

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON UNIQUELY DIVISIBLE SEMIGROUPS ON THE TWO-CELL

JOHN A. HILDEBRANT

ON UNIQUELY DIVISIBLE SEMIGROUPS ON THE TWO-CELL

JOHN A. HILDEBRANT

A topological semigroup S is a Hausdorff space together with a continuous associative multiplication on S . A semigroup S is said to be uniquely divisible if each element of S has unique roots of each positive integral order in S . The present paper concerns uniquely divisible semigroups on the two-cell.

The main result of this paper is a statement of equivalent conditions for a commutative uniquely divisible semigroup on the two-cell to be the continuous homomorphic image of the cartesian product of two threads. This result is applied to determine the structure of commutative uniquely divisible semigroups on the two-cell whose idempotent set consists of a zero and an identity.

A U -semigroup is a semigroup which is isomorphic (topologically isomorphic) to the real unit interval $[0, 1]$ under usual multiplication. A thread is a semigroup on an arc such that one endpoint is a zero and the other endpoint is an identity.

For a semigroup S , $E(S)$ denotes the set of all idempotent elements of S . The statement " $E(S) = \{0, 1\}$ " means that the only idempotents of S are a zero (0) and an identity (1).

Throughout this paper N denotes the set of all positive integers and R denotes the set of all positive rational numbers. Hereafter the statement " S is an UDS" means that S is an uniquely divisible topological semigroup.

If S is an UDS, $x \in S$, and $n \in N$, then $x^{1/n}$ denotes the unique n th. root of x in S . If $r \in R$, $r = m/n$; $m, n \in N$, and $x \in S$, then $x^r = (x^{1/n})^m$. It is not difficult to show that x^r is unique for each $r \in R$. Define $[x] = \{x^r: r \in R\}^*$ (closure in S).

2. Preliminary results.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let S be a compact UDS such that each subgroup of S is totally disconnected. Then, for each $x \in S \setminus E(S)$, $[x]$ is a U -semigroup.*

Proof. Let H denote the maximal subgroup of $[x]$ containing the identity (e) of $[x]$, and let K denote the kernel (minimal ideal) of $[x]$. Then H and K are connected subgroups of S . Hence $H = \{e\}$ and $K = \{f\}$, where f is the identity of K .

There exists a continuous one-to-one homomorphism σ from the

additive nonnegative real numbers \bar{R} into $[x]$ such that $[x] = H\sigma(\bar{R})^*$ (closure in $[x]$) [4, Theorem 3.1]. Since $H = \{e\}$, $[x] = \sigma(\bar{R})$. Note that $\sigma(\bar{R})^* \setminus \sigma(\bar{R}) = \{f\}$ [4, Theorem 3.1].

Let $I = [0, 1]$ under usual multiplication. Define $\psi: [x] \rightarrow I$ by $\psi(f) = 0$ and $\psi(p) = \exp(-\sigma^{-1}(p))$ if $p \neq f$. Then ψ is an isomorphism of $[x]$ onto I .

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let S be a compact semigroup such that each subgroup of S is totally disconnected. Then S is an UDS if and only if each point of $S \setminus E(S)$ lies on an unique U -semigroup in S .*

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let S be a semigroup on the two-cell. Then S is an UDS if and only if each point of $S \setminus E(S)$ lies on an unique U -semigroup in S .*

3. Uniquely divisible semigroups on the two-cell. Throughout this section S denotes an UDS with identity (1) on the two-cell and B denotes the boundary of S . Note that $1 \in B$ [10]. If S has a zero (0) and $0 \in B$, then B_1 and B_2 denote the boundary arcs from 0 to 1 in S . Thus $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ and $B_1 \cap B_2 = \{0, 1\}$.

LEMMA 3.1. *If S has a zero (0) and each point of $E(S)$ lies on a thread in S containing 1, then each point of S lies on a thread in S from 0 to 1.*

Proof. Since $0 \in E(S)$, there exists a thread T from 0 to 1 in S .

