Pacific Journal of Mathematics

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF GROUP ALGEBRAS

BERNARD RUSSEL GELBAUM

Vol. 22, No. 2

February 1967

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF GROUP ALGEBRAS

BERNARD R. GELBAUM

Let G, H, K be locally compact abelian groups where K is noncompact and both the quotient G/N^G where N^G is a compact (normal) subgroup and the quotient H/N^H where N^H is a compact (normal) subgroup. Then in a natural fashion the group algebras $L_1(G)$ and $L_1(H)$ are modules over $L_1(K)$ and

$$L_1(G) \bigotimes_{L_1(K)} L_1(H) \cong L_1(K)$$
.

In [2, 3, 4, 5] there are discussions of tensor products of Banach spaces and Banach algebras over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers and over general Banach algebras. We note the following results to be found in these papers:

(i) If A, B, C are commutative Banach algebras and if A and B are bimodules over C (where $||ca|| \leq ||c|| ||a||$, $||cb|| \leq ||c|| ||b||$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $c \in C$) then the space \mathfrak{M}_{D} of maximal ideals of $D \equiv A \bigotimes_{\sigma} B$ may be identified with a subset of $\mathfrak{M}_{A} \times \mathfrak{M}_{B}$ as follows:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{D}} = \{(M_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}},\,M_{\scriptscriptstyle{B}}): M_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}},\,M_{\scriptscriptstyle{B}} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\scriptscriptstyle{B}},\,\mu(M_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}}) =
u(M_{\scriptscriptstyle{B}})
eq \operatorname{null} \operatorname{map}\}$$

(Here μ and ν are continuous mappings of \mathfrak{M}_A and \mathfrak{M}_B into $\mathfrak{M}_c^\circ =$ the maximal ideal space of C with the null map adjoined. These maps are defined as follows: If $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$ then

$$a^{\wedge}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle A})c^{\wedge}(\mu(M_{\scriptscriptstyle A})) = ca^{\wedge}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle A}) \ b^{\wedge}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle B})c^{\wedge}(
u(M_{\scriptscriptstyle B})) = cb^{\wedge}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle B})$$
 .

Finally

$$c(a \otimes b)^{\wedge}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle A}, M_{\scriptscriptstyle B}) = c^{\wedge}(\mu(M_{\scriptscriptstyle A}))a^{\wedge}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle A})b^{\wedge}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle B}) \ = c^{\wedge}(
u(M_{\scriptscriptstyle B}))a^{\wedge}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle A})b^{\wedge}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle B}) \; .$$

[3].)

(ii) If G, H, K are locally compact abelian groups and if $\theta_{g}: K \to G$, $\theta_{H}: K \to H$ are continuous homomorphisms with closed images, then $L_{1}(G)$ and $L_{1}(H)$ are $L_{1}(K)$ -bimodules according to the formulas:

$$ca(\xi) = \int_{K} a(\xi - \theta_{\theta}(\zeta))c(\zeta)d\zeta, a \in L_{1}(G), c \in L_{1}(K) .$$

 $cb(\eta) = \int_{K} b(\eta - \theta_{H}(\zeta))c(\zeta)d\zeta, b \in L_{1}(H), c \in L_{1}(K) .$

Furthermore the mappings μ and ν of (i) are simply the dual mappings

$$\begin{array}{c} \theta^{\wedge}_{\scriptscriptstyle G} \colon G^{\wedge} \longrightarrow K^{\wedge} \\ \theta^{\wedge}_{\scriptscriptstyle H} \colon H^{\wedge} \longrightarrow K^{\wedge} \end{array}$$

of the character groups in question, [3, 4]. Finally,

$$L_1(G) \bigotimes_{L_1(K)} L_1(H) \cong L_1(\mathfrak{G})$$

where

$$\mathfrak{G} = G \times H/(\theta_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \tilde{\theta}_{\mathfrak{H}})$$
 diagonal $(K \times K)$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\zeta) = \theta_{\mathfrak{H}}(-\zeta)$.

Loosely phrased, this says that the tensor product of group algebras is the group algebra of the tensor product of the groups.

