group.

Proof. First assume p^nG is Fuchs 5. Let H be an infinite unbounded pure subgroup of G. Then $p^{n}H$ is a pure subgroup of $p^{n}G$. Since p^nG is Fuchs 5, p^nH is contained in a subgroup K' of p^nG such that K' is a summand of $p^n G$ and $|p^n H| = |K'|$. Thus $p^n G = K' \bigoplus L'$. Now $G_n = K \bigoplus L$ (where $G = S_n^{a} \bigoplus G_n$ according to Baer's decomposition) such that $p^nK = K'$ and $p^nL = L'$ (by 2.1). Write $H = S^H_n \bigoplus H_n$ according to Baer's decomposition. Then $p^n H_n$ is a pure subgroup of $p^{n}K$ which is a pure subgroup of $p^{n}G$. Let B_{μ} be a basic subgroup of H_n . Then $p^n B_{H_n}$ is a basic subgroup of $p^n H_n$ and can be extended to a basic subgroup of $p^n K$, say $p^n B = p^n B' \bigoplus p^n B_{H_n}$ where $p^n B' = \Sigma\{x_i\}$. For each x_i there exists $y_i \in K$ such that $p^n y_i = x_i$ and $H_{\kappa}(y_i) = 0$. Define $B' = \Sigma\{y_i\}$. Define groups $B = B' \bigoplus B_{H_n}$ and $M = \{B, p^n K\}$. Notice that B is a direct sum of cyclic groups, B is pure in M and $M/B \cong (B + p^n K)/B \cong p^n K/(B \cap p^n K) \cong p^n K/p^n B$ a divisible group since $p^{n}B$ is a basic subgroup of $p^{n}K$. Hence B is a basic subgroup of M. Now $M \cong K$, $H_n \subset M$, and $G = S_n^{\alpha} \oplus M \oplus L$. To see that $M \cong K$ notice that $p^{n}B$ is a basic subgroup of $p^{n}K$ and thus $p^{n}M \cong \{p^{n}B, p^{2n}K\} = p^{n}K$. By Theorem 1.2, if $p^n M \cong p^n K$ then $M_n \cong K_n$ (where $M = S_n^M \bigoplus M_n$ and $K = S_n^{\kappa} \bigoplus K_n$ according to Baer's decomposition), and since M = M_n and $K = K_n$, $M \cong K$. $(M = M_n$ since B is a basic subgroup of M and B is isomorphic to a basic subgroup of K.) Also $H_n \subset M$ since $H_n = \{B_{H_n}, p^n H_n\}, B_{H_n} \subset B \text{ and } p^n H_n \subset p^n K.$ To see that $G = S_n^{\mathcal{G}} \bigoplus M \bigoplus L$ we first observe that $M[p] = (p^n M)[p] = (p^n K)[p] = K[p]$ and thus $M \cap L = 0$. Thus $M \oplus L$ is a direct sum and hence $S_n^{a} \oplus (M \oplus L)$ is a direct sum since $M \oplus L \cong K \oplus L$. Clearly $S_n^{\sigma} \oplus M \oplus L \subset G$. Now $G_n = K \oplus L = \{B_\kappa, p^n K\} \oplus L$ where $B_\kappa = B' \oplus B''$ such that B'' = $\Sigma\{w_i\}$ and $B_{H_n} = \Sigma\{z_i\}$ with $p^n w_i = p^n z_i$ (i.e., $p^n B'' = p^n B_{H_n}$). Thus to show that $G \subset S_n^G \oplus M \oplus L$, it suffices to show that each $w_i \in S_n^G \oplus M \oplus L$. Now $p^n w_i = p^n z_i$ and thus $w_i - z_i = s + k + t \in S_n^{\sigma} \oplus K \oplus L$ with $s \in S_n^{\mathcal{G}}$, $k \in K$, $t \in L$, and o(k), $o(t) \leq p^n$. But B'' may as well have been chosen such that its *i*th generator was $w_i - k$, and thus we may assume that $w_i - z_i = t + s \in L \oplus S_n^d$. Hence $w_i = z_i + t + s \in S_n^d \oplus M \oplus L$. Therefore $G \subset S_n^{\mathcal{G}} \oplus M \oplus L$ and $G = S_n^{\mathcal{G}} \oplus M \oplus L$.

Now $|p^nH_n| = |p^nM|$, $(p^nH)[p] = H_n[p]$ and $(p^nM)[p] = M[p]$. Thus $|H_n| = |M|$. Each G_n is an absolute summand of G and we may

write $G = S_n^G \bigoplus G_n$ such that S_n^H is a summand of S_n^G . Thus $H = S_n^H \bigoplus H_n \subset S_n^H \bigoplus M$, a summand of G and clearly $|S_n^H \bigoplus M| = |H|$. Therefore G is Fuchs 5.

