Pacific Journal of Mathematics

NONNEGATIVE PROJECTIONS ON $C_0(X)$

GALEN LATHROP SEEVER

Vol. 17, No. 1

January 1966

NONNEGATIVE PROJECTIONS ON $C_0(X)$

G. L. SEEVER

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $C_0(X)$ the space of continuous real-valued functions on X which vanish at infinity, and let $C_0(X)$ be equipped with the supremum norm. Let $E: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)$ be a nonnegative projection $(x \ge 0 \Rightarrow Ex \ge 0;$ $E^2 = E)$ of norm 1. The first theorem states that E(xEy) =E(ExEy) for all $x, y \in C_0(X)$. Let $X_0 = \bigcap \{x^{-1}[\{0\}]: x \ge 0, Ex = 0\}$. The second theorem states (in part) that $M = E[C_0(X)]$ under the norm and order it inherits from $C_0(X)$ is a Banach lattice, that the mapping $x \rightarrow x \mid X_0$ (=restriction of x to X_0) is an isometric vector lattice homomorphism (=linear map which preserves the lattice operations) of M onto a subalgebra of $C_0(X_0)$, and that for $t \in X_0$, E(xEy)(t) = (ExEy)(t) for all $x, y \in C_0(X)$.

The paper concludes with a characterization of the conditional expectation operators L^1 of a probability space.

The characterization is complementary to (and inspired by) one given by Moy [5; p. 61]. As a corollary to our first theorem we obtain the theorem of Kelley [2; p. 219] which states that $E[C_0(X)]$ is a subalgebra of $C_0(X)$ if and only if E(xEy) = ExEy for all $x, y \in C_0(X)$.

Preliminaries. An *M*-space is a Banach lattice whose norm satisfies the condition $x, y \ge 0 \Longrightarrow || x \lor y || = \max(|| x ||, || y ||)$ $(x \lor y)$ is the maximum of x and y). An element u of a Banach lattice is a *unit* if and only if $\{x: 0 \le x \le u\} = \{x: x \ge 0, || x || \le 1\}$. If a Banach lattice has a unit, it has only one and is an *M*-space.

LEMMA 1. Let M be an M-space with unit u. Then

(i) $X = \{x^* \in M^* : x^*u = 1, x^* \text{ is a vector lattice homomorphism}\}$ is $\sigma(M^*, M)$ -compact;

(ii) the natural mapping of M into C(X) (X has the relative $\sigma(M^*, M)$ -topology) is an isometric vector lattice homomorphism onto.

If, in additions, M is order-complete¹, then

(iii) X is $Stonian^2$;

(iv) *M* is the (norm-)closed linear span of the set *U* of extreme points of $\{x \in M: 0 \leq x \leq u\}$, and $x \in M$ belongs to *U* if and only if $x \wedge (u - x) = 0$.

Received October 23, 1964.

¹ That is, as a lattice M is conditionally complete.

 $^{^2}$ X is Stonian if and only if it is compact and its open subsets have open closures.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are proved in [1] (pp. 1000-1006). (iii) is proved in [7] (p. 185). We now prove (iv). By (i)-(iii) we may assume that M = C(X) for some Stonian X. x is an extreme point of $\{y \in C(X): 0 \le y \le 1\}$ if and only if it is the characteristic function of an open closed subset of X. This proves the second part of (iv). The linear span A of U is a subalgebra and (since X is totally disconnected) separates the points of X. By the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem A is dense in C(X).

The adjoint of a Banach lattice with its natural norm and order $(x^* \ge y^* \Leftrightarrow x^*x \ge y^*x$ for all $x \ge 0$) is an order-complete Banach lattice (that the adjoint is a lattice is proved in [6], p. 36). In particular, if X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then both $C_0(X)^*$ and $C_0(X)^{**}$ are order-complete Banach lattices.

LEMMA 2. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then $C_0(X)^{**}$ is an M-space with unit, and when it is equipped with the multiplication it so acquires, the natural embedding of $C_0(X)$ in $C_0(X)^{**}$ is multiplicative.

Proof. The mapping $\mu \to ||\mu||$ is additive and nonnegatively homogeneous on $\{\mu \in C_0(X)^* : \mu \ge 0\}$ and so has a unique linear extension to all of $C_0(X)^*$. This extension, which we denote by 1, is clearly a unit for $C_0(X)^{**}$.