The above results lead to the study of a similar (somewhat dual) situation described as follows:

Let G, H, K be locally compact abelian groups and let $\theta^{a}: G \to K$, $\theta^{\mu}: H \to K$ be continuous open homomorphisms with closed images. In what circumstances can $L_{1}(G)$ and $L_{1}(H)$ be made $L_{1}(K)$ -bimodules relative to the mappings θ^{a} and θ^{μ} ? When these circumstances obtain, what is \mathfrak{M}_{D} , where $D = L_{1}(G) \bigotimes_{L_{1}(K)} L_{1}(H)$? Is there a group \mathfrak{G} such that $D = L_{1}(\mathfrak{G})$?

We shall give answers to these questions in the following sections.

2. Examples. (i) Let G and K be compact abelian groups and let $\theta^{g}: G \to K$ be epic. Then define $L_{1}(G)$ as an $L_{1}(K)$ -bimodule by:

$$ca(\xi) = \int_{a} a(\xi - \xi_1) \widetilde{c}(\xi_1) d\xi_1$$

where $a \in L_1(G), c \in L_1(K)$ and $\tilde{c}(\xi) = c(\theta^{a}(\xi)), \tilde{c}(\eta) = c(\theta^{H}(\eta))$. (The above is defined first for continuous functions and then for arbitrary integrable functions by standard extension techniques.) Then

$$|| \, ca \, || = || \, \widetilde{c} st a \, || \leq || \, \widetilde{c} \, || \, || \, a \, ||$$
 .

However, the map $F: c \to \int_{\sigma} \widetilde{c}(\xi_1) d\xi_1$ is a translation-invariant integral on $L_1(K)$. Thus we may and do assume

$$\int_{\mathscr{G}} \widetilde{c}(\xi_1) d\xi_1 = \int_{\mathscr{K}} c(\zeta) d\zeta$$

and we conclude: $|| ca || \leq || c || || a ||$.

(ii) Let $G = K = \Re$ = the set of real numbers. Let $\theta^{\sigma}(\xi) = 2\xi$. Then for $c \in L_1(K)$ and $a \in L_1(G)$ let

In this case $|| ca || \le \frac{1}{2} || c || || a ||$.

(iii) If θ^{σ} is not epic $F: L_1(K) \to \mathfrak{G}$ as defined in (i) need not be an invariant integral. For example, if $G = \{0\}$ and if K is an arbitrary nontrivial compact abelian group, then, for c continuous,

$$F(c) = \int_{a} \widetilde{c}(\xi) d\xi = c(0)$$
 .

If $\zeta_0 \in K$ and if $c_0(\zeta) = c(\zeta + \zeta_0)$, then

$$F(c_0) = c_0(0) = c(\zeta_0)$$
 .

Thus, choosing c continuous and such that $c(0) \neq c(\zeta_0)$ we find F is not translation-invariant.

(iv) If G is not compact, if K is compact and even if θ^{σ} is epic, then the action of $L_1(K)$ on $L_1(G)$ is not definable in the manner considered. Indeed, if $c(\zeta) \equiv 1$, and if $a \in L_1(G)$ we see

since $\widetilde{c}(\xi_1) = c(\theta^{a}(\xi_1)) \equiv 1$. If, as we may, we choose a so that

$$\int_{a}a(\xi)d\xi
eq 0$$
 ,

then $ca \notin L_1(G)$.

REMARK. Even if both G and K are not compact but if F is an invariant integral, the kernel of θ^{σ} is compact. To prove this we assume, as we may, that Haar measures are adjusted so that

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} c(\zeta) d\zeta = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \widetilde{c}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \widetilde{c}(\eta) d\eta \; .$$

