Pacific Journal of Mathematics

HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF ORE-EXTENSIONS

N. S. GOPALAKRISHNAN AND RAMAIYENGAR SRIDHARAN

Vol. 19, No. 1 May 1966

HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF ORE-EXTENSIONS

N. S. GOPALAKRISHNAN AND R. SRIDHARAN

Let S be a ring with unit element and let $R = S\{x, d\}$ be the Ore-extension of S with respect to a derivation d of S. Our object in this paper is to show that l. gl. dim R = 1 + l. gl. dim S, if S is a commutative Noetherian ring and d is suitably restricted.

It was shown in [3] that l. gl. dim $R \le 1 + l$. gl. dim S. While equality does not hold in general, we show that it does under suitable conditions (Theorem 2, § 5).

This is achieved in three steps. The first is to show that for any ring S, any R-module M and an S-projective resolution for M, there exists an R-projective resolution of M which "lifts" the given resolution (Theorem 1, § 3). The next step is to use this resolution to prove Theorem 2 in the special case in which S is a local ring (Proposition 1, § 4). The final step consists in deducing Theorem 2 by the method of localisation.

The authors would like to express their thanks to M. P. Murthy and A. Roy for their kind help during the preparation of this paper.

- 2. Preliminaries on Ore-extensions. Let S be a ring with unit element (denoted by 1), which is not necessarily commutative, and let d be a derivation of S into itself. Let $S\{x,d\}$ denote the Ore-extension of S with respect to d (see [5]). We recall that $R = S\{x,d\}$ is the ring generated by an indeterminate x over S with the relations xs sx = ds for every $s \in S$. We identify S with a subring of R. We collect here some properties of R which will be used in the later sections.
- (2.1) For any ring S', a ring homomorphism $\varphi \colon S \to S'$ and an element $\alpha \in S'$, with the property $\alpha \varphi(s) \varphi(s)\alpha = \varphi(ds)$, there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\overline{\varphi} \colon R \to S'$ such that $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \alpha$ and $\overline{\varphi} \mid S = \varphi$. (In fact R can be characterised by this property).

The proof is straightforward.

(2.2) Let S_1 , S_2 be rings with derivations d_1 , d_2 respectively and let $\varphi \colon S_1 \to S_2$ be a ring homomorphism such that $d_2 \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ d_1$. Then there exists a ring homomorphism $\overline{\varphi} \colon R_1 \to R_2$ such that $\overline{\varphi} \mid S_1 = \varphi$.

Proof. This follows from (2.1) by taking $S' = R_2$ and $\alpha = x \in R_2$.

(2.3) A left S-module M can be converted to a left-R-module if

Received January 25, 1965.

and only if there exists an $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, M)$ such that f(s,m) - s.f(m) = ds.m, for every $s \in S$, $m \in M$.

Proof. If M is an R-module we may take $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, M)$ defined by f(m) = x.m. The converse follows from (2.1) by taking

$$S' = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, M), \alpha = f \text{ and } \varphi \colon S \to S'$$

to be the mapping which defines the S-module structure on M.

(2.4) If M is a projective left S-module, then M can be converted into a left R-module.

Proof. We first remark that S can be considered as a left R-module. In fact, with the notation of (2.3) we choose $f=d\in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S,S)$. By a direct sum argument, it is clear that any free left S-module can be regarded as an R-module. Now let M be any projective left S-module and let M be a direct summand of a free S-module F. Since F is a left R-module, there exists an $f\in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F,F)$ such that $f(s.m)-s.f(m)=ds.m; s\in S, m\in F$. Let $p\colon F\to M$ be an S-projection of F on M. It is easily seen that $g=f\circ p\mid M$ satisfies g(s.m)-s.g(m)=ds.m. Hence M can be regarded as an R-module.

(2.5) R becomes a filtered ring by setting $F_pR = \sum_{0 \le i \le p} S.x^i$. The associated graded ring $E^{\circ}(R)$ of R is isomorphic to S[x], the usual polynomial ring in one variable x over S.

Proof. See [3].

3. Lifting of resolutions. Let M be a left R-module and let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_i \stackrel{d_i}{\longrightarrow} X_{i-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_0 \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} M \longrightarrow 0$$

be an S-projective resolution of M. Our aim in this section is to construct an R-projective resolution which "lifts" the above resolution.

