

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AN INEQUALITY FOR GENERALIZED MEANS

HARRY WRIGHT MCLAUGHLIN AND FREDERIC THOMAS METCALF

AN INEQUALITY FOR GENERALIZED MEANS

H. W. McLAUGHLIN AND F. T. METCALF

This paper is concerned with the behavior of certain combinations of generalized means of positive real numbers, considered as functions of the index set. It is shown that these combinations are actually superadditive functions (over set unions) of the index set. Several previously established inequalities of this nature are obtained as corollaries of the main theorem, namely, certain results of R. Rado, W. N. Everitt, D. S. Mitrinović and P. M. Vasić, and H. Kestleman.

Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. A result of W. N. Everitt [2] (which generalizes an earlier result of R. Rado [8]; see also Tchakaloff [9], Jacobsthal [5], and Dinghas [1]) states that for any $1 \leq m < n$, one has

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & n \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{1/n} \right] \\ & \geq m \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i - \left(\prod_{i=1}^m a_i \right)^{1/m} \right] \\ & \quad + (n - m) \left[\frac{1}{n - m} \sum_{i=m+1}^n a_i - \left(\prod_{i=m+1}^n a_i \right)^{1/(n-m)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

That is, n times the difference between the arithmetic mean and the geometric mean, considered as a function of the set

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \{1, \dots, m\} \cup \{m + 1, \dots, n\},$$

is "superadditive" over this set union. In [2] Everitt generalizes this result further by considering differences of more general means.

In a recent paper, [7] Mitrinović and Vasić established an inequality which may be interpreted in this same "superadditive" sense. They considered a ratio of means and restricted their attention to the union.

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \{1, \dots, n - 1\} \cup \{n\}.$$

The intention of the present authors is to establish here, by means of a simple argument, an inequality which generalizes and unifies the results of Everitt and Mitrinović and Vasić, and which yields readily the conditions for equality in the result of Mitrinović and Vasić.

Let $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ and $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ be infinite sequences of positive numbers. Suppose I is a nonempty finite set of distinct positive integers. Then the mean of order r ($-\infty < r < +\infty$) of the numbers

$\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$, with weights $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$, is defined as follows:

$$M_r(a; p, I) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in I} p_i a_i^r}{\sum_{i \in I} p_i} \right)^{1/r}, & -\infty < r < +\infty \\ & r \neq 0 \\ \left(\prod_{i \in I} a_i^{p_i} \right)^{1/\sum_{i \in I} p_i}, & r = 0. \end{cases},$$

It is known (see, e.g., Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya [4; p. 15]) that this definition yields a continuous function of r in $-\infty < r < +\infty$. For an illustration of this notation consider again the inequality (1). If $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$, $J = \{m + 1, \dots, n\}$, and $p_i = 1/n (i = 1, \dots, n)$, then (1) may be rewritten, using the above notation, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{I \cup J} p \right) [M_1(a; p, I \cup J) - M_0(a; p, I \cup J)] \\ & \geq \left(\sum_I p \right) [M_1(a; p, I) - M_0(a; p, I)] \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_J p \right) [M_1(a; p, J) - M_0(a; p, J)], \end{aligned}$$

where sums of the form $\sum_{i \in I} p_i$ have been shortened to $\sum_I p$.

2. The main inequality. The general inequality referred to in the previous section will now be established.

THEOREM. *Let I and J be nonempty disjoint finite sets of distinct positive integers, and let $\{a_i\}_{i \in I \cup J}$, $\{p_i\}_{i \in I \cup J}$, and $\{q_i\}_{i \in I \cup J}$ be sets of positive real numbers. Suppose $0 < \lambda, \mu$ and $\lambda + \mu \geq 1$. Then, for any finite real numbers r and s , one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{I \cup J} p \right)^\lambda \left(\sum_{I \cup J} q \right)^\mu M_r^{\lambda r}(a; p, I \cup J) M_s^{\mu s}(a; q, I \cup J) \\ & \geq \left(\sum_I p \right)^\lambda \left(\sum_I q \right)^\mu M_r^{\lambda r}(a; p, I) M_s^{\mu s}(a; q, I) \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_J p \right)^\lambda \left(\sum_J q \right)^\mu M_r^{\lambda r}(a; p, J) M_s^{\mu s}(a; q, J). \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda + \mu > 1$, then equality never holds; while, if $\lambda + \mu = 1$, then equality holds if and only if the ordered pairs

$$\left(\left(\sum_I q \right) M_s^s(a; q, I), \left(\sum_J q \right) M_s^s(a; q, J) \right)$$

and

$$\left(\left(\sum_I p \right) M_r^r(a; p, I), \left(\sum_J p \right) M_r^r(a; p, J) \right)$$

are proportional.

