Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A PROBLEM COMPLEMENTARY TO A PROBLEM OF ERDŐS

J. CHIDAMBARASWAMY

Vol. 17, No. 2 February 1966

A PROBLEM COMPLEMENTARY TO A PROBLEM OF ERDÖS

J. CHIDAMBARASWAMY

Let f(x), g(x), and h(x) be rational integer coefficient polynomials of positive degree and with positive leading coefficients and satisfying

(1.1)
$$f(x) = g(x) + h(x).$$

k(x) also being such a polynomial of degree ≥ 0 , let

(1.2)
$$Q(x) = (f(x))! / ((g(x) + k(x))! (h(x))!).$$

Question 1: Is Q(x) integral for an infinity of integers x, at least when k(x) is of degree zero, say $k(x) = k(\ge 1)$?

Question 2: Is Q(x) nonintegral for all sufficiently large integers x, at least when the degree of k(x) is ≥ 1 ? No general answer is known to both these questions. In this paper, we consider the question of existence of an infinity of integers x for which Q(x) is not an integer: in the context of question 1, we obtain certain conditions on the coefficients of g(x) and h(x) and k to ensure the existence of an infinity of integers x for which Q(x) is not an integer, and in the context of question 2, we prove Q(x) is nonintegral infinitely often.

The method rests upon a generalization of the usual representation of an integer a in the scale of a prime p so as to include negative coefficients also and the consequent generalization of the well known result of Legendre concerning the exponent of the highest power of the prime p that divides a!.

As regards to question 1, which is a generalization of a problem of Erdös (Research problem, American Mathematical Monthly, May 1947) who takes g(x) = h(x) = x, we know, however, by (i) of Theorem I of [1] that some multiple of Q(x), i.e., Q(x)L(x) is an integer infinitely often where L(x) is the integer coefficient G.C.D. (in fact, the monic G.C.D. over the rationals) with least positive leading coefficient of the polynomials

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} (f(x) + i), \prod_{i=1}^{k} (g(x) + i), \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^{k} (h(x) - i + 1).$$

In the case of Erdös problem (g(x) = h(x) = x), L(x) = 1, and it is easily seen that Q(x) is an integer for all integers $x \ge 1$ in case k = 1, while Q(x) is not an integer for all integers $x = 1 + 2^j$ in case

k=3. Also, it is easy to give examples of similar situations with degrees of g(x) and h(x) greater than 1 and with all coefficients of g(x) and h(x) positive. Our generalization mentioned above enables to construct examples of similar situations in which some of the coefficients of g(x) and h(x) may be negative.

For convenience, we shall write, for any positive integers a, b, and c, h(a, c) to stand for the exponent of the highest power of c that divides a and D(a/b, c) for h(a, c) - h(b, c).

THEOREM I. If k(x) is of positive degree

- (i) $\lim D(Q(p^t), p) = -\infty$ for each prime p;
- (ii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} D(Q(p^2), p) = -\infty$
- (iii) If k(x) is of degree at least 2, $\lim_{p \to \infty} D(Q(p), p) = -\infty$.

Theorem I obviously implies that Q(x) is not an integer when x is sufficiently large power of a prime or the square of a sufficiently large prime and if k(x) is of degree ≥ 2 , when x is any sufficiently large prime.

THEOREM II. (a) If k(x) is of degree zero, say k(x) = k,

$$g(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + \cdots,$$

$$h(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + \cdots$$
, and

$$f(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \cdots + \cdots$$

so that for each i, $c_i = a_i + b_i$, then for sufficiently large primes p,

(1.3)
$$D(Q(p), p) \ge 0 \ if$$

(1.4) either
$$a_0 \ge 0$$
 or $a_0 < 0$ and $a_0 + k < 0$ and

$$(1.5) D(Q(p), p) \ge -r \ if$$

$$(1.6) a_0 < 0, a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{r-1} = 0 \neq a_r \ and \ a_0 + k > 0.$$

- (b) The inequality in (1.3) becomes an equality if together with
- (1.4), the following condition
- (1.7) Not both a_i and b_i are negative and $c_i < 0$ for i > 0 implies $a_i b_i \neq 0$.

holds. The inequality in (1.5) becomes an equality if (1.6) and (1.7) hold.

THEOREM III. (a) If k and n are integers, $k \ge 1$, n > 1 there exists an infinity of integers x such that

$$(1.8) (nx)!/\{(x+k)!\}^n$$

is not an integer.

(b) If a_1 , a_2 and c_1 are positive integers and if there is a prime p such that

$$(1.9) a_1 + a_2$$

there exists an infinity of integers x such that

$$(1.10) \qquad ((a_1 + a_2) x)!/((a_1 x + c_1)!(a_2 x)!)$$

is not an integer.