Furthermore, we may assume Haar measures on K and on ker $(\theta^a) \equiv N^a$ have been adjusted so that for $a \in L_1(G)$

$$\int_{\mathscr{G}} a(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathscr{K}} \Bigl(\int_{N^{\mathscr{G}}} a(\xi + \rho) d
ho \Bigr) d\zeta$$
 ,

where ζ is the variable of integration on $K = G/N^{a}$. Since

$$\int_{N^G} a(\xi + \rho) d\rho$$

is constant on cosets of N^{σ} , it may be regarded as a function of ζ . Then we find for any nontrivial nonnegative c in $L_1(K)$:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\theta} \widetilde{c}(\xi) d\xi &= \int_{K} \Bigl(\int_{N^{G}} c(\theta^{q}(\xi + \rho)) d\rho \Bigr) d\zeta \\ &= \int_{K} c(\zeta) d\zeta \cdot \int_{N^{G}} 1 d\rho \end{split}$$

since $\rho \in \ker \theta^{a}$. Hence N^{a} must be compact, since otherwise

a contradiction.

3. The main formula. In view of the conclusions of the preceding section, we posit the following situation:

(i) G, H, K are locally compact abelian groups.

(ii) $\theta^{g}: G \to K, \ \theta^{H}: H \to K$ are continuous open epimorphisms.

(iii) $L_1(G)$ and $L_1(H)$ are bimodules over $L_1(K)$ according to the actions:

$$ca(\xi) = \widetilde{c} * a \ cb(\eta) = \widetilde{c} * b$$

where $a \in L_1(G)$, $b \in (H)$ and $c \in L_1(K)$. (Recall that

 $\widetilde{c}(\xi) = c(\theta^{g}(\xi)), \ \widetilde{c}(\eta) = c(\theta^{H}(\eta))$.)

(iv) Haar measures are adjusted so that the functionals

$$\begin{split} F_{g} &: c \longrightarrow \int_{\sigma} c(\theta^{g}(\xi)) d\xi = \int_{\sigma} \widetilde{c}(\xi) d\xi \ , \\ F_{H} &: c \longrightarrow \int_{H} c(\theta^{H}(\eta)) d\eta = \int_{H} \widetilde{c}(\eta) d\eta \end{split}$$

are translation-invariant integrals.

The argument used in the remark following (iv) of §2 shows: If F is an invariant integral then

if and only if N^{a} and N^{H} are compact.

In effect, we assume G, H, K are locally compact abelian groups and K is a noncompact quotient of both G and H by compact (normal) subgroups N^{σ} and N^{H} .

Thus there is a wealth of concrete examples of the type that concerns us, e.g., $G = K \times N^{\sigma}$, $H = K \times N^{\mu}$ where N^{σ} and N^{μ} are compact, K is locally compact and not compact and all groups are abelian.

In these circumstances

$$D \equiv L_1(G) \bigotimes_{L_1(K)} L_1(H) \cong L_1(K) .$$

The formula is the conclusion of a sequence of lemmas. We recall that an interpretation of the results quoted in §1 may be given as follows:

(a)

$$\mathfrak{Ml}_{L_1(G)}=G^{\wedge}$$
 $\mathfrak{M}_{L_1(H)}=H^{\wedge}$
 $\mathfrak{M}_{L_1(K)}=K^{\wedge}$

(b) There are mappings

$$\mu \colon G^{\wedge} \to K^{\wedge} \cup \{ ext{null map} \}$$

 $u \colon H^{\wedge} \to K^{\wedge} \cup \{ ext{null map} \}$

and

 $\mathfrak{M}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \{ (\alpha, \beta) : \alpha \in G^{\wedge}, \beta \in H^{\wedge}, \mu(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\nu}(\beta) \neq \text{null map} \}.$

Furthermore

$$\begin{array}{l} ca^{\wedge}(\alpha) = a^{\wedge}(\alpha)c^{\wedge}(\mu(\alpha)), \, a \in L_{1}(G), \, c \in L_{1}(K) \, , \\ cb^{\wedge}(\beta) = b^{\wedge}(\beta)c^{\wedge}(\nu(\beta)), \, b \in L_{1}(H), \, c \in L_{1}(K) \, , \\ \widetilde{c}^{\wedge}(\alpha) = c^{\wedge}(\mu(\alpha)), \, \widetilde{c}^{\wedge}(\beta) = c^{\wedge}(\nu(\beta)) \, . \end{array}$$

Although we need never consider a pair (α, β) such that $\mu(\alpha) = \nu(\beta) =$ the null map sending $L_1(K)$ into 0, we shall have occasion to consider $\mu(\alpha)$ for all α and $\nu(\beta)$ for all β . Thus we shall interpret $c^{\gamma}(\mu(\alpha))$ and $c^{\gamma}(\nu(\beta))$ to be 0 if $\mu(\alpha) = \nu(\beta) =$ the null map, even though, since c^{γ} is a function on K^{γ} , " $c^{\gamma}(\text{null map})$ " is not defined.

