# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE DEDEKIND COMPLETION OF  $C(\mathcal{X})$ 

JOHN ELDON MACK AND DONALD GLEN JOHNSON

Vol. 20, No. 2

October 1967

# THE DEDEKIND COMPLETION OF $C(\mathcal{X})$

# J. E. MACK AND D. G. JOHNSON

The question to which this study addresses itself is the following: given a completely regular space  $\mathscr{X}$ , is the Dedekind completion of  $C(\mathscr{X})$  isomorphic to  $C(\mathscr{Y})$  for some space  $\mathscr{Y}$ ? Here,  $C(\mathscr{X})$  denotes the ring of continuous real-valued functions on  $\mathscr{X}$  under pointwise order. Affirmative answers were provided by Dilworth for the class of compact spaces in 1950 and by Weinberg for the class of countably paracompact and normal spaces in 1960. It remained an open question whether there were any spaces for which a negative answer held. In this paper, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition that the Dedekind completion of  $C(\mathscr{X})$ , for  $\mathscr{X}$  a realcompact space, be isomorphic to  $C(\mathscr{Y})$  for some  $\mathscr{Y}$ . Using this, we are able to provide an example of a space  $\mathscr{X}$  for which the Dedekind completion of  $C(\mathscr{X})$  is not isomorphic to  $C(\mathscr{Y})$  for any space  $\mathscr{Y}$ .

Specifically, we define and characterize a class of spaces which we call *weak cb-spaces*: those spaces  $\mathscr{X}$  with the property that every locally bounded, lower semicontinuous function on  $\mathscr{X}$  is bounded above by a continuous function. We then prove that for an arbitrary (completely regular) space  $\mathscr{X}$ , the Dedekind completion of  $C(\mathscr{X})$  is isomorphic to some  $C(\mathscr{U})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$  (the Hewitt realcompactification of  $\mathscr{X}$ ) is a weak *cb*-space. The sufficiency of this condition actually generalizes Weinberg's result, as is shown by examples; the necessity provides the negative result referred to above.

The preliminary investigation of the Dedekind completion is done in Section 1, in the setting of an arbitrary  $\mathscr{O}$ -algebra. In Section 2, we study the connection between the lattice of normal upper semicontinuous functions on a completely regular space  $\mathscr{X}$  and the minimal projective extension of  $\mathscr{X}$ . This leads to the observation, in Section 4, that for a weak *cb*-space  $\mathscr{X}$  the Dedekind completion of  $C(\mathscr{X})$  is isomorphic to  $C(\mathscr{V})$ , where  $\mathscr{V}$  is the minimal projective extension of  $\mathscr{X}$ . Weak *cb*-spaces are studied in Section 3, and Section 4 contains our main result.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge fruitful conversations and correspondence on the subject of this paper with G. A. Jensen, J. E. Kist, and E. C. Weinberg. Their contributions and encouragement are sincerely appreciated.

The Dedekind completion of an arbitrary  $\Phi$ -algebra. A  $\Phi$ algebra is a real archimedean lattice-ordered algebra with identity element 1 that is a weak-order unit. A homomorphism of a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -algebra is an algebra homomorphism that also preserves lattice operations. The kernel of a homomorphism is an  $\mathscr{L}$ -ideal: a ring ideal I which satisfies the condition: if  $a \in I$  and  $|b| \leq |a|$ , then  $b \in I$ . If I is an  $\mathscr{L}$ -ideal in A, then A/I is a lattice-ordered algebra and the natural algebra homomorphism  $A \to A/I$  is a homomorphism: for  $a \in A$ , we let I(a) denote the image of under this natural homomorphism. The set of positive elements of A is denoted  $A_+$ .

Let A be a  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra. In [8], it is shown that A can be embedded in a complete  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra. Precisely, there is a complete  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra  $\hat{A}$ and an isomorphism  $\alpha$  of A onto a subalgebra  $\alpha A$  of  $\hat{A}$  such that the following conditions hold.

(i)  $\alpha A$  is *dense* in  $\widehat{A}$ , in the sense that each  $a \in \widehat{A}$  satisfies  $\sup \{\alpha b: b \in A \text{ and } \alpha b \leq a\} = a = \inf \{\alpha b: b \in A \text{ and } \alpha b \geq a\}$ . It follows from this that  $\alpha$  preserves all suprema and infima in A.

(ii)  $\hat{A}$  is unique in the following sense: if  $\beta$  is an isomorphism of A onto a dense subalgebra of a complete lattice-ordered algebra B, then there is an isomorphism  $\gamma$  of B onto  $\hat{A}$  such that  $\gamma\beta = \alpha$ .

The complete  $\Phi$ -algebra  $\hat{A}$  (together with the mapping  $\alpha$ ) is called the *Dedekind completion* of A.

Let A be a  $\Phi$ -algebra and  $\hat{A}$  its Dedekind completion. Thus, we may view A as a dense subring of  $\hat{A}$  which contains the identity element 1 of  $\hat{A}$ .

For any  $\mathcal{L}$ -ideal I of A, set

$$\overline{I} = \{b \in \widehat{A} \colon |b| \leq a \text{ for some } a \in I\}$$
.

It is readily verified that  $\overline{I}$  is an  $\mathscr{L}$ -ideal of  $\hat{A}$  and that if I(1) is a strong order unit in A/I, then  $\overline{I}(1)$  is a strong order unit in  $\hat{A}/\overline{I}$ . Hence, if  $M \in \mathscr{R}(A)$  and if  $\hat{M}$  denotes any maximal  $\mathscr{L}$ -ideal of  $\hat{A}$  containing M, then  $\hat{M} \in \mathscr{R}(\hat{A})$ . Conversely, if  $\hat{M} \in \mathscr{R}(\hat{A})$ , then  $\hat{M} \cap A \in \mathscr{R}(A)$ .