REMARK. We do not know whether (1.8) is an integer infinitely often in case k > 1; however, we know that it is in case k = 1 (see Mordell's paper listed under references in [1]). Also (1.10) is integer infinitely often (see Theorem IV of [1]).

§2: DEFINITION 1. Let a be a positive integer and p a prime. An expression

(2.1)
$$a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + \cdots + a_n p^n$$
, where

(2.1a) (i)
$$a = a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + \cdots + a_n p^n$$
, and

(ii)
$$a_n > 0$$
, $|a_i| < p$ for $0 \le i \le n$

is called a representation of order n of a in the scale of p; the representation is called proper if $a_i \ge 0$ for each i and improper otherwise.

The proper representation (which is unique) is the usual representation of a in the scale of p. It is easily seen that if n_0 is the order of the proper representation, there is no representation of order $< n_0$ while to each $n > n_0$, there are representations of order n.

DEFINITION 2. If R is a representation of a in the scale p given by (2.1), we denote

- (i) by $S_{R}(a, p)$ the integer $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}$, and
- (ii) by $I_R(a, p)$ the number of negative terms plus the number of zeros following immediately a negative term in the sequence of integers

$$(2.2) a_0, a_1, \cdots a_n,$$

which may be called the digits of a in this representation R of a in the scale of p.

Example.
$$15,524 = -1 + 0.3 + 0.3^2 + 2.3^3 - 3^4 + 3^5 + 0.3^6 - 2.3^7 + 0.3^8 + 3^9$$
.

In this representation R of 15,524 in the scale of 3, $S_{\rm R}(15,524,3)=0$ and $I_{\rm R}(15,524,3)=6$

LEMMA 1. If R is the representation of a in the scale of p given by (2.1), then

(i) for each i in $0 \le i \le n$

$$(2.3) T_i = a_n p^{n-i} + a_{n-1} p^{n-i-1} + \cdots + a_i > 0.$$

(ii) If in the sequence of integers (2.2), there are N blocks B_1, B_2, \dots, B_N of negative terms each not immediately followed by a zero and there are M blocks of negative terms $C_1, C_2, \dots C_M$, the block C_i being immediately followed by a block D_i of zeros and if r_i is the number of terms in B_i and s_i and t_i respectively are the number of terms in C_i and C_i , then

(2.4)
$$h(a!, p) = (((a - S_R(a, p))/(p - 1)) - \{\sum_{i=1}^N r_i + \sum_{i=1}^M (s_i + t_i)\}$$

REMARKS. (i) The number in the curly brackets above is $I_R(a, p)$.

(ii) If N=0 and M=0, so that the representation is proper, Lemma 1 reduces to the well known result due to Legendre.

Proof (i) We have $a = pT_1 + a_0 > 0$; we observe that $T_1 \not< 0$; for, otherwise, it would follow that a_0 is greater than a positive multiple of p, contradicting (2.1a).

Further $T_1 \neq 0$; for, if it were zero, then from $T_1 = pT_2 + a_1$, it would follow that a_1 is divisible by p and so again by (2.1a) that $a_1 = 0$ and consequently $T_2 = 0$. Thus proceeding, we arrive at the contradiction $a_n = 0$.

Starting with T_1 , we get $T_2 > 0$ and so on.

(ii) We have from (2.1a) and (2.3)

 $[a/p] = T_1 + \theta_0$ where $\theta_0 = [a_0/p]$, so that

$$egin{aligned} heta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} &= 0 & ext{if} \ a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} &\geqq 0 \ &= -1 & ext{if} \ a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} &< 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

 $\lceil a/p^2 \rceil = \lceil \lceil a/p \rceil/p \rceil = T_2 + heta_1$ where $heta_1 = \lceil (a_1 + heta_0)/p \rceil$ so that

$$egin{aligned} heta_{_1} &= 0 & ext{ if either } a_{_1} \geqq 0, \; heta_{_0} &= 0 & ext{ or } a_{_1} > 0, \; heta_{_0} &= -1 \; ; \ &= -1 \; ext{ if either } a_{_1} \leqq 0, \; heta_{_0} &= -1 \; ext{ or } a_{_1} < 0, \; heta_{_0} &= 0 \; . \end{aligned}$$

In general, if $1 \le r \le n+1$,

$$[a/p^r]=T_r+ heta_{r-1},$$
 where $heta_{r-1}=[(a_{r-1}+ heta_{r-2})/p]$ so that

$$egin{aligned} heta_{r-1} &= 0 & ext{ if either } a_{r-1} \geqq 0, \; heta_{r-2} &= 0 & ext{ or } a_{r-1} > 0, \; heta_{r-2} &= -1 \; ; \ &= -1 & ext{ if either } a_{r-1} \leqq 0, \; heta_{r-2} &= -1 & ext{ or } a_{r-1} < 0, \; heta_{r-2} &= 0 \; . \end{aligned}$$

It is clear, now, that if a_i is the first negative term and a_j is the first positive term that occurs immediately after a_i in the sequence (2.2), then $\theta_i = \theta_{i+1} = \cdots = \theta_{j-1} = -1$, $\theta_j = 0$, even though there are

some l's such that i < l < j and $a_l = 0$. The lemma is clear since

$$h(a!, p) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} [a/p^r]$$
.