Proof. Jensen's inequality (see Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya [4; p. 29]) asserts that, if $A_1, A_2, B_1,$ and B_2 are positive real numbers, then

$$(2) \quad (A_1 + A_2)^\lambda (B_1 + B_2)^\mu \geq A_1^\lambda B_1^\mu + A_2^\lambda B_2^\mu .$$

If $\lambda + \mu > 1$, then equality never holds; while, if $\lambda + \mu = 1$, then equality holds if and only if the ordered pairs (A_1, A_2) and (B_1, B_2) are proportional. The inequality of the theorem follows immediately from (2) upon choosing

$$A_1 = \left(\sum_I p \right) M_r^r(a; p, I), \quad A_2 = \left(\sum_J p \right) M_r^r(a; p, J),$$

$$B_1 = \left(\sum_I q \right) M_s^s(a; q, I), \quad B_2 = \left(\sum_J q \right) M_s^s(a; q, J),$$

and noting that, for instance,

$$\left(\sum_{I \cup J} p \right) M_r^r(a; p, I \cup J)$$

$$= \left(\sum_I p \right) M_r^r(a; p, I) + \left(\sum_J p \right) M_r^r(a; p, J) = A_1 + A_2 .$$

REMARK 1. If λ and μ are such that

$$\lambda\mu < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda + \mu = 1 ,$$

then the sense of the inequality of the above theorem is reversed, while the necessary and sufficient condition for equality remains unchanged. This is a consequence of the fact that the sense of inequality (2) is reversed under these assumptions on λ and μ , while the necessary and sufficient condition for equality in (2) remains unchanged (see Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya [4; p. 24]).

3. Special cases. In the corollaries which follow, as in the theorem above, I and J denote nonempty disjoint finite sets of distinct positive integers, and $\{a_i\}_{i \in I \cup J}$, $\{p_i\}_{i \in I \cup J}$, and $\{q_i\}_{i \in I \cup J}$ are sets of positive real numbers.

The following corollary may be interpreted as a direct generalization of the inequality of Mitrinović and Vasić [7; Th. 3], which is itself given as Corollary 2.

COROLLARY 1. For any finite real numbers r and s , such that $rs < 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left(\sum_{I \cup J} p\right)^{s/(s-r)}}{\left(\sum_{I \cup J} q\right)^{r/(s-r)}} \left[\frac{M_r(a; p, I \cup J)}{M_s(a; q, I \cup J)} \right]^{rs/(s-r)} \\ & \geq \frac{\left(\sum_I p\right)^{s/(s-r)}}{\left(\sum_I q\right)^{r/(s-r)}} \left[\frac{M_r(a; p, I)}{M_s(a; q, I)} \right]^{rs/(s-r)} \\ & \quad + \frac{\left(\sum_J p\right)^{s/(s-r)}}{\left(\sum_J q\right)^{r/(s-r)}} \left[\frac{M_r(a; p, J)}{M_s(a; q, J)} \right]^{rs/(s-r)} \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds if and only if the ordered pairs

$$\left(\left(\sum_I q \right) M_s^s(a; q, I), \left(\sum_J q \right) M_s^s(a; q, J) \right)$$

and

$$\left(\left(\sum_I p \right) M_r^r(a; p, I), \left(\sum_J p \right) M_r^r(a; p, J) \right)$$

are proportional. If $rs > 0$ and $r \neq s$, then the sense of this inequality reverses, while the necessary and sufficient condition for equality remains unchanged.

Proof. When $rs < 0$, one has

$$\frac{s}{s-r} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{r}{s}} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{-r}{s-r} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{s}{r}} > 0.$$

Choosing

$$\lambda = \frac{s}{s-r} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = -\frac{r}{s-r}$$

in the theorem gives the desired result. Also, if $rs > 0$ and $r \neq s$, then the reversal of the sense of this inequality follows from Remark 1, upon choosing λ and μ as above.

Taking $I = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $J = \{n\}$ in Corollary 1 gives the following inequality of Mitrinović and Vasić [7; Th. 3], together with the necessary and sufficient condition for equality to hold.