Next assume G is Fuchs 5. Let H be a subgroup of $p^{n}G$. Then H is a subgroup of G and is contained in a subgroup K of G such that K is a summand of G and |H| = |K|. Thus $G = K \bigoplus L$ and $p^{n}G =$ $p^{n}K \bigoplus p^{n}L$. Now $H \subset p^{n}G$ and hence $H \subset p^{n}G \cap K = p^{n}K$ by the purity of K in G. Thus $|H| \leq |p^{n}K| \leq |K| = |H|$. Therefore $p^{n}G$ is Fuchs 5.

DEFINITION 2.16. A group G is a Crawley group if G contains no proper isomorphic subgroups.

The existence of such groups has been shown by Peter Crawley in [4]. For he has constructed a group C between $B = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Cp^i)$ and $\overline{B} = T(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} C(p^i))$ (the torsion subgroup of the complete direct sum of the $C(p^i)$ for $i = 1, \dots$) which has no proper isomorphic subgroups. This group can be chosen such that $r(\overline{B}/C) = 1$. This fact was first observed by R. A. Beaumont and R. S. Pierce in [3]. This group is also essentially indecomposable. The fact that if $r(\overline{B}/C) = 1$ then C is essentially indecomposable was first proved (as follows) by John M. Irwin: Suppose $C = H \bigoplus K$. Then $B = \overline{B}_1 \bigoplus \overline{B}_2$ where $H \subset \overline{B}_1$ and $K \subset \overline{B}_2$. Thus either $H = \overline{B}_1$ or $K = \overline{B}_2$ since $r(\overline{B}/C) = 1$. Suppose $H = \overline{B}_1$.

It seems that this class of groups will be quite important in the study of p-groups.

THEOREM 2.17. If C is a Crawley group then $p^{n}C$ is a Crawley group. If $p^{n}C$ is a Crawley group and C is essentially indecomposable then C is a Crawley group.

Proof. Suppose first that C is a Crawley group. Suppose that there exists a group $L \subsetneq p^{n}C$ such that $L \cong p^{n}C$. Let $U = [x \in L: H_{L}(x) = 0]$. Then for each $x \in U$ there exists $y \in C$ such that $p^{n}y = x$. For each $x \text{ let } y_{x} \in C$ such that $p^{n}y_{x} = x$. Let $V = [y_{x}: x \in U]$. Define $C_{L} = \{V, L\}$. Now $p^{n}C_{L} = L$. Let $(C_{L})_{n}$ be a summand of C_{L} according to Baer's decomposition and let $G' = S_{n} \oplus (C_{L})_{n}$ where $B = S_{n} \oplus B_{n}^{*}$, B a basic subgroup of C and S_{n} a maximal p^{n} -bounded summand of B. Now $C' \subsetneq C$ and $p^{n}C' = L \cong p^{n}C$. Thus by 1.9, $C' \cong C$. But this contradicts the fact that C is Crawley.

Suppose $p^{n}C$ is Crawley. Then if C is essentially indecomposable then C is Crawley. For if there exists $L \subsetneq C$ such that $C \cong L$, then $p^{n}C \cong p^{n}L \subsetneq p^{n}C$ which would contradict the fact that $p^{n}C$ is Crawley.

COROLLARY 2.18. There are at least \aleph_0 nonisomorphic Crawley

groups C between B and \overline{B} .

Proof. Observe that $\overline{B} \cong p^n \overline{B}$ and $B \cong p^n B$ for all n. Let ϕ be an isomorphism between \overline{B} and $p^n \overline{B}$. Let C be a Crawley subgroup of \overline{B} . Then $p^n C$ is a Crawley subgroup of $p^n \overline{B}$, and $p^n C$ is not isomorphic to C. Thus $\phi(p^n C)$ is a Crawley subgroup of \overline{B} which is not isomorphic to C.

3. Quasi-isomorphic *p*-groups. In a recent paper by R. A. Beaumont and R. S. Pierce (see [2]), it was shown that two countable primary groups are quasi-isomorphic if and only if their basic subgroups are quasi-isomorphic and their subgroup of elements of infinite height are isomorphic. Also if two primary groups are quasi-isomorphic their basic subgroups are quasi-isomorphic. In [3] they have considered quasi-isomorphism in relation to direct sums of cyclic groups. We will extend these results to closed *p*-groups.

In considering quasi-isomorphism, it is of interest to investigate what properties of primary Abelian groups are preserved under quasiisomorphism. It will be shown that if G and H are quasi-isomorphic primary groups, then the statement that G has property P if and only if H has property P is equivalent to

- (1) property P is preserved under isomorphism,
- (2) G has property P if and only if $p^{n}G$ has property P and

(3) groups between G and $p^{n}G$ have property P if G does. This reduces this problem to considering G, $p^{n}G$, and groups between G and $p^{n}G$.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let G and H be p-groups. Then $G \cong H$ (quasiisomorphic) if there are subgroups $S \subset G$, $T \subset H$ and positive integers m and n such that $p^m G \subset S$, $p^n H \subset T$, and $S \cong T$.

