Pacific Journal of Mathematics

POWER-SERIES AND HAUSDORFF MATRICES

PHILIP C. TONNE

Vol. 21, No. 1 November 1967

POWER-SERIES AND HAUSDORFF MATRICES

PHILIP C. TONNE

The purpose of this paper is to pair classes of continuous functions from [0,1] to the complex numbers with classes of complex sequences. If f is a function from [0,1] to the complex numbers and c is a complex sequence, a sequence L(f,c) is defined:

$$L(f,c)_n = \sum_{p=0}^n f(p/n) \binom{n}{p} \sum_{q=0}^{n-p} (-1)^q \binom{n-p}{q} c_{p+q}$$
 .

A class A of continuous functions is paired with a class B of sequences provided that

- (1) if f is in A and c is in B then L(f, c) converges,
- (2) if f is a continuous function and L(f,c) converges for each c in B then f is in A, and
- (3) if c is a sequence and L(f,c) converges for each f in A then c is in B.

We establish the following pairings:

CONTINUOUS	SEQUENCES
all continuous functions	Hausdorff moment sequences
power-series absolutely convergent at 1	bounded sequences
power-series absolutely convergent at r $(r < 1)$	sequences dominated by geometric sequences having ratio r
entire functions	all sequences dominated by geometric sequences
polynomials	all sequences

Felix Hausdorff's work [2] (see also T. H. Hildebrandt [3]) on the moment problem for [0, 1] has been continued by J. S. Mac Nerney [5, p. 368] to provide the first pairing on the table (see Theorem B). Theorem A, also due to Mac Nerney [6, p. 56], helps establish the last pairing.

THEOREM A. If f is a polynomial and is c is a complex sequence, then the sequence L(f,c) converges. Furthermore, if $f = \sum_{p=0}^{n} A_p I^p$, where I is the identity function on the complex plane, then L(f,c) has limit $\sum_{p=0}^{n} A_p c_p$.

THEOREM B. Suppose that c is a complex sequence. Then these are equivalent:

(1) There is a function g of bounded variation from [0, 1] to the complex numbers such that, for each non-negative integer n, $c_n = \int_0^1 I^n dg$.

(2) For each f in C[0, 1], the class of continuous functions from [0, 1] to the complex numbers, L(f, c) converges.

Furthermore, if (1) holds and f is in C[0,1], then L(f,c) has $limit \int_0^1 f dg$.

DEFINITION. If each of p and n is a nonnegative integer and c is a complex sequence, then $\Delta^0 c_p = c_p$ and $\Delta^{n+1} c_p = \Delta^n c_p - \Delta^n c_{p+1}$. The following notes are helpful.

Note 1. If each of m and p is a nonnegative integer and c is a complex sequence,

$$arDelta^m c_p = \sum\limits_{q=0}^m {(- 1)^q {m \choose q} c_{p+q}}$$
 ,

so that if f is a function from [0, 1] to the complex numbers then

$$L(f, c)_n = \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} \Delta^{n-p} c_p f(p/n)$$
.

DEFINITION. If each of p and k is a nonnegative integer, $Y_{pk}=\sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^{p+q} \binom{p}{q} q^k$, where we interpret 0° as 1.

Note 2.

$$Y_{p+1,k+1}=(p+1)(Y_{pk}+Y_{p+1,k});\;\;Y_{pp}=p\;!;\;\;Y_{pk}\geqq 0;\;\;Y_{pk}=0$$
 for $p>k$.