PROPOSITION 1.1. If A is a  $\Phi$ -algebra of real-valued functions, then so is  $\hat{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq b \in \hat{A}$ ; we may suppose b > 0. Since A is dense in  $\hat{A}$ , there is an element  $0 \neq a \in A$  with  $0 \leq a \leq b$ . Take  $M \in \mathscr{R}(A)$ not containing a. If  $\hat{M}$  is any maximal  $\mathscr{L}$ -ideal of  $\hat{A}$  containing M, then  $a \notin \hat{M}$ , so  $b \notin \hat{M}$ . Thus,  $\cap \mathscr{R}(\hat{A}) = \{0\}$ .

Thus, if A is an algebra of real-valued functions, then we may view  $\hat{A}$  as a subring of  $C(\mathscr{R}(\hat{A}))$ . By Theorem 5.6 of [7],  $\hat{A} = C(\mathscr{R}(\hat{A}))$  if and only if  $\hat{A}$  is closed under *inversion*: i.e., whenever  $a \in \hat{A}$  with  $a \notin \hat{M}$  for each  $\hat{M} \in \mathscr{R}(\hat{A})$ , we have  $1/a \in \hat{A}$ . Now,  $\hat{A}$  forms an order-convex subset of the set of all extended real-valued functions on  $\mathscr{M}(\hat{A})$  ([7], Lemma 3.7). Hence, in order to verify that  $\hat{A}$  is closed under inversion, it is enough to suppose  $a \ge 0$  and to show that from  $a \notin \hat{M}$  for each  $\hat{M} \in \mathscr{R}(A)$  it follows that there is  $b \in A$ with  $b \ge 1/a$ . In case A is closed under inversion, it suffices to exhibit  $b \in A$  with  $0 \le b \le a$  and  $b \notin M$  for each  $M \in \mathscr{R}(A)$ .

LEMMA 1.2. If A is closed under bounded inversion (i.e., if  $1/f \in A$  whenever  $1 \leq f \in A$ ), and if  $0 \leq a \in \hat{A}$  and  $M \in \mathscr{M}(A)$  are such that  $a \notin \hat{M}$  for each maximal  $\mathscr{L}$ -ideal  $\hat{M}$  of  $\hat{A}$  with  $\hat{M} \supseteq M$ , then there is  $b \in A$  with  $0 \leq b \leq a$  and  $b \notin M$ .

*Proof.* By the hypotheses on a and M, the  $\mathscr{L}$ -ideal of  $\hat{A}$  generated by a and M is all of  $\hat{A}$ . Hence, there are  $c \in \hat{A}$  and  $d \in M$  with  $1 \leq ac + d$ . Since A is dense in  $\hat{A}$ , we may choose  $c' \in A$  with  $c' \geq c$ , so  $1 - d \leq ac'$ . Since we may also choose  $c' \geq 1$ , we have

$$(1-d)rac{1}{c'}\leq a$$
 ,

since A is closed under bounded inversion.

Set  $b = ((1 - d)(1/c')) \lor 0$ . Then  $0 \le b \le a$ , and

$$M(b) = \left[ M(1-d) M \Big( rac{1}{c'} \Big) 
ight] ee M(0) = M \Big( rac{1}{c'} \Big) ee M(0)$$
 ,

since  $d \in M$ . Now M(1/c') > 0, so  $b \notin M$ .

THEOREM 1.3. Let A be a  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra of real-valued functions that is closed under inversion. Then  $\hat{A} \simeq C(\mathscr{R}(\hat{A}))$  if and only if A satisfies the following condition.

If S is a set of positive elements of A such that for each  $M \in \mathscr{R}(A)$  there is an  $s \in S$  with  $s \notin M$ , then there is  $0 \leq b \in A$  such that  $b \notin M$  for each  $M \in \mathscr{R}(A)$  and  $b \leq c$  whenever  $c \geq s$  for each  $s \in S$ .

*Proof.* By the remarks preceeding the lemma above,  $\hat{A} = C(\mathscr{R}(\hat{A}))$ if and only if whenever  $0 \leq a \in \hat{A}$  satisfies  $a \notin \hat{M}$  for each  $\hat{M} \in \mathscr{R}(\hat{A})$ there is  $b \in A$  with  $0 \leq b \leq a$  and  $b \notin M$  for each  $M \in \mathscr{R}(A)$ .

Suppose A satisfies the condition of the Theorem, and let  $0 \leq a \in \hat{A}$ satisfy  $a \notin \hat{M}$  for each  $\hat{M} \in \mathscr{R}(\hat{A})$ . Let  $S = \{s \in A : 0 \leq s \leq a\}$ . By 1.2, for each  $M \in \mathscr{R}(A)$  there is an  $s \in S$  with  $s \notin M$ . By hypothesis, there is  $0 \leq b \in A$  such that  $b \notin M$  for each  $M \in \mathscr{R}(A)$  and  $b \leq c$ whenever  $c \in A$  and  $c \geq s$  for each  $s \in S$ . Since  $a = \sup_{\hat{A}} S = \inf_{\hat{A}} \{c \in A : c \geq a\}$ , we have in particular that  $a \geq b$ . Thus,  $\hat{A} \cong C(\mathscr{R}(\hat{A}))$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\hat{A} \cong C(\mathscr{R}(\hat{A}))$ , and let S be a set of positive elements of A such that for each  $M \in \mathscr{R}(A)$  there is  $s \in S$  with  $s \notin M$ . We may suppose that S is bounded above and let  $a = \sup_{\hat{A}} S$ . Then  $0 \leq a \in \hat{A}$  and  $a \notin \hat{M}$  for each  $\hat{M} \in \mathscr{R}(\hat{A})$ , so there is  $b \in A$  with  $0 \leq b \leq a$  and  $b \notin M$  for each  $M \in \mathscr{R}(A)$ . Clearly, if  $c \in A$  and  $c \geq s$ for each  $s \in S$ , then  $c \geq a$ , whence  $c \geq b$ . Thus, the condition of the theorem is fulfilled.

