# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN BOUNDED CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

JEAN-PIERRE KAHANE

Vol. 16, No. 1 November 1966

# ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN BOUNDED CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

### J.-P. KAHANE

We give an elementary method for constructing continuous functions fulfilling the hypothesis of Theorem 1 of the preceding paper. Such functions thus constitute counterexamples to the proposition and theorem discussed therein.

Theorem. Let  $\varphi(x)$  be continuously differentiable on  $[0, \infty)$ , and suppose

- (i)  $\varphi(0) = 0$
- (ii)  $\varphi'(x)$  is nonnegative, and strictly increasing to  $\infty$  on  $[0, \infty)$
- (iii)  $\varphi'(x)/\varphi(x) \to \infty, x \to \infty$ .

Put

$$f(x) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2^{m}}x\right), \quad x < 0$$

$$f(x) = e^{i\varphi(x)}, x \ge 0.$$

Then the bounded continuous function f(x) has properties 1, 2, and 3 of Theorem 1 in the previous paper.

*Proof.* That  $0 \in sp$  f follows from (1) as in §2 of the previous paper.

To establish property 3, let us show that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(x+a) dx \to 0$$

uniformly in a as  $T \to \infty$ . If I is any interval of length T, denote by A the part of I lying to the left of 0, and by B that part lying to the right. We have, by (1),

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{A} f(x) dx = \frac{|A|}{T} \left\{ \frac{1}{|A|} \int_{A} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2^{m}} x\right) dx \right\}.$$

The quantity in brackets is always in absolute value  $\leq 1$ , and tends to zero independently of the position of A as  $|A| \to \infty$  (this fact belongs to the rudiments of the theory of almost periodic functions, and can here be verified by direct calculation). Since  $|A| \leq T$ , we have

(3)  $\frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathcal{A}} f(x) dx \rightarrow 0$  independently of the position of I as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

Received December 22, 1964.

The integral  $\int_{B} f(x)dx$  is bounded for all intervals B of the form [0, b]. Indeed, if b > 1,

$$\int_0^b f(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)dx + \int_1^b f(x)dx.$$

Since  $\varphi'(x) \ge 0$  we can, by (2), make the substitution  $\varphi(x) = \xi$  in the second integral on the right, getting for it the value

$$\int_{1}^{b} e^{iarphi(x)} dx = \int_{arphi(1)}^{arphi(b)} e^{i\xi} rac{d\xi}{arphi'(x)}$$
 .

In view of (ii), this last is in absolute value  $\leq 4/\varphi'(1)$  by the second mean value theorem. It follows that  $\int_B f(x)dx$  is bounded for all intervals B lying to the right of the origin, whence

(4) 
$$\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathcal{B}}f(x)dx \to 0$$
 independently of the position of  $I$  as  $T\to\infty$  .

From (3) and (4) we see that  $1/T \int_I f(x) dx$  is small in absolute value for all intervals I of length T, if only T is sufficiently large, which is property 3.

It remains to verify property 2. We show that if  $0 < X_1 < \cdots < X_M$  and the  $A_k$  are complex numbers

$$\sup_{x>0} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{M} A_k e^{i\varphi(x+X_k)} \right| = \sum_{1}^{M} |A_k|.$$

So as not to lose the reader in details, we do this for the case M=2; it will be clear how to extend the reasoning to any value of M.

Let  $\varepsilon$  be given,  $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$ , and, choosing a positive determination of the argument, put, for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ 

$$a_k = arphi^{-1}\!\!\left(2\pi k + rgrac{1}{A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} - arepsilon
ight) - X_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$$

$$(7) \qquad \qquad b_{\scriptscriptstyle k} = arphi^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}\!\!\left(2\pi k + rgrac{1}{A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} + arepsilon
ight) - X_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$$
 .

Clearly  $a_{\scriptscriptstyle k} < b_{\scriptscriptstyle k} < a_{\scriptscriptstyle k+1}, a_{\scriptscriptstyle k} {\:
ightarrow\:} \infty$  as  $k {\:
ightarrow\:} \infty$ , and by (ii),

$$(8) b_k - a_k \to 0, k \to \infty.$$

Also,

(9) 
$$\mathscr{R}(A_1e^{iarphi(x+X_1)}) \geqq (1-arepsilon^2)\,|\,A_1\,| \quad ext{for} \quad a_k \leqq x \leqq b_k$$
 .

