# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AW\*-ALGEBRAS ARE QW\*-ALGEBRAS

BARRY E. JOHNSON

Vol. 23, No. 1 March 1967

# A W\*-ALGEBRAS ARE Q W\*-ALGEBRAS

## B. E. Johnson

G. A. Reid has introduced a class of  $B^*$ -algebras called  $QW^*$ -algebras which includes the  $W^*$ -algebras and which is included in the class of  $AW^*$ -algebras. In this paper it is shown that the  $QW^*$ -algebras are exactly the  $AW^*$ -algebras.

We shall use Reid's notation (see [2]) without further explanation.

THEOREM. Let A be an AW\*-algebra. Then A is a QW\*-algebra.

*Proof.* Let B be a norm-closed \*-subalgebra of A. Then, using [1; Theorem 2.3] we see that A contains a hermitian idempotent P such that PA is the right annihilator of B. Since B is a \*-subalgebra we see that the left annihilator of B is  $(PA)^* = AP$ . Thus  $B_0 = AP \cap PA = PAP$  and  $B_{00} = (I - P)A(I - P)$ . It follows by [1; Theorem 2.4] that  $B_{00} \supset B$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra with identity I - P.

Using the Gelfand-Naimark Theorem [3; 244] we can consider  $B_{00}$  as an algebra of operators on a hilbert space H where the identity in  $B_{00}$  corresponds to the identity operator  $I_H$  in H. Let  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$  be a double centraliser on B and let T be the element of  $\mathscr{B}(H)$  corresponding to  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$  under the isomorphism in [2; Proposition 3]. We have  $TB \subset B$ ,  $BT \subset B$  and wish to show that there is an element S of  $B_{00}$  with SL = TL and LS = LT for all  $L \in B$ .

We may clearly suppose T to be symmetric since the general case follows by considering separately the real and imaginary parts of T. Let K be the closed linear subspace of H generated by BH and  $P_K$  the orthogonal projection onto K. Let  $\{F_{\lambda}\}$  be the spectral family of T [4; p. 275] and put  $E_{\lambda} = P_K F_{\lambda} = F_{\lambda} P_K$ .  $\{E_{\lambda}\}$  is essentially the spectral family of T considered as an operator in K. Define

$$C_{\lambda} = \{ P_{\kappa} f(T); f \in C(\sigma(T)), f(\lambda') = 0 \text{ for } \lambda' \leq \lambda \}$$

$$D_{\lambda} = \{ P_{\kappa} f(T); f \in C(\sigma(T)), f(\lambda') = 0 \text{ for } \lambda' \geq \lambda \}$$

where  $C(\sigma(T))$  is the set of continuous complex valued functions on  $\sigma(T)$ . The elements of  $C_{\lambda}$ ,  $D_{\lambda}$  are essentially functions of T in  $\mathcal{D}(K)$ . Since the elements of  $C_{\lambda}$  and  $D_{\lambda}$  are limits in the uniform operator topology of sequences of polynomials in T we see that  $C_{\lambda}B$ ,  $D_{\lambda}B$ ,  $BC_{\lambda}$  and  $BD_{\lambda}$  are subsets of B and hence of  $B_{00}$ . Using Kaplansky's result [1; Theorem 2.3] we can find an orthogonal projection  $P_{\lambda} \in B_{00}$  such that  $P_{\lambda}B_{00}$  is the right annihilator of  $BC_{\lambda}$  in  $B_{00}$ . Since  $B_{00}$  contains  $I_{H}$  we see  $P_{\lambda} \in P_{\lambda}B_{00}$  and so  $BC_{\lambda}P_{\lambda} = \{0\}$  and  $P_{\lambda}C_{\lambda}B = \{0\}$ . Thus for  $\xi \in BH$ , and hence for  $\xi \in K$ ,  $P_{\lambda}C_{\lambda}\xi = \{0\}$ . However for  $\xi \in H \bigoplus K$ ,  $C_{\lambda}\xi = \{0\}$  and

so  $P_{\lambda}C_{\lambda}\xi=\{0\}$  for all  $\xi\in H$ , that is  $P_{\lambda}C_{\lambda}=\{0\}$ .  $P_{\kappa}-E_{\lambda+0}$  is a strong operator limit of elements of  $C_{\lambda}$  [4; p. 263] so that  $P_{\lambda}(P_{\kappa}-E_{\lambda+0})=0$ . Thus, with the usual ordering of projections,  $I_{H}-P_{\lambda}\geq P_{\kappa}-E_{\lambda+0}$ .

We have  $C_{\lambda}D_{\lambda} = \{0\}$  so that  $D_{\lambda}B \subset P_{\lambda}B_{00}$  and  $D_{\lambda}BH \subset P_{\lambda}B_{00}H = P_{\lambda}H$ . Thus  $D_{\lambda}K \subset P_{\lambda}H$  and, since  $D_{\lambda}(H \ominus K) = \{0\}$  we have  $D_{\lambda}H \subset P_{\lambda}H$ . Again taking strong operator limits we obtain  $E_{\lambda=0}H \subset P_{\lambda}H$  and so  $P_{\lambda} \geq E_{\lambda=0}$ .