Note 3. If f is a function from [0, 1] to the complex numbers and c is a complex sequence and n is a positive integer, then

$$egin{aligned} L(f,\,c)_n &= \sum\limits_{p=0}^n inom{n}{p} \sum\limits_{q=0}^{n-p} (-1)^q inom{n-p}{q} c_{p+q} f(p/n) \ &= \sum\limits_{p=0}^n c_p inom{n}{p} \sum\limits_{q=0}^p (-1)^{p+q} inom{p}{q} f(q/n) \; , \end{aligned}$$

and, in case there is a complex sequence A such that, for each number x in [0, 1], $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k x^k$, then

$$egin{align} L(f,\,c)_n &= \sum\limits_{p=0}^n c_pinom{n}{p}\sum\limits_{k=0}^\infty A_k n^{-k}\sum\limits_{q=0}^p (-1)^{p+q}inom{p}{q}q^k \ &= \sum\limits_{p=0}^n c_pinom{n}{p}\sum\limits_{k=p}^\infty A_k n^{-k}Y_{pk} \;. \end{split}$$

The following theorem is useful in a later argument and is stated here for purposes of introduction. THEOREM 0. Let p be a nonnegative integer and let z be the sequence whose value at p is 1 and whose value elsewhere is 0. Let k be a function from [0,1] to the complex numbers which is continuous at 0. Then $L(k \cdot I^p, z)$ has limit k(0).

Indication of proof. If n is an integer greater than p, then

$$egin{aligned} L(k \cdot I^p, z)_n &= \left(egin{aligned} n \ p \end{aligned}
ight) \sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^{p+q} igg(egin{aligned} p \ q \end{aligned} igg) k(q/n) q^p n^{-p} \ &= igg(n \ p \end{aligned} igg) n^{-p} \sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^{p+q} igg(p \ q \end{aligned} igg) q^p k(q/n); \ &\lim_{n o \infty} igg(n \ p \end{aligned} igg) n^{-p} &= 1/p!; \ p! = Y_{pp} = \sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^{p+q} igg(p \ q \end{aligned} igg) q^p; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$igg|\sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^{p+q} {p \choose q} q^p k(q/n) - p! k(0)igg|$$

$$\leq \sum_{q=0}^p {p \choose q} q^p |k(q/n) - k(0)|.$$

1. Radius of Convergence ≥ 1 . In this chapter, for each r not less than 1, we pair functions having power-series expansions about 0 which are absolutely convergent at r with sequences which are dominated by geometric sequences with ratio r. In particular we match functions with power-series expansions about 0 which are absolutely convergent at 1 with the class of bounded sequences.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that $r \ge 1$, each of A and c is a complex sequence, $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |A_p| r^p$ converges, there is a number t such that if p is a nonnegative integer then $|c_p| \le t \cdot r^p$, and $f = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p I^p$. Then L(f, c) converges to $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p c_p$.

LEMMA 1. If g is a function from [0, 1] to the complex numbers and u is a constant sequence, then, for each positive integer n, $L(g, u)_n = u_0 \cdot g(1)$.

Proof.

$$L(g,u)_n=\sum\limits_{p=0}^ng(p/n)inom{n}{p} arDelta^{n-p}u_p=g(n/n)inom{n}{n}u_n$$
 .

LEMMA 2. Suppose that d>0 and b is a complex sequence such that $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |b_p|$ converges. Then there is a positive integer N such that if n is an integer greater than N then

$$\left|\sum_{p=0}^n b_p \left[1-\left(rac{n}{p}
ight) n^{-p}p!
ight]
ight| < d$$
 .

Proof. We note that if p is a nonnegative integer and s is a sequence such that, for each positive integer n, $s_n = \binom{n}{p} n^{-p} p!$, then s is nondecreasing with limit 1.

Let m be a positive integer such that $\sum_{p=m}^{\infty} |b_p| < d/2$. There is an integer N greater than m such that if k is an integer in [0, m] then, for each integer n greater than N,

$$1 - inom{n}{k} n^{-k} k! < d/[2(m+1)(|b_k|+1)]$$
 .

