

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**NONNEGATIVE PROJECTIONS ON  $C_0(X)$**

GALEN LATHROP SEEVER

## NONNEGATIVE PROJECTIONS ON $C_0(X)$

G. L. SEEVER

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space,  $C_0(X)$  the space of continuous real-valued functions on  $X$  which vanish at infinity, and let  $C_0(X)$  be equipped with the supremum norm. Let  $E: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)$  be a nonnegative projection ( $x \geq 0 \Rightarrow Ex \geq 0$ ;  $E^2 = E$ ) of norm 1. The first theorem states that  $E(xEy) = E(ExEy)$  for all  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ . Let  $X_0 = \bigcap \{x^{-1}\{0\}: x \geq 0, Ex = 0\}$ . The second theorem states (in part) that  $M = E[C_0(X)]$  under the norm and order it inherits from  $C_0(X)$  is a Banach lattice, that the mapping  $x \rightarrow x|_{X_0}$  (=restriction of  $x$  to  $X_0$ ) is an isometric vector lattice homomorphism (=linear map which preserves the lattice operations) of  $M$  onto a subalgebra of  $C_0(X_0)$ , and that for  $t \in X_0$ ,  $E(xEy)(t) = (ExEy)(t)$  for all  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ .

The paper concludes with a characterization of the conditional expectation operators  $L^1$  of a probability space.

The characterization is complementary to (and inspired by) one given by Moy [5; p. 61]. As a corollary to our first theorem we obtain the theorem of Kelley [2; p. 219] which states that  $E[C_0(X)]$  is a subalgebra of  $C_0(X)$  if and only if  $E(xEy) = ExEy$  for all  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ .

**Preliminaries.** An  $M$ -space is a Banach lattice whose norm satisfies the condition  $x, y \geq 0 \Rightarrow \|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  ( $x \vee y$  is the maximum of  $x$  and  $y$ ). An element  $u$  of a Banach lattice is a *unit* if and only if  $\{x: 0 \leq x \leq u\} = \{x: x \geq 0, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ . If a Banach lattice has a unit, it has only one and is an  $M$ -space.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $M$  be an  $M$ -space with unit  $u$ . Then*

(i)  $X = \{x^* \in M^*: x^*u = 1, x^* \text{ is a vector lattice homomorphism}\}$  is  $\sigma(M^*, M)$ -compact;

(ii) *the natural mapping of  $M$  into  $C(X)$  ( $X$  has the relative  $\sigma(M^*, M)$ -topology) is an isometric vector lattice homomorphism onto.*

*If, in additions,  $M$  is order-complete<sup>1</sup>, then*

(iii)  $X$  is Stonian<sup>2</sup>;

(iv)  $M$  is the (norm-)closed linear span of the set  $U$  of extreme points of  $\{x \in M: 0 \leq x \leq u\}$ , and  $x \in M$  belongs to  $U$  if and only if  $x \wedge (u - x) = 0$ .

---

Received October 23, 1964.

<sup>1</sup> That is, as a lattice  $M$  is conditionally complete.

<sup>2</sup>  $X$  is Stonian if and only if it is compact and its open subsets have open closures.

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) are proved in [1] (pp.1000–1006). (iii) is proved in [7] (p.185). We now prove (iv). By (i)–(iii) we may assume that  $M = C(X)$  for some Stonian  $X$ .  $x$  is an extreme point of  $\{y \in C(X): 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$  if and only if it is the characteristic function of an open closed subset of  $X$ . This proves the second part of (iv). The linear span  $A$  of  $U$  is a subalgebra and (since  $X$  is totally disconnected) separates the points of  $X$ . By the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem  $A$  is dense in  $C(X)$ .