The following theorem (among other things) shows that if two p-groups are quasi-isomorphic, then their subgroups of elements of infinite height are isomorphic.

THEOREM 3.2. Let G and H be p-groups. If G and H are quasiisomorphic, then G/G^1 is quasi-isomorphic to H/H^1 and $G^1 \cong H^1$.

Proof. Now G and H quasi-isomorphic implies that for some positive integers m and n there exists subgroups S and T of G and H, respectively, such that $G \supset S \supset p^m G$, $H \supset T \supset p^n H$ and $S \cong T$. Now clearly $G^1 \cong S^1$, $H^1 \cong T^1$ and $S^1 \cong T^1$. Thus $G^1 \cong H^1$. Now $S/S^1 \cong T/T^1$. Thus $p^m(G/G^1) \cong p^m G/G^1 \subset S/G^1 \subset G/G^1$ and $p^n(H/H^1) \cong p^n H/H^1 \subset T/H^1 \subset H/H^1$. Hence H/H^1 is quasi-isomorphic to G/G^1 .

The converse of the above theorem is not true as can be seen from

an example on pp. 134-135 in [5].

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let p be a property of p-groups. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) Let G and H be quasi-isomorphic p-groups. Then G has P if and only if H has P.

(2) Property P is such that for any p-group L:

(a) L has property P if and only if $p^{n}L$ has property P for all positive integers n.

(b) Whenever L has property P and S is a subgroup of L such that $L \supset S \supset p^n L$ then S has property P, and

(c) Property P is preserved under isomorphism.

Proof. First 2 implies 1: If G and H are quasi-isomorphic then for some positive integers m and n there exist subgroups S and T of G and H, respectively, such that $G \supset S \supset p^m G$, $H \supset T \supset p^n H$, and $S \cong T$. If G has property P then S has property P by (b). Thus T has property P by (c), and since $p^n S \subset p^n H \subset S$, $p^n H$ has property P by (b), and H has property P by (a). By symmetry we have 2 implies 1.

Next 1 implies 2: Since G is quasi-isomorphic to $p^{n}G$, we have (a). Also G is quasi-isomorphic to any subgroup S such that $G \supset S \supset p^{n}G$, thus we have (b). Clearly (c) holds.

Using the above proposition, we can show that if G and H are quasi-isomorphic *p*-groups, then G is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if H is a direct sum of cyclic groups, G is a closed *p*-group if and only if H is a closed *p*-group, and G is a Σ -group if and only if H is a Σ -group.

THEOREM 3.4. Let G and H be quasi-isomorphic p-groups. Then G is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if H is a direct sum of cyclic groups.

Proof. Now G is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if $p^{n}G$ is, and any group between G and $p^{n}G$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups (see p. 46 in [5]). Then by Proposition 3.3 the theorem is proved.

LEMMA 3.5. Let G be a reduced p-group. If G is a Σ -group and S is a subgroup of G such that $G \supset S \supset p^nG$, then S is a Σ -group.

Proof. Now $S^{_1} = G^{_1}$. Thus, apply the theorem stated in the proof of Theorem 2.9.

THEOREM 3.6. Let G and H be quasi-isomorphic p-groups. Then G is a Σ -group if and only if H is a Σ -group.

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.9, Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.3.

LEMMA 3.7. Let G be a closed p-group and $G \supset S \supset p^nG$. Then S is closed.

Proof. Let g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots be a Cauchy sequence in S. Then g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots is a Cauchy sequence in G. Since G is closed this sequence converges to some $g \in G$. Also $g - g_m \in p^n G \subset S$ for m > n, and since $g_m \in S$ we have $g \in S$.

THEOREM 3.8. Let G and H be quasi-isomorphic p-groups. Then G is closed if and only if H is closed.

Proof. An application of Proposition 3.3, Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 3.7 proves the theorem.

An important problem along these lines that seems to be a very difficult one is the following: If G and H are quasi-isomorphic p-groups, then is it true that G is a direct sum of countable groups if and only if H is a direct sum of countable groups? By Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 2.11 this problem is reduced to the following: If G is a direct sum of countable p-groups and S is a subgroup of G such that $p^{\pi}G \subset S$, is S a direct sum of countable groups? We are able to answer two special cases of this question in the following two theorems.

THEOREM 3.9. Let G be a direct sum of countable p-groups. Let K be a subgroup of G such that $G \supset K \supset p^n G$ and $K/p^n G$ is countable. Then K is a direct sum of countable groups.