COROLLARY 2. *For any finite real numbers r and s , such that $rs < 0$, one has*

$$\frac{\left(\sum_1^n p\right)^{s/(s-r)} \left[M_r(a; p, I \cup J)\right]^{rs/(s-r)}}{\left(\sum_1^n q\right)^{r/(s-r)} \left[M_s(a; q, I \cup J)\right]} \geq \frac{\left(\sum_1^{n-1} p\right)^{s/(s-r)} \left[M_r(a; p, I)\right]^{rs/(s-r)}}{\left(\sum_1^{n-1} q\right)^{r/(s-r)} \left[M_s(a; q, I)\right]} + \frac{p_n^{s/(s-r)}}{q_n^{r/(s-r)}},$$

where $I = \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and $J = \{n\}$. Equality holds if and only if

$$\frac{q_n}{p_n} a_n^{s-r} = \frac{\sum_1^{n-1} q}{\sum_1^{n-1} p} \cdot \frac{M_s^s(a; q, I)}{M_r^r(a; p, I)}.$$

If $rs > 0$ and $r \neq s$, then the sense of this inequality reverses, while the necessary and sufficient condition for equality remains unchanged.

The next corollary is a consequence of Corollary 1 and the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality. As special cases of this corollary, there will follow inequalities of Mitrinović and Vasić [7; Th. 1] and Kestleman [6].

COROLLARY 3. For any finite real numbers r and s , such that $rs < 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\sum_{I \cup J} p}{\sum_{I \cup J} q}\right)^{\sum_{I \cup J} p} \left[\frac{M_r(a; p, I \cup J)}{M_s(a; q, I \cup J)}\right]^{s \sum_{I \cup J} p} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\sum_I p}{\sum_I q}\right)^{\sum_I p} \left[\frac{M_r(a; p, I)}{M_s(a; q, I)}\right]^{s \sum_I p} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_J p}{\sum_J q}\right)^{\sum_J p} \left[\frac{M_r(a; p, J)}{M_s(a; q, J)}\right]^{s \sum_J p}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The right-hand side (lower bound) of the inequality of Corollary 1, when divided by $\sum_{I \cup J} p$, may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_I p}{\sum_{I \cup J} p} \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_I p}{\sum_I q}\right)^{r/(s-r)} \left[\frac{M_r(a; p, I)}{M_s(a; q, I)}\right]^{rs/(s-r)} \\ & \quad + \frac{\sum_J p}{\sum_{I \cup J} p} \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_J p}{\sum_J q}\right)^{r/(s-r)} \left[\frac{M_r(a; p, J)}{M_s(a; q, J)}\right]^{rs/(s-r)}. \end{aligned}$$

This quantity, when viewed as an arithmetic mean of two positive numbers, with weights $\sum_I p / \sum_{I \cup J} p$ and $\sum_J p / \sum_{I \cup J} p$, may be bounded below by the geometric mean of the two positive numbers, namely,

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{\sum_I p}{\sum_I q} \right)^{r/(s-r)} \left[\frac{M_r(a; p, I)}{M_s(a; q, I)} \right]^{rs/(s-r)} \right\}^{\sum_I p / \sum_{I \cup J} p} \cdot \left\{ \left(\frac{\sum_J p}{\sum_J q} \right)^{r/(s-r)} \left[\frac{M_r(a; p, J)}{M_s(a; q, J)} \right]^{rs/(s-r)} \right\}^{\sum_J p / \sum_{I \cup J} p}.$$

Thus, this quantity is bounded above by the left-hand side (upper bound) of the inequality of Corollary 1, divided by $\sum_{I \cup J} p$. Raising both of these quantities to the $((s - r)/r) < 0$ power reverses their order relation and yields the desired inequality.

REMARK 2. In Corollary 3, upon choosing $s = 1$, $I = \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, $J = \{n\}$, and letting $r \rightarrow 0-$, one obtains the inequality of Mitrinović and Vasić [7; Th. 1]. This result in turn implies an inequality of Kestleman [6], as is mentioned in [7].

REMARK 3. In the last remark it was shown how Theorem 1 of [7] follows as a consequence of Corollary 3. However, this result, together with the necessary and sufficient condition for equality, may be obtained more directly by a simple application of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, as follows. Since