The adjoint of a Banach lattice with its natural norm and order ( $x^* \geq y^* \iff x^*x \geq y^*x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ ) is an order-complete Banach lattice (that the adjoint is a lattice is proved in [6], p. 36). In particular, if  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then both  $C_0(X)^*$  and  $C_0(X)^{**}$  are order-complete Banach lattices.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then  $C_0(X)^{**}$  is an  $M$ -space with unit, and when it is equipped with the multiplication it so acquires, the natural embedding of  $C_0(X)$  in  $C_0(X)^{**}$  is multiplicative.*

*Proof.* The mapping  $\mu \rightarrow \|\mu\|$  is additive and nonnegatively homogeneous on  $\{\mu \in C_0(X)^*: \mu \geq 0\}$  and so has a unique linear extension to all of  $C_0(X)^*$ . This extension, which we denote by  $1$ , is clearly a unit for  $C_0(X)^{**}$ .

Let  $\Omega = \{\xi \in C_0(X)^{***}: \xi 1 = 1, \xi \text{ a vector lattice homomorphism}\}$ . Let  $\kappa: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)^{**}$  be the natural embedding. We show the existence of a meagre subset  $H$  of  $\Omega$  such that for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $C_0(X)$ ,  $\kappa(x)\kappa(y)$  and  $\kappa(xy)$ , when regarded as functions on  $\Omega$ , agree on  $\Omega \sim H$ .  $\kappa$  is a vector lattice homomorphism [6; p. 39] so that for  $\xi \in \Omega$ ,  $\xi \circ \kappa$  is a vector lattice homomorphism, i.e.,  $\xi \circ \kappa$  is a nonnegative multiple of evaluation at some point of  $X$ . Thus if  $\|\xi \circ \kappa\| = 1$ , then  $\xi \circ \kappa$  is evaluation at some point of  $X$  and so is multiplicative. We now show that  $H = \{\xi \in \Omega: \|\xi \circ \kappa\| < 1\}$  is meagre. Let  $A = \{\kappa(x): x \geq 0, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ .  $A$  is directed by  $\leq$  and is bounded above. Thus  $\mathbf{V}A$  (=supremum of  $A$  in  $C_0(X)^{**}$ ) exists and for  $\mu$  a nonnegative member of  $C_0(X)^*$ ,  $(\mathbf{V}A)(\mu) = \sup_{f \in A} f(\mu)$ .  $\sup_{f \in A} f(\mu) = \sup\{\mu(x): x \geq 0, \|x\| \leq 1\} = \|\mu\| = 1(\mu)$  whenever  $\mu \geq 0$ . Thus  $\mathbf{V}A = 1$ . Since the supremum of a subset of  $C(\Omega)$  and the pointwise supremum agree off some meagre set, we have  $1 = \xi(1) = \sup\{\xi(f): f \in A\} = \sup\{(\xi \circ \kappa)(x): x \geq 0, \|x\| \leq 1\} = \|\xi \circ \kappa\|$  save for  $\xi$  in some meagre set. Thus,  $\kappa(xy)$  and  $\kappa(x)\kappa(y)$ , when regarded as functions on  $\Omega$ , agree on  $\Omega \sim H$ , i.e.,  $\kappa(xy) = \kappa(x)\kappa(y)$

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $E: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  be a nonnegative projection of norm 1. Then  $E[C(X)]$  with the norm and order it inherits from  $C(X)$  is an  $M$ -space and has  $E1$*

for a unit.

*Proof.* To show that  $M = E[C(X)]$  is a vector lattice it is enough to prove that for  $x \in M$ , the maximum in  $M$  of  $x$  and  $0$  exists. Let  $x \in M$ .  $x^+ \geq x, 0 \Rightarrow Ex^+ \geq x, 0(x^+ = x \vee 0)$ . If  $y \in M$ , and  $y \geq x, 0$ , then  $y \geq x^+$  so that  $y = Ey \geq Ex^+$ . Thus  $Ex^+$  is the maximum in  $M$  of  $x$  and  $0$ . Let  $u = E1$ . We show that for  $x \in M$ ,  $\|x\| = \inf\{\alpha: -\alpha u \leq x \leq \alpha u\}$ . This will show that  $M$  is a Banach lattice, and that  $u$  is a unit for  $M$ . Let  $x \in M$ .  $-\|x\| \leq x \leq \|x\| \Rightarrow -\|x\|u = E(-\|x\|) \leq Ex = x \leq E(\|x\|) = \|x\|u$ ; if  $-\alpha u \leq x \leq \alpha u$ , then  $-\alpha \leq -\alpha u \leq \alpha u \leq \alpha$  so that  $\alpha \geq \|x\|$ .