Proof. Write $K/p^n G = \sum_{j \in I} \{k_j + p^n G\}$ and $p^n G = \sum_{\lambda \in A} p^n G_{\lambda}$ (where $G = \Sigma G_{\lambda}$) such that $|G_{\lambda}| = \aleph_0$. Then $K = \{\{k_j\}_{j \in I}, \{p^n G\}_{\lambda \in A}\} = \{\{k_j\}_{j \in I}, p^n G\}$. Now $k_j \in G$ and hence $k_j = \sum_{i=1}^m g_{\lambda_i}$ such that $g_{\lambda_i} \in G_{\lambda_i}$. Let $A' = [\lambda \in A:$ for some $g_{\lambda} \in G_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda}$ is a representative in some k_j]. Let $A'' = A \setminus A'$. Then $K = \{\{k_j\}_{j \in I}, \{p^n G_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in A'}\} \bigoplus \sum_{\lambda \in A''} p^n G_{\lambda}$. Thus K is a direct sum of countable groups.

THEOREM 3.10. Let G be a direct sum of countable p-groups, and let K be a subgroup of countable index. Then K is a direct sum of countable p-groups.

Proof. Write $G = \sum_{\lambda \in A} G_{\lambda}$. Now $G_{\lambda} \subset K$ for all but a countable number of $\lambda \in A$ since K is of countable index in G. Let $A' = [\lambda \in A: G_{\lambda} \subset K]$. Let $K_1 = \sum_{\lambda \in A'} G_{\lambda}$ and $K_2 = \{[k \in K: k \in \sum_{\lambda \in A \setminus A'} G_{\lambda}]\}$.

Then $K = K_1 \bigoplus K_2$ such that K_2 is countable and K_1 is a direct sum of countable groups.

The following theorem extends Beaumont and Pierce's results in [1] and [2] to closed p-groups.

THEOREM 3.11. Let \overline{B} and \overline{C} be closed p-groups with basic subgroups B and C, respectively. Then $\overline{B} \stackrel{.}{\cong} \overline{C}$ if and only if $B \stackrel{.}{\cong} C$.

Proof. If $\overline{B} \cong \overline{C}$ then $B \cong C$ by [2]. Thus suppose that $B \cong C$. Then there exist subgroups S and T of B and C, respectively, such that $S \supset p^n B$, $T \supset p^n C$ and $S \cong T$. Thus $\overline{B} \supset \overline{S} \supset p^n \overline{B}$, $\overline{C} \supset \overline{T} \supset p^n \overline{C}$ and $\overline{S} \cong \overline{T}$ since closed subgroups are completely determined by their basic subgroups (see p. 115 in [5]). Thus $\overline{B} \cong \overline{C}$.

4. Special cases of quasi-isomorphism. In this section we will impose some restrictions on the definition of quasi-isomorphism, and in some cases we will be able to determine by just how much two quasiisomorphic groups with these restrictions differ.

DEFINITION 4.1. $G \cong H$ (S.B. quasi-isomorphic, i.e., in the sense of Schroeder-Bernstein) if there exist subgroups $S \subset G$, $T \subset H$, and positive integers *m* and *n* such that $G \cong T$, $H \cong S$, $p^m G \subset S$, and $p^n H \subset T$.

DEFINITION 4.2. Two *p*-groups *G* and *H* are purely quasi-isomorphic if for some positive integers *m* and *n* there exist pure subgroups *S* and *T* of *G* and *H*, respectively, such that $G \supset S \supset p^m G$, $H \supset T \supset p^n H$ and $S \cong T$.

DEFINITION 4.3. $G \cong \bigoplus H$ (summand quasi-isomorphic) if there are subgroups $S \subset G$, $T \subset H$, and positive integers m and n such that $S \cong T$, $G = S \bigoplus G_1$, $H = T \bigoplus H_1$, $p^m G_1 = 0$, and $p^n H_1 = 0$.

DEFINITION 4.4. $G \cong H$ (strongly quasi-isomorphic) if there are subgroups $S \subset G$, $T \subset H$ such that $S \cong T$, $[G:S] < \infty$, and $[H:T] < \infty$.

DEFINITION 4.5. $G \cong \bigoplus H$ if there exists subgroups $S \subset G$, $T \subset H$ such that, $G = S \bigoplus G_1$, $H = T \bigoplus H_1$ and G_1 and H_1 are finite.

DEFINITION 4.6. Two groups are strongly S.B. quasi-isomorphic if there exist subgroups S and T of G and H, respectively, such that $[G:S] < \infty$, $[H:T] < \infty$, $S \cong H$ and $T \cong G$.

DEFINITION 4.7. Two p-groups G and H are purely S.B. quasi-

isomorphic if for some positive integers m and n there exists pure subgroups S and T of G and H, respectively, such that $G \supset S \supset p^m G$, $H \supset T \supset p^n H, G \cong T$ and $H \cong S$.