Let $e \in E(S)$. Then there exists a thread T_0 from e to 1 in S . Now eT is a thread from 0 to e in S . Thus $eT \cup T_0$ contains a thread $T(e)$ from 0 to 1 in S such that $e \in T(e)$. Hence, if $e \in E(S)$, then e lies on a thread $T(e)$ from 0 to 1 in S .

Let $x \in S \setminus E(S)$. Then, by Corollary 2.3, x lies on an unique U -semigroup I in S . Let z denote the zero of I and u the identity of I . Since $z, u \in E(S)$, there exists threads $T(z)$ and $T(u)$ from 0 to 1 in S such that $z \in T(z)$ and $u \in T(u)$. Thus $T(z) \cup I \cup T(u)$ contains a thread T^1 from 0 to 1 in S such that $x \in T^1$.

LEMMA 3.2. *If $E(S) = \{0, 1\}$, then $0 \in B$.*

Proof. Suppose $0 \notin B$. Let $x \in B \setminus E(S)$. Then $B \setminus [x] \neq \emptyset$. Let $p \in B \setminus [x]$. Since $[x] \cap B$ is closed, there exists a point y in the arc from p to x on B which does not contain 1. Then $[y]$ must meet $[p]$ or $[x]$ in a point q not in $E(S)$. Thus q lies on two distinct U -semigroups in S . This is a contradiction to Corollary 2.3. Hence $0 \in B$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose S has zero (0) and $0 \in B$. If each of B_1 and B_2 is a thread, then $S = B_1B_2 = B_2B_1$.*

Proof. Now $1 \in B_1 \cap B_2$. Hence $B \subset B_1B_2$. Define $\varphi: B_1B_2 \rightarrow S$ by $\varphi((b_1b_2, b)) = b_1b_2b$. Then φ is continuous, $\varphi((b_1b_2, 0)) = 0$, and $\varphi((b_1b_2, 1)) = b_1b_2$. Hence B_1B_2 is contractible, and thus $S = B_1B_2$. Similarly, $S = B_2B_1$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Suppose S has a zero (0) and $0 \in B$. If each point of S lies on a thread from 0 to 1 in S , then each of B_1 and B_2 is a thread.*

Proof. Let x and y be distinct points of $B_1 \setminus \{0, 1\}$ such that y separates x from 1 on B_1 . Suppose $[x] \neq [y]$. Let T_1 and T_2 denote threads from 0 to 1 in S containing x and y respectively. Then, since y separates x from 1 on B_1 , $T_1 \cap T_2$ contains an idempotent f such that $xf = x$ and $fy = f$. Hence $xy = (xf)y = x(fy) = xf = x$. Thus, if y separates x from 1 on B_1 and $[x] \neq [y]$, then $xy = x$.

If $B_1 \setminus E(S) = \square$, then the fact that B_1 is a thread follows from the preceding paragraph. Suppose $B_1 \setminus E(S) \neq \square$. Let $z \in B_1 \setminus E(S)$. Then there exists a U -semigroup I in S such that $z \in I$. Let a be the zero of I and b the identity of I . Let M be the component of $I \cap B_1$ containing z , $h = \inf M$, and $g = \sup M$ in the cut-point ordering (\prec) of B_1 from 0 to 1. Since $h = \inf M$, there exists a sequence $\{h_n\}$ of points of $B_1 \setminus I$ such that $h_n < h$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h_n \rightarrow h$. Thus, by the preceding paragraph, $h_n h = h_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since multiplication is continuous in S , $h_n h \rightarrow h^2$. Hence $h = h^2$. Since $h \in I$, $a = h$. Similarly, $g = b$, and hence $I \subset B_1$. Thus B_1 is a thread. Similarly, B_2 is a thread. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

A commutative UDS S can be considered to be a generalization of a semilattice (a commutative idempotent semigroup). Indeed, if $S = E(S)$, then S is a semilattice. Consequently, Theorem 3.5 is a generalization of Theorem 3 in [1].