Let $\Omega = \{\xi \in C_0(X)^{***} : \xi 1 = 1, \xi \text{ a vector lattice homomorphism} \}.$ Let $\kappa: C_0(X) \to C_0(X)^{**}$ be the natural embedding. We show the existence of a meagre subset H of Ω such that for x and y in $C_0(X)$, $\kappa(x)\kappa(y)$ and $\kappa(xy)$, when regarded as functions on Ω , agree on $\Omega \sim H$. κ is a vector lattice homomorphism [6; p. 39] so that for $\xi \in \Omega$, $\xi \circ \kappa$ is a vector lattice homomorphism, i.e., $\xi \circ \kappa$ is a nonnegative multiple of evaluation at some point of X. Thus if $||\xi \circ \kappa|| = 1$, then $\xi \circ \kappa$ is evaluation at some point of X and so is multiplicative. We now show that H = $\{\xi \in \Omega : || \xi \circ \kappa || < 1\}$ is meagre. Let $A = \{\kappa(x) : x \ge 0, ||x|| \le 1\}$. A is directed by \leq and is bounded above. Thus $\mathbf{V}A$ (=supremum of A in $C_0(X)^{**}$ exists and for μ a nonnegative member of $C_0(X)^*$, $(\mathbf{V}A)(\mu) =$ $\sup_{f \in A} f(\mu)$. $\sup_{f \in A} f(\mu) = \sup \{\mu(x) : x \ge 0, ||x|| \le 1\} = ||\mu|| = 1(\mu)$ whenever $\mu \ge 0$. Thus $\mathbf{V}A = 1$. Since the supremum of a subset of $C(\Omega)$ and the pointwise supremum agree off some meagre set, we have 1 = $\xi(1) = \sup \{\xi(f): f \in A\} = \sup \{(\xi \circ \kappa)(x): x \ge 0, ||x|| \le 1\} = ||\xi \circ \kappa||$ save for ξ in some meagre set. Thus, $\kappa(xy)$ and $\kappa(x)\kappa(y)$, when regarded as functions on Ω , agree on $\Omega \sim H$, i.e., $\kappa(xy) = \kappa(x)\kappa(y)$

LEMMA 3. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $E: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be a nonnegative projection of norm 1. Then E[C(X)] with the norm and order it inherits from C(X) is an M-space and has E1

for a unit.

Proof. To show that M = E[C(X)] is a vector lattice it is enough to prove that for $x \in M$, the maximum in M of x and 0 exists. Let $x \in M$. $x^+ \ge x, 0 \Longrightarrow Ex^+ \ge x, 0(x^+ = x \lor 0)$. If $y \in M$, and $y \ge x, 0$, then $y \ge x^+$ so that $y = Ey \ge Ex^+$. Thus Ex^+ is the maximum in M of x and 0. Let u = E1. We show that for $x \in M$, ||x|| =inf $\{\alpha: -\alpha u \le x \le \alpha u\}$. This will show that M is a Banach lattice, and that u is a unit for M. Let $x \in M$. $-||x|| \le x \le ||x|| \Longrightarrow -||x|| u =$ $E(-||x||) \le Ex = x \le E(||x||) = ||x|| u;$ if $-\alpha u \le x \le \alpha u$, then $-\alpha \le -\alpha u \le \alpha u \le \alpha$ so that $\alpha \ge ||x||$.

Main Theorems.

THEOREM 1. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let $E: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)$ be a nonnegative projection of norm 1. Then E(xEy) = E(ExEy) for all $x, y \in C_0(X)$.

Proof. We shall show that by passing to E^{**} and $C_0(X)^{**}$ it is enough to prove the theorem under the additional hypotheses

(a) X is Stonian;

(b) if $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an increasing net in C(X) with $x = \bigvee_{i \in I} x_i$, then $Ex = \bigvee_{i \in I} Ex_i$.