If n is an integer greater than N,

$$egin{aligned} \left|\sum_{p=0}^n b_p \left[1-{n\choose p}n^{-p}p!
ight]
ight| \ &\leq \sum\limits_{p=0}^m |b_p| \left[1-{n\choose p}n^{-p}p!
ight] + \sum\limits_{p=m}^n |b_p| < d \;. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. Let b be a positive number. Then there is a positive integer N such that if n is an integer greater than N then

$$\sum\limits_{p=0}^{n} {n \choose p} \sum\limits_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |\, A_k \,|\, r^k n^{-k} Y_{pk} < b$$
 .

Proof. There is a positive integer m such that $\sum_{p=m}^{\infty} |A_p| r^p < b/2$. Let g be $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |A_p| r^p I^p$. Let N be an integer greater than m such that if n is an integer greater than N then

$$\sum\limits_{p=0}^{n} |\, A_{p} \,|\, r^{p} \!\! \left[1 - \left(rac{n}{p}
ight) \! n^{-p} p!
ight] < b/2$$
 .

Then, if n is an integer greater than N,

$$egin{align} b/2 > g(1) - \sum\limits_{p=0}^{n} \mid A_p \mid r^p \ &= L(g,\, 1)_n - \sum\limits_{p=0}^{n} \mid A_p \mid r^p \ &= \sum\limits_{p=0}^{n} \left[\left(egin{align} n
ight) \sum\limits_{k=p}^{\infty} \mid A_k \mid r^k n^{-k} Y_{pk} - \mid A_p \mid r^p
ight] \ &= \sum\limits_{p=0}^{n} \left[\left(egin{align} n
ight) n^{-p} p! - 1
ight] \mid A_p \mid r^p \ &+ \sum\limits_{p=0}^{n} \left(egin{align} n
ight) \sum\limits_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \mid A_k \mid r^k n^{-k} Y_{pk}. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

so

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{p=0}^{n} \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ p \end{array} \right) \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \mid A_k \mid r^k n^{-k} \boldsymbol{Y}_{pk} \\ & \leq b/2 + \sum_{p=0}^{n} \mid A_p \mid r^p \bigg\lceil 1 - \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ p \end{array} \right) n^{-p} p! \bigg\rceil < b \text{ .} \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let ε be a positive number. There is a positive integer N such that if n is an integer greater than N then

$$ig|\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}A_{p}c_{p}-\sum_{p=0}^{n}A_{p}c_{p}ig|$$

and

$$\left|\sum_{p=0}^n A_p c_p \left[1-\left(rac{n}{p}
ight) n^{-p} p!
ight]
ight| .$$

Hence, if n is an integer greater than N,

$$egin{aligned} \left| L(f,c)_n - \sum_{p=0}^\infty A_p c_p
ight| \ &< arepsilon/2 + \left| \sum_{p=0}^n \left[c_p inom{n}{p} \sum_{k=p}^\infty A_k n^{-k} Y_{pk} - A_p c_p
ight]
ight| \ &\leq arepsilon/2 + \left| \sum_{p=0}^n c_p A_p igg[1 - inom{n}{p} n^{-p} p!
ight]
ight| \ &+ t \cdot \sum_{p=0}^n inom{n}{p} \sum_{k=p+1}^\infty \left| A_k \left| r^k n^{-k} Y_{pk}
ight| \ &< arepsilon \ . \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2. Suppose $r \ge 1$ and S is the set to which f belongs only if there is a complex sequence A such that $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |A_p| r^p$ converges and $f = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p I^p$. Suppose that c is an infinite complex sequence and, for each f is S, L(f,c) converges. Then c is bounded by a geometric sequence with ratio r.

Proof. For each nonnegative integer p let g_p be r^{-p} , and suppose that the sequence $c \cdot g$ is not bounded; that is, suppose that c is not bounded by a geometric sequence with ratio r.

For each f in S, let N(f) be $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} r^p |f^{(p)}(0)|/p!$. Then (S, N) is a complete, normed, linear space.

