# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A COMBINATORIAL PROBLEM IN THE SYMMETRIC GROUP

OSCAR S. ROTHAUS AND JOHN GRIGGS THOMPSON

Vol. 18, No. 1

March 1966

# A COMBINATORIAL PROBLEM IN THE SYMMETRIC GROUP

### OSCAR ROTHAUS AND JOHN G. THOMPSON

If G is a group and T is a nonempty subset of G, we say that T divides G if and only if G contains a subset S such that every element of G has a unique representation as ts with t in T, s in S, in which case we write  $T \cdot S = G$ . We study the case where G is  $\Sigma_n$ , the symmetric group on n symbols and T is the set consisting of the identity and all transpositions in  $\Sigma_n$ .

The problem may be given a combinatorial setting as follows: For x, y in  $\Sigma_n$ , let d(x, y) be the minimum number of transpositions needed to write  $xy^{-1}$ . One verifies that d converts  $\Sigma_n$  into a metric space, and that T divides  $\Sigma_n$  if and only if  $\Sigma_n$  can be covered by disjoint closed spheres of radius one.

We use the irreducible characters of  $\Sigma_n$ , together with judiciously selected permutation representations of  $\Sigma_n$ , to prove the following result.

THEOREM. If 1 + (n(n-1))/2 is divisible by a prime exceeding  $\sqrt{n} + 2$ , then T does not divide  $\Sigma_n$ .

The proof depends on properties of  $\Sigma_n$  (see [1] and [2], pp. 190-193). If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s$  are the parts of the partition  $\sigma$  in decreasing order and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t$  are the parts of the partition  $\tau$  in decreasing order, we write  $\sigma > \tau$  provided the first nonvanishing difference  $\lambda_i - \mu_i$  is positive. We say that  $\sigma$  dominates  $\tau$  provided  $\lambda_i - \mu_i \ge 0$  for i = $1, 2, \dots, s$ . Let  $\sigma'$  be the conjugate partition to  $\sigma$  with parts  $\lambda'_1 \ge$  $\lambda'_2 \ge \dots \ge \lambda'_{s'}$ , and set

$$\pi(\sigma) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^s rac{\lambda_i(\lambda_i-1)}{2} - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{s'} rac{\lambda_i'(\lambda_i'-1)}{2}$$
 .

The function  $\pi$  has a simple interpretation. Namely, in the dot diagram of  $\sigma$ , the number of unordered pairs of dots in a common row minus the number of unordered pairs of dots in a common column equals  $\pi(\sigma)$ . However, it will become apparent that  $\pi(\sigma)$  has a group theoretic interpretation too.

**LEMMA 1.** If  $\sigma$  dominates  $\tau$ , and  $\sigma \neq \tau$  then  $\pi(\sigma) > \pi(\tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let the parts of  $\sigma$  be  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_s$  and those of  $\tau$  be  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_t$ . By the hypotheses, we may suppose that  $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \mu_2, \cdots, \lambda_{r-1} = \mu_{r-1}, \lambda_r > \mu_r$  and  $\lambda_{r+1} \geq \mu_{r+1}, \cdots, \lambda_s \geq \mu_s$ , for some

integer r less than s. A straightforward computation shows that  $\pi(\tau)$  increases if  $\mu_r$  is increased by 1 and  $\mu_t$  is decreased by 1. With this observation made, the result is clear.

For any subset A of  $\Sigma_n$ , A denotes the sum of the elements of A in the group algebra of  $\Sigma_n$  (over the rationals), while

$$\dot{A} = \sum_{a \in A} sg(a) \cdot a$$
.

LEMMA 2. If  $R = R_{\sigma}$  is the irreducible representation of  $\Sigma_n$ associated to the partition  $\sigma$ , then  $R(\dot{T})$  is singular if and only if  $\pi(\sigma) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Since T is in the center of the group algebra, R(T) is a scalar matrix, say  $(1 + c)I = R(\dot{T})$ . Thus,  $R(\dot{T})$  is singular if and only if c = -1. Let Y be a Young tableau associated to  $\sigma$ , that is, the dot diagram of  $\sigma$  with a label on each dot, the labels coming from and exhausting the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let A be the subgroup of  $\Sigma_n$  permuting the columns of Y and B the subgroup of  $\Sigma_n$  permuting the rows of Y, and let

$$E=rac{\dot{A}}{\mid A\mid}\cdotrac{\ddot{B}}{\mid B\mid}$$
 .