First we prove the theorem under the additional hypotheses. Let M = E[C(X)]. If $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an increasing net in M with $\bigvee_{i \in I} x_i = x \in C(X)$, then $Ex = \bigvee_{i \in I} Ex_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} x_i = x$ so that M is an order-complete M-space with unit u = E1. By Lemma 1 M is the closed linear span of the set \mathscr{U} of extreme points of $U = \{x \in M: 0 \leq x \leq u\}$. By the bilinearity and continuity of $(x, y) \to xy$ it is enough to prove that E(xy) = E(xEy) whenever $x \in \mathscr{U}$ and $0 \leq y \leq 1$. Set z = E(xy) - E(xEy). x + z = E(x + xy - xEy) = E(x(1 + y - Ey)), and, since $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $1 + y - Ey \geq 0$ (indeed, $1 - Ey \geq 0$), we have $0 \leq E(x(1 + y - Ey)) \leq E(1 + y - Ey) = E1 = u$. Thus $x + z \in U$. Similarly, $x - z \in U$. Since both x + z and x - z belong to U and $x \in \mathscr{U}$ we must have z = 0. This proves the theorem under the additional hypotheses.

Now let X and E be as in the theorem. E^{**} is a nonnegative projection of norm 1, and by Lemmas 1 and 2 there is a Stonian space Ω such that $C_0(X)^{**} = C(\Omega)$. Let $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an increasing net in $C_0(X)^{**}$ with $f = \bigvee_{i\in I} f_i$. For μ a nonnegative member of $C_0(X)^*$, $f(\mu) =$ $\sup_i f_i(\mu) = \lim_i f_i(\mu)$. Since any member of $C_0(X)^*$ is the difference of nonnegative members, we have $f(\mu) = \lim_i f_i(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in C_0(X)^*$. Since E^{**} is $\sigma(C_0(X)^{**}, C_0(X)^*)$ -continuous, $\{E^{**}f_i\}_{i\in I}\sigma(C_0(X)^{**}, C_0(X)^*)$ converges to $E^{**}f$, which, together with the monotonicity of $\{E^{**}f_i\}_{i\in I}$, implies that $E^{**}f = \bigvee_{i\in I} E^{**}f_i$. Thus E^{**} and Ω satisfy the additional hypotheses. Let $\kappa: C_0(X) \to C_0(X)^{**}$ be the natural embedding. For $x, y \in C_0(X)$, $\kappa(E(xEy)) = E^{**}(\kappa(xEy)) = E^{**}(\kappa(x)\kappa(Ey)) = E^{**}(\kappa(x)E^{**}(\kappa(y))) = E^{**}(\kappa(x)E^{**}(\kappa(y))) = E^{**}(\kappa(ExEy)) = E^{*}(\kappa(ExEy)) = E^{*}(\kappa(ExEy) = E^{*}(\kappa(ExEy)) = E^{*}(\kappa(ExEy) = E^{*}(\kappa(ExEy)) = E^{*}(\kappa(ExEy) = E^{*}(\kappa(ExEy)) = E^{*}(\kappa(ExEy)) = E^{*}(\kappa(E$

COROLLARY. (Kelley) $E[C_0(X)]$ is a subalgebra of $C_0(X)$ if and only if E(xEy) = ExEy for all $x, y \in C_0(X)$.

Proof. $E[C_0(X)]$ is a subalgebra of $C_0(X)$ if and only if ExEy = E(ExEy) for all $x, y \in C_0(X)$.

DEFINITION. Let L and M be vector lattices, and let $T: L \to M$ be a nonnegative linear map. $|\operatorname{Ker}|(T) = \{x \in L: T(|x|) = 0\} (|x| = x \lor (-x)).$

Note that $|\operatorname{Ker}|(T)$ is a vector lattice ideal in L, that is, $|\operatorname{Ker}|(T)$ is a linear subspace of L and $x \in |\operatorname{Ker}|(T)$, $|y| \leq |x| \Rightarrow y \in |\operatorname{Ker}|(T)$.

THEOREM 2. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $E: C_0(X) \to C_0(X)$ a nonnegative projection of norm 1. Let $X_0 = \bigcap \{x^{-1}[\{0\}]: x \in | \operatorname{Ker} | (E)\}, Y$ be the set of level sets (sets of constancy) of $M = E[C_0(X)], X_1 = \bigcup \{A \in Y: A \cap X_0 \neq \bigotimes\}, \text{ and let } Z = \bigcap \{x^{-1}[\{0\}]: x \in M\}.$ Then

(i) M with the norm and order it inherits from $C_0(X)$ is a Banach lattice;

(ii) $x \to x \mid X_0$ is an isometric vector lattice homomorphism from M to $C_0(X_0)$;

(iii) for $x, y \in M$, $xy \mid X_0 = E(xy) \mid X_0$; in particular, $\{x \mid X_0 : x \in M\}$ is a subalgebra of $C_0(X_0)$;