Each of the above definitions yields an equivalence relation. Definitions 4.2 and 4.3 are equivalent. It will be shown that Definitions 4.4 and 4.5 are equivalent, that Definition 4.6 is equivalent to $G_n \cong H_n$ for some positive integer n according to Baer's decomposition and that Definition 4.7 implies the groups are isomorphic. With this it will be clear that each relation (excluding Definition 4.1) is no weaker than the preceding one. Examples will be given to show that Definition 4.1 and 4.2 are stronger than Definition 3.1, and except for equivalent definitions, each relation from Definition 4.2 to Definition 4.7 is stronger than the preceding ones.

PROPOSITION 4.8. $G \stackrel{:}{\cong} H$ if and only if $G \stackrel{:}{\cong} \bigoplus H$.

Proof. That $G \cong \bigoplus H$ implies $G \cong H$ is clear. Thus suppose $G \cong H$. Let $S_1 \subset G$, $T_1 \subset H$ such that $S_1 \cong T_1$ and G/S_1 and H/T_1 are finite. A lemma of R. S. Pierce says: Let S_1 be a subgroup of a reduced p-group G of unbounded order such that the index $[G: S_1] < \infty$. Then there exists $S_2 \subset S_1$ such that $[G: S_2] < \infty$ and $G = S_2 \oplus L$. Thus there exist subgroups $S_2 \subset S_1$, and $T_2 \subset T_1$ such that S_2 is a direct summand of finite index in G and T_2 is a direct summand of finite index in H. Let ϕ be an isomorphism of S_1 onto T_1 . Then $S_2 \cap \phi^{-1}(T_2)$ has finite index in S_1 . Again by the above lemma, there exists a subgroup S of $S_2 \cap \phi^{-1}(T_2)$ such that $S_1 = S \oplus L$ where L is finite. Now $S_2 =$ $S \oplus (S_2 \cap L)$, where $S_2 \cap L$ is finite. Thus $G = S \oplus (S_2 \cap L) \oplus M$, where $(S_2 \cap L) \oplus M$ is finite. Let $T = \phi(S)$. Then $T_1 = T \oplus \phi(L)$, where $T \subset T_2$. Consequently $T_2 = T \oplus (\phi(L) \cap T_2)$, and $H = T \oplus (\phi(L) \cap T_2) \oplus N$, where $(\phi(L) \cap T_2) \oplus N$ is finite. Since $S \cong T$, it follows that $G \cong \oplus H$.

The following theorem shows that if two groups are strongly S.B. quasi-isomorphic then they only differ (up to isomorphism) by summands of bounded order.

THEOREM 4.9. Let G and H be strongly S.B. quasi-isomorphic p-groups. Then there exists a positive integer n such that $G_n \cong H_n$ according to Baer's decomposition.

Proof. Now G and H being strongly S.B. quasi-isomorphic implies that there exist subgroups S and T of G and H, respectively, such that $[G:S] < \infty$, $[H:T] < \infty$, $G \cong T$ and $H \cong S$. By the lemma stated in the proof of 4.8, there exist subgroups $S_1 \subset S$ and $T_1 \subset T$ such that S_1 and T_1 are pure in G and H, respectively, $[G:S_1] < \infty$ and $[H:T_1] < \infty$. Thus $G = S_1 \bigoplus \tilde{S}_1$ where $\tilde{S}_1 \cong G/S_1$ and S_1 is finite, and $H = T_1 \bigoplus \tilde{T}_1$ where $\tilde{T}_1 \cong H/T_1$ and T_1 is finite. Choose *n* such that $p^n(\tilde{S}_1) = p^n(\tilde{T}_1) = 0$. Now $p^n G = p^n S_1$ and $p^n H = p^n T_1$. Thus by Theorem 1.2, $G_n \cong (S_1)_n$ and $H_n \cong (T_1)_n$. Also $p^n S = p^n S_1$ and $p^n T = p^n T_1$, thus $S_n \cong (S_1)_n$ and $T_n \cong (T_1)_n$. Hence by the hypothesis we have that $G_n \cong T_n \cong (T_1)_n \cong H_n$.

COROLLARY 4.10. If G and H (as in Theorem 4.13) have isomorphic basic subgroups, then $G \cong H$.

In the next theorem we will show that if G and H satisfy Definition 4.7, then $G \cong H$. First we need a lemma.

LEMMA 4.11. Let G and H be p-groups such that $G \cong H \bigoplus B_1$, $H \cong G \bigoplus B_2$ where B_1 and B_2 are groups of bounded order. Then $G \cong H$.

