

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS WHICH PRESERVE HERMITIAN AND POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITE OPERATORS

JOHN EMANUEL DE PILLIS

LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS WHICH PRESERVE HERMITIAN AND POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITE OPERATORS

JOHN de PILLIS

Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} represent the full algebras of linear operators on the finite-dimensional unitary spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} , respectively. The symbol $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ will denote the complex space of all linear maps from \mathfrak{A} to \mathfrak{B} . This paper concerns itself with the study of the following two cones in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$:

- (i) the cone \mathcal{C} of all $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ which send hermitian operators in \mathfrak{A} to hermitian operators in \mathfrak{B} , and
- (ii) the subcone \mathcal{C}^+ (of \mathcal{C}) of all $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ which send positive semidefinite operators in \mathfrak{A} to positive semidefinite operators in \mathfrak{B} .

In our main results, we characterize the transformations in the cone \mathcal{C} (Theorem 2.1) and present a structure theorem concerning the transformations in the cone \mathcal{C}^+ (Theorem 2.3). Identifying operators in the algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} with appropriate square matrices, we may summarize Theorem 2.1 by saying that any and every linear transformation T which preserves hermitian matrices is of the form $T: A \rightarrow \sum \alpha_i X_i^* A^t X_i$, where each α_i is a real scalar, and each X_i is a certain rectangular matrix depending on T ; X_i^* and A^t represent the conjugate transpose and the transpose of matrices X_i and A , respectively. Theorem 2.3 says that the cone of positive semidefinite-preserving transformations \mathcal{C}^+ "generates" or spans all of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ in the sense that any T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ can be written

$$T = (K_1 - K_2) + i(K_3 - K_4),$$

where $i^2 = -1$, and each K_i is an element of \mathcal{C}^+ .

1. Preliminaries. $L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ denotes the space of linear transformations from the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We define:

1 (a). $(x \times y)$ —the dyad transformation, an element of $L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, is defined for fixed $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $y \in \mathcal{K}$ by: $(x \times y)(z) = (z, y)x$ for all $z \in \mathcal{K}$, where (z, y) is the inner product of z with y . As it turns out, $(x, y) = \text{tr}((x \times y))$, the trace of $(x \times y)$. If $A \in \mathfrak{A}(=L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}))$ and $B \in \mathfrak{B}(=L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}))$, then $(A(x) \times B(y)) = A(x \times y)B^*$.

1 (b). P_x —denotes the orthogonal projection onto the subspace spanned by x , i.e., for $(x, x) = 1$, we have $P_x = (x \times x)$.

1 (c). $[A, B]$ —is the inner product defined on \mathfrak{A} (resp. \mathfrak{B}) by setting $[A, B] = \text{tr}(B^*A)$ for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ (resp. \mathfrak{B}) where B^* is the Hilbert space adjoint of B , and $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ is the trace functional on \mathfrak{A} (resp. \mathfrak{B}). More generally, $L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ becomes a Hilbert space once we define the inner product $[A, B] = \text{tr}(B^*A)$ for all $A, B \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Consequently, for $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, and $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{K}$, so that $(w_1 \times u_1)$ and $(w_2 \times u_2)$ belong to $L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(w_1 \times u_1), (w_2 \times u_2)] &= \text{tr}((w_2 \times u_2)^*(w_1 \times u_1)) \\ &= \text{tr}((u_2 \times w_2)(w_1 \times u_1)) \\ &= \text{tr}((w_1, w_2)(u_2 \times u_1)) \\ &= (w_1, w_2)(u_2, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

1 (d). $(A][B)$ —the dyad transformation, an element of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A})$, is defined for fixed transformations $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ by $(A][B) \cdot C = [C, B]A$, for all C in B . As in 1 (a)., $[A, B] = \text{tr}((A][B))$, the trace of $(A][B)$.

1 (e). $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ —the tensor product of algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} , consists of sums of elements of the form $A \otimes B$, where $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ [2, Chapter 16]. The symbol $(A \otimes B)^\circ$ will denote the element $B \otimes A$, and can be linearly extended to any element of $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$.

1 (f). $[A_1 \otimes B_1, A_2 \otimes B_2]$ —the inner product which gives the algebra $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ a Hilbert space structure, is defined by

$$[A_1 \otimes B_1, A_2 \otimes B_2] = [A_1, A_2] \cdot [B_1, B_2]$$

for all $A_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$, and all $B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$.

1 (g). $\mathcal{S}(T)$ —the element of $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ which is defined for each T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ by $[\mathcal{S}(T), A^* \otimes B] = [T(A), B]$, for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}, B \in \mathfrak{B}$. This equation also defines \mathcal{S} as a linear transformation, sending the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ to the algebra $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$.

1 (h). $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ —the space of all linear functionals on \mathcal{H} . For each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we define the functional $\bar{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ by $\bar{x}(y) = (y, x)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, these are the only elements of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. An inner product is defined on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ by setting $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (y, x)$ for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$. Thus, $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \overline{(x, y)}$, the complex conjugate of (y, x) .

1 (i). A^t —the transpose of the operator A , is the linear operator on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ defined by $A^t(\bar{y})(x) = \bar{y}(A(x))$, for all $\bar{y} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$, and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$

[1, p. 103]. From this it follows that $(x \times y)^t = (\bar{y} \times \bar{x})$. If \bar{A} is defined to be $(A^*)^t$, then $\overline{(x \times y)} = (\bar{x} \times \bar{y})$ and $\bar{A}(\bar{x}) = \overline{A(x)}$. From this we see that for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\bar{A}^* = A^t$. In fact, set $