### Main Theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let  $E: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)$  be a nonnegative projection of norm 1. Then  $E(xEy) = E(ExEy)$  for all  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ .*

*Proof.* We shall show that by passing to  $E^{**}$  and  $C_0(X)^{**}$  it is enough to prove the theorem under the additional hypotheses

(a)  $X$  is Stonian;

(b) if  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an increasing net in  $C(X)$  with  $x = \bigvee_{i \in I} x_i$ , then  $Ex = \bigvee_{i \in I} Ex_i$ .

First we prove the theorem under the additional hypotheses. Let  $M = E[C(X)]$ . If  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an increasing net in  $M$  with  $\bigvee_{i \in I} x_i = x \in C(X)$ , then  $Ex = \bigvee_{i \in I} Ex_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} x_i = x$  so that  $M$  is an order-complete  $M$ -space with unit  $u = E1$ . By Lemma 1  $M$  is the closed linear span of the set  $\mathcal{U}$  of extreme points of  $U = \{x \in M: 0 \leq x \leq u\}$ . By the bilinearity and continuity of  $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$  it is enough to prove that  $E(xy) = E(xEy)$  whenever  $x \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $0 \leq y \leq 1$ . Set  $z = E(xy) - E(xEy)$ .  $x + z = E(x + xy - xEy) = E(x(1 + y - Ey))$ , and, since  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $1 + y - Ey \geq 0$  (indeed,  $1 - Ey \geq 0$ ), we have  $0 \leq E(x(1 + y - Ey)) \leq E(1 + y - Ey) = E1 = u$ . Thus  $x + z \in U$ . Similarly,  $x - z \in U$ . Since both  $x + z$  and  $x - z$  belong to  $U$  and  $x \in \mathcal{U}$  we must have  $z = 0$ . This proves the theorem under the additional hypotheses.

Now let  $X$  and  $E$  be as in the theorem.  $E^{**}$  is a nonnegative projection of norm 1, and by Lemmas 1 and 2 there is a Stonian space  $\Omega$  such that  $C_0(X)^{**} = C(\Omega)$ . Let  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an increasing net in  $C_0(X)^{**}$  with  $f = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i$ . For  $\mu$  a nonnegative member of  $C_0(X)^*$ ,  $f(\mu) = \sup_i f_i(\mu) = \lim_i f_i(\mu)$ . Since any member of  $C_0(X)^*$  is the difference of nonnegative members, we have  $f(\mu) = \lim_i f_i(\mu)$  for all  $\mu \in C_0(X)^*$ . Since  $E^{**}$  is  $\sigma(C_0(X)^{**}, C_0(X)^*)$ -continuous,  $\{E^{**}f_i\}_{i \in I} \sigma(C_0(X)^{**}, C_0(X)^*)$ -converges to  $E^{**}f$ , which, together with the monotonicity of  $\{E^{**}f_i\}_{i \in I}$ , implies that  $E^{**}f = \bigvee_{i \in I} E^{**}f_i$ . Thus  $E^{**}$  and  $\Omega$  satisfy the ad-

ditional hypotheses. Let  $\kappa: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)^{**}$  be the natural embedding. For  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ ,  $\kappa(E(xEy)) = E^{**}(\kappa(xEy)) = E^{**}(\kappa(x)\kappa(Ey)) = E^{**}(\kappa(x)E^{**}(\kappa(y))) = E^{**}(E^{**}(\kappa(x))E^{**}(\kappa(y))) = E^{**}(\kappa(Ex)\kappa(Ey)) = E^{**}(\kappa(ExEy)) = \kappa(E(ExEy))$ .