Then E is a primitive idempotent and has the property that  $R_{\tau}(E) = 0$ for  $\tau \neq \sigma$ . We have  $R_{\sigma}(E)R_{\sigma}(\dot{T}) = (1+c)R_{\sigma}(E)$ . As E vanishes in each  $R_{\tau}$  with  $\tau \neq \sigma$ , we have trivially,  $R_{\tau}(E) \cdot R_{\tau}(\dot{T}) = (1+c)R_{\tau}(E)$ for all  $\tau \neq \sigma$ . Hence

$$(4) E \cdot \dot{T} = (1+c)E.$$

Let  $T_0$  be the set of transpositions in  $\Sigma_n$ . Then (4) implies

$$(5) E \cdot \dot{T}_0 = cE$$

Since  $A \cap B = 1$ , to determine c, it suffices to determine the multiplicity (i.e., coefficient) of 1 in  $E \cdot \dot{T}_0$ . It follows readily that  $c = \Sigma sg(b)$ , the summation ranging over all triples (a, b, t) with a in A, b in B, t in  $T_0$ , such that abt = 1. Since abt = 1 if and only if ab = t, it is easy to see that whenever abt = 1, then either  $t \in A$  or  $t \in B$ . Hence,  $c = -\pi(\sigma)$ , as required.

In the following discussion,  $\sigma$ , Y, A, B, E have the same meaning as above.

We next consider a family of permutation representations of  $\Sigma_n$ . Let X be a Young tableau for the partition  $\tau$  and let C be the subgroup permuting the columns of X. Then  $P_{\tau}$  denotes the permutation representation of  $\Sigma_n$  on the cosets of C. Thus, for x in  $\Sigma_n$ ,  $P_{\tau}(x): Cg \to Cgx$ . It is clear that  $P_{\tau}$  depends only on  $\tau$  and not on X. As is customary, we view  $P_{\tau}$  as a representation of the group algebra.

LEMMA 3. If 
$$\sigma > \tau$$
, then  $R_{\sigma}$  is not a constituent of  $P_{\tau}$ .

*Proof.* Since E is a primitive idempotent,  $tr(P_{\tau}(E))$  is the multiplicity of  $R_{\sigma}$  in  $P_{\tau}$ . Consider a coset Cg. A contribution to  $tr(P_{\tau}(E))$  occurs each time Cgab = Cg with a in A, b in B, the contribution being

$$rac{sg(b)}{\mid A \mid \cdot \mid B \mid}$$
 .

Thus, from the coset Cg, we get

$$rac{arsigma sg(b)}{\mid A \mid \cdot \mid B \mid}$$
 ,

the summation being over those pairs (a, b) with a in A, b in B and ab in  $g^{-1}Cg$ . As  $\sigma > \tau$ , it is easy to verify that there is a row of Y which has at least two symbols in common with some column of Xg, that is,  $B \cap g^{-1}Cg$  contains a transposition t = t(g). This implies that whenever a pair (a, b) occurs in the above summation, so does the pair (a, bt), so  $tr(P_{\tau}(E)) = 0$ , as required.

Now let p be a prime divisor of 1 + (n(n-1))/2 with  $p \ge \sqrt{n} + 2$ . Let n = (p-1)q + r with  $0 \le r < p-1$ . Hence q < p-2. Let  $\tau$  be the partition of n with r parts equal to q+1 and p-1-r parts equal to q. We see that  $\tau'$  has q parts equal to p-1 and one part equal to r. Hence

$$egin{aligned} \pi( au) &= rac{(q+1)q}{2}\,r + rac{q(q-1)}{2}(p-1-r) \ &- \left\{ rac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}q + rac{r(r-1)}{2} 
ight\} \ &= rac{q(p-1)}{2}\{q+1-(p-2)\} - rac{r(r-1)}{2} - q(p-1-r) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q + 1 \leq p - 2$ , it follows that  $\pi(\tau) < -1$ .

By Lemma 3, if  $R_{\sigma}$  is a constituent of  $P_{\tau}$ , then  $\sigma \leq \tau$ . The structure of  $\tau$  now yields that whenever  $\sigma \leq \tau$ , then  $\tau$  dominates  $\sigma$ . By Lemma 1,  $\pi(\sigma) \leq \pi(\tau) < -1$  and hence by Lemma 2,  $R(\dot{T})$ 

By Lemma 1,  $\pi(\sigma) \leq \pi(\tau) < -1$ , and hence by Lemma 2,  $R_{\sigma}(\hat{T})$  is nonsingular. Thus  $P_{\tau}(\hat{T})$  is nonsingular.