LEMMA 3.1. The map $L_1(K) \ni c(\zeta) \to \tilde{c}(\xi) \equiv c(\theta^{d}(\xi)) \in L_1(G)$ is an isometric monomorphism. The image $L_1(K)^{d}$ of this map is a closed ideal in $L_1(G)$. Finally, μ^{-1} (null map) = $h(L_1(K)^{d}) \equiv hull (L_1(K)^{d})$.

Proof. The algebraic and metric properties of the mapping are clear. To show $L_1(K)^{a}$ is an ideal (as the image of a complete space under an isometry $L_1(K)^{a}$ is closed) we consider c in $L_1(K)$ and a in $L_1(G)$. Then

$$egin{aligned} a*\widetilde{c} &= \int_{arphi} a(\xi-\xi_1)c(heta^{arphi}(\xi_1))d\xi_1 \ &= \int_{arphi} a(\xi_2)c(heta^{arphi}(\xi-\xi_2))d\xi_2 \end{aligned}$$

If $c_1(\zeta) = \int_{\theta} a(\xi_2) c(\zeta - \theta^{\theta}(\xi_2)) d\xi_2$, then c_1 is in $L_1(K)$ and $\tilde{c}_1 = a * \tilde{c}$. Finally, if $\mu(\alpha) =$ (null map), then $c^{\uparrow}(\mu(\alpha)) \equiv 0$ for all c in $L_1(K)$. However, for a in $L_1(K)$ and such that $a^{(\alpha)} \neq 0$,

$$ca^{(\alpha)} = a^{(\alpha)}c^{(\mu(\alpha))} = a^{(\alpha)}\int_{a} \widetilde{c}(\xi)\overline{(\xi,\alpha)}d\xi$$

or

$$0 = \widetilde{c}^{(\mu(\alpha))} = \widetilde{c}^{(\alpha)}.$$

Thus $\alpha \in h(L_1(K)^{g})$, i.e., μ^{-1} (null map) $\subset h(L_1(K)^{g})$.

Conversely, if $\alpha \in h(L_1(K)^{\sigma})$, then $\tilde{c}^{\wedge}(\alpha) \equiv 0$ for all c in $L_1(K)$. The above formulas show $c^{\wedge}(\mu(\alpha)) \equiv 0$ for all c in $L_1(K)$, whence $\mu(\alpha) = (\text{null map})$ and we conclude $\mu^{-1}(\text{null map}) = h(L_1(K)^{\sigma})$.

Let $\hat{\theta}^{a}$, $\hat{\theta}^{\mu}$ be the duals of the maps θ^{a} , θ^{μ} . Thus, e.g., $(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\theta}^{a}(\gamma)) = (\theta^{a}(\xi), \gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \hat{K}$. If S is a set in G, let S^{\perp} be the "annihilator" of S, i.e., the set of α in \hat{G} such that $(s, \alpha) = 1$ for all $s \in S$. We prove

LEMMA 3.2. (a)
$$N^{G_\perp} = \widehat{ heta}^G \widehat{K};$$

(b) $\widehat{G} = N^{G_\perp} \cup h(L_1(K)^G), \emptyset = N^{G_\perp} \cap h(L_1(K)^G);$

(c) $\mu: N^{G_{\perp}} \rightarrow \hat{K}$ is an isomophism [6, p. 103].