**COROLLARY.** *(Kelley)  $E[C_0(X)]$  is a subalgebra of  $C_0(X)$  if and only if  $E(xEy) = ExEy$  for all  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ .*

*Proof.*  $E[C_0(X)]$  is a subalgebra of  $C_0(X)$  if and only if  $ExEy = E(ExEy)$  for all  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $L$  and  $M$  be vector lattices, and let  $T: L \rightarrow M$  be a nonnegative linear map.  $|\text{Ker}|(T) = \{x \in L: T(|x|) = 0\}$  ( $|x| = x \vee (-x)$ ).

Note that  $|\text{Ker}|(T)$  is a vector lattice ideal in  $L$ , that is,  $|\text{Ker}|(T)$  is a linear subspace of  $L$  and  $x \in |\text{Ker}|(T), |y| \leq |x| \Rightarrow y \in |\text{Ker}|(T)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $E: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)$  a nonnegative projection of norm 1. Let  $X_0 = \bigcap \{x^{-1}[\{0\}]: x \in |\text{Ker}|(E)\}$ ,  $Y$  be the set of level sets (sets of constancy) of  $M = E[C_0(X)]$ ,  $X_1 = \bigcup \{A \in Y: A \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset\}$ , and let  $Z = \bigcap \{x^{-1}[\{0\}]: x \in M\}$ . Then*

- (i)  $M$  with the norm and order it inherits from  $C_0(X)$  is a Banach lattice;
- (ii)  $x \rightarrow x|_{X_0}$  is an isometric vector lattice homomorphism from  $M$  to  $C_0(X_0)$ ;
- (iii) for  $x, y \in M$ ,  $xy|_{X_0} = E(xy)|_{X_0}$ ; in particular,  $\{x|_{X_0}: x \in M\}$  is a subalgebra of  $C_0(X_0)$ ;
- (iv)  $X_1 \cup Z = \{s \in X: E(xEy)(s) = (ExEy)(s) \text{ for all } x, y \in C_0(X)\}$ .

*Proof.* We saw in the proof of Lemma 3 that  $M$  is a vector lattice under the order it inherits from  $C_0(X)$ . (ii) will imply that  $M$  is a Banach lattice. First we prove that  $x \rightarrow x|_{X_0}$  is a vector lattice homomorphism. Let  $x \in M$ . We have seen that the maximum of  $x$  and 0 in  $M$  is  $Ex^+$ . Thus we must show that  $Ex^+|_{X_0} = x^+|_{X_0}$ .  $Ex^+ \geq x, 0 \Rightarrow Ex^+ \geq x^+.$   $Ex^+ - x^+ \geq 0, E(Ex^+ - x^+) = 0 \Rightarrow Ex^+ - x^+ \in |\text{Ker}|(E) = Ex^+ - x^+$  vanishes on  $X_0$ . Thus  $x \rightarrow x|_{X_0}$  is a vector lattice homomorphism of  $M$  to  $C_0(X_0)$ . Note that  $|\text{Ker}|(E)$  is a closed algebraic ideal in  $C_0(X)$  and so is equal  $\{x \in C_0(X): x|_{X_0} = 0\}$ . Let  $y \in C_0(X)$  be an extension of  $x|_{X_0}$  with norm  $\|x|_{X_0}\|$ . Since  $x$  and  $y$  agree on  $X_0, Ey = Ex = x$ . We thus have  $\|x|_{X_0}\| = \|y\| \geq \|Ey\| = \|x\| \geq \|x|_{X_0}\|$ . Thus  $x \rightarrow x|_{X_0}$  is an isometry from  $M$  into  $C_0(X_0)$ .

We first prove (iii) under the additional hypothesis that  $X$  is compact.  $M_0 = \{x|_{X_0}: x \in M\}$  is a closed vector sublattice of  $C(X_0)$ . By

the proof of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem in [4] (p. 8)  $M_0$  is a subalgebra if it contains the constants. For this it is enough to prove  $1 \mid X_0 = E1 \mid X_0$ ,  $1 - E1 \geq 0$ ,  $E(1 - E1) = 0 \Rightarrow 1 - E1 \in \text{Ker}(E) \Rightarrow 1 - E1$  vanishes on  $X_0$ . Now let  $x, y \in M$ . There exists  $z \in M$  such that  $z \mid X_0 = xy \mid X_0$ .  $xy$  and  $z$  agree on  $X_0$  so that  $E(xy) = Ez = z$ . Thus  $xy \mid X_0 = E(xy) \mid X_0$ .