(iv) $X_1 \cup Z = \{s \in X: E(xEy)(s) = (ExEy)(s) \text{ for all } x, y \in C_0(X)\}.$

Proof. We saw in the proof of Lemma 3 that M is a vector lattice under the order it inherits from $C_0(X)$. (ii) will imply that M is a Banach lattice. First we prove that $x \to x \mid X_0$ is a vector lattice homomorphism. Let $x \in M$. We have seen that the maximum of x and 0 in M is Ex^+ . Thus we must show that $Ex^+ \mid X_0 = x^+ \mid X_0$. $Ex^+ \ge x$, $0 \Rightarrow Ex^+ \ge x^+$. $Ex^+ - x^+ \ge 0$, $E(Ex^+ - x^+) = 0 \Rightarrow Ex^+ - x^+ \in |\text{Ker}|(E) \Rightarrow$ $Ex^+ - x^+$ vanishes on X_0 . Thus $x \to x \mid X_0$ is a vector lattice homomorphism of M to $C_0(X_0)$. Note that |Ker|(E) is a closed algebraic ideal in $C_0(X)$ and so is equal $\{x \in C_0(X): x \mid X_0 = 0\}$. Let $y \in C_0(X)$ be an extension of $x \mid X_0$ with norm $||x| \mid X_0||$. Since x and y agree on $X_0, Ey = Ex = x$. We thus have $||x| \mid X_0|| = ||y|| \ge ||Ey|| = ||x|| \ge$ $||x| \mid X_0||$. Thus $x \to x \mid X_0$ is an isometry from M into $C_0(X_0)$.

We first prove (iii) under the additional hypothesis that X is compact. $M_0 = \{x \mid X_0 : x \in M\}$ is a closed vector sublattice of $C(X_0)$. By

the proof of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem in [4] (p. 8) M_0 is a subalgebra if it contains the constants. For this it is enough to prove $1 | X_0 = E1 | X_0$, $1 - E1 \ge 0$, $E(1 - E1) = 0 \Longrightarrow 1 - E1 \in |\operatorname{Ker}|(E) \Longrightarrow 1 - E1$ vanishes on X_0 . Now let $x, y \in M$. There exists $z \in M$ such that $z | X_0 = xy | X_0$. xy and z agree on X_0 so that E(xy) = Ez = z. Thus $xy | X_0 = E(xy) | X_0$.

Now let us return to the general case. $C_0(X)^{**} = C(\Omega)$ for some compact Ω , and E^{**} is a nonnegative projection of norm 1. By the above $E^{**}(fg) - fg \in |\operatorname{Ker}| (E^{**})$ whenever $f, g \in E^{**}[C_0(X)^{**}]$. In particular, if $x, y \in M$, then $E^{**}(\kappa(x)\kappa(y)) - \kappa(x)\kappa(y) \in |\operatorname{Ker}| (E^{**})$, where $\kappa: C_0(X) \to C_0(X)^{**}$ is the natural embedding. Thus $0 = E^{**}(|E^{**}(\kappa(x)\kappa(y)) - \kappa(x)\kappa(y)|) = E^{**}(|E^{**}(\kappa(xy)) - \kappa(xy)|) = E^{**}(|\kappa(E(xy) - xy)|) = E^{**}(|\kappa(|E(xy) - xy|)) = \kappa(E(|E(xy) - xy|))$ so that E(|E(xy) - xy|) = 0, i.e., $E(xy) - xy \in |\operatorname{Ker}| (E)$. Thus E(xy) and xy agree on X_0 whenever $x, y \in M$.

Let the set on the right in (iv) be denoted by W. Clearly, $Z \subset W$. To prove that $X_1 \subset W$ it is enough to prove that $X_0 \subset W$. Let $x, y \in C_0(X)$. By (iii) ExEy and E(ExEy) agree on X_0 and by Theorem 1 E(ExEy) = E(xEy). Thus ExEy and E(xEy) agree on X_0 . Now let $s \in W \sim Z$. Set $M_0 = \{x \mid X_0 : x \in M\}$. Let $\varphi \in M_0^*$ be defined by $\varphi(x \mid X_0) = x(s), x \in M$. For $x, y \in M, \varphi((x \mid X_0)(y \mid X_0)) = \varphi(xy \mid X_0) = \varphi(E(xy) \mid X_0) = E(xy)(s) = E(xEy)(s) = (ExEy(s) = (xy)(s) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$. Thus φ is a nonzero multiplicative linear functional on M_0 . Therefore there exists $t \in X_0$ such that $\varphi(x \mid X_0) = x(t), x \in M$, i.e., the level set of M which contains s intersects X_0 . Thus $s \in X_1$.