Proof. (a) If $\xi \in N^{\sigma}$ then $\theta^{\sigma}(\xi) = \text{identity and } (\theta^{\sigma}(\xi), \gamma) = 1$ for all $\gamma \in \hat{K}$. Thus $\hat{\theta}^{\sigma}(\hat{K}) \subset N^{\sigma_{\perp}}$. If $\alpha \in N^{\sigma_{\perp}}$, then for all $\xi \in N^{\sigma}$, $(\xi, \alpha) = 1$. If $\alpha \notin \hat{\theta}^{\sigma}(\hat{K})$, then, since $\hat{\theta}^{\sigma}(\hat{K})$ is closed, there is a ξ_0 such that

$$(\hat{\xi}_0,lpha)
eq 1,\,(\hat{\xi}_0,\,\widehat{ heta}^{\scriptscriptstyle G}(\widehat{K}))=1=(heta^{\scriptscriptstyle G}(\hat{\xi}_0),\,\widehat{K}),\,\, ext{i.e.},\,\,\hat{\xi}_0\,\in\,N^{\scriptscriptstyle G}$$

a contradiction. Thus $\hat{ heta}^{_{\mathcal{G}}}(\hat{K}) = N^{_{\mathcal{G}_{\perp}}}, \, \mu(N^{_{\mathcal{G}_{\perp}}}) = \mu(\hat{ heta}^{_{\mathcal{G}}}(\hat{K})) = \hat{K}.$

(b) and (c) If $\alpha_0 \notin N^{G_{\perp}}$ then $\mu(\alpha_0) = (\text{null map})$. For if $\alpha_0 \notin N^{G_{\perp}}$, then α_0 may be regarded as a nontrivial character of the compact group N^G . Thus $\int_{N^G} (\xi + \rho, \alpha_0) d\rho = \int_{N^G} (\xi, \alpha_0)(\rho, \alpha_0) d\rho = 0$. Hence if $c \in L_1(K)$ then

$$egin{aligned} c^{\sim}(\mu(lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})) &= \int_{a} c(heta^{g}(\xi)) \overline{(\xi, lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})} d\xi \ &= \int_{\kappa} \Bigl(\int_{N^{g}} c(heta^{g}(\xi+
ho)) (\xi+
ho, lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) d
ho \Bigr) d\xi \ &= \int_{\kappa} c(\zeta) \Bigl(\int_{N^{g}} (\xi+
ho, lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) d
ho \Bigr) d\zeta = 0 \;. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mu(\alpha_0) = (\text{null map})$, and $\widehat{G} \setminus N^{G_{\perp}} \subset h(L_1(K)^{c})$. On the other hand if α is in $h(L_1(K)^{c})$ then α is not in $N^{G_{\perp}}$. Otherwise, α may be viewed as some γ in \widehat{K} and thus for c in $L_1(K)$ we have

$$egin{aligned} \widetilde{c}^{\wedge}(lpha) &= 0 = \int_{\sigma} c(heta^{G}(\xi)) \overline{(\xi, lpha)} d\xi \ &= \int_{\kappa} \Bigl(\int_{N^{G}} c(heta^{G}(\xi+
ho)) \overline{(\xi+
ho, lpha)} d
ho \Bigr) d\zeta \ &= \int_{\kappa} c(\zeta) \overline{(\zeta, \gamma)} d\zeta \int_{N^{G}} 1 d
ho \;. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $c^{(\gamma)} = 0$ for all c in $L_1(K)$, a contradiction. Thus $\hat{G}/N^{\sigma_{\perp}} = h(L_1(K)^{\sigma})$ and we conclude the truth of (b).

Next, if $\hat{\theta}^{G}(\gamma) = \alpha$ then for c in $L_{1}(K)$ and a in $L_{1}(G)$

$$egin{aligned} ca^{lpha}(lpha) &= a^{lpha}(lpha) \int_{a} c(heta^{G}(\xi)) \overline{(\xi,\,\widehat{ heta}^{G}(\gamma))} d\xi \ &= a^{lpha}(lpha) c^{lpha}(\gamma) \,\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $c^{(\mu(\alpha))} = c^{(\gamma)}$ and $\mu(\alpha) = \gamma = \mu \hat{\theta}^{g}(\gamma)$. Clearly