Proof. Now $G \cong G \bigoplus B_1 \bigoplus B_2$ where $B_1 \bigoplus B_2$ is of bounded order. Thus if we write $G = S_n \bigoplus G_n$ according to Baer's decomposition, where $p^n(B_1 \bigoplus B_2) = 0$, it is clear that $S_n \cong S_n \bigoplus B_1 \bigoplus B_2 \cong S_n \bigoplus B_2$ by Ulm's Theorem. Thus $H \cong G \bigoplus B_2 \cong G_n \bigoplus (S_n \bigoplus B_2) \cong G_n \bigoplus S_n \cong G$.

THEOREM 4.12. If G and H are purely S.B. quasi-isomorphic p-groups, then $G \cong H$.

Proof. If S is a pure subgroup of G such that G/S is bounded, then S is a summand of G by Theorem 5 in [9]. Thus $G = S \bigoplus B_1$ where B_1 is of bounded order. Also $H = T \bigoplus B_2$ where B_2 is of bounded order. Thus $G \cong H \bigoplus B_1$ and $H \cong G \bigoplus B_2$ and by 4.11, $G \cong H$.

Now to see that Definitions 4.1 and 4.2 are stronger than Definition 3.1, let $G = C(p^2)$ and H = C(p). Then $G \cong H$ but G and H do not satisfy either Definitions 4.1 or 4.2.

To see that Definition 4.4 is stronger than Definition 4.3, let $G = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C(p^i)$ and $H = G \bigoplus \sum_{\aleph_0} C(p)$. Now clearly $G \cong \bigoplus H$, and by Ulm's Theorem and 4.8, it is clear that G and H are not strongly quasi-isomorphic.

Next we show that Definition 4.6 is stronger than Definition 4.5. Let $G = C(p) \bigoplus C(p)$ and H = C(p). Now clearly $G \cong \bigoplus H$, but G and H do not satisfy Definition 4.6.

Finally to see that Definition 4.7 is stronger than Definition 4.6, let $G = \sum_{\aleph_0} C(p^2)$ and $H = G \bigoplus C(p)$. Then clearly G and H satisfy Definition 4.6 but not Definition 4.7.

5. Some related problems. Given a p-group G and a subgroup H containing p^*G , n a positive integer, the question arises: If B is a basic subgroup of G, does there exist a basic subgroup B' of H such

that $B \supset B' \supset p^{n}B$? We will give an answer to a very special case of this question in a corollary to the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. Let G be a p-group and H a subgroup of G containing p^nG . If N is a high subgroup of G, then there exists a high subgroup M of H such that $N \supset M \supset p^nN$.

Proof. Let N be a high subgroup of G. Now p^nN is a high subgroup of p^nG (see p. 1380 in [6]), $p^nN \subset H$ and $p^nN \cap H^1 = 0$. Thus let M be the maximal subgroup of H such that $N \supset M \supset p^nN$ and $M \cap H^1 = 0$. Then M is high in H. To see this suppose not, i.e., suppose there exists $x \in H, x \notin M$, such that $\{x, M\} \cap H^1 = 0$. Since N is high in $G, \{x, N\} \cap H^1 \neq 0$. There exists $y \in N$ such that $p^kx + y = h_1 \in H^1(h_1 \neq 0)$. Now $p^kx, h_1 \in H$ and hence $y \in H$. Since $y \in H \cap N, y \in M$. Hence $\{x, M\} \cap H^1 \neq 0$, a contradiction.

COROLLARY 5.2. Let G be a p-group and H a subgroup of G containing p^*G . If G is a Σ -group and B is a basic subgroup of G which is also a high subgroup of G, then there exists a basic subgroup B' of H such that $B \supset B' \supset p^*B$. Here B' is a high subgroup of H.

The general question seems to be a little more elusive. The following two theorems are results related to this problem.

THEOREM 5.3. Let G be a reduced p-group and H a subgroup of G such that $H \supset p^n G$. Let B' be a basic subgroup of H. Then there exists a basic subgroup B of G such that $B \supset B'$.

Proof. We may write $B' = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} S_m$, $S_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i$, and $B' = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ such that $B_i = \Sigma C(p^i)$. Now $H = S_m \bigoplus H_m$ where $H_m = \{\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} B_i, p^m H\}$. Thus $S_m \cap H_m = 0$. Since $H_m[p] = (p^m H)[p]$, $S_m \cap p^m H = 0$. Also since $p^n G \subset H$, $S_m \cap p^{n+m} G = 0$. Thus S_m is contained in a maximal p^{n+m} bounded summand of G, and therefore the height in G of any element of S_m is bounded by n + m. By Kovács' Theorem (p. 99 in [5]), B'can be extended to a basic subgroup B of G. Hence $B' \subset B$ a basic subgroup of G.