Now let us return to the general case.  $C_0(X)^{**} = C(\Omega)$  for some compact  $\Omega$ , and  $E^{**}$  is a nonnegative projection of norm 1. By the above  $E^{**}(fg) - fg \in \text{Ker}(E^{**})$  whenever  $f, g \in E^{**}[C_0(X)^{**}]$ . In particular, if  $x, y \in M$ , then  $E^{**}(\kappa(x)\kappa(y)) - \kappa(x)\kappa(y) \in \text{Ker}(E^{**})$ , where  $\kappa: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)^{**}$  is the natural embedding. Thus  $0 = E^{**}(|E^{**}(\kappa(x)\kappa(y)) - \kappa(x)\kappa(y)|) = E^{**}(|E^{**}(\kappa(xy)) - \kappa(xy)|) = E^{**}(|\kappa(E(xy) - xy)|) = E^{**}(\kappa(|E(xy) - xy|)) = \kappa(E(|E(xy) - xy|))$  so that  $E(|E(xy) - xy|) = 0$ , i.e.,  $E(xy) - xy \in \text{Ker}(E)$ . Thus  $E(xy)$  and  $xy$  agree on  $X_0$  whenever  $x, y \in M$ .

Let the set on the right in (iv) be denoted by  $W$ . Clearly,  $Z \subset W$ . To prove that  $X_1 \subset W$  it is enough to prove that  $X_0 \subset W$ . Let  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ . By (iii)  $ExEy$  and  $E(ExEy)$  agree on  $X_0$  and by Theorem 1  $E(ExEy) = E(xEy)$ . Thus  $ExEy$  and  $E(xEy)$  agree on  $X_0$ . Now let  $s \in W \sim Z$ . Set  $M_0 = \{x \mid X_0: x \in M\}$ . Let  $\varphi \in M_0^*$  be defined by  $\varphi(x \mid X_0) = x(s)$ ,  $x \in M$ . For  $x, y \in M$ ,  $\varphi((x \mid X_0)(y \mid X_0)) = \varphi(xy \mid X_0) = \varphi(E(xy) \mid X_0) = E(xy)(s) = E(xEy)(s) = (ExEy)(s) = (xy)(s) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is a nonzero multiplicative linear functional on  $M_0$ . Therefore there exists  $t \in X_0$  such that  $\varphi(x \mid X_0) = x(t)$ ,  $x \in M$ , i.e., the level set of  $M$  which contains  $s$  intersects  $X_0$ . Thus  $s \in X_1$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. For  $t \in X$ ,  $\delta_t \in C_0(X)^*$  is evaluation at  $t$ .

**COROLLARY.** Let  $u(s) = \|E^*\delta_s\|$ ,  $s \in X$ . Then  $E[C_0(X)]$  is a vector sublattice of  $C_0(X)$  if and only if  $ExEy = uE(xEy)$  for all  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $E[C_0(X)]$  is a vector sublattice of  $C_0(X)$ . Let  $s \in X$ .  $x \mid X_0 \rightarrow x(s)$  is a vector lattice homomorphism of  $M_0$  to  $\mathbf{R}$  so that there exist  $t \in X_0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $x(s) = \alpha x(t)$  for all  $x \in M$ .  $x \mid X_0 \rightarrow x(t)$  is a linear functional of norm 1 on  $M_0$  so that  $\|E^*\delta_s\| = \sup\{x(s): x \in M, \|x\| \leq 1, x \geq 0\} = \alpha \sup\{x(t): x \in M, \|x\| \leq 1, x \geq 0\} = \alpha$ . Thus  $\alpha = u(s)$ . Let  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ .  $u(s)E(xEy)(s) = u(s)^2E(xEy)(t) = u(s)^2(Ex)(t)(Ey)(t) = (Ex)(s)(Ey)(s) = (ExEy)(s)$ .