2. The lattice of normal upper semicontinuous functions. Throughout this paper,  $\mathscr{X}$  will denote a completely regular (Hausdorff) space and  $C = C(\mathscr{X})$  the  $\Phi$ -algebra of continuous real-valued functions on  $\mathscr{X}$ . As usual,  $C^* = C^*(\mathscr{X})$  represents the set of bounded elements of C and  $\hat{C}$  denotes the Dedekind completion of C.

A real-valued function f on  $\mathscr{X}$  is *locally bounded* if it is bounded on a neighborhood of each point of  $\mathscr{X}$ . The upper and lower limit functions of f will be denoted by  $f^*$  and  $f_*$ , respectively: for each  $x \in X$ 

$$f^*(x) = \inf \{ \sup_{y \in \mathcal{U}} f(y) : \mathcal{U} \text{ is a neighborhood of } x \},$$

and

$$f_*(x) = \sup \{ \inf_{y \in \mathcal{U}} f(y) : \mathcal{U} \text{ is neighborhood of } x \}.$$

Then the extended real-valued functions  $f^*$  and  $f_*$  are, respectively, upper and lower semicontinuous; they are both real-valued if and only if f is locally bounded. Since  $\mathscr{X}$  is completely regular,  $f^*$  is a pointwise infimum of continuous functions if and only if f is bounded above by a continuous function. An analogous statement holds for  $f_*$ . A real-valued function f is normal upper semicontinuous if  $f_*$ is real-valued and  $f = (f_*)^*$ ; it is normal lower semicontinuous if  $f^*$ is real-valued and  $f = (f^*)_*$ . The properties of  $f^*$  and  $f_*$  given in [3, Section 3] hold for locally bounded functions as well. Also, the properties of the star elements listed in §9 of [10] are valid in the present context.

In [5], Gleason showed that in the category of compact spaces

and continuous maps the projectives are the (compact) extremally disconnected spaces and that for each compact space there is a unique minimal projective extension.

Here we consider the category of completely regular spaces and fitting maps (a map  $\tau$  from  $\mathscr{V}$  to  $\mathscr{X}$  is *fitting* if it is continuous, closed, and  $\tau^{-1}(x)$  is compact for each  $x \in \mathscr{X}$ ). In view of [6; 1.5], the program outlined in §4 of [5] carries through for the category of completely regular spaces and fitting maps. In particular, every completely regular space  $\mathscr{X}$  has a *minimal projective extension*; i.e., an extremally disconnected space  $\mathscr{V}$  and a tight fitting map  $\tau$  from  $\mathscr{V}$  onto  $\mathscr{X}$  (the mapping  $\tau$  is *tight* if it maps no proper closed subspace of  $\mathscr{V}$  onto  $\mathscr{X}$ ). Moreover,  $\mathscr{V}$  is essentially unique.

THEOREM 2.1. If  $\mathscr{X}$  is a completely regular space,  $\mathscr{Y}$  its minimal projective extension and  $\tau$  is the tight fitting map of  $\mathscr{Y}$  onto  $\mathscr{X}$ , then  $f \to (f \circ \tau)_*$  is an isomorphism of the lattice of normal upper semicontinuous functions on  $\mathscr{X}$  onto  $C(\mathscr{Y})$ .

*Proof.* First, we shall prove the following lemmas.

(I) If f and g are normal upper semicontinuous functions on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $(f \circ \tau)_* \leq (g \circ \tau)_*$ , then  $f \leq g$ .

(II) If  $F \in C(\mathscr{Y})$  and  $f(x) = \sup \{F(y): y \in \tau^{-1}(x)\}$ , then f is normal upper semicontinuous on  $\mathscr{X}$ .

*Proof of* (I). Initially, we show that  $(f \circ \tau)_* \leq (g \circ \tau)_*$  implies  $(f - f \wedge g)_* = 0$ . Suppose  $(f - f \wedge g)_* > 0$ . Since  $\mathscr{X}$  is completely regular, there exists  $h \in C$  such that  $0 < h \leq f - f \wedge g$ . Then  $h \circ \tau + [(f \wedge g) \circ \tau]_* \leq (f \circ \tau)_*$ . By [10, (9.6)], we have

$$[(f \circ \tau) \land (g \circ \tau)]_* = (f \circ \tau)_* \land (g \circ \tau)_* ,$$

whence  $h \circ \tau + (f \circ \tau)_* \wedge (g \circ \tau)_* \leq (f \circ \tau)_*$ . Since h > 0, this implies that  $(f \circ \tau)_* \leq (g \circ \tau)_*$ . Now let us prove (I). Using [10; (9.8)], we get  $f \wedge g = [f \wedge g]^* = [f - (f - (f \wedge g)]^* \geq f_* - (f - f \wedge g)_* = f_*$  when  $(f \circ \tau)_* \leq (g \circ \tau)_*$ ; hence  $f = (f_*)^* \leq f \wedge g \leq g$ .