We first prove the following

LEMMA. There exist $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_i, X_i)$ such that

- (i) $f_i(s.\alpha) s.f_i(\alpha) = ds.\alpha \text{ for } s \in S, \alpha \in X_i;$
- (ii) $d_i \circ f_i = f_{i-1} \circ d_i$, $i \ge 1$, and $\varepsilon \circ f_0 = f \circ \varepsilon$, where $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, M)$ is the mapping given by f(m) = x.m.

Proof. Since X_0 is S-projective, it follows from (2.4) and (2.3) that there exists an $f_0' \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X_0, X_0)$ such that $f_0'(s\alpha) - sf_0'(\alpha) = ds, \alpha$ for $s \in S$, $\alpha \in X_0$. The map $\varepsilon \circ f_0' - f \circ \varepsilon : X_0 \to M$ is easily verified to be S-linear. Since X_0 is S-projective there exists an $f_0'' \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(X_0, X_0)$

such that $\varepsilon \circ f_0' - f \circ \varepsilon = \varepsilon \circ f_0''$. We choose $f_0 = f_0' - f_0''$. Then (i) and (ii) are verified for i = 0.

Assume inductively that f_j $0 \le j \le i-1$ have already been defined satisfying (i) and (ii). Since X_i is S-projective, there exists $f_i' \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X_i, X_i)$ such that $f_i'(s\alpha) - sf_i'(\alpha) = ds\alpha$ for $s \in S$, $\alpha \in X_i$. The map $d_i \circ f_i' - f_{i-1} \circ d_i : X_i \to X_{i-1}$ is easily verified to be S-linear. We have, (with the convention $f_1 = f$ and $d_0 = \varepsilon$),

$$egin{aligned} d_{i-1}(d_i \circ f_i' - f_{i-1} \circ d_i) &= -d_{i-1} \circ f_{i-1} \circ d_i \ &= -f_{i-2} \circ d_{i-1} \circ d_i \quad ext{(by induction)} \ &= 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence the image of X_i by $d_i \circ f'_i - f_{i-1} \circ d_i$ is contained in the kernel of $d_{i-1} = \operatorname{Im} d_i$. Since X_i is S-projective, there exists $f''_i \in \operatorname{Hom}_S(X_i, X_i)$ such that $d_i \circ f'_i - f_{i-1} \circ d_i = d_i \circ f''_i$. We may choose $f_i = f'_i - f''_i$ and f_i satisfies (i) and (ii). This completes the proof of the lemma.

We set $X_{-1} = 0$ and define for $i \ge 0$

$$ar{X} = R igotimes_{_{\!S}} X_i + Ry igotimes_{_{\!S}} X_{i\scriptscriptstyle -1}$$
 ,

where y is a dummy. We set $d_0=0$ and define for $i\geq 1$, the R-homomorphism $\bar{d}_i\colon \bar{X}_i\to \bar{X}_{i-1}$ by

$$ar{d}_i(1 \otimes lpha') = 1 \otimes d_i lpha, \, lpha \in X_i$$

and

$$ar{d}_i(y\otimeslpha')=y\otimes d_{i-1}lpha'+(-1)^{i-1}x\otimeslpha'+(-1)^i1\otimes f_{i-1}(lpha'),\,lpha'\in X_{i-1}$$
 .

We define the R-homomorphism $ilde{arepsilon}\colon ar{X}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}=R igotimes X_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \mathop{
ightarrow} M$ by

$$ar{arepsilon}(1 igotimes lpha) = arepsilon(lpha), \, lpha \in X_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$$
 .

THEOREM 1. The sequence

$$(*) \qquad \cdots \longrightarrow \bar{X}_i \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_i} \bar{X}_{i-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bar{X}_0 \xrightarrow{\bar{\varepsilon}} M \longrightarrow 0$$

is an R-projective resolution of M.

$$egin{aligned} Proof. & ext{ For } lpha \in X_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, \, ar{arepsilon} \circ ar{d}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(1 \otimes lpha) = ar{arepsilon}(1 \otimes d_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}lpha) = arepsilon d_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(lpha) = 0, \ ext{and for} \ & lpha' \in X_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}, \, ar{arepsilon} \circ ar{d}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(y \otimes lpha') = ar{arepsilon}(x \otimes lpha' - 1 \otimes f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(lpha')) \ & = f \circ arepsilon(lpha') - arepsilon \circ f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(lpha') = 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