Let  $d = d_{\tau}$  be the degree of  $P_{\tau}$ . Since  $d = |\Sigma_n : C|$ , we see that d is divisible by the same power of p as  $|\Sigma_n|$ , since  $|C| = (p-1)!^q r!$  is prime to p. Now suppose  $T \cdot U = \Sigma_n$ . Then  $P_{\tau}(\dot{T})P_{\tau}(U) = P_{\tau}(\dot{\Sigma}_n)$ .

It is clear that  $P_{\tau}(\dot{\Sigma}_n)$  is the matrix with |C| in every entry, so is of rank 1. Since  $P_{\tau}(\dot{T})^{-1}$  is a polynomial in  $P_{\tau}(\dot{T})$ , and since  $P_{\tau}(\dot{\Sigma}_n) =$  $P_{\tau}(\dot{x})P_{\tau}(\dot{\Sigma}_n)$  for all x in  $\Sigma_n$ , it follows that  $P_{\tau}(\dot{U}) = aP_{\tau}(\dot{\Sigma}_n)$  for some rational number a. This implies that a(1 + (n(n-1))/2) = 1, so that

$$P_{\tau}(\dot{U}) = rac{1}{1 + rac{n(n-1)}{2}} P_{\tau}(\dot{\Sigma}_n)$$

does not have integral entries, which is a contradiction, since  $P_{\tau}(U)$  is a sum of |U| permutation matrices.

REMARK 1. The integers 1, 2, 3, 6, 91, 137, 733 and 907 are the only integers less than 1,000 which fail to satisfy the theorem.

REMARK 2. As the referee has noted, essentially the same proof yields: If (n(n-1))/2 is divisible by a prime exceeding  $\sqrt{n} + 2$ , then  $T_0$  does not divide  $\Sigma_n$ .

### References

1. D. E. Littlewood, The theory of group characters, Oxford at the Clarendon Press.

2. B. L. van der Waerden, Modern algebra, Vol. II.

Received December 22, 1964.

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

\*J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

# ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN

N F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

# SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION

CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 18, No. 1 March, 1966

Edward Joseph Barbeau, <i>Semi-algebras that are lower semi-lattices</i> Steven Fredrick Bauman, <i>The Klein group as an automorphism group</i>	1
Homer Franklin Bechtell, Jr., <i>Frattini subgroups and</i> $\Phi$ -central groups	15
Edward Kenneth Blum, A convergent gradient procedure in prehilbert	
spaces	25
Edward Martin Bolger, <i>The sum of two independent exponential-type random variables</i>	31
David Wilson Bressler and A. P. Morse, <i>Images of measurable sets</i>	37
Dennison Robert Brown and J. G. LaTorre, A characterization of uniquely	
divisible commutative semigroups	57
Selwyn Ross Caradus, Operators of Riesz type	61
Jeffrey Davis and Isidore Isaac Hirschman, Jr., Toeplitz forms and	
ultraspherical polynomials	73
Lorraine L. Foster, On the characteristic roots of the product of certain rational integral matrices of order two	97
Alfred Gray and S. M. Shah, Asymptotic values of a holomorphic function	
with respect to its maximum term	111
Sidney (Denny) L. Gulick, Commutativity and ideals in the biduals of	
topological algebras	121
G. J. Kurowski, <i>Further results in the theory of monodiffric functions</i>	139
Lawrence S. Levy, Commutative rings whose homomorphic images are	
self-injective	149
Calvin T. Long, On real numbers having normality of order k	155
Bertram Mond, An inequality for operators in a Hilbert space	161
John William Neuberger, The lack of self-adjointness in three-point	
boundary value problems	165
C. A. Persinger, Subsets of n-books in $E^3$	169
Oscar S. Rothaus and John Griggs Thompson, A combinatorial problem in	
the symmetric group	175
Rodolfo DeSapio, Unknotting spheres via Smale	179
James E. Shockley, On the functional equation	
$F(mn)F((m, n)) = F(m)F(n)f((m, n))\dots$	185
Kenneth Edward Whipple, Cauchy sequences in Moore spaces	191