Proof of (II). Since  $\tau^{-1}(x)$  is compact for each  $x \in \mathscr{X}$ , f is a real-valued function on  $\mathscr{X}$ . Let  $x_0 \in \mathscr{X}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and choose  $y_0 \in \tau^{-1}(x_0)$  so that  $f(x_0) = F(y_0)$ . To show that f is upper semicontinuous, let  $\mathscr{G} = \{y \in \mathscr{Y} : F(y) < F(y_0) + \varepsilon\}$ . Then  $\mathscr{G}$  is a neighborhood of  $\tau^{-1}(x_0)$ . Since  $\tau$  is a closed mapping, there is a neighborhood  $\mathscr{Y}$  of  $x_0$  such that  $\tau^{-1}(\mathscr{Y}) \subseteq \mathscr{G}$ . Then  $f(x) < F(y_0) + \varepsilon = f(x_0) + \varepsilon$ 

for each  $x \in \mathscr{V}$ . Thus, f is u.s.c. To prove that f is normal, let  $x_0, y_0$  and  $\varepsilon$  be given as above and let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an open neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Then  $\mathscr{G}_1 = \{y \in \tau^{-1}(\mathscr{U}) : F(y) > F(y_0) - \varepsilon\}$  is an open neighborhood of  $y_0$ . Since  $\tau$  is both closed and tight,  $\mathscr{V}_1 = \mathscr{U} \setminus \tau[\mathscr{U} \setminus \mathscr{G}_1]$  is a nonempty open subset of  $\mathscr{U}$ . Clearly,  $\mathscr{V}_1 \subset \mathscr{U}$  and  $f(x) > F(y_0) - \varepsilon = f(x_0) = \varepsilon$  on  $\mathscr{V}_1$ .

We now return to the proof of 2.1. For a normal upper semicontinuous function f on  $\mathscr{X}$ ,  $f \circ \tau$  is upper semicontinuous on  $\mathscr{Y}$ . It follows that  $(f \circ \tau)_*$  is normal lower semicontinuous on  $\mathscr{Y}$ . Since  $\mathscr{Y}$ is extremally disconnected,  $(f \circ \tau)_* \in C(\mathscr{Y})$  [3, p. 431]. Clearly, the mapping  $f \to (f \circ \tau)_*$  is order preserving. By (I), this mapping is one-to-one and its inverse is order preserving. It remains to show that every  $F \in C(\mathscr{Y})$  is the image of some normal upper semicontinuous function on  $\mathscr{X}$ . Let f be the function given by (II). Clearly,  $f \circ \tau \geq F$ . If  $(f \circ \tau)_* \neq F$ , then there exists a positive number r such that  $\mathscr{U} = \{y \in \mathscr{Y} : (f \circ \tau)_*(y) > F(y) + r\}$  is nonempty. Then  $\mathscr{Y} =$  $\mathscr{X} \setminus \tau[\mathscr{Y} \setminus \mathscr{U}]$  is a nonempty open subset of  $\mathscr{X}$ . Let  $h \in C(\mathscr{X})$  vanish on  $X \setminus \mathscr{Y}$ , while  $0 < h \leq r1$ . Then  $h \circ \tau + F \leq (f \circ \tau)_* \leq f \circ \tau$ ; hence for each  $x \in X$  and  $y \in \tau^{-1}(x)$  we have  $h(x) + F(y) \leq f(x)$ . This is impossible if h(x) > 0. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

We now consider the relation that the space  $\mathscr{V}$  in Theorem 2.1 bears to  $\mathscr{R}(\hat{C})$ . Observe that if  $\hat{M} \in \mathscr{M}(\hat{C})$ , then  $\hat{M} \cap C \in \mathscr{M}(C)$ . Conversely, every element of  $\mathscr{M}(C)$  is contained in a maximal  $\mathscr{L}$ ideal of  $\hat{C}$ . Since  $\mathscr{M}(C)$  and  $\mathscr{M}(\hat{C})$  both have the hull kernel topology, the mapping  $\hat{M} \to \hat{M} \cap C$  is continuous. Also, since C is dense in  $\hat{C}$ , this mapping is tight. It follows from [9, 3.2] that  $\mathscr{M}(\hat{C})$  is extremally disconnected. Hence  $\mathscr{M}(\hat{C})$  is the minimal projective extension of  $\mathscr{M}(C)$ .

For  $x \in X$ , let  $M_x = \{f \in C : f(x) = 0\}$ . Then the subspace  $\{M_x : x \in \mathscr{X}\}$  of  $\mathscr{M}(C)$  is homeomorphic with  $\mathscr{X}$ . Let  $\mathscr{Y}$  be the set of elements  $\hat{M}$  in  $\mathscr{M}(\hat{C})$  such that  $\hat{M} \supset M_x$  for some  $x \in X$ . Then  $\mathscr{Y} \subset \mathscr{R}(\hat{C})$ . As in 1.1, it follows that  $\cap \{M : M \in \mathscr{Y}\} = \{0\}$ ; hence  $\mathscr{Y}$  is dense in  $M(\hat{C})$ . Therefore,  $\mathscr{Y}$  is extremally disconnected and  $\beta \mathscr{Y} = \mathscr{M}(\hat{C})$  [4, 6M]. Since  $\mathscr{Y}$  is the preimage of  $\{M_x : x \in \mathscr{R}\}$ , it follows from [6, 1.5] that  $\mathscr{Y}$  is the minimal projective extension of  $\{M_x : x \in \mathscr{R}\}$  and hence, also, of the space  $\mathscr{K}$ . Similarly, it is seen that  $\mathscr{R}(\hat{C})$  is the minimal projective extension of  $\mathscr{R}(C) = \mathcal{V}\mathscr{K}$ . Thus we have proved the following:

THEOREM 2.2.  $C(\mathscr{R}(\hat{C}))$  is isomorphic with the lattice of normal upper semicontinuous functions on  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{R}$ .