THEOREM 5.4. Let G be a reduced p-group. Write $G = S_n \bigoplus G_n$ according to Baer's decomposition. Let T be a subgroup of G_n such that $T \supset p^n G$. Then there exists a basic subgroup B_T of T such that $B_T = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} L_i$ with $H_{G_n}(x) = i - 1$ for all $x \in L_i[p]$.

Proof. To prove the theorem we will construct a basic subgroup B_T of T such that $B_T = \bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} S_i$ where if $x \in S_{i+1}[p]$ then $H_{d_n}(x) \leq i$

and $S_{i+1} = S_i \bigoplus L_{i+1}$ such that if $x \in L_{i+1}[p]$, $H_{G_n}(x) = i$. Note that B_T will have the desired properties.

Let $\mathscr{S}_{n+1} = [L: L \text{ is a summand of } T \text{ and if } x \in L[p], H_{d}(x) = n].$ If $\mathscr{S}_{n+1} = 0$ let $S_{n+1} = 0$ and if $\mathscr{S}_{n+1} \neq 0$ let S_{n+1} be a maximal element of \mathscr{S}_{n+1} . (Clearly such a maximal element exists by Zorn's Lemma.) Assuming that S_i has been defined, define S_{i+1} as follows: Let $S_{i+1} =$ [L: L is a summand of T; $S_i \subset L$; if $x \in L$, $H_{G_n}(x) \leq i$; and $L = S_i \oplus \hat{L}$ such that if $y \in \hat{L}[p]$ then $H_{\mathcal{G}_n}(y) = i$. Let S_{i+1} be a maximal element of \mathscr{S}_{i+1} which we will now show exists. Clearly some $\mathscr{S}_i \neq 0$. Thus partially order \mathscr{S}_{i+1} by set inclusion and let $L_1 \subset L_2 \cdots$ be a chain in \mathscr{S}_{i+1} . Put $L = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} L_j$. Then L is pure in T since each L_j is pure in T. Also if $x \in L$, then $x \in L_j$ for some j which implies that $H_{\mathcal{G}_n}(x) \leq i$. Hence L is of bounded order (with order bound $\leq p^{i+1}$) and thus L is a summand of T. Clearly $L \supset S_i$. Note that L_i is a summand of L_{i+1} for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots$. Now $L_j = S_{n+1} \oplus \widehat{L}_j$ such that for $x \in \widehat{L}_j[p]$, $H_{\mathcal{G}_{n}}(x)=i, ext{ and } L_{j+1}=S_{n+1} \oplus L_{j+1} ext{ such that for } x\in \widehat{L}_{j+1}[p], H_{\mathcal{G}_{n}}(x)=i.$ To show that $L = igcup_{j=1}^\infty L_j \in \mathscr{S}_{i+1}$ we need only show that L_{j+1} can be chosen such that it contains \hat{L}_j . Write $\hat{L}_j = \Sigma\{x_\alpha\}$ such that $o(x_\alpha) =$ $n_{\alpha} + 1$. Now $p^{n_{\alpha}} x_{a} \in \hat{L}_{j+1}[p]$ since otherwise $H_{G_{\alpha}}(p^{n_{\alpha}} x_{\alpha}) < i$. If $x_{\alpha} \in \hat{L}_{j+1}$ we are done. So suppose that $x_{\alpha} \notin L_{j+1}$. Since $x_{\alpha} \in L_{j+1}$, $x_{\alpha} = s + y_{\alpha}$ where $s \in S_{n+1}$, $y_{\alpha} \in \hat{L}_{j=1}$ and $p^{n_{\alpha}}x_{\alpha} = p^{n_{\alpha}}y_{\alpha}$. By the purity of \hat{L}_{j} in $L_{j+1}, \hat{L}_{j+1} = \{y_{lpha}\} + K$, and thus we may rewrite L_{j+1} as $L_{j+1} = S_{n+1} \oplus$ $(\{s + y_{\alpha}\} \oplus K)$ where our new $\hat{L}_{j+1} = \{s + y_{\alpha}\} \oplus K$ and hence contains $x_{lpha}=s+y_{lpha}$. Since we may do this for any $x_{lpha}\in \widehat{L}_{j}$, we can choose \hat{L}_{j+1} to contain \hat{L}_j . Therefore $L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_j = S_i \bigoplus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{L}_j$, and L has the desired properties.