NOTE. From the proof, it is clear that, if in (2.2) two blocks of negative terms include between them a block of zeros, the three blocks taken together can be regarded as a negative block.

As an immediate consequence of the lemma, we have the following:

COROLLARY. If R and R' are any two representations of a in the scale of p,

$$S_{R}(a, p) - S_{R'}(a, p) = (p - 1) \{I_{R'}(a, p) - I_{R}(a, p)\}$$
.

DEFINITION 3. For any polynomial $\varphi(x)$ over the domain of integers given by

$$\varphi(x) = e_0 + e_1 x + e_2 x^2 + \cdots + e_n x^n ,$$

$$S_{\varphi}(p) = \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ e_i \neq 0}}^n S_{R_0}(|e_i|, p) \operatorname{sgn}(e_i)$$

where R_0 denotes proper representation; and

$$S(\varphi) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} e_i.$$

LEMMA 2. Let $\varphi(x) = e_0 + e_1 x + e_2 x^2 + \cdots + e_n x^n$, $e_n > 0$, be an integer coefficient polynomial and p a prime, also if $e_i \neq 0$ let λ_i , μ_i be the exponents of the smallest and highest powers of p that occur in the proper representation of $|e_i|$ in the scale of p; let $e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \cdots, e_{i_m}$ be the negative terms each not immediately followed by a zero and $e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \cdots e_{i_l}$ be the negative terms each immediately followed by a zero, say e_{i_r} is followed by a block of U_r zeros in the sequence $e_0, e_1, \cdots e_n$; further, let t satisfy

(2.7) (i)
$$t > \max_{0 \le i \le n \atop e_i \ne 0} \mu_i$$
 and

(ii)
$$\varphi(p^t) > 0$$
; then

$$\begin{split} h(\varphi(p^t)!,\,p) &= ((\varphi(p^t) - S_\varphi(p))/(p-1)) \\ &- \{(\sum_{r=1}^l U_r) + l + m\}t - (\sum_{r=1}^m \lambda_{i_r+1} - \lambda_{i_r}) \\ &- \sum_{r=1}^l \left(\lambda_{j_r+U_r+1} - \lambda_{j_r}\right) \,. \end{split}$$

Proof. The lemma follows, if we express each $|e_i| \neq 0$ in the proper representation of p and make use of Lemma 1, the note at the end of its proof and (2.5).

§ 3: Proof of Theorem I. (i) Choose t so large that conditions (i) and (ii) of (2.7) are satisfied for f(x), g(x) + k(x) and h(x). By Lemma 2,

(3.1)
$$h(f(p^t)!, p) = ((f(p^t) - S_f(p))/(p-1)) + A_1t + B_1$$

where A_1 and B_1 are numbers independent of t. Similarly,

(3.2)
$$h((g(p^t) + k(p^t))!, p) = ((g(p^t) + k(p^t) - S_{a+k}(p))/(p-1)) + A_s t + B_s$$

and

(3.3)
$$h(h(p^t)!, p) = ((h(p^t) - S_h(p))/(p-1)) + A_s t + B_s.$$

where A_2 , B_2 , A_3 and B_3 are independent of t. From (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), it follows that

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.4) & D(Q(p^t),\,p)/t = (-\,k(p^t)/(p-1)t) \\ & + (\{S_{g+k}(p) + S_k(p) - S_f(p)\}/(p-1)t) + (A_1 - A_2 - A_3) \\ & + (B_1 - B_2 - B_3)/t \,\,. \end{array}$$

Taking limits on both sides of (3.4) as $t \to \infty$, and observing that the expression in curly brackets on R. H. S. of (3.4) is independent of t, we get (i).

- (ii) Choose p large enough to ensure the substitution of p for x in f(x), g(x) + k(x) and h(x) gives the representation of the numbers f(p), g(p) + k(p) and h(p) in the scale of p. (ii) follows by an application of Lemma 1 and proceeding to the limit as $p \to \infty$.
 - (iii) The proof is similar to that of (ii).

Proof of Theorem II. (a) Choose p large enough as in the proof of (ii) of Theorem I. In this representation, say R_p , $a_0 + a_1 p + \cdots + \cdots$ of g(p) in the scale of p, obviously $S_{R_p}(g(p), p) = S(g)$. Also $I_{R_p}(g(p), p) = 1$ the number of negative terms plus the number of zeros immediately following a negative term in a_0, a_1, \cdots ; let us denote this number by I(g), and similarly for others.