DEFINITION. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. For $t \in X$, $\delta_t \in C_0(X)^*$ is evaluation at t.

COROLLARY. Let $u(s) = || E^* \delta_s ||, s \in X$. Then $E[C_0(X)]$ is a vector sublattice of $C_0(X)$ if and only if ExEy = uE(xEy) for all $x, y \in C_0(X)$.

Proof. Suppose $E[C_0(X)]$ is a vector sublattice of $C_0(X)$. Let $s \in X$. $x \mid X_0 \to x(s)$ is a vector lattice homomorphism of M_0 to R so that there exist $t \in X_0$ and $\alpha \in R$ such that $x(s) = \alpha x(t)$ for all $x \in M$. $x \mid X_0 \to x(t)$ is a linear functional of norm 1 on M_0 so that $||E^*\delta_s|| = \sup \{x(s): x \in M, ||x|| \le 1, x \ge 0\} = \alpha \sup \{x(t): x \in M, ||x|| \le 1, x \ge 0\} = \alpha$. Thus $\alpha = u(s)$. Let $x, y \in C_0(X)$. $u(s)E(xEy)(s) = u(s)^2E(xEy)(t) = u(s)^2(Ex)(t)(Ey)(t) = (Ex)(s)(Ey)(s) = (ExEy)(s)$.

Now suppose that ExEy = uE(xEy) for all $x, y \in C_0(X)$. First we show that $x, y \in M, x \wedge_M y = 0 \Rightarrow x \wedge y = 0$. $x \wedge_M y = 0 \Rightarrow (x \mid X_0) \wedge (y \mid X_0) = 0 \Rightarrow xy \mid X_0 = 0, x, y \ge 0 \Rightarrow E(xy) = 0, x, y \ge 0 \Rightarrow 0 = uE(xy) = ExEy = xy, x, y \Rightarrow x \wedge y = 0$. Now let x be any element of M. $Ex^+ = x \vee_M 0$, $Ex^- = (-x) \vee_M 0 \Rightarrow Ex^+ \wedge_M Ex^- = 0 \Rightarrow Ex^+ \wedge Ex^- = 0$. $x = Ex^+ - Ex^-$

and $Ex^+ \wedge Ex^- = 0 \Longrightarrow x^+ = Ex^+$ and $x^- = Ex^-$.³ Thus $x \in M \Longrightarrow x^+ \in M$, i.e., M is a vector sublattice of $C_0(X)$.

EXAMPLES. Let X be the discrete space $\{0, 1, 2\}$, and let $E_i: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$, i = 1, 2, 3, be defined by

$$(E_1x)(s) = egin{cases} x(s) & s = 0, 1 \ rac{1}{2}(x(0) + x(1)) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_2x)(s) = egin{cases} rac{1}{2}x(1) & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1, 2 \ \end{array} \ (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(0) + x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(0) + x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(0) + x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(0) + x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(0) + x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(0) + x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(0) + x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(2) & s = 2 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) = egin{cases} 0 & s = 0 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ x(1) & s = 1 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) & s = b \ x(1) & s = 1 \ \end{array} & (E_3x)(s) & s = b \ x(1) & s = 1 \$$

 E_1, E_2 , and E_3 are nonnegative projections on C(X), $||E_1|| = ||E_2|| = 1$, and $||E_3|| = 2$; $E_1[C(X)]$ is not a vector sublattice of C(X); $E_2[C(X)]$ is a vector sublattice of C(X) but not a subalgebra; $E_3[C_3(X)]$ is a subalgebra of C(X), but E_3 does not satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 1.

(i) and (ii) were proved (essentially) by Lloyd [3; p. 172] for X compact. Specifically, let X be compact, and let E, M and Y be as in Theorem 2; let Y_0 be the set of elements of Y at which evaluation is a nonzero extreme point of the nonnegative part of the unit ball of M^* ; then Y_0 is compact (when Y is equipped with the quotient topology), and the natural map of M to $C(Y_0)$ is an order-preserving isometry onto. It can be shown that $Y_0 = \{A \in Y : A \cap X_0 \neq 0\}$ so that (ii) follows from Lloyd's result.