For $i \ge 1$, we have

$$ar{d}_{i-1}\!\circ\!ar{d}_{i}\!(1igotimeslpha)=1igotimes d_{i-1}\!\circ\!d_{i}lpha=0$$
 , $lpha\!\in\!X_{i}$,

and

$$\begin{split} \bar{d}_{i-1} \circ \bar{d}_{i}(y \otimes \alpha') \\ &= \bar{d}_{i-1}[y \otimes d_{i-1}\alpha' + (-1)^{i-1}x \otimes \alpha' + (-1)^{i}1 \otimes f_{i-1}(\alpha')], \, \alpha' \in X_{i-1} \\ &= y \otimes d_{i-2} \circ d_{i-1} + (-1)^{i-2}x \otimes d_{i-1}\alpha' + (-1)^{i-1}1 \otimes f_{i-2}d_{i-1}\alpha' \\ &+ (-1)^{i-1}x \otimes d_{i-1}\alpha' + (-1)^{i}1 \otimes d_{i-1} \circ f_{i-1}\alpha' \\ &= (-1)^{i}1 \otimes (d_{i-1} \circ f_{i-1} - f_{i-2} \circ d_{i-1}), \\ &\qquad \qquad (\text{with the convention that } f_{-1} = 0) \\ &= 0 \; . \end{split}$$

Thus (*) is a complex of left R-modules. To prove that the complex is acyclic, we define a suitable filtration on the complex whose associated graded is acyclic. By a well-known lemma on filtered complexes the acyclicity of (*) follows immediately. For $i \geq 0$, let

$${F}_{{\scriptscriptstyle p}}ar{X}_{i} = {F}_{{\scriptscriptstyle p}}R \bigotimes_{\scriptscriptstyle ar{S}} X_{i} + {F}_{{\scriptscriptstyle p-1}}R$$
 , $y \bigotimes_{\scriptscriptstyle ar{S}} X_{i-1}$,

where $\{F_pR\}$ is the filtration on R defined in (2.5). We define

$$F_p M = M$$
 for every p .

It is easily seen that $\{F_p\bar{X}_i\}$ defines a filtration on \bar{X}_i and that $\bar{d}_i(F_p\bar{X}_i) \subset F_p\bar{X}_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon(F_pX_0) \subset F_pM$. We thus get for $p \geq 0$ the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(ar{X}_i) \stackrel{E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(ar{d}_i)}{\longrightarrow} E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(ar{X}_{i-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(ar{X}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) \stackrel{E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(ar{z})}{\Longrightarrow} E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(M) \longrightarrow 0$$
 .

We note that $E_p^0(M) = 0$ for $p \neq 0$ and $E_p^0(M) = M$.

Let S[x] denote the polynomial ring in one variable x over S. We regard M as an S[x]-module by setting xM=0. We set $X'_{-1}=0$ and define X'_i for $i\geq 0$ by

$$X_i' = S_p[x] \bigotimes_{\varsigma} X_i + S_{p-1}[x] \cdot y \bigotimes_{\varsigma} X_{i-1}$$
 .

We set $d'_0 = 0$ and for $i \ge 1$ define the left S[x]-homomorphism $d'_i: X'_i \to X'_{i-1}$ by

$$d_i'(1\otimeslpha)=1\otimes d_ilpha$$
 , $lpha\in X_i$, $d_i'(y\otimeslpha')=y\otimes d_{i-1}lpha'+(-1)^{i-1}x\otimeslpha'$, $lpha'\in X_{i-1}$.

We define the S[x]-homomorphism $\varepsilon': X'_0 \to M$ by setting

$$\varepsilon'(1 \otimes \alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha)$$
 .

It is easily verified [4, p. 210] that (X'_i, d'_i) is a left S[x]-projective resolution for M.

Let $S_p[x]$ be the p^{th} homogeneous component of the usual gradation of S[x] given by powers of x. We introduce a gradation on

 X_i' by setting

$$X_i'^p = S_p[x] \bigotimes_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{S}} X_i + S_{\scriptscriptstyle p-1}[x] y \bigotimes_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{S}} X_{\scriptscriptstyle i-1}$$
 .