For each positive integer n, let T_n be a function from S to the complex numbers such that if f is in S then $T_n(f) = L(f, c)_n$. If f is in S and n is a positive integer and $|f|_{[0,1]}$ denotes the maximum modulus of f on [0, 1], then

$$egin{aligned} \mid T_{\scriptscriptstyle n}(f) \mid &= \left| \sum\limits_{p=0}^{n} c_{\scriptscriptstyle p} igg(rac{n}{p} igg) \sum\limits_{q=0}^{p} (-1)^{p+q} igg(rac{p}{q} igg) f(q/n)
ight| \ &\leq \mid f \mid_{[0,1]} \sum\limits_{p=0}^{n} \mid c_{\scriptscriptstyle p} \mid igg(rac{n}{p} igg) \sum\limits_{q=0}^{p} igg(rac{p}{q} igg) \ &\leq N(f) \sum\limits_{n=0}^{n} \mid c_{\scriptscriptstyle p} \mid igg(rac{n}{p} igg) 2^{p} \;, \end{aligned}$$

so that T_n is a continuous linear transformation from (S, N) to the complex numbers.

Let m be a positive integer. $N(r^{-m}I^m)=1$. By Theorem A, $L(r^{-m}I^m,c)$ has limit g_mc_m . Hence, there is a positive integer n such that $|T_n(r^{-m}I^m)|>|g_mc_m|-1$, so that the sequence N'[T]—where, for each positive integer n, $N'(T_n)$ is the least number b such that if F is in S and $N(F) \leq 1$ then $|T_n(F)| \leq b$ —is not bounded. So, by the "principle of uniform boundedness," there is a member f of S such that the sequence T(f) is not bounded, but L(f,c) converges and T(f)=L(f,c), so the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that r > 0, f is in C[0, 1], and, for each complex sequence c which is dominated by a geometric sequence with ratio r, L(f, c) converges. Then there is a complex sequence A such that $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |A_p| r^p$ converges and, if x is in [0, 1] and $x \le r$, then $f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p x^p$.

Proof. For each nonnegative-integer pair (n, p), let g_n be r^n and let M_{np} be $\binom{n}{p}\sum_{q=0}^p (-1)^{p+q}\binom{p}{q}f(q/n)$. Then, for each bounded complex sequence c and each positive integer n, $L(f, c \cdot g)_n = \sum_{p=0}^n c_n M_{np} r^p$, so that, by the "principle of uniform boundedness", there is a number D such that, for each positive integer n, $\sum_{p=0}^n |M_{np}| r^p < D$.

For each nonnegative integer p, let z(p) be the sequence whose value at p is 1 and whose value elsewhere is 0. Then the sequence $M[\ ,p]=L(f,z(p))$, which, by hypothesis, has limit, say A_p , and from the preceding paragraph we see that $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}|A_p|\,r^p$ converges.

For each positive integer n let $B_n(f)$ be the Bernstein polynomial for f of order n; i.e., let $B_n(f)$ be $\sum_{p=0}^n M_{np}I^p$. B(f) converges to f on [0,1] [see 1, pp. 1-2; also 4, pp. 5-7]. Now, if x is a complex number and $|x| \leq r$, then

$$|B_n(f)(x)| \leq \sum_{p=0}^{n} |x|^p |M_{np}| < D$$
.

By the convergence of the Bernstein polynomials on [0, 1] and the convergence continuation theorem, a subsequence of B(f) has limit, say h, on [0, 1] and the disc with center 0 and radius r. h is analytic at 0. By Theorems 0 and A we see that if p is a non-negative integer then

$$h^{(p)}(0)/p! = \lim L(h, z(p))$$

= $\lim L(f, z(p)) = A_p$,

and, if x is in [0, 1] and $x \le r$, then $f(x) = h(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p x^p$.

The following theorem parts somewhat from the main stream of our study but sheds additional light on the problem at hand.

THEOREM 4. Suppose that A is a complex sequence, $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |A_p|$ converges, and $f = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p I^p$. Then there is an unbounded number-sequence c such that L(f, c) converges.