An application. In this section (S, Σ, μ) is a probability space (i.e., (S, Σ, μ) is a totally finite measure space with $\mu(S) = 1$). For Σ_c a σ -subalgebra of Σ , $E(\cdot, \Sigma_0): L^1(\mu) \to L^1(\mu)$ is defined by

$$E(x, \Sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) ext{ is } \Sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} ext{-measurable} \ \int_{\scriptscriptstyle A} E(x, \Sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) d\mu = \int_{\scriptscriptstyle A} x d\mu ext{ for all } A \in \Sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} igg\} x \in L^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(\mu)$$
 ,

that is, $E(x, \Sigma_0)$ is the Radon-Nikodým derivative of $(x \cdot \mu) | \Sigma_0$ with respect to $\mu | \Sigma_0 (x \cdot \mu)$ is defined by $(x \cdot \mu)(A) = \int_A x d\mu, A \in \Sigma$. $E(\cdot, \Sigma_0)$ is the conditional expectation operator of Σ_0 . The object of this section is to characterize all such operators.

LEMMA 4. Let M be an order complete vector sublattice of $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ which contains 1. Then there is a σ -subalgebra Σ_0 of Σ such that $M = \{x \in L^{\infty}(\mu) : x \text{ is } \Sigma_0\text{-measurable}\}.$

³ If L is any vector lattice, $x \in L$, $u, v \in L$, $u \wedge v = 0$, and if x = u - v, then $u = x^+$ and $v = x^-$.

Proof. M is an order-complete M-space with unit and so by Lemma 1 is the closed linear space of the set U of extreme points of the nonnegative part of its unit ball. $U = \{x \in M: x \land (1 - x) = 0\}$. Thus $U = \{\chi_A: A \in \Sigma\} \cap M^4$. Set $\Sigma_0 = \{A \in \Sigma: \chi_A \in M\}$. That Σ_0 is a σ -subalgebra of Σ follows easily from the fact that M is an order-complete vector sublattice of $L^{\infty}(\mu)$. The closed linear span of U is thus the set of Σ_0 -measurable members of $L^{\infty}(\mu)$.

LEMMA 5. Let $T: L^{1}(\mu) \rightarrow L^{1}(\mu)$ be a linear map of norm 1 such that T1 = 1. Then T is nonnegative, and $\int Txd\mu = \int xd\mu$ for all $x \in L^{1}(\mu)$.

Proof. Let $x \in L^1(\mu)$, $1 \ge x \ge 0$. $1 - \int x d\mu = ||1 - x||_1 \ge ||T(1 - x)||_1 = \int ||1 - Tx| d\mu \ge 1 - \int Tx d\mu$ so that $\int x d\mu \le \int Tx d\mu \le \int |Tx| d\mu = ||Tx||_1 \le ||x||_1 = \int x d\mu$. Thus, $0 \le x \le 1 \Longrightarrow \int x d\mu = \int |Tx| d\mu = \int Tx d\mu$. The second equality shows that $Tx \ge 0$ whenever $1 \ge x \ge 0$, and it follows immediately that T is nonnegative. The equality of $\int x d\mu$ and $\int Tx d\mu$ for $0 \le x \le 1$ implies equality for all $x \in L^1(\mu)$.

THEOREM 3. Let $E: L^1(\mu) \to L^1(\mu)$ be a projection of norm 1 such that E1 = 1. Then there is a σ -subalgebra Σ_0 of Σ such that $E = E(\cdot, \Sigma_0)$.