If S is commutative, then the kernel K (the minimal ideal) of S is a compact connected group. Hence K is either the circle group C or a point. It is not difficult to show that K is uniquely divisible. Thus, since C is not uniquely divisible, K is a point. Hence, if S is commutative, then S has a zero (0).

THEOREM 3.5. *If S is commutative and $0 \in B$, then these are equivalent:*

- (i) *each point of $E(S)$ lies on a thread in S containing 1;*
- (ii) *each point of S lies on a thread from 0 to 1 in S ;*
- (iii) *each of B_1 and B_2 is a thread;*

(iv) S is the continuous homomorphic image of the cartesian product of two threads.

Proof. (i) implies (ii). [Lemma 3.1].

(ii) implies (iii). [Lemma 3.4].

(iii) implies (iv). By Lemma 3.3, $S = B_1B_2$.

Define $\psi: B_1 \times B_2 \rightarrow S$ by $\psi((b_1, b_2)) = b_1b_2$. Then ψ is a continuous homomorphism onto S .

(iv) implies (i). Let I_1 and I_2 be threads and φ a continuous homomorphism of $I_1 \times I_2$ onto S . Let $g \in E(S)$ and $p \in \varphi^{-1}(g)$. Then there exists a thread from $(0, 0)$ to $(1, 1)$ in $I_1 \times I_2$ containing p . Hence, by Theorem 2 of [3], $\varphi(T)$ is a thread in S containing g and 1.

COROLLARY 3.6. *If S is commutative and $E(S) = \{0, 1\}$, then S is isomorphic to $(I \times I)/J$, where $I = [0, 1]$ is a U -semigroup and J is the ideal $\{(x, y): x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, $0 \in B$. By Theorem 1 in [7], there exists a thread from 0 to 1 in S . Therefore, by Theorem 3.5, each of B_1 and B_2 is a thread, and thus are U -semigroups. The map $\psi: B_1 \times B_2 \rightarrow S$ defined by $\psi((b_1, b_2)) = b_1b_2$ is a continuous homomorphism of $B_1 \times B_2$ onto S .

Suppose $\psi((b_1, b_2)) = 0$. Then $b_1b_2 = 0$. Suppose $b_1 \neq 0 \neq b_2$. Then, for each $n \in N$, $b_1^{1^n}b_2^{1^n} = 0$. But $b_1^{1^n} \rightarrow 1$ and $b_2^{1^n} \rightarrow 1$. Thus $1 = 0$. This contradiction implies that either $b_1 = 0$ or $b_2 = 0$. Hence $\psi((b_1, b_2)) = 0$ if and only if $(b_1, b_2) \in J$.

Suppose $\psi((a, b)) = \psi((c, d))$, $(a, b), (c, d) \in (B_1 \times B_2) \setminus J$. Then $ab = cd$. Since B_1 and B_2 are U -semigroups, there exist $p \in B_1$ and $q \in B_2$ such that one of the following cases hold:

- (i) $a = cp$ and $b = dq$;
- (ii) $a = cp$ and $d = bq$;
- (iii) $c = ap$ and $b = dq$;
- (iv) $c = ap$ and $d = bq$.

We will assume that case (i) holds. The proof for the other cases is similar. Thus we have $cp \cdot dq = cd$. Hence $(pq)(cd) = cd$. Let $x = pq$ and $y = cd$. Then $xy = y$. Hence, for each $n \in N$, $x^n y = y$. If $x \neq 1$, then $x^n \rightarrow 0$. Thus, if $x \neq 1$, then $y = 0$, and hence $cd = 0$. By the preceding paragraph, either $c = 0$ or $d = 0$. But $c \neq 0 \neq d$. Hence $x = 1$ and $pq = 1$. Then for each $n \in N$, $p^n q^n = 1$. If $p \neq 1$, $p^n \rightarrow 0$, and hence $0 = 1$. Similarly, if $q \neq 1$, then $0 = 1$. This contradiction implies that $p = q = 1$. Thus $a = c$, $b = d$, and $(a, b) = (c, d)$. Hence ψ is one-to-one on $(B_1B_2) \setminus J$, thus completing the proof of the corollary.