If  $\lambda \leqq \mu$  we have  $C_\lambda \supset C_\mu$  and so  $P_\lambda B_{00} \subset P_\mu B_{00}$ . This implies that  $P_\lambda H \subset P_\mu H$  and so  $P_\lambda \leqq P_\mu$ . If  $\lambda < -||T||$  then  $P_\kappa \in C_\lambda$ ,  $BC_\lambda = B$  and, since the annihilator of B in  $B_{00}$  is  $\{0\}$ , we have  $P_\lambda = 0$ . Similarly for  $\lambda > ||T||$ ,  $P_\lambda = I_H$ . Accordingly we can form the operator  $S = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda dP_\lambda$ , where the integral converges in operator norm, which lies in  $B_{00}$ . We also have  $T = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda dE_\lambda$ .

Suppose  $\xi \in K$ . The monotonically increasing function  $||E_{\lambda}\xi||$  has only a countable number of discontinuities and so for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ , points of continuity of  $||E_{\lambda}\xi||$  such that

$$igg| S - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (P_{\lambda_i} - P_{\lambda_{i-1}}) \, \Big\| < arepsilon$$
  $\Big\| T - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (E_{\lambda_i} - E_{\lambda_{i-1}}) \, \Big\| < arepsilon$  .

At a continuity point  $\lambda$  of  $||E_{\lambda}\xi||$  we have

$$egin{aligned} \xi &= E_{\lambda} \xi + (P_{\scriptscriptstyle K} - E_{\lambda}) \xi \ &= E_{\lambda = 0} \xi + (P_{\scriptscriptstyle K} - E_{\lambda + 0}) \xi \; , \end{aligned}$$

thus, at continuity points of  $||E_{\lambda}\xi||$ ,  $P_{\lambda}\xi=E_{\lambda-0}\xi=E_{\lambda}\xi$  and so

$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (P_{\lambda_i}-\,P_{\lambda_{i-1}})\xi = \sum\limits_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (E_{\lambda_i}-\,E_{\lambda_{i-1}})\xi$$
 .

Hence  $||S\xi - T\xi|| < 2\varepsilon ||\xi||$ , and since this holds for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $S\xi = T\xi$  for all  $\xi \in K$ . Thus we have successively  $SL\xi = TL\xi$  for all  $L \in B$ ,  $\xi \in H$ ; SL = TL for all  $L \in B$ ; and, using the fact that S, T, B are self-adjoint, LS = LT for all  $L \in B$ . Thus  $S \in B_{00}$  and determines the same double centraliser on B as T, that is  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$  is determined by  $S \in B_{00}$ .

COROLLARY. A is a  $QW^*$ -algebra if and only if it is an  $AW^*$ -algebra.

Proof. Follows from the theorem and [2; Theorem 1].

# REFERENCES

- 1. I. Kaplansky, Projections in banach algebras, Ann. of Math. 53 (1951), 235-249.
- 2. G. A. Reid, A generalisation of W\*-algebras, Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965), 1019-1026.
- 3. C. E. Rickart, General Theory of Banach Algebras, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
- 4. F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, Functional Analysis, Ungar, New York, 1955.

Received May 25, 1966.

THE UNIVERSITY
NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics

Rice University Houston, Texas 77001

J. P. JANS

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION

TRW SYSTEMS

NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 23, No. 1

March, 1967

| M. J. C. Baker, A spherical Helly-type theorem  | 1   |  |  |
|---|-----|--|--|
| Robert Morgan Brooks, On locally m-convex *-algebras                                  | 5   |  |  |
| Lindsay Nathan Childs and Frank Rimi DeMeyer, On automorphisms of                     |     |  |  |
| separable algebras  | 25  |  |  |
| Charles L. Fefferman, A Radon-Nikodym theorem for finitely additive set               |     |  |  |
| functions   | 35  |  |  |
| Magnus Giertz, On generalized elements with respect to linear                         |     |  |  |
| operators   | 47  |  |  |
| Mary Gray, Abelian objects  | 69  |  |  |
| Mary Gray, Radical subcategories  | 79  |  |  |
| John A. Hildebrant, On uniquely divisible semigroups on the two-cell                  | 91  |  |  |
| Barry E. Johnson, AW*-algebras are QW*-algebras                                       | 97  |  |  |
| Carl W. Kohls, Decomposition spectra of rings of continuous functions                 | 101 |  |  |
| Calvin T. Long, Addition theorems for sets of integers                                | 107 |  |  |
| Ralph David McWilliams, On w*-sequential convergence and                              |     |  |  |
| quasi-reflexivity   |     |  |  |
| Alfred Richard Mitchell and Roger W. Mitchell, <i>Disjoint basic</i>                  |     |  |  |
| subgroups   | 119 |  |  |
| John Emanuel de Pillis, <i>Linear transformations which preserve hermitian</i>        |     |  |  |
| and positive semidefinite operators   | 129 |  |  |
| Qazi Ibadur Rahman and Q. G. Mohammad, <i>Remarks on Schwarz's</i>                    |     |  |  |
| lemma   | 139 |  |  |
| Neal Jules Rothman, An L <sup>1</sup> algebra for certain locally conpact topological |     |  |  |
| semigroups  | 143 |  |  |
| F. Dennis Sentilles, Kernel representations of operators and their                    |     |  |  |
| adjoints  | 153 |  |  |
| D. R. Smart, Fixed points in a class of sets  | 163 |  |  |
| K. Srinivasacharyulu, <i>Topology of some Kähler manifolds</i>                        | 167 |  |  |
| Francis C.Y. Tang, On uniqueness of generalized direct decompositions                 | 171 |  |  |
| Albert Chapman Vosburg, On the relationship between Hausdorff dimension               |     |  |  |
| and metric dimension  | 183 |  |  |
| James Victor Whittaker Multiply transitive groups of transformations                  | 189 |  |  |