$$M_0(a; p, I \cup J) = [M_0(a; p, I)]^{\sum_I p / \sum_{I \cup J} p} \cdot [M_0(a; p, J)]^{\sum_J p / \sum_{I \cup J} p}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(a; q, I \cup J) &= \left(\frac{\sum_I p}{\sum_{I \cup J} p} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{I \cup J} p}{\sum_I p} \cdot \frac{\sum_I q}{\sum_{I \cup J} q} \right) M_1(a; q, I) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\sum_J p}{\sum_{I \cup J} p} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{I \cup J} p}{\sum_J p} \cdot \frac{\sum_J q}{\sum_{I \cup J} q} \right) M_1(a; q, J) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\sum_{I \cup J} p}{\sum_{I \cup J} q} \cdot \frac{\sum_I q}{\sum_I p} M_1(a; q, I) \right)^{\sum_I p / \sum_{I \cup J} p} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{I \cup J} p}{\sum_{I \cup J} q} \cdot \frac{\sum_J q}{\sum_J p} M_1(a; q, J) \right)^{\sum_J p / \sum_{I \cup J} p}, \end{aligned}$$

where equality holds in the last inequality if and only if

$$(3) \quad \frac{\sum_I q}{\sum_I p} M_1(a; q, I) = \frac{\sum_J q}{\sum_J p} M_1(a; q, J) ,$$

one has, upon division, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{I \cup J} p \right) M_0(a; p, I \cup J)}{\left(\sum_{I \cup J} q \right) M_1(a; q, I \cup J)} \right]_{I \cup J}^{\sum p} \\ & \leq \left[\frac{\left(\sum_I p \right) M_0(a; p, I)}{\left(\sum_I q \right) M_1(a; q, I)} \right]_I^{\sum p} \cdot \left[\frac{\left(\sum_J p \right) M_0(a; p, J)}{\left(\sum_J q \right) M_1(a; q, J)} \right]_J^{\sum p} , \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if (3) holds. This last inequality is that of Theorem 1 of [7] referred to in Remark 2. It is to be noted that the equality condition follows readily from the method of proof.

It will next be shown how an extension of the result of Everitt [2, Th. 1] follows from the inequality of the theorem of § 2.

COROLLARY 4. *Let s be a real number. If $1 < s$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{I \cup J} p \right) M_s(a; p, I \cup J) & \geq \left(\sum_I p \right) M_s(a; p, I) \\ & + \left(\sum_J p \right) M_s(a; p, J) , \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if

$$M_s(a; p, I) = M_s(a; p, J) .$$

If $s = 1$, then equality always holds. If $s < 1$, then the sense of the inequality is reversed, while the necessary and sufficient condition for equality remains unchanged.

Proof. Suppose first that $1 < s$. Set $q_i = p_i$ for $i \in I \cup J$, $r = 0$, $\lambda = 1 - 1/s$, and $\mu = 1/s$ in the theorem to obtain Corollary 4 when $1 < s$.

If $s = 1$, then the result is immediate, since the left-hand and right-hand sides of the inequality are always equal.

If $s < 1$ and $s \neq 0$, then Remark 1, with $q_i = p_i$ for $i \in I \cup J$, $r = 0$, $\lambda = 1 - 1/s$, and $\mu = 1/s$, gives the desired result (upon noting that, in this case, one has $\lambda\mu < 0$ and $\lambda + \mu = 1$).

Finally, the inequality corresponding to $s = 0$ follows upon letting s tend to zero in the inequality already established for $s < 1$ and $s \neq 0$. The necessary and sufficient condition for equality does not appear to follow from the corresponding condition for $s < 1$ and $s \neq 0$, upon letting s tend to zero. However, its validity is a consequence of the

necessary and sufficient condition for equality in the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, since

$$M_0(a; p, I \cup J) = [M_0(a; p, I)]^{\sum p / \sum_{I \cup J} p} \cdot [M_0(a; p, J)]^{\sum p / \sum_{I \cup J} p}.$$

REMARK 4. The above Corollary 4 shows that Everitt's result (which is the case $s \geq 0$) also holds when the order of the mean is negative.

Letting $a_i, b_i > 0, i \in I$, and $1/\lambda + 1/\mu = 1, \lambda > 1$, Hölder's inequality asserts that

$$H(a, b; I) = \left(\sum_I a^\lambda \right)^{1/\lambda} \left(\sum_I b^\mu \right)^{1/\mu} - \sum_I ab \geq 0.$$

In fact, Everitt [3] has shown that if $I \cap J = \emptyset$, then

$$H(a, b; I \cup J) \geq H(a, b, I) + H(a, b, J).$$

That this last inequality follows from Corollary 1 is proved in the next corollary.