Now suppose that  $ExEy = uE(xEy)$  for all  $x, y \in C_0(X)$ . First we show that  $x, y \in M$ ,  $x \wedge_M y = 0 \Rightarrow x \wedge y = 0$ .  $x \wedge_M y = 0 \Rightarrow (x \mid X_0) \wedge (y \mid X_0) = 0 \Rightarrow xy \mid X_0 = 0$ ,  $x, y \geq 0 \Rightarrow E(xy) = 0$ ,  $x, y \geq 0 \Rightarrow 0 = uE(xy) = ExEy = xy$ ,  $x, y \Rightarrow x \wedge y = 0$ . Now let  $x$  be any element of  $M$ .  $Ex^+ = x \vee_M 0$ ,  $Ex^- = (-x) \vee_M 0 \Rightarrow Ex^+ \wedge_M Ex^- = 0 \Rightarrow Ex^+ \wedge Ex^- = 0$ .  $x = Ex^+ - Ex^-$

and  $Ex^+ \wedge Ex^- = 0 \Rightarrow x^+ = Ex^+$  and  $x^- = Ex^-$ .<sup>3</sup> Thus  $x \in M \Rightarrow x^+ \in M$ , i.e.,  $M$  is a vector sublattice of  $C_0(X)$ .

EXAMPLES. Let  $X$  be the discrete space  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ , and let  $E_i: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (E_1x)(s) &= \begin{cases} x(s) & s = 0, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(x(0) + x(1)) & s = 2 \end{cases} & (E_2x)(s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x(1) & s = 0 \\ x(1) & s = 1, 2 \end{cases} \\
 (E_3x)(s) &= \begin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \\ x(0) + x(1) & s = 1 \\ x(2) & s = 2 \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

$E_1, E_2$ , and  $E_3$  are nonnegative projections on  $C(X)$ ,  $\|E_1\| = \|E_2\| = 1$ , and  $\|E_3\| = 2$ ;  $E_1[C(X)]$  is not a vector sublattice of  $C(X)$ ;  $E_2[C(X)]$  is a vector sublattice of  $C(X)$  but not a subalgebra;  $E_3[C(X)]$  is a subalgebra of  $C(X)$ , but  $E_3$  does not satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 1.

(i) and (ii) were proved (essentially) by Lloyd [3; p. 172] for  $X$  compact. Specifically, let  $X$  be compact, and let  $E, M$  and  $Y$  be as in Theorem 2; let  $Y_0$  be the set of elements of  $Y$  at which evaluation is a nonzero extreme point of the nonnegative part of the unit ball of  $M^*$ ; then  $Y_0$  is compact (when  $Y$  is equipped with the quotient topology), and the natural map of  $M$  to  $C(Y_0)$  is an order-preserving isometry onto. It can be shown that  $Y_0 = \{A \in Y: A \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset\}$  so that (ii) follows from Lloyd's result.

An application. In this section  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a probability space (i.e.,  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a totally finite measure space with  $\mu(S) = 1$ ). For  $\Sigma_0$  a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\Sigma$ ,  $E(\cdot, \Sigma_0): L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$  is defined by

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 &E(x, \Sigma_0) \text{ is } \Sigma_0\text{-measurable} \\
 &\int_A E(x, \Sigma_0) d\mu = \int_A x d\mu \text{ for all } A \in \Sigma_0
 \end{aligned} \right\} x \in L^1(\mu),$$

that is,  $E(x, \Sigma_0)$  is the Radon-Nikodým derivative of  $(x \cdot \mu) | \Sigma_0$  with respect to  $\mu | \Sigma_0$  ( $x \cdot \mu$  is defined by  $(x \cdot \mu)(A) = \int_A x d\mu$ ,  $A \in \Sigma$ ).  $E(\cdot, \Sigma_0)$  is the conditional expectation operator of  $\Sigma_0$ . The object of this section is to characterize all such operators.