The question as to whether  $C(\mathscr{V})$  and  $C(\mathscr{R}(\hat{C}))$  are isomorphic can be stated as follows: If  $\mathscr{V}$  is the minimal projective extension of  $\mathscr{X}$ , must  $\mathscr{V}$  be the minimal projective extension of  $\mathscr{V}\mathscr{R}$ ? An alternative form of this question is: Can every normal upper semicontinuous function on  $\mathscr{K}$  be extended to a normal upper semicontinuous function on  $\mathscr{V}\mathscr{R}$ ? An affirmative answer can be given when  $\mathscr{V}\mathscr{R}$  is locally compact or when  $\mathscr{K}$  is a weak *cb*-space (see 3.7 below). However, the example given at the end of the next section shows that the answer to this question is, in general, negative.

We conclude this section by commenting on a problem which is related to our main question. If  $\mathscr{X}$  is locally compact, then the minimal projective extension  $\mathscr{V}$  of  $\mathscr{K}$  is locally compact ([4, 10.16] or [5, 4.3]). Let  $C_{\kappa}(C_{\infty})$  denote the lattice-ordered ring of continuous functions which have compact support (which vanish at infinity, respectively). Then  $\hat{C}_{\kappa}$  and  $\hat{C}_{\infty}$  are isomorphic to subrings of  $\hat{C}^*$ . Since  $\hat{C}^* = C^*(\mathscr{V})$  [3] and since  $\tau$  and  $\tau^{-1}$  both preserve compactness (where  $\tau$  denotes the tight fitting map from  $\mathscr{V}$  onto  $\mathscr{K}$ ), it follows that  $\hat{C}_{\kappa} = C_{\kappa}(\mathscr{V})$  and  $\hat{C}_{\infty} = C_{\infty}(\mathscr{V})$ .

3. Weak *cb*-spaces. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a topological space. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a *cb*-space if each locally bounded function on  $\mathcal{T}$  is bounded above by a continuous function. See [11] for a study of *cb*-spaces. A space  $\mathcal{T}$  is *weak cb* if each locally bounded, lower semicontinuous function on  $\mathcal{T}$  is bounded above by a continuous function. It follows that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a *cb*-space if and only if  $\mathcal{T}$  is both countably paracompact and weak *cb* [11, Theorem 10].

A subset  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is regular-open if  $\mathcal{G} = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} \mathcal{G}$  and a set  $\mathcal{F}$ is regular-closed if  $\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} \mathcal{F}$ . Clearly, the interior of a closed set is regular-open and the closure of an open set is regular-closed. A zero-set is a set  $f^{-1}(0)$  for some  $f \in C(\mathcal{T})$ ; the complement of a zero-set is a cozero-set. A regular-open (cozero) cover is a cover consisting of regular-open (resp., cozero) sets. A countable cover will be termed increasing if it can be indexed so as to form an increasing sequence of sets. A family F of continuous functions is locally finite (subordinate to a cover U) if the collection of cozero-sets associated with F is locally finite (resp., is a refinement of U). A family F is a partition of unity if  $F \subset [C(\mathcal{F})]_+$  and  $\sum_{f \in F} f(x) = 1$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{T}$ .

THEOREM 3.1. The following statements are equivalent for any topological space  $\mathcal{T}$ .

(a)  $\mathscr{T}$  is weak cb.

(b) Given a normal upper semicontinuous function h on  $\mathcal{T}$ , there exists  $f \in C(\mathcal{T})$  such that  $f \geq h$ .

(c) Given a positive (nonvanishing) normal lower semicontinuous

function g on  $\mathcal{T}$ , there exists  $f \in C(\mathcal{T})$  such that  $0 < f(x) \leq g(x)$ for each  $x \in \mathcal{T}$ .

(d) For each countable increasing regular-open cover of  $\mathcal{T}$ , there exists a locally finite partition of unity subordinate to that cover.

(e) For each countable increasing regular-open cover of  $\mathcal{T}$ , there is a partition of unity subordinate to that cover.

(f) Each countable increasing regular-open cover of  $\mathscr{T}$  has a locally finite cozero refinement.

(g) Each countable increasing regular-open cover of  $\mathscr{T}$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cozero refinement.

(h) Each countable increasing regular-open cover of  $\mathscr{T}$  has a countable cozero refinement.

(i) Given a decreasing sequence  $\{\mathscr{F}_n\}$  of regular-closed sets with empty intersection, there exists a sequence  $\{\mathscr{Z}_n\}$  of zero-sets with empty intersection such that  $\mathscr{Z}_n \supset \mathscr{F}_n$  for each n.

Moreover, if  $\mathscr{T}$  is a normal space, then the word "cozero" may be deleted from (f) and "closed  $G_{\delta}$ -set" may be substituted for "zeroset" in (i).

Each normal and countably paracompact space is weak cb. Also, every extremally disconnected space is weak cb [11, Theorem 11]. It follows from (i) of 3.1 that each regular-closed subspace of a weak cb-space is weak cb.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Each cozero-subspace of a weak *cb*-space is weak *cb*.

PROPOSITION 3.3. The product of a weak cb-space and a locally compact paracompact space is weak cb.

The proofs of 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 are similar to the proofs given in [11] for the corresponding theorems for *cb*-spaces.