Next set $B_T = igcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} S_i$, and note that B_T is pure in T and B_T is a direct sum of cyclic groups (see Theorem 11.1 in [5]). We will now show that T/B_T is divisible which will imply that B_T is a basic subgroup of T. To do this we will show that for all $x \in T[p]$ such that $x \notin B_{T}[p], H_{T/B_{T}}(x + B_{T}) = \infty$. This is sufficient since, by Lemma 1 in [9], if $x + B_r \in (T/B_r)[p]$, there exists $x' \in T[p]$ such that $x' + B_r =$ $x + B_{r}$. Let $x \in T[p]$ such that $x \notin B_{r}[p]$, and suppose that $H_{G_{r}}(x) = m$. Suppose that $H_{T}(x) = k$, and let $z \in T$ such that $p^{k}z = x$. Now $x \in S_{m+1}$ which implies that either $S_{m+1} \bigoplus \{z\}$ is not pure in T or that $H_{G_m}(x+y) > m$ for some $y \in S_{m+1}[p]$ (by the maximality of S_{m+1}). If $S_{m+1} \bigoplus \{z\}$ is not pure in T then there exists $y_1 \in S_{m+1}[p]$ such that $H_T(y_1 + x) = k_1 > k$. Let $z_1 \in T$ such that $p^{k_1}z_1 = y_1 + x$. Now $H_{G_m}(x+y_1) \leq m$, and $x+y_1 \notin S_{m+1}$ so that again either $S_{m+1} \bigoplus \{z_i\}$ is not pure in T or $H_{G_m}(x + y_i + y) > m$ for some $y \in S_{m+1}[p]$. If $S_{m+1} \bigoplus \{z_1\}$ is not pure in T then there exists $y_2 \in S_{m+1}$ such that $H_r(x + y_1 + y_2) = k_2 > k_1 > k$. Thus in either case there exists $y \in S_{m+1}[p]$ such that $H_{\mathcal{G}_m}(x+y) = m_1 > m$. Now clearly $x + y \notin S_{m_1+1}$, and by a similar argument there exist a $y^1 \in S_{m_1+1}$ such

that $H_{\mathcal{G}_n}(x + y + y^1) = m_2 > m_1$. Continuing by induction we see that the height of $x + B_T \in T/B_T$ is infinite.

It seems that an answer to the general question (asked at the beginning of this section) would give useful information about the structure of infinite p-groups.

Another question that arises is the following: If G and H are p-groups such that $G \cong H$ and $G/G^1 \cong H/H^1$, then is it true that $G \cong H$? It would be interesting to know the answer to this question at least in the case G/G^1 is a direct sum of cyclic groups.

It would also be of interest to know: If G is a direct sum of closed p-groups, is a group S between G and $p^{n}G$ also a direct sum of closed p-groups?

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. A. Beaumont, and R. S. Pierce, Quasi-isomorphism of Direct Sums of Cyclic Groups, (to appear).

2. _____, *Quasi-isomorphism of p-Groups*, Proceedings of the Colloquium on Abelian Groups, Publishing House of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, (to appear).

3. ____, Some Invariants of p-Groups, (to appear).

4. Peter Crawley, An infinite primary Abelian group without proper isomorphic subgroups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1962), 463-467.

5. L. Fuchs, Abelian Groups, New York, Pergamon Press, 1960.

6. John M. Irwin, *High subgroups of Abelian torsion groups*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 1375-1384.

7. John M. Irwin, and E. A. Walker, On isotype subgroups of Abelian groups, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Vol. 89, (1961), 451-460.

8. ____, On N-high subgroups of Abelian groups, Pacific J. Math. 11, (1961), 1363-1374.

9. Irving Kaplansky, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Ann Arbor, The University of Michigan Press, 1954.

TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY AND NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

*J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 16, No. 1 November, 1966

Larry Armijo, <i>Minimization of functions having Lipschitz continuous first</i> <i>partial derivatives</i>	1
Edward Martin Bolger and William Leonard Harkness, <i>Some</i>	
characterizations of exponential-type distributions	5
James Russell Brown, Approximation theorems for Markov operators	13
Doyle Otis Cutler, <i>Quasi-isomorphism for infinite Abelian p-groups</i>	25
Charles M. Glennie, Some identities valid in special Jordan algebras but not	
valid in all Jordan algebras	47
Thomas William Hungerford, A description of $Mult_i$ (A^1, \dots, A^n) by	
generators and relations	61
James Henry Jordan, <i>The distribution of cubic and quintic non-residues</i>	77
Junius Colby Kegley, <i>Convexity with respect to Euler-Lagrange differential</i>	
operators	87
Tilla Weinstein, On the determination of conformal imbedding	113
Paul Jacob Koosis, On the spectral analysis of bounded functions	121
Jean-Pierre Kahane, On the construction of certain bounded continuous	
functions	129
V. V. Menon, A theorem on partitions of mass-distribution	133
Ronald C. Mullin, The enumeration of Hamiltonian polygons in triangular	
maps	139
Eugene Elliot Robkin and F. A. Valentine, <i>Families of parallels associated</i>	
with sets	147
Melvin Rosenfeld, <i>Commutative F-algebras</i>	159
A. Seidenberg, <i>Derivations and integral closure</i>	167
S. Verblunsky, On the stability of the set of exponents of a Cauchy	
exponential series	175
Herbert Walum, Some averages of character sums	189