LEMMA 4. Let  $M$  be an order complete vector sublattice of  $L^\infty(\mu)$  which contains 1. Then there is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra  $\Sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $M = \{x \in L^\infty(\mu): x \text{ is } \Sigma_0\text{-measurable}\}$ .

<sup>3</sup> If  $L$  is any vector lattice,  $x \in L$ ,  $u, v \in L$ ,  $u \wedge v = 0$ , and if  $x = u - v$ , then  $u = x^+$  and  $v = x^-$ .

*Proof.*  $M$  is an order-complete  $M$ -space with unit and so by Lemma 1 is the closed linear space of the set  $U$  of extreme points of the non-negative part of its unit ball.  $U = \{x \in M: x \wedge (1 - x) = 0\}$ . Thus  $U = \{\chi_A: A \in \Sigma\} \cap M^+$ . Set  $\Sigma_0 = \{A \in \Sigma: \chi_A \in M\}$ . That  $\Sigma_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\Sigma$  follows easily from the fact that  $M$  is an order-complete vector sublattice of  $L^\infty(\mu)$ . The closed linear span of  $U$  is thus the set of  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable members of  $L^\infty(\mu)$ .

LEMMA 5. Let  $T: L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$  be a linear map of norm 1 such that  $T1 = 1$ . Then  $T$  is nonnegative, and  $\int Txd\mu = \int xd\mu$  for all  $x \in L^1(\mu)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in L^1(\mu)$ ,  $1 \geq x \geq 0$ .  $1 - \int xd\mu = \|1 - x\|_1 \geq \|T(1 - x)\|_1 = \int |1 - Tx| d\mu \geq 1 - \int Txd\mu$  so that  $\int xd\mu \leq \int Txd\mu \leq \int |Tx| d\mu = \|Tx\|_1 \leq \|x\|_1 = \int xd\mu$ . Thus,  $0 \leq x \leq 1 \Rightarrow \int xd\mu = \int |Tx| d\mu = \int Txd\mu$ . The second equality shows that  $Tx \geq 0$  whenever  $1 \geq x \geq 0$ , and it follows immediately that  $T$  is nonnegative. The equality of  $\int xd\mu$  and  $\int Txd\mu$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  implies equality for all  $x \in L^1(\mu)$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $E: L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$  be a projection of norm 1 such that  $E1 = 1$ . Then there is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra  $\Sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $E = E(\cdot, \Sigma_0)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 5  $E$  is nonnegative. Since  $E1 = 1$  and  $E > 0$ ,  $E$  maps  $L^\infty(\mu)$  into  $L^\infty(\mu)$ . The restriction  $E_0$  of  $E$  to  $L^\infty(\mu)$  is thus a nonnegative projection of norm 1. We first show that  $|\text{Ker } E_0| = \{0\}$ . Let  $x \geq 0$ , and suppose  $E_0x = 0$ . Since  $1 \wedge x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ , and since  $E_0(1 \wedge x) = 0$ , we may assume  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ .  $1 - \int xd\mu = \|1 - x\|_1 \geq \|E_0(1 - x)\|_1 = \|E1\|_1 = 1$ . Thus  $x = 0$ .  $L^\infty(\mu) = C(\Omega)$  for some compact  $\Omega$  so that we may apply Theorem 2. Thus  $E_0(xE_0y) = E_0xE_0y$  for all  $x, y \in L^\infty(\mu)$ , and  $E_0[L^\infty(\mu)] = M$  is a vector sublattice of  $L^\infty(\mu)$ . We assert that  $M$  is an order-complete vector sublattice. Let  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an increasing net in  $M$  with  $x = \mathbf{V}_{i \in I} x_i$ .  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$   $L^1$ -converges to  $x$  so that  $E_0x = L^1\text{-lim}_i E_0x_i = L^1\text{-lim}_i x_i = x$ , i.e.,  $x \in M$ . By Lemma 4 there is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra  $\Sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $M = \{x \in L^\infty(\mu): x \text{ is } \Sigma_0\text{-measurable}\}$ . We conclude the proof by showing that  $E$  and  $E(\cdot, \Sigma_0)$  agree on  $L^\infty(\mu)$ . Let  $x \in L^\infty(\mu)$ .  $Ex$  and  $E(x, \Sigma_0)$  are  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable and so are equal if and only if  $\int_A Ex d\mu = \int_A E(x, \Sigma_0) d\mu$  for all  $A \in \Sigma_0$ . Let  $A \in \Sigma_0$ .  $\int_A Ex d\mu = \int \chi_A Ex d\mu = \int E(\chi_A) Ex d\mu = \int E(xE\chi_A) d\mu = \int E(x\chi_A) d\mu =$