We take the trivial gradation on M i.e., $M^p = 0$ for p > 0 and $M^0 = M$. It is easily seen that $d'_i(X_i'^p) \subset X_{i-1}'^p$ and $\varepsilon'(X_0'^p) \subset M^p$ for every p. We thus get for every p an exact sequence

$$(**) \qquad \cdots \longrightarrow X_{i}^{\prime p} \xrightarrow{d_{i}^{\prime p}} X_{i-1}^{\prime p} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{0}^{\prime p} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{\prime p}} M^{p} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Clearly $E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(\bar{X}_i) \approx X_i^{\scriptscriptstyle \prime p}$ and $E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(M) \approx M^{\scriptscriptstyle p}$ for every p. Since for any $r \in F_{\scriptscriptstyle p-1}R$ and $\alpha' \in X_{\scriptscriptstyle i-1}$, we have $r \otimes f_{\scriptscriptstyle i-1}(\alpha') \in F_{\scriptscriptstyle p-1}\bar{X}_{\scriptscriptstyle i-1}$, it follows that $E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(\bar{d}_i) = d'^{\scriptscriptstyle p}_i$. Since (**) is exact, it follows that $(E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(\bar{X}_i), E^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(\bar{d}_i))$ is exact and hence (*) is exact. Since \bar{X}_i is clearly R-projective, the theorem is proved.

4. The case of local rings. Our aim in this section is to prove the following.

PROPOSITION 1. Let S be a (commutative, Noetherian) local ring and let \mathfrak{M} denote its unique maximal ideal. Let d be a derivation of S such that $d(S) \subset \mathfrak{M}$ and let $R = S\{x, d\}$. Then

l.gl. dim
$$R = 1 + gl. dim S$$
.

For proving this proposition, we need the following.

LEMMA. Let S be a commutative ring and let M be an R-module. Suppose

$$0 \longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{d_n} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow X_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

is an S-projective resolution of M. Assume that the following conditions hold.

- (1) X_n is S-free of rank 1.
- (2) There exists an S-module N with xN = 0 and $\operatorname{Ext}_s^n(M, N) \neq (0)$.

Then $hd_RM = n + 1$.

Proof. Using the complex (*) of Theorem 1, we find that $hd_RM \le n+1$. We now compute $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M,N')$ for any R-module N'. We have

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n+1}(M, N') = \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(X_{n}, N')/B^{n}$$

where B^n is the set of all $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_S(X_n, N')$ such that there exist $g_1 \in \operatorname{Hom}_S(X_n, N')$ and $g_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}_S(X_{n-1}, N')$ with

$$g(\alpha) = g_2(d_n\alpha) + (-1)^{n-1}xg_1(\alpha) + (-1)^ng_1(f_n(\alpha))$$

for any $\alpha \in X_n$.

Let β be a free generator of X_n as an S-module and let $f_n(\beta) = s\beta$; $s \in S$. If $g \in B^n$, we have

$$g(\beta) = g_2(d_n\beta) + (-1)^{n-1}(x-s)g_1(\beta)$$
.

Let θ be the automorphism of R such that $\theta(x)=x+s$ and $\theta\mid S=$ identity. (This exists in view of (2.1)). If we choose $N'={}_{\theta}N$ (i.e., N considered as an R-module through θ), we find $g(\beta)=g_2(d_n\beta)$ and hence $g(\alpha)=g_2(d_n\alpha)$ for any $\alpha\in X_n$. Thus, $B^n=B_1^n=\{g\in \operatorname{Hom}_S(X_n,N')\mid g(\alpha)=g_2(d_n\alpha) \text{ for some } g_2\in \operatorname{Hom}_S(X_{n-1}N') \text{ for every } \alpha\in X_{n-1}\}$. However, using the resolution (X_i,d_i) for M to compute Ext, we find $\operatorname{Ext}_S^n(M,N')\approx \operatorname{Hom}_S(X_n,N')/B_1^n$. Hence

$$egin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_R(M,\,N') &pprox \operatorname{Ext}^n_S(M,\,N') \ &pprox \operatorname{Ext}^n_S(M,\,N)
eq (0) \;, \end{aligned}$$

since N and N' are isomorphic as S-modules. This proves the lemma.

Proof of proposition. By [2, p. 74, Prop. 2], it follows that gl. dim $R \ge \text{gl. dim } S$. Thus, if gl. dim $S = \infty$, we have gl. dim $R = \infty$ and the proposition is proved. We therefore assume that gl. dim $S = n < \infty$. If $M = S/\mathfrak{M}$, we have $hd_SM = n$. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{d_n} X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

be the "Koszul resolution" for M [1, p. 151]. Since $X_n = E_n^s(y_1, \dots, y_n)$, where $E_n^s(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is the nth component of the exterior algebra on y_1, \dots, y_n over S, condition (i) of the above lemma is satisfied. Since $d(S) \subset \mathfrak{M}$, it is clear that M can be regarded as an R-module satisfying xM = 0 (See (2.3)). Since $\operatorname{Ext}_S^n(M, M) \neq (0)$, [1, p. 153], condition (2) of the lemma is satisfied with N = M. Thus, by the above lemma, we have $hd_RM = n + 1$. Hence gl. dim $R \geq n + 1$. Since gl. dim $R \leq n + 1$ [6, Th. 1 or 3], the proposition is proved.