Proof. For each positive integer n, let s_n be

$$\sum\limits_{p=0}^{n}\left(\begin{array}{c}n\\p\end{array}\right)\sum\limits_{k=p+1}^{\infty}\mid A_{k}\mid n^{-k}Y_{pk}$$
 .

By Lemma 3, s has limit 0. A has limit 0. So there is an increasing, nonnegative-integer sequence u such that, for each nonnegative-integer pair (p, q), $s(u_p + q) < 4^{-p}$ and $|A(u_p + q)| < 4^{-p}$.

For each nonnegative integer p, let c_p be 2^m if m is a nonnegative integer such that $p = u_m$, otherwise let c_p be 0.

If k is a nonnegative integer and $n=u_k, |c_nA_n|<2^{-k}$, so that $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}|A_pc_p|$ converges.

Let b be a positive number. By Lemma 2, there is a positive integer N such that $2^{-N} < b/2$ and if n is an integer greater than N then

$$\sum\limits_{p=0}^{n} \mid A_p c_p \mid \left[1-inom{n}{p}n^{-p}p!
ight] < b/4$$

and

$$\left|\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty}A_{p}c_{p}
ight|< b/4$$
 .

Let n be an integer not less than u_N and let m be the greatest integer k such that $u_k \leq n$. Then

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p c_p - L(f,c)_n \right| \\ &< b/4 + \left| \sum_{p=0}^n A_p c_p - \sum_{p=0}^n c_p \binom{n}{p} \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} A_k n^{-k} Y_{pk} \right| \\ & \leq b/4 + \sum_{p=0}^n |A_p c_p| \left[1 - \binom{n}{p} n^{-p} p! \right] \\ & + \sum_{p=0}^n c_p \binom{n}{p} \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |A_k| n^{-k} Y_{pk} \\ &< b/2 + \sum_{p=0}^n 2^m \binom{n}{p} \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |A_k| n^{-k} Y_{pk} \\ &< b/2 + 2^m s_n < b/2 + 2^m \cdot 4^{-m} < b \end{split},$$

so L(f,c) converges to $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p c_p$.

2. Entire functions. Following from Theorems 1 and 3 we have:

Theorem 5. Suppose that f is in C[0, 1]. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is a subset of an entire function.
- (2) If c is a complex sequence which is dominated by a geometric sequence, then L(f, c) converges.

Furthermore, if (2) holds, L(f, c) converges to $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (f^{(p)}(0)/p!)c_p$.

Theorem 6. Suppose that c is a complex sequence such that L(f, c) converges for each entire function f. Then c is dominated by a geometric sequence.

Proof. Suppose that c is not dominated by a geometric sequence.

LEMMA. If each of m and r is a nonnegative integer, then there is a positive integer q such that $|c_{m+q}| > r^{m+q+1}$ and $|c_{m+q}| > 2^m |c_p|$ for each nonnegative integer p less than m+q.

Proof of lemma. Let R be $r+2^m+\sum_{p=0}^m |c_p|$. Since no geometric sequence dominates c, there is a positive integer k such that $|c_{m+k}|>R^{m+k+1}$. Let q be the least positive integer n such that $|c_{m+n}|>R^{m+n+1}$.

Suppose that p is a nonnegative integer.

If $p \leq m$, then $|c_{m+q}| > R^{m+q+1} \geq R^2 > 2^m |c_p|$.

If m , then

$$||c_{\scriptscriptstyle{m+q}}||>R^{\scriptscriptstyle{m+q+1}}\geqq R\!\cdot\! R^{\scriptscriptstyle{p+1}}\geqq R\!\cdot\! ||c_{\scriptscriptstyle{p}}||>2^{\scriptscriptstyle{m}}||c_{\scriptscriptstyle{p}}||$$
 .