$$egin{aligned} \mu(\widehat{ heta}^{_G}(\gamma_1)\widehat{ heta}^{_G}(\gamma_2)) &= \mu(\widehat{ heta}^{_G}(\gamma_1\gamma_2)) &= \gamma_1\gamma_2 \ &= \mu\widehat{ heta}^{_G}(\gamma_1)\mu\widehat{ heta}^{_G}(\gamma_2) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Thus μ is an epimorphism of $\hat{\theta}^{g}(K)^{\wedge}$ onto K^{\wedge} and $\mu \hat{\theta}^{g}$ is the identity. It follows that μ is one-to-one on $\hat{\theta}^{g}(K)$ and furthermore that $\hat{\theta}^{g}\mu$ is the identity on $\hat{\theta}^{g}K:\hat{\theta}^{g}\mu(\hat{\theta}^{g}(\gamma))=\hat{\theta}^{g}(\gamma)$.

Combining our results to this point we see that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{D} = \operatorname{diag}\left(K^{\wedge} \times K^{\wedge}\right) \cong K^{\wedge}$$

It follows that K is a reasonable candidate for the group \mathfrak{G} such that $D \cong L_1(\mathfrak{G})$. Indeed, if \mathfrak{G} is such a group then $\mathfrak{G}^{\wedge} = \mathfrak{M}_p$. Since $\mathfrak{M}_p = K^{\wedge}$, we conclude $\mathfrak{G} = K$.

We shall now define a map $T: D \rightarrow L_1(K)$. As usual T is defined on

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{F} &= F_{L_1(K)}(L_1(G), \, L_1(H)) \\ &= \Big\{ f : f : L_1(G) \times L_1(H) \to L_1(K), \, || \, f \, || \\ &= \sum_{(a,b)} || \, f(a, \, b) \, || \, || \, a \, || \, || \, b \, || < \infty, \, f(0, \, b) = f(a, \, 0) + 0 \Big\} \end{split}$$

[2, 3]. Thus if c(a, b) is the function taking the value c at (a, b) we set

$$T(c(a, b)) = \int_{N^G} ca(\xi +
ho) d
ho * \int_{N^H} b(\eta + \sigma) d\sigma$$

where $N^{H} = \ker(\theta^{H})$. We note that each of the integrals above is a function on K and hence so is the indicated convolution. It is a simple matter to verify that when T is extended by linearity it is a

bounded epimorphism of the algebra \mathfrak{F} onto $L_1(K)$ and that T annihilates the reducing ideal I, modulo which the algebra \mathfrak{F} is D. (The surjectivity of T follows from the fact that the integrals $\int_{N^G} \equiv T_g$ and $\int_{N^H} \equiv T_H$ are epimorphisms, from a simple application of approximate identities and from P. J. Cohen's factorization theorem [1, 3, 4].)

We show now for T, which may be regarded as a mapping of D onto $L_1(K)$,

LEMMA 3.3. T is an isomorphism if and only if D is semisimple.

Proof. Clearly, if T is an isomorphism then D is semisimple. Conversely, if D is semisimple and if T(z) = 0, where $z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(a_n \otimes b_n)$ [2, 3], then for any γ in K^{\uparrow} , $T^{\uparrow}(z)(\gamma) = 0$. Thus

$$T^{(z)}(\gamma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{T_{G}(c_{n}a_{n})(\gamma)} \widehat{T_{H}(b_{n})(\gamma)}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{c_{n}(\gamma)} \widehat{T_{G}(a_{n})(\gamma)} \widehat{T_{H}(b_{n})(\gamma)} = 0.$$

However,

$$egin{aligned} T^{\,\circ}_{\,G}(a)(\gamma) &= \int_{\kappa} T_{d}(a)(\zeta)\overline{(\zeta,\gamma)}d\zeta \ &= \int_{\kappa} \Bigl(\int_{N^{G}} a(\xi+
ho)d
ho\Bigr)\overline{(\zeta,\gamma)}d\zeta \ &= \int_{\kappa} \Bigl(\int_{N^{G}} a(\xi+
ho)\overline{(\xi+
ho,\gamma)}d
ho\Bigr)d\zeta \ &= a^{\,\circ}(lpha) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \hat{\theta}^{g}(\gamma)$. After similar arguments about T_{H} we find