5. The case of Noetherian rings. In this section, we prove the following

THEOREM 2. Let S be a commutative Noetherian ring and let d be a derivation of S such that any one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

- (1) $d(S) \subset Radical \ of \ S$,
- (2) d(S) generates a proper ideal of S and Krull dim $S_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is the same for all the maximal ideals \mathfrak{M} of S.

If $R = S\{x, d\}$, we have

$$l. gl. dim R = 1 + gl. dim S$$
.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 1, we need only prove that l. gl. dim $R \geq 1+$ gl. dim S assuming gl. dim $S < \infty$. Since gl. dim $S = \sup_{\mathfrak{M}} \operatorname{gl.} \operatorname{dim} S_{\mathfrak{M}}$ where \mathfrak{M} runs over all the maximal ideals of S, it is clear that under either of the conditions of the theorem, there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} such that gl. dim $S = \operatorname{gl.} \operatorname{dim} S_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $d(S) \subset \mathfrak{M}$. The derivation d of S induces a derivation \overline{d} of $S_{\mathfrak{M}}$ if we set

$$\bar{d}\Big(rac{s}{s'}\Big) = rac{ds.\ s'-s.\ ds'}{s'^2}; s,s'\in S,s'\in \mathfrak{M}$$
 .

It is clear that $\bar{d}(S_{\mathfrak{M}}) \subset \mathfrak{M}S_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Hence by Proposition 1, § 4, we have

l. gl. dim
$$S_{\mathfrak{M}}\{x,\,ar{d}\}=1+$$
 gl. dim $S_{\mathfrak{M}}$ $=1+$ gl. dim S .

Thus, the theorem will be proved if we prove the following

LEMMA. If M is any maximal ideal of S, we have

l. gl. dim
$$S\{x,d\} \ge$$
 l. gl. dim $S_{\mathfrak{M}}\{x,\overline{d}\}$.

Proof of the lemma. Let us set $R=S\{x,d\}$ and $\bar{R}=S_{m}\{x,\bar{d}\}$. Let $\eta\colon S\to S_{\mathfrak{M}}$ denote the ring homomorphism defined by $\eta(s)=$ class of s/1. Since $\bar{d}\circ\eta=\eta\circ d$, η induces (see (2.2)) a ring homomorphism $\bar{\gamma}\colon R\to \bar{R}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}\mid S=\eta$.

We first prove the following two statements:

- (1) \bar{R} is R-flat as a right R-module (through $\bar{\eta}$).
- (2) If M is any left \bar{R} -module, there exists a left R-module M' and a left \bar{R} -isomorphism $M \approx \bar{R} \bigotimes_{R} M'$.

The left $S_{\mathfrak{M}}$ -isomorphism $\varphi: S_{\mathfrak{M}} \otimes_{s} R \to \overline{R}$ given by $\varphi(1 \otimes x^{i}) = x^{i} \in \overline{R}$ satisfies $\varphi(1 \otimes f) = \overline{\eta}(f)$ for any $f \in R$. We have

$$\varphi(1 \otimes fg) = \bar{\eta}(fg) = \bar{\eta}(f)\bar{\eta}(g) = \varphi(1 \otimes f)\bar{\eta}(g)$$
.

Thus, φ is an isomorphism of right R-modules. Since $S_{\mathfrak{M}} \otimes_s R$ is right R-flat, (1) is proved. Let

$$\bar{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda} \bar{F} \xrightarrow{\mu} M \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence where \bar{F}_1 and \bar{F} are \bar{R} -free with bases $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ and $\{f_{\beta}\}$ respectively. We then have

$$\lambda(e_{lpha})=\eta\Big(rac{1}{s_{lpha}}\Big)\sum_{eta}rac{1}{\eta}\,(a_{lphaeta})f_{eta};\,a_{lphaeta}\in R,\,s_{lpha}\in S-\mathfrak{M}$$
 .