First, we prove that

(3.5)
$$I(g) + I(h) - I(f) \ge 0$$
.

To prove (3.5), let us observe that

$$c_i < 0$$
, $a_i b_i = 0$, $a_i \neq 0$ implies $a_i < 0$
 $c_i < 0$, $a_i b_i = 0$, $b_i \neq 0$ implies $b_i < 0$

 $c_i < 0$, $a_i b_i \neq 0$ implies one of a_i and b_i is negative; so that the contribution to I(f) by a negative c_i is balanced by the contribution

of a negative a_i or b_i to I(g)+I(h). Further, let $c_i=0$, $c_j<0$, $c_{j+1}=c_{j+2}=\cdots=c_i$, if $a_ib_i\neq 0$, one of a_i and b_i is negative, if $a_i=0=b_i$, let λ be the largest integer such that $\lambda< i$ and one of a_{λ} , b_{λ} is not zero; clearly $\lambda\geq j$ and one of a_{λ} , b_{λ} is negative. So in any case, the contribution of c_i to I(f) is balanced and (3.5) is clear. Next, we observe that

(3.6)
$$I(g + k) = I(g)$$
 if and only if (1.4) holds,

and

(3.7)
$$I(g + k) = I(g) - r$$
, if and only if (1.6) holds.

Further, by Lemma 1,

(3.8)
$$D(Q(p), p) = I(g + k) + I(h) - I(f).$$

Now (1.3) follows from (3.8), (3.6) and (3.5) and (1.5) follows from (3.8), (3.7) and (3.5).

It is easily verified that (1.7) implies the equality sign in (3.5) and the proof is complete.

We now consider an example: Taking $g(x) = 1 - x^r + x^n$, $h(x) = -2 + x^r + x^n$ and k = any odd integer > 1, it can be shown by an application of Lemma 1, that

$$(2x^{n}-1)!/((x^{n}-x^{r}+1+k)!(x^{n}+x^{r}-2)!)$$

is not an integer for $x=2^t$ where t is sufficiently large. In particular, taking n=2, r=1, it is easily verified that L(x)=1 and so it follows that

$$(2x^2-1)!/((x^2-x+1+k)!(x^2+x-2)!)$$

is an integer infinitely often and a non integer infinitely often.

Proof of Theorem III (a) It is easily verified by taking proper representations, that, in case $k \ge 2$

$$D((np^t)!/\{(p^t+k)!\}^n, p) < 0$$
 where

 $p \mid k$ and t is sufficiently large and in case k = 1, $D(\{n(-1+2^t)\}!/\{(-1+2^t+1)!\}^n, 2) < 0$, where t is sufficiently large. Hence (i).

(ii) Again, by taking proper representations in the scale of p where p satisfies (1.9), it is easy to verify that for $x = 1 + p + p^2 + \cdots + p^t$ (t sufficiently large) that

$$D(((a_1 + a_2)x)!/(a_1x + c_1)!(a_2x)!, p) < 0$$
.

REFERENCE

1. J. Chidambaraswamy, Divisibility properties of certain factorials, Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 215-226.

University of California, Berkeley The University of Kansas

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 *J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS

NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal,
but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

* Paul A. White, Acting Editor until J. Dugundji returns.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 17, No. 2

February, 1966

Henry A. Antosiewicz, Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations	191
Bernard Werner Levinger and Richard Steven Varga, <i>Minimal Gerschgorin</i> sets. II	199
Paul Camion and Alan Jerome Hoffman, On the nonsingularity of complex matrices	211
J. Chidambaraswamy, Divisibility properties of certain factorials	215
J. Chidambaraswamy, A problem complementary to a problem of Erdős	227
John Dauns, Chains of modules with completely reducible quotients	235
Wallace E. Johnson, Existence of half-trajectories in prescribed regions and	
asymptotic orbital stability	243
Victor Klee, Paths on polyhedra. II	249
Edwin Haena Mookini, Sufficient conditions for an optimal control problem in the calculus of variations	263
Zane Clinton Motteler, Existence theorems for certain quasi-linear elliptic equations	279
David Lewis Outcalt, Simple n-associative rings	301
David Joseph Rodabaugh, Some new results on simple algebras	311
Oscar S. Rothaus, Asymptotic properties of groups generation	319
Ernest Edward Shult, Nilpotence of the commutator subgroup in groups	
admitting fixed point free operator groups	323
William Hall Sills, On absolutely continuous functions and the	
well-bounded operator	349
Joseph Gail Stampfli, Which weighted shifts are subnormal	367
Donald Reginald Traylor, Metrizability and completeness in normal Moore	
spaces	381