Proof. By Lemma 5 E is nonnegative. Since E1 = 1 and E > 0, E maps $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ into $L^{\infty}(\mu)$. The restriction E_0 of E to $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ is thus a nonnegative projection of norm 1. We first show that $|\operatorname{Ker}|(E_0) = \{0\}$. Let $x \ge 0$, and suppose $E_0x = 0$. Since $1 \land x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$, and since $E_0(1 \land x) = 0$, we may assume $0 \le x \le 1$. $1 - \int x d\mu = ||1 - x||_1 \ge$ $||E_0(1 - x)||_1 = ||E1||_1 = 1$. Thus x = 0. $L^{\infty}(\mu) = C(\Omega)$ for some compact Ω so that we may apply Theorem 2. Thus $E_0(xE_0y) = E_0xE_0y$ for all $x, y \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$, and $E_0[L^{\infty}(\mu)] = M$ is a vector sublattice of $L^{\infty}(\mu)$. We assert that M is an order-complete vector sublattice. Let $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an increasing net in M with $x = \bigvee_{i\in I} x_i$. $\{x_i\}_{i\in I} L^1$ -converges to x so that $E_0x = L^1$ -lim_i $E_0x_i = L^1$ -lim_i $x_i = x$, i.e., $x \in M$. By Lemma 4 there is a σ -subalgebra Σ_0 of Σ such that $M = \{x \in L^{\infty}(\mu): x \text{ is } \Sigma_0$ measurable}. We conclude the proof by showing that E and $E(\cdot, \Sigma_0)$ agree on $L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Let $x \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Ex and $E(x, \Sigma_0)$ are Σ_0 -measurable and so are equal if and only if $\int_A E(x, \Sigma_0) d\mu = \int_A Exd\mu$ for all $A \in \Sigma_0$. Let $A \in \Sigma_0$. $\int_A Exd\mu = \int \chi_A Exd\mu = \int E(\chi_A) Exd\mu = \int E(xE\chi_A) d\mu = \int E(x\chi_A) d\mu =$

⁴ We identify bounded Σ -measurable functions and the corresponding elements of $L^{\infty}(\mu)$.

$$\int x \chi_{A} d\mu = \int_{A} x d\mu = \int_{A} E(x, \Sigma_{0}) d\mu.$$

COROLLARY. (Moy) Let E: $L^{1}(\mu) \rightarrow L^{1}(\mu)$ be a linear map of norm 1 such that

(a) E1 = 1;

(b) E(xEy) = ExEy for all $x, y \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Then there is a σ -subalgebra Σ_{\circ} or Σ such that $E = E(\cdot, \Sigma_{\circ})$.

Proof. For $x \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$, $E^2 x = E(1Ex) = E1Ex = Ex$. Thus E^2 and E agree on $L^{\infty}(\mu)$, i.e. E is a projection.

REMARK. As was mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 3 was inspired by Moy's theorem. In particular, had Moy's theorem required that E be nonnegative, it would never have occurred to me that the condition of nonnegativeness could be dropped. The proof of Theorem 3 can, of course, be much shortened by using Moy's theorem. However, our proof is substantially different from hers and for this reason is given.

References

1. S. Kakutani, Concret representations of abstract M-spaces (a characterization of the spaces of continuous functions), Ann. of Math. 2 (1941), 994-1024.

2. J. L. Kelley, Averaging operators on $C_{\infty}(X)$, III. J. Math. 2 (1958), 214-223.

3. S. P. Lloyd, On certain projections in spaces of continuous functions, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 171-175.

4. L. H. Loomis, Abstract Harmonic Analysis, van Nostrand, New York, 1963.

5. Shu-Teh Chen, Moy, Characterizations of conditional expectation as a transformation on function spaces, Pacific J. Math. 4 (1954), 47-64.

6. I. Namioka, Partially ordered linear topological spaces, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 24 (1957).

7. M. H. Stone, Boundedness properties in function lattices, Canad. J. Math. 1 (1949), 176-186.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

*J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 17, No. 1January, 1966

Carlos Jorge Do Rego Borges, <i>On stratifiable spaces</i>	1
Felix Earl Browder, Topological methods for non-linear elliptic equations of	
arbitrary order	17
Gustave Choquet, Harry Corson and Victor Klee, <i>Exposed points of convex</i>	
sets	33
Phillip Emig, <i>Remarks on the defect sum for a function meromorphic on an open Riemann surface</i>	45
Ruth Goodman, A certain class of polynomials	57
Sidney (Denny) L. Gulick, <i>The bidual of a locally multiplicatively-convex algebra</i>	71
Eugene Carlyle Johnsen, Integral solutions to the incidence equation for finite projective plane cases of orders $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	97
Charles N. Kellogg, <i>Centralizers and H*-algebras</i>	121
Michael Lodato, On topologically induced generalized proximity relations.	
<i>II</i>	131
P. H. Maserick, <i>Half rings in linear spaces</i>	137
Kathleen B O'Keefe, On a problem of J. F. Ritt	149
Galen Lathrop Seever, <i>Nonnegative projections on</i> $C_0(X)$	159
Lawrence A. Shepp, <i>Gaussian measures in function space</i>	167
Robert Charles Thompson. <i>Classes of definite group matrices</i>	175