Let θ be the \bar{R} -automorphism of \bar{F}_1 defined by $\theta(e_{\alpha}) = \eta(s_{\alpha})e_{\alpha}$. Let

 $\lambda' = \lambda \circ \theta$. We then have

$$\lambda'(e_{lpha}) = \sum_{eta} rac{1}{\eta} (a_{lphaeta}) f_{eta}$$
 ,

and the sequence

$$\bar{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda'} \bar{F} \xrightarrow{\mu} M \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Let F_1 (resp. F) be the free R-module generated by $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ (resp. $\{f_{\beta}\}$) and let $\lambda'': F_1 \to F$ be the R-homomorphism defined by

$$\lambda''(e_{lpha}) = \sum_{eta} a_{lphaeta} f_{eta}$$
 .

It is easily seen that if we take $M' = c \circ \ker \lambda''$, we have $M \approx \overline{R} \bigotimes_{R} M'$. This proves(2). We now complete the proof of the lemma.

Let M be any left \overline{R} -module and let M' be a left R-module such that (2) is satisfied. Let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{d_n} X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow 0$$

be a resolution of M' as a left R-module. Then

$$ar{R} \otimes X_n \stackrel{I \otimes d_n}{\longrightarrow} ar{R} \otimes X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow ar{R} \otimes X_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in view of (1). Since $\bar{R} \underset{R}{\otimes} X_i$ is \bar{R} -projective, it follows that $(\bar{R} \underset{R}{\otimes} X_i, 1 \otimes d_i)$ is an \bar{R} -projective resolution of M. In particular, we have $hd_{\bar{R}}M \leq hd_RM' \leq \text{gl. dim } R$. Since M is arbitrary, it follows that $\text{gl. dim } \bar{R} \leq \text{gl. dim } R$. This proves the lemma and hence the theorem.

REMARK. Let $S=K[x_1,\cdots,x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K. It is well-known [7, Chap. III Cor. 4 to Th. 5] that Krull dim $S_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is the same for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{M} of S. Let d be a K-derivation of S given by $d(x_i)=f_i$. Then the derivation d satisfies condition (2) of Theorem 2 if and only if f_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ are not coprime and in this case we may apply the theorem and we have gl. dim R=n+1. This includes the special case of Theorem 1 of [6] in which K is a field.

REFERENCES

H. Cartan, and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton University Press, 1956.
 S. Eilenberg, A. Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky, On the dimension of modules and algebras VIII, Nagoya Math. J. 12 (1957), 71-93.

- 3. N. S. Gopalakrishnan, On some filtered rings, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. 56 (1962), 148-154.
- 4. S. Maclane, Homology, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- 5. O. Ore, Theory of non-commutative polynomials, Ann. Math. 34 (1933), 480-508.
- 6. A. Roy, A note on filtered rings, (to appear in Arch. der Math.).
- 7. J. P. Serre, Algebre locale-multiplicites, Mimeographed notes by P. Gabriel, College de France, 1958.
- 8. R. Sridharan, Homology of non-commutative polynomial rings, (to appear).

POONA UNIVERSITY

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH,
BOMBAY 5 AND CENTRE FOR ADVANCED TRAINING & RESEARCH,
UNIVERSITY OF BOMBAY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

J. P. Jans

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 19, No. 1

May, 1966

A. R. Brodsky, The existence of wave operators for nonlinear equations	1
Gulbank D. Chakerian, Sets of constant width	13
Robert Ray Colby, On indecomposable modules over rings with minimum	
condition	23
James Robert Dorroh, Contraction semi-groups in a function space	35
Victor A. Dulock and Harold V. McIntosh, On the degeneracy of the Kepler	
problem	39
James Arthur Dyer, The inversion of a class of linear operators	57
N. S. Gopalakrishnan and Ramaiyengar Sridharan, <i>Homological dimension</i>	
of Ore-extensions	67
Daniel E. Gorenstein, On a theorem of Philip Hall	77
Stanley P. Gudder, <i>Uniqueness and existence properties of bounded</i>	
observables	81
Ronald Joseph Miech, <i>An asymptotic property of the Euler function</i>	95
Peter Alexander Rejto, On the essential spectrum of the hydrogen energy and related operators	109
Duane Sather, Maximum and monotonicity properties of initial boundary	
value problems for hyperbolic equations	141
Peggy Strait, Sample function regularity for Gaussian processes with the	
parameter in a Hilbert space	159
Donald Reginald Traylor, Metrizability in normal Moore spaces	175
Uppuluri V. Ramamohana Rao, On a stronger version of Wallis'	
formula	183
Adil Mohamed Yagub. Some classes of ring-logics	189