COROLLARY 5. Let $a_i, b_i > 0, i \in I \cup J, I \cap J = \emptyset$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{I \cup J} a^\lambda \right)^{1/\lambda} \left(\sum_{I \cup J} b^\mu \right)^{1/\mu} - \sum_{I \cup J} ab \\ & \geq \left(\sum_I a^\lambda \right)^{1/\lambda} \left(\sum_I b^\mu \right)^{1/\mu} - \sum_I ab \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_J a^\lambda \right)^{1/\lambda} \left(\sum_J b^\mu \right)^{1/\mu} - \sum_J ab. \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds if and only if the ordered pairs

$$\left(\sum_I b^\mu, \sum_J b^\mu \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\sum_I a^\lambda, \sum_J a^\lambda \right)$$

are proportional.

Proof. Since $\sum_{I \cup J} ab = \sum_I ab + \sum_J ab$, it suffices to show that

$$\left(\sum_{I \cup J} a^\lambda \right)^{1/\lambda} \left(\sum_{I \cup J} b^\mu \right)^{1/\mu} \geq \left(\sum_I a^\lambda \right)^{1/\lambda} \left(\sum_I b^\mu \right)^{1/\mu} + \left(\sum_J a^\lambda \right)^{1/\lambda} \left(\sum_J b^\mu \right)^{1/\mu}.$$

This inequality follows immediately from the inequality of Corollary 1 by choosing $r = \lambda, s = -\mu, p_i = 1$, and $q_i = (a_i b_i)^{-s}$ for $i \in I \cup J$. The necessary and sufficient condition for equality is a consequence of that in Corollary 1.

REFERENCES

1. A. Dinghas, *Zum Beweis der Ungleichung zwischen dem arithmetischen und geometrischen Mittel von n Zahlen*, Mathematisch-Physikalische Semester berichte **9** (1963), 157-163.
2. W. N. Everitt, *On an inequality for the generalized arithmetic and geometric means*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 251-255.
3. ———, *On the Hölder inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961), 145-158.
4. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952.
5. E. Jacobsthal, *Über das arithmetische und geometrische Mittel*, Det Kongelige Norske Videnskabers Forhandlinger, Trondheim **23** (1951), 122.
6. H. Kestleman, *On arithmetic and geometric means*, Math. Gazette **46** (1962), 130.
7. D. S. Mitrinović and P. M. Vasić, *Nouvelles inégalités pour les moyennes d'ordre arbitraire*, Publications de la Faculté d'Electrotechnique de l'Université a Belgrade, Série: Mathématiques et Physique **159** (1966), 1-8.
8. R. Rado, (see Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya [4; p. 61, example 60])
9. L. Tchakaloff, *Sur quelques inégalités entre la moyenne arithmétique et la moyenne géométrique*, Publications de l'institut mathématique de Belgrade (17) **3** (1963), 43-46.

Received February 10, 1967. The research of the authors was supported in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant AFOSR 1122-66 and Grant AFOSR 1122-67.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. P. JANS
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 22, No. 2

February, 1967

Paul Frank Baum, <i>Local isomorphism of compact connected Lie groups</i>	197
Lowell Wayne Beineke, Frank Harary and Michael David Plummer, <i>On the critical lines of a graph</i>	205
Larry Eugene Bobisud, <i>On the behavior of the solution of the telegraphist's equation for large velocities</i>	213
Richard Thomas Bumby, <i>Irreducible integers in Galois extensions</i>	221
Chong-Yun Chao, <i>A nonimbedding theorem of nilpotent Lie algebras</i>	231
Peter Crawley, <i>Abelian p-groups determined by their Ulm sequences</i>	235
Bernard Russel Gelbaum, <i>Tensor products of group algebras</i>	241
Newton Seymour Hawley, <i>Weierstrass points of plane domains</i>	251
Paul Daniel Hill, <i>On quasi-isomorphic invariants of primary groups</i>	257
Melvyn Klein, <i>Estimates for the transfinite diameter with applications to conformal mapping</i>	267
Frederick M. Lister, <i>Simplifying intersections of disks in Bing's side approximation theorem</i>	281
Charles Wisson McArthur, <i>On a theorem of Orlicz and Pettis</i>	297
Harry Wright McLaughlin and Frederic Thomas Metcalf, <i>An inequality for generalized means</i>	303
Daniel Russell McMillan, Jr., <i>Some topological properties of piercing points</i>	313
Peter Don Morris and Daniel Eliot Wulbert, <i>Functional representation of topological algebras</i>	323
Roger Wolcott Richardson, Jr., <i>On the rigidity of semi-direct products of Lie algebras</i>	339
Jack Segal and Edward Sandusky Thomas, Jr., <i>Isomorphic cone-complexes</i>	345
Richard R. Tucker, <i>The δ^2-process and related topics</i>	349
David Vere-Jones, <i>Ergodic properties of nonnegative matrices. I</i>	361