Continuation of proof of Theorem 6. By the lemma, there is an increasing interger-valued sequence u such that $u_0 = 0$ and, if p is a positive integer, then $|c(u_p)| > p^{u(p)+1}$ and $|c(u_p)| \ge 2^{u(p-1)} |c_n|$ for each nonnegative integer n less than u_p .

Let f be $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (1/c(u_p))I^{u(p)}$.

If N is a positive integer then, for each integer p greater than N,

$$\left|rac{1}{c(u_n)}
ight|^{^{1/u_p}} < p^{^{-(u(p)+1)/(u(p))}} < p^{^{-1}} < 1/N$$
 ,

so that f is an entire function.

For each nonnegative integer k let A_k be $f^{(k)}(0)/k!$. Now, if p and k are integers and $0 \le p < k$, then $|c_p A_k| < 2^{-k}$.

Suppose that B > 0. By Lemma 3 and the note at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 2, there is a positive-integer pair (n, m) such that

$$\sum_{p=0}^n \left(egin{array}{c} n \ p \end{array}
ight) \sum_{k=p+1}^\infty 2^{-k} n^{-k} Y_{pk} < 1 \; , \ \sum_{p=0}^m \left(egin{array}{c} n \ u_p \end{array}
ight) n^{-u(p)} (u_p)! > B \; , \end{array}$$

and $n \ge u_m$. Then $|L(f, c)_n|$

$$\begin{split} &-\left|\sum_{p=0}^{n}c_{p}\binom{n}{p}\sum_{k=p}^{\infty}A_{k}n^{-k}Y_{pk}\right| \\ &\geq \sum_{p=0}^{n}c_{p}\binom{n}{p}A_{p}n^{-p}p! - \sum_{p=0}^{n}\binom{n}{p}\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{p}A_{k}\right|n^{-k}Y_{pk} \\ &\geq \sum_{p=0}^{m}c(u_{p})\binom{n}{u_{p}}A(u_{p})n^{-u(p)}(u_{p})! \\ &-\sum_{p=0}^{n}\binom{n}{p}\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}2^{-k}n^{-k}Y_{pk} \end{split}$$

> B-1, so that L(f, c) does not converge. Hence, c is dominated by a geometric sequence.

3. A converse to Theorem A. The following theorem, together with Theorem A, shows that the last pair on our table belongs there.

THEOREM 7. Suppose that f is in C[0, 1] and, for each complex sequence c, L(f, c) converges. Then f is a subset of a polynomial.

Proof. By Theorem 3 there is a complex sequence A such that if x is in [0, 1] then $f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p x^p$.

For each nonnegative-integer pair (n, p), let M_{np} be

$$\binom{n}{p}\sum_{q=0}^{p}(-1)^{p+q}\binom{p}{q}f(q/n)$$
 ,

let w_p be $1/A_p$ if $A_p \neq 0$ and w_p be 0 if $A_p = 0$, and let Q_{np} be $w_p M_{np}$. Now, if x is an infinite complex sequence and $T(x)_n = \sum_{p=0}^n Q_{np} x_p$ for each positive integer n, then $T(x) = L(f, w \cdot x)$, so that T(x) converges. Therefore, by the "principle of uniform boundedness," there is a number B such that, for each positive integer n, $\sum_{p=0}^n |Q_{np}| < B$. Now, if p is a nonnegative integer such that $A_p \neq 0$, the sequence $Q[\cdot, p]$ has limit 1. Hence, there is a positive integer N such that if p is an integer greater than N then $A_p = 0$, so f is a subset of a polynomial.

4. Radius of convergence less than 1. Lemma 1 tells us that constant sequences prevent us from altering Theorem 2 to allow r to be less than 1.

Theorem 3, as it is, not restricted in this way.

This leaves the question: Can we find anything like Theorem 1 with the radius of convergence for our power-series expansions about 0 less than 1?