$$T^{\wedge}(z)(\gamma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{\wedge}(\gamma) a_n^{\wedge}(\alpha) b_n^{\wedge}(\beta)$$

where $\beta = \hat{\theta}^{_{H}}(\gamma)$. In other words $T^{(z)}(\gamma) = z^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ where $\mu(\alpha) = \gamma(\beta)$ and (α, β) corresponds to an element of \mathfrak{M}_{p} . Since $T^{(z)}(\gamma) \equiv 0$ for all γ , we find $z^{(\alpha, \beta)} \equiv 0$ for all (α, β) corresponding to elements of \mathfrak{M}_{p} . The semisimplicity assumption now shows z = 0 and hence that T is an isomorphism.

We now conclude by proving

LEMMA 3.4. D is semisimple.

Proof. Let z belong to the radical of D. As in [3, 4] we may assume that z is of the form $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(a_n \otimes b_n)$ where, for fixed compact

sets U, V, W in $G^{\uparrow}, H^{\uparrow}, K^{\uparrow}$ and for all n, support $a_n^{\uparrow}(\alpha) \subset U$, support $b_n^{\uparrow}(\beta) \subset V$, and support $c_n^{\uparrow}(\gamma) \subset W$. Furthermore, we may assume that each c_n is of the form $c_{n1} * c_{n2} * c_{n3}$ and thus in effect that

$$z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n1} (c_{n2} a_n \bigotimes c_{n3} b_n)$$

where support $c_{n1}(\gamma) \subset W$.

Since $L_1(K)^{G}$ is an ideal in $L_1(G)$ and since there is a corresponding statement for $L_1(K)^{H}$, we conclude that there are elements d_{n2}, d_{n3} in $L_1(K)$ such that $\tilde{d}_{n2}(\xi) = c_{n2}a_n(\xi), \tilde{d}_{n3}(\eta) = c_{n3}b_n(\eta)$.

Furthermore, $\tilde{d}_{n2}(\alpha) = d_{n2}(\mu(\alpha)), \tilde{d}_{n3}(\beta) = d_{n2}(\nu(\beta)), \text{ and } d_{n2}(\gamma) \neq 0,$ or $d_{n3}(\gamma) \neq 0$ implies $d_{n2}(\mu\hat{\theta}^{g}(\gamma)) = \tilde{d}_{n2}(\hat{\theta}^{g}(\gamma)) \neq 0$, etc., i.e., that $\gamma \in \mu$ (support \tilde{d}_{n2}), etc. Thus there is a fixed compact set Y containing the supports of all $c_{n1}, c_{n2}, c_{n3}, d_{n1}, d_{n2}, d_{n3}$. Hence there is a fixed c in $L_1(K)$ such that $c^{\gamma}(\gamma) \equiv 1$ on Y, support $c^{\gamma}(\gamma)$ is compact and

$$0 \leq c^{(\gamma)} \leq 1$$
 .

For this c it is true that $c_{nj} = c_{nj} * c$, $d_{nj} = d_{nj} * c$, j = 1, 2, 3. Thus we find

$$egin{aligned} & z \, = \, \sum \limits_{n=1}^\infty \, c_n (a_n \otimes b_n) \, = \, \sum \limits_{n=1}^\infty \, c_{n1} (c_{n2} a_n \otimes \, c_{n3} b_n) \ & = \, \sum \limits_{n=1}^\infty \, c_{n1} (d_{n2} \otimes \, d_{n3}) \, = \, \sum \limits_{n=1}^\infty \, c_{n1} (d_{n2} c \otimes \, d_{n3} c) \ & = \, \Big(\sum \limits_{n=1}^\infty \, c_{n1} d_{n2} d_{n3} \Big) (c \otimes c) \, \, . \end{aligned}$$

However, for all γ in K^{\uparrow}

$$c_{n1} d_{n2} d_{n3}(\gamma) = c_{n1}(\gamma) d_{n2}(\gamma) d_{n3}(\gamma) \; .$$