I claim that  $\varphi(b_k+X_2)-\varphi(a_k+X_2)\to\infty$  as  $k\to\infty$ . If c>0, by (ii):

$$\frac{\varphi'(x+c)}{\varphi'(x)} \ge c \frac{\varphi'(x+c)}{\varphi(x+c) - \varphi(x)} \ge c \frac{\varphi'(x+c)}{\varphi(x+c)},$$

whence

(10) 
$$\frac{\varphi'(x+c)}{\varphi'(x)} \to \infty, x \to \infty,$$

in view of (iii). Since  $X_2 > X_1$ , there is, by (8), a c > 0 such that, for all sufficiently large k,  $c + b_k + X_1 \le a_k + X_2$ . We thus have, from (6), (7), (ii), and (10):

$$egin{aligned} arphi(b_{\scriptscriptstyle k}+X_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) - arphi(a_{\scriptscriptstyle k}+X_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) &= 2arepsilonrac{arphi(b_{\scriptscriptstyle k}+X_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) - arphi(a_{\scriptscriptstyle k}+X_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})}{arphi(b_{\scriptscriptstyle k}+X_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) - arphi(a_{\scriptscriptstyle k}+X_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})} \ & \geq 2arepsilonrac{arphi'(b_{\scriptscriptstyle k}+X_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}+c)}{arphi'(b_{\scriptscriptstyle k}+X_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})} 
ightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

as  $k \to \infty$ , since  $b_k \to \infty$ ,  $k \to \infty$ . This is the desired result which implies, in particular, the existence, for all sufficiently large k, of an  $x_k \in [a_k, b_k]$  such that

$$\varphi(x_k + X_2) \equiv \arg \frac{1}{A_2} \pmod{2\pi}$$
.

For such  $x_k$  we have  $A_2e^{i\varphi(x_k+x_2)}=|A_2|$  which, together with (9), yields (5) for the case M=2, since  $\varepsilon>0$  is arbitrary.

REMARK. Suppose  $\varphi(x)$  is even, and fulfills condition (i), (ii), and (iii) of the theorem. Besides this, let it be twice continuously differentiable, and be such that  $\varphi''(x) \geq C > 0$  (example:  $\varphi(x) = e^{x^2}$ ). Then, if  $f(x) = e^{i\varphi(x)}$ ,  $e^{i\lambda x}$  is not, for any  $\lambda \in sp$  f, in the weak closure of any bounded subset of  $V_f$  (notation as in the preceding paper). (This observation is due to P. Koosis.)

Indeed, the function f(x) clearly has property 2, according to the above work. A glance at the proof of Theorem 1 in the preceding paper now shows that the desired result will certainly follow if we establish, for all real  $\lambda$ , that

$$\frac{1}{T}\!\!\int_0^{T}\!\!f(x+X)e^{-i\lambda x}dx \longrightarrow 0$$
 uniformly in  $X$  as  $T \longrightarrow \infty$ . But by a

lemma of Van der Corput ([1], vol I, p. 197),

$$\left| \int_0^{\tau} e^{\imath [\varphi(x+X) - \lambda x]} dx \right| \leq 12 \cdot \left\{ \inf_{0 \leq x \leq T} \varphi''(x+X) \right\}^{-1/2} \leq 12 C^{-1/2}$$

for all T, which implies the desired statement.

### REFERENCES

- 1. P. Koosis, On the spectral analysis of bounded functions, Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965),
- 2. A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Second Edition, Cambridge, 1959.

FACULTY OF SCIENCES, ORSAY, UNIVERSITY OF PARIS

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### **EDITORS**

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 \*J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan

## **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 16, No. 1 November, 1966

Larry Armijo, Minimization of functions having Lipschitz continuous first partial derivatives	1
Edward Martin Bolger and William Leonard Harkness, <i>Some</i>	
characterizations of exponential-type distributions	5
James Russell Brown, Approximation theorems for Markov operators	13
Doyle Otis Cutler, Quasi-isomorphism for infinite Abelian p-groups	25
Charles M. Glennie, Some identities valid in special Jordan algebras but not	47
	47
Thomas William Hungerford, A description of $\operatorname{Mult}_i(A^1, \dots, A^n)$ by generators and relations	61
James Henry Jordan, The distribution of cubic and quintic non-residues	77
Junius Colby Kegley, Convexity with respect to Euler-Lagrange differential	
	87
Tilla Weinstein, <i>On the determination of conformal imbedding</i>	13
Paul Jacob Koosis, On the spectral analysis of bounded functions 1	21
Jean-Pierre Kahane, On the construction of certain bounded continuous	
	29
V. V. Menon, A theorem on partitions of mass-distribution	33
Ronald C. Mullin, The enumeration of Hamiltonian polygons in triangular	
<i>maps</i> 1	39
Eugene Elliot Robkin and F. A. Valentine, Families of parallels associated	
with sets1	47
Melvin Rosenfeld, Commutative F-algebras	59
A. Seidenberg, Derivations and integral closure	67
S. Verblunsky, On the stability of the set of exponents of a Cauchy	
exponential series	.75
Herbert Walum, Some averages of character sums 1	89