<sup>4</sup> We identify bounded  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions and the corresponding elements of  $L^\infty(\mu)$ .



$$\int x \chi_A d\mu = \int_A x d\mu = \int_A E(x, \Sigma_0) d\mu.$$

COROLLARY. (Moy) Let  $E: L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$  be a linear map of norm 1 such that

(a)  $E1 = 1$ ;

(b)  $E(xEy) = ExEy$  for all  $x, y \in L^\infty(\mu)$ .

Then there is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra  $\Sigma_0$  or  $\Sigma$  such that  $E = E(\cdot, \Sigma_0)$ .

*Proof.* For  $x \in L^\infty(\sigma)$ ,  $E^2x = E(1Ex) = E1Ex = Ex$ . Thus  $E^2$  and  $E$  agree on  $L^\infty(\mu)$ , i.e.  $E$  is a projection.

REMARK. As was mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 3 was inspired by Moy's theorem. In particular, had Moy's theorem required that  $E$  be nonnegative, it would never have occurred to me that the condition of nonnegativeness could be dropped. The proof of Theorem 3 can, of course, be much shortened by using Moy's theorem. However, our proof is substantially different from hers and for this reason is given.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Kakutani, *Concrete representations of abstract  $M$ -spaces* (a characterization of the spaces of continuous functions), *Ann. of Math.* **2** (1941), 994-1024.
2. J. L. Kelley, *Averaging operators on  $C_\infty(X)$* , III, *J. Math.* **2** (1958), 214-223.
3. S. P. Lloyd, *On certain projections in spaces of continuous functions*, *Pacific J. Math.* **13** (1963), 171-175.
4. L. H. Loomis, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, van Nostrand, New York, 1963.
5. Shu-Teh Chen, Moy, *Characterizations of conditional expectation as a transformation on function spaces*, *Pacific J. Math.* **4** (1954), 47-64.
6. I. Namioka, *Partially ordered linear topological spaces*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No.* **24** (1957).
7. M. H. Stone, *Boundedness properties in function lattices*, *Canad. J. Math.* **1** (1949), 176-186.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University  
Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

\*J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 17, No. 1

January, 1966

Carlos Jorge Do Rego Borges, <i>On stratifiable spaces</i> .....	1
Felix Earl Browder, <i>Topological methods for non-linear elliptic equations of arbitrary order</i> .....	17
Gustave Choquet, Harry Corson and Victor Klee, <i>Exposed points of convex sets</i> .....	33
Phillip Emig, <i>Remarks on the defect sum for a function meromorphic on an open Riemann surface</i> .....	45
Ruth Goodman, <i>A certain class of polynomials</i> .....	57
Sidney (Denny) L. Gulick, <i>The bidual of a locally multiplicatively-convex algebra</i> .....	71
Eugene Carlyle Johnsen, <i>Integral solutions to the incidence equation for finite projective plane cases of orders <math>n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}</math></i> .....	97
Charles N. Kellogg, <i>Centralizers and <math>H^*</math>-algebras</i> .....	121
Michael Lodato, <i>On topologically induced generalized proximity relations. II</i> .....	131
P. H. Maserick, <i>Half rings in linear spaces</i> .....	137
Kathleen B O'Keefe, <i>On a problem of J. F. Ritt</i> .....	149
Galen Lathrop Seever, <i>Nonnegative projections on <math>C_0(X)</math></i> .....	159
Lawrence A. Shepp, <i>Gaussian measures in function space</i> .....	167
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Classes of definite group matrices</i> .....	175