Theorem 8. Suppose that 0 < r < 1, f is a function analytic on the disc with center 1 and radius 1 + r, $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (|f^{(p)}(1)|/p!)(1+r)^p$

converges, c is a complex sequence, t > 0, and, for each nonnegative integer n, $|c_n| \leq t \cdot r^n$. Then L(f, c) converges to $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (f^{(n)}(0)/p!)c_n$.

Indication of proof. For each nonnegative integer n let B_n be $f^{(n)}(1)/n!$ and let d_n be $\Delta^n c_0$. Then

$$|\,d_{\scriptscriptstyle n}\,| = \left|\sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle q=0}^{\scriptscriptstyle n}{(-1)_{\scriptscriptstyle q}}inom{n}{q}c^{\scriptscriptstyle q}
ight| \leqq t\cdot\sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle q=0}^{\scriptscriptstyle n}inom{n}{q}r^{\scriptscriptstyle q} = t\cdot(1+r)^{\scriptscriptstyle n}$$
 .

For each complex number z such that |z| < 1 + r, let g(z) be f(1-z). Then for each positive integer n,

$$egin{align} L(f,c)_n &= \sum\limits_{p=0}^n f(p/n) inom{n}{p} arDelta^{n-p} c_p \ &= \sum\limits_{p=0}^n f(1-p/n) inom{n}{n-p} arDelta^p c_{n-p} \ &= \sum\limits_{p=0}^n g(p/n) inom{n}{p} arDelta^{n-p} d_p \ &= L(g,d)_n \ , \end{split}$$

so that, by Theorem 1, L(f, c) = L(g, d) converges to

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} rac{g^{(p)}(0)}{p!} \, d_p &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \, (-1)^p B_p d_p \ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \, (-1)^p B_p \sum_{q=0}^p \, (-1)^q igg(rac{p}{q} igg) c_q \ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \, c_q \sum_{p=q}^{\infty} \, (-1)^{p+q} igg(rac{p}{q} igg) B_p \ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \, c_q f^{(q)}(0)/q! \; . \end{aligned}$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. S. Bernstein, Démonstration du théorème de Weierstrass, fondeé sur le calcul des probabilités, Commun. Soc. Math. Kharkow (2) 13 (1912-13), 1-2.
- 2. F. Hausdorff, Summationsmethoden und momentfolge I, Math. Z. 9 (1921), 75-109.
- 3. T. H. Hildebrandt, On the moment problem for a finite interval, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1932), 269-270.
- 4. G. G. Lorentz, Bernstein polynomials, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.
- 5. J. S. Mac Nerney, Characterization of regular Hausdorff moment sequences, proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 366-368.
- 6. ——, Hermitian moment sequences, Trans. Amer. Math Soc. 103 (1962), 45-81.

Received June 15, 1965.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

J. P. JANS

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F Wolf

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 21, No. 1 November, 1967

Friedrich-Wilhelm Bauer, <i>Der Hurewicz-Satz</i>	1
D. W. Dubois, A note on David Harrison's theory of preprimes	15
Bert E. Fristedt, Sample function behavior of increasing processes with	
stationary, independent increments	21
Minoru Hasegawa, On the convergence of resolvents of operators	35
Søren Glud Johansen, The descriptive approach to the derivative of a set	
function with respect to a σ -lattice	49
John Frank Charles Kingman, Completely random measures	59
Tilla Weinstein, Surfaces harmonically immersed in E^3	79
Hikosaburo Komatsu, Fractional powers of operators. II. Interpolation	
spaces	89
Edward Milton Landesman, Hilbert-space methods in elliptic partial	
differential equations	113
O. Carruth McGehee, Certain isomorphisms between quotients of a group	
algebra	133
DeWayne Stanley Nymann, <i>Dedekind groups</i>	153
Sidney Charles Port, <i>Hitting times for transient stable processes</i>	161
Ralph Tyrrell Rockafellar, <i>Duality and stability in extremum problems</i>	
involving convex functions	167
Philip C Tonna Power series and Hausdorff matrices	190