REFERENCES

1. D. R. Brown, *Topological semilattices on the two-cell*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), No. 1.
2. D. R. Brown and J. G. LaTorre, *A characterization of commutative uniquely divisible semigroups*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear)
3. H. Cohen and I. S. Krule, *Continuous homomorphic images of real clans with zero*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 106-109.
4. J. A. Hildebrant, *On compact unithetic semigroups*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear)
5. K. H. Hofmann, *Topologische Halbgruppen mit dichter submonoger Unterhalbgruppe*, Math. Zeit. **74** (1960), 232-276.
6. R. J. Koch, *Threads in compact semigroups*, Math. Zeit. **86** (1964), 312-316.
7. Anne Lester (Hudson), *Some Semigroups on the two-cell*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 648-655.
8. P. S. Mostert and A. L. Shields, *On the structure of Semigroups on a compact manifold with boundary*, Ann. of Math. **65** (1957), 117-143.
9. T. Tamaru, *Minimal commutative divisible semigroups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 713-716.
10. A. D. Wallace, *Cohomology, dimension, and mobs*, Summa Brasilia Math. **3** (1953), 43-54.

Received January 27, 1966. This paper contains part of a doctoral dissertation written under the direction of Professor D. R. Brown while the author held a National Aeronautics and Space Administration graduate fellowship.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE
KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. P. JANS

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
Rice University
Houston, Texas 77001

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

M. J. C. Baker, <i>A spherical Helly-type theorem</i>	1
Robert Morgan Brooks, <i>On locally m-convex $*$-algebras</i>	5
Lindsay Nathan Childs and Frank Rimi DeMeyer, <i>On automorphisms of separable algebras</i>	25
Charles L. Fefferman, <i>A Radon-Nikodym theorem for finitely additive set functions</i>	35
Magnus Giertz, <i>On generalized elements with respect to linear operators</i>	47
Mary Gray, <i>Abelian objects</i>	69
Mary Gray, <i>Radical subcategories</i>	79
John A. Hildebrandt, <i>On uniquely divisible semigroups on the two-cell</i>	91
Barry E. Johnson, <i>AW^*-algebras are QW^*-algebras</i>	97
Carl W. Kohls, <i>Decomposition spectra of rings of continuous functions</i>	101
Calvin T. Long, <i>Addition theorems for sets of integers</i>	107
Ralph David McWilliams, <i>On w^*-sequential convergence and quasi-reflexivity</i>	113
Alfred Richard Mitchell and Roger W. Mitchell, <i>Disjoint basic subgroups</i>	119
John Emanuel de Pillis, <i>Linear transformations which preserve hermitian and positive semidefinite operators</i>	129
Qazi Ibadur Rahman and Q. G. Mohammad, <i>Remarks on Schwarz's lemma</i>	139
Neal Jules Rothman, <i>An L^1 algebra for certain locally compact topological semigroups</i>	143
F. Dennis Sentiilles, <i>Kernel representations of operators and their adjoints</i>	153
D. R. Smart, <i>Fixed points in a class of sets</i>	163
K. Srinivasacharyulu, <i>Topology of some Kähler manifolds</i>	167
Francis C.Y. Tang, <i>On uniqueness of generalized direct decompositions</i>	171
Albert Chapman Vosburg, <i>On the relationship between Hausdorff dimension and metric dimension</i>	183
James Victor Whittaker, <i>Multiply transitive groups of transformations</i>	189