Furthermore

$$egin{aligned} &d_{n2}(\zeta) = \int_{a} a_n(\xi) c_{n2}(\zeta - heta^G(\xi)) d\xi \ &d_{n3}(\zeta) = \int_{H} b_n(\eta) c_{n3}(\zeta - heta^H(\eta)) d\eta \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus

and similarly $d_{n3}(\gamma) = b_n(\hat{\theta}^H(\gamma))c_{n3}(\gamma)$. We see then that

$$c_{n1}^{\wedge}(\gamma)d_{n2}^{\wedge}(\gamma)d_{n3}^{\wedge}(\gamma) = c_{n1}^{\wedge}(\gamma)c_{n2}^{\wedge}(\gamma)a_n^{\wedge}(\widehat{ heta}^G(\gamma))c_{n3}^{\wedge}(\gamma)b_n^{\wedge}(\widehat{ heta}^H(\gamma))$$

and since $\mu \hat{\theta}^{a}(\gamma) = \nu \hat{\theta}^{H}(\gamma) = \gamma$ we conclude that

$$\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n1}^{\frown}(\gamma) d_{n2}^{\frown}(\gamma) d_{n3}^{\frown}(\gamma) = z^{\frown}(\{\widehat{ heta}^{_G}(\gamma), \ \widehat{ heta}^{_H}(\gamma)\})$$

which is zero as a consequence of our assumption. Thus z = 0 and the semisimplicity of D is established.

Hence, in the context indicated above and suggested by the diagram



there obtains the formula

$$L_{\mathfrak{l}}(G) \bigotimes_{L_{\mathfrak{l}}(K)} L_{\mathfrak{l}}(H) \cong L_{\mathfrak{l}}(K)$$
 .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. P. J. Cohen, Factorization in group algebras, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 199-206.

2. B. R. Gelbaum, Tensor products and related questions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1962), 525-548.

3. ____, Tensor products over Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1965), 131-149.

4. B. Natzitz, *Tensor products over groups algebras*, Doctoral dissertation, University of Minnesota, 1963 (to be published).

5. D. Spicer, *Group algebras of vector-valued functions*, Doctoral dissertation, University of Minnesota, 1965 (to be published).

6. A. Weil, L' intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications, Paris (1940).

Received July 20, 1966. This research was supported in part by NSF Grant #5436.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

J. P. JANS University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN

NN F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 22, No. 2February, 1967

Paul Frank Baum, Local isomorphism of compact connected Lie groups	197
Lowell Wayne Beineke, Frank Harary and Michael David Plummer, On the	
critical lines of a graph	205
Larry Eugene Bobisud, On the behavior of the solution of the telegraphist's	
equation for large velocities	213
Richard Thomas Bumby, Irreducible integers in Galois extensions	221
Chong-Yun Chao, A nonimbedding theorem of nilpotent Lie algebras	231
Peter Crawley, Abelian p-groups determined by their Ulm sequences	235
Bernard Russel Gelbaum, <i>Tensor products of group algebras</i>	241
Newton Seymour Hawley, <i>Weierstrass points of plane domains</i>	251
Paul Daniel Hill, On quasi-isomorphic invariants of primary groups	257
Melvyn Klein, Estimates for the transfinite diameter with applications to	
confomral mapping	267
Frederick M. Lister, Simplifying intersections of disks in Bing's side	
approximation theorem	281
Charles Wisson McArthur, On a theorem of Orlicz and Pettis	297
Harry Wright McLaughlin and Frederic Thomas Metcalf, An inequality for	
generalized means	303
Daniel Russell McMillan, Jr., Some topological properties of piercing	
points	313
Peter Don Morris and Daniel Eliot Wulbert, <i>Functional representation of</i>	
topological algebras	323
Roger Wolcott Richardson, Jr., On the rigidity of semi-direct products of Lie	
algebras	339
Jack Segal and Edward Sandusky Thomas, Jr., <i>Isomorphic</i>	
cone-complexes	345
Richard R. Tucker, <i>The</i> δ^2 <i>-process and related topics</i>	349
David Vere-Jones, <i>Ergodic properties of nonnegative matrices</i> . I	361