EXAMPLE. The local compactness requirement in 3.3 cannot be suppressed. To show this, we use Michael's example [12]. Let  $\mathscr{X}$ be the reals with the usual topology refined so as to make the irrationals discrete, and let  $\mathscr{V}$  be the space of irrationals. Then  $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{V}$ is not a weak *cb*-space, even though it is the product of a paracompact space and a metric space. To show that  $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{V}$  is not weak *cb*, let  $\{\mathscr{M}_n\}$  be a sequence of mutually disjoint, dense subsets of  $\mathscr{V}$ . For each *n*, define h(x, x) = n for  $x \in \mathscr{M}_n$  and  $h(x, y) = \min\{|x - y|^{-1}, n\}, x \neq y$ , on  $\mathscr{M}_n \times \mathscr{V}$ ; let *h* vanish elsewhere. Then *h* is a locally bounded, lower semicontinuous function which is not bounded above by any continuous function. This space can also be used to show that a closed subspace of a weak *cb*-space need not be weak *cb*. Let  $\Gamma_0$  be the upper half-plane (including the horizontal axis) with the usual topology refined by allowing, for irrational x, the set

$$\{(x, 0)\} \cup \{(u, v): (u - x)^2 + (v - r)^2 < r^2\}$$

to be a neighborhood of (x, 0) for each r > 0. Then  $\mathscr{L}' = \{(x, 0): x \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is homeomorphic with the space  $\mathscr{X}$  above. Now  $\Gamma_0 \times \mathscr{Y}$  (where  $\mathscr{Y}$  is, as above, the metric space of irrationals) is weak cb while  $\mathscr{X}' \times \mathscr{Y}$  is a closed subspace that is not weak cb.

PROPOSITION 3.4. If  $\mathscr{X}$  is a completely regular weak *cb*-space, then  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$  is weak *cb*.

*Proof.* Let h be a locally bounded, lower semicontinuous function on  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$ . Then  $h \mid \mathscr{X}$  is locally bounded and lower semicontinuous on  $\mathscr{X}$ . Thus, there is  $f \in C(\mathscr{X})$  such that  $f \geq h \mid \mathscr{X}$ . If  $f^{\nu}$  denotes the element of  $C(\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X})$  which extends f, then  $f^{\nu} - h$  is upper semicontinuous on  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$  and is nonnegative on the dense subspace  $\mathscr{X}$ . Hence,  $f^{\nu} \geq h$ .

PROPOSITION 3.5. The product of any collection of separable complete metric spaces is a weak *cb*-space.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathscr{P}$  be any such product and let  $\Sigma$  denote a  $\Sigma$ -product of the same spaces. In [2], it is proved that  $\Sigma$  is normal and countably paracompact and that  $\mathscr{P} = \nu \Sigma$ . Thus,  $\mathscr{P}$  is a weak *cb*-space.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let  $\mathscr{X}$  be a completely regular space such that  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$  is locally compact. Then  $\mathscr{X}$  is weak cb if and only if  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$  is weak cb.

This proposition is a direct consequence of the following:

LEMMA 3.7. If h is a positive, locally bounded function on X, then the (extended real-valued) function g on  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$  defined by

$$g = \text{ptwise sup} \{ f^{\nu} : f \in C(\mathscr{X}), f \leq h \}$$

is real-valued and bounded on each compact subset of  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{H}$ . Moreover, g is an extension of  $h_*$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that g is either infinite or unbounded on the compact set  $\mathscr{K}$ . For each positive integer n, set  $\mathscr{F}_n = \{p \in \mathcal{V} \mathscr{K}:$ 

 $g(p) \leq n$  and choose  $x_n \in \mathscr{K} \setminus \mathscr{F}_n$ . Since g is lower semicontinuous,  $\mathscr{F}_n$  is a closed set. Thus there exists  $f_n \in C(\mathscr{K})$  such that  $f_n^{\nu}(x_n) = n$ while  $f_n^{\nu}$  vanishes on  $\mathscr{F}_n$ . The local boundedness of h implies that  $\{\mathscr{K} \setminus \mathscr{F}_n : n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$  is locally finite on  $\mathscr{K}$ . Hence  $f = \bigvee_n f_n$  exists in  $C(\mathscr{K})$ . Now  $f \geq f_n$  implies that  $f^{\nu} \geq f_n^{\nu}$ . In particular  $f^{\nu}(x_n) \geq$  $f_n^{\nu}(x_n) = n$  for each positive integer n. This is impossible, since  $f^{\nu}$  is finite and bounded on the compact set  $\mathscr{K}$ .

The fact that  $h_*$  is a pointwise supremum of continuous functions implies that g is an extension of  $h_*$ .

COROLLARY 3.8. Each (completely regular) pseudocompact space is weak cb.

*Proof.* If  $\mathscr{X}$  is pseudocompact, then  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$  is compact [4, 8A.4]; hence  $\mathscr{X}$  is weak *cb*, according to 3.6.

If  $\mathscr{P}$  is an uncountable product of real lines, then  $\mathscr{P}$  is a weak *cb*-space that is not normal. However, there is a normal and countably paracompact space  $\Sigma$  such that  $C(\Sigma)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\mathscr{P})$ . On the other hand, the spaces  $\Gamma$  in [4, 3k], E in [1, p. 116, Ex. 4], and  $S \times S$ , where S is Sorgenfrey's example [15], are weak *cb*-spaces for which C is not isomorphic to the ring of continuous functions on any normal and countably paracompact space [4, 8.18 and 8A]. Proofs that these spaces are weak *cb* can be based on Theorem 3.1.

A weak cb-space may fail to be countably paracompact (e.g., the Tychonoff plank); the example below shows that a countably paracompact space need not be weak cb, even if it is locally compact. It is not known whether every normal space must be weak cb.

EXAMPLE. Let  $\mathscr{T}$  be a completely regular space and let  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$  be closed subsets of  $\mathscr{T}$  such that  $\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B}$  is compact. In  $\mathscr{T} \times N$ , identify  $\mathscr{A} \times \{2n-1\}$  with  $\mathscr{A} \times \{2n\}$  and  $\mathscr{B} \times \{2n\}$  with  $\mathscr{B} \times \{2n+1\}$ . (The construction used here was suggested by the referee of [11].) Clearly, the resulting topological space  $\mathscr{X}$  inherits any of the following properties that  $\mathscr{T}$  may possess: normality,  $\sigma$ -compactness, realcompactness, paracompactness, and countable paracompactness of  $\mathscr{T}$  will imply local compactness of  $\mathscr{X}$ . If  $\mathscr{T}$  is countably paracompact but nonnormal and if  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$  are disjoint closed sets that are not contained in disjoint open sets, then  $\mathscr{X}$  is not a weak *cb*-space.

In particular, let  $\mathscr{W}$  and  $\mathscr{W}^*$  be the spaces of ordinals less then and less than or equal to, respectively, the first uncountable ordinal  $\omega_1$ , and set  $\mathscr{T} = \{(\sigma, \tau) \in \mathscr{W} \times \mathscr{W}^* : \sigma \leq \tau\}$ . This space is locally compact and countably compact, but not normal: the diagonal  $\mathscr{A}$  and the upper edge  $\mathscr{B}$  are disjoint closed sets which cannot be separated by open sets. If we set  $w = (\omega_1, \omega_1)$ , then  $\beta \mathscr{T} = \mathscr{T}^* = \mathscr{T} \cup \{w\} \ (\subseteq \mathscr{W}^* \times \mathscr{W}^*)$ . Next, let  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $\mathscr{X}^*$  be the spaces obtained from  $\mathscr{T}$  and  $\mathscr{T}^*$  by identifying images of  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$ , and those of  $\mathscr{A} \cup \{w\}$  and  $\mathscr{B} \cup \{w\}$ , respectively, as in the above construction. Then  $\mathscr{X}$  is locally compact and countably paracompact but not weak cb, while  $\mathscr{X}^*$  is  $\sigma$ -compact (hence realcompact and weak cb). Note that  $\mathscr{X}^*$  is not locally compact. Since each continuous function on  $\mathscr{T}$  is constant on a deleted neighborhood of w, it follows that  $\mathscr{X}$  is C-embedded in  $\mathscr{X}^*$ , whence  $\mathscr{X}^* = \mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$ . Thus,  $\mathscr{X}$  is a locally compact nonweak cb-space such that  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$  is weak cb.

# 4. The completion of $C(\mathcal{X})$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. If  $\mathscr{X}$  is a completely regular, weak *cb*-space and  $\mathscr{Y}$  is its minimal projective extension, then the Dedekind completion of  $C(\mathscr{X})$  is isomorphic to  $C(\mathscr{Y})$ .

*Proof.* On a weak *cb*-space, each normal upper semicontinuous function can be identified with an element of  $\hat{C}$  (Theorem 3.1), and conversely (cf. [3, p. 432]). Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, there exists a lattice isomorphism from  $\hat{C}$  onto a  $C(\mathscr{V})$ . Moreover, the restriction of this mapping to C preserves the ring operations. Since C is dense in  $\hat{C}$ , it follows that  $\hat{C}$  and  $C(\mathscr{V})$  are isomorphic as  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras.

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $\mathscr{X}$  be a realcompact (completely regular) space. The Dedekind completion of  $C(\mathscr{X})$  is isomorphic to an algebra  $C(\mathscr{V})$  for some space  $\mathscr{V}$  if and only if  $\mathscr{X}$  is a weak cb-space.

*Proof.* The Dedekind completion  $\hat{C}$  of  $C(\mathscr{X})$  is isomorphic to some  $C(\mathscr{Y})$  if and only if  $\hat{C} \cong C(\mathscr{R}(\hat{C}))$ . In view of 4.1, it suffices to show that  $\hat{C} \cong C(\mathscr{R}(\hat{C}))$  implies that  $\mathscr{X}$  is weak *cb*. Since  $\mathscr{X}$  is real-compact, we may identify  $\mathscr{X}$  with  $\mathscr{R}(C)$  and apply Theorem 1.3.

Suppose that  $\widehat{C} \cong C(\mathscr{R}(\widehat{C}))$  and that g is a normal lower semi-continuous function with g(x) > 0 for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $S = \{s \in C : 0 \leq s \leq g\}$ . Then g = ptwise sup S. Hence, for each  $x \in X$ , there is an  $s_x \in S$ with  $s_x(x) > 0$ . By 1.3, there is an  $f \in C$  with 0 < f(x) for each  $x \in X$  and  $f \leq h$  for each  $h \in C$  with  $h \geq s$  for each  $s \in S$ . But  $g^* =$ ptwise inf  $\{h \in C : h \geq s \text{ for each } s \in S\}$ ; so  $f \leq g^*$ , whence  $f \leq g$ . By 3.1,  $\mathscr{X}$  is a weak *cb*-space.

Thus,  $\hat{C} \cong C(\mathscr{Y})$  for some  $\mathscr{Y}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$  is weak cb. Moreover,  $\mathcal{V}\mathscr{X}$  is weak cb if  $\mathscr{X}$  is, but not conversely.

EXAMPLE. The space  $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Y}$ , considered in the example follow-

ing 3.3, is a realcompact space which is not weak cb.

Finally, we consider the relation that this paper bears to [3], [14] and [16]. Theorem 2.1 represents a generalization of Dilworth's characterization of the lattice of normal upper semicontinuous functions on a compact space ([3]). In [16], Weinberg proves that if  $\mathscr{X}$  is normal and countably paracompact, then  $\hat{C}$  is isomorphic with  $C(\mathscr{Y})$  for some space  $\mathscr{Y}$ . Since a normal and countably paracompact space is weak cb, the examples given following 3.8 show that 4.1 generalizes Weinberg's result.

The characterization of the lattice of normal upper semicontinuous functions could have been developed along the lines of [14]. To see this, let  $C_q(\mathscr{H})$  be the set of locally bounded functions f on  $\mathscr{H}$  for which  $\{x \in \mathscr{H}: (f^* - f_*)(x) > r\}$  is nowhere dense for every r > 0, and let N be the subset of  $C_q(\mathscr{H})$  consisting of those f for which  $(|f|^*)_* = 0$ . Then  $C_q(\mathscr{H})/N$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra which is isomorphic with the lattice of normal upper semicontinuous functions on  $\mathscr{H}$ .

### References

1. N. Bourbaki, Integration, Chap. I-IV, #1175, 1952.

- 2. H. H. Corson, Normality in subsets of product spaces, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959), 785-796.
- 3. R. P. Dilworth, The normal completion of the lattice of continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 427-438.

4. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of continuous functions, Princeton, 1960.

5. Andrew M. Gleason, Projective topological spaces, Ill. J. Math. 2 (1958), 482-489.

6. M. Henriksen and J. R. Isbell, Some properties of compactifications, Duke Math. J. 25 (1958), 83-105.

7. M. Henriksen and D. G. Johnson, On the structure of a class of Archimedean lattice-ordered algebras, Fund. Math. 50 (1961), 73-94.

8. D. G. Johnson, The completion of an Archimedean f-ring, J. London Math. Soc. 40 (1965), 493-496.

9. D. G. Johnson and J. E. Kist, Complemented ideals and extremally disconnected spaces, Archiv. Math. 12 (1961), 349-354.

10. Samuel Kaplan, The second dual of the space of continuous functions IV, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1964), 512-546.

11. J. E. Mack, On a class of countably paracompact spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 467-472.

12. E. Michael, The product of a normal space and a metric space need not be normal, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 375-376.

H. Nakano, Modern spectral theory, Tokyo Math. Book, Series No. 2, Tokyo, 1950.
 K. Nakano and T. Shimogaki, A note on the cut extension of C-spaces, Proc. Japan Acad. 38 (1962), 473-477.

15. R. H. Sorgenfrey, On the topological product of paracompact spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947), 631-632.

16. E. C. Weinberg, The alpha-completion of a ring of continuous real-valued functions, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1960), 533-534, Abstract # 571-136.

Received October 23, 1964, and in revised form May 5, 1965.

Ohio University The Pennsylvania State University

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California

J. P. JANS University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF	K
--	---

K. Yosida

# SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 20, No. 2 October, 1967

Richard Mansfield Dudley, Sub-stationary processes       207         Newton Seymour Hawley and M. Schiffer, Riemann surfaces which are doubles of plane domains       217         Barry E. Johnson, Continuity of transformations which leave invariant certain translation invariant subspaces       223         John Eldon Mack and Donald Glen Johnson, The Dedekind completion of $C(\mathcal{X})$ 231         K. K. Mathur and R. B. Saxena, On the convergence of quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation       245         H. D. Miller, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz       261         Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums       275         Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay       281         Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent canonical mappings       282         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       327	Edward Dewey Davis, <i>Ideals of the principal class, R-sequences and a certain monoidal transformation</i>	197
Newton Seymour Hawley and M. Schiffer, Riemann surfaces which are doubles of plane domains       217         Barry E. Johnson, Continuity of transformations which leave invariant certain translation invariant subspaces       223         John Eldon Mack and Donald Glen Johnson, The Dedekind completion of C(X)       231         K. K. Mathur and R. B. Saxena, On the convergence of quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation       245         H. D. Miller, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz       261         Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums       275         Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay       281         Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent canonical mappings       282         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       327	-	207
certain translation invariant subspaces223John Eldon Mack and Donald Glen Johnson, The Dedekind completion of C(X)	Newton Seymour Hawley and M. Schiffer, Riemann surfaces which are	217
C(X)       231         K. K. Mathur and R. B. Saxena, On the convergence of quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation       245         H. D. Miller, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz       261         Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums       275         Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay       281         Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent canonical mappings       283         Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       329	• • • • •	223
interpolation245H. D. Miller, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz261Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums275Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay281Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent canonical mappings283Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations289David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices317James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals327Joel John Westman, Locally trivial Cr groupoids and their representations339		231
Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums       275         Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay       281         Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent       283         Canonical mappings       283         Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their       339		245
Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay       281         Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent       283         canonical mappings       283         Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their       339	H. D. Miller, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz	261
Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent       283         canonical mappings       283         Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection       217         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their       339	Joseph Baruch Muskat, Reciprocity and Jacobi sums	275
canonical mappings       283         Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection       289         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded       317         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their       329	Stelios A. Negrepontis, On a theorem by Hoffman and Ramsay	281
Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations       289         David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       339	Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on principal functions and multiply-valent	
David Morris Topping, Asymptoticity and semimodularity in projection lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       339	canonical mappings	283
lattices       317         James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       339	Robert Charles Thompson, On a class of matrix equations	289
linear functionals       327         Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations       339		317
Joel John Westman, Locally trivial C <sup>r</sup> groupoids and their representations	James Ramsey Webb, A Hellinger integral representation for bounded	
representations	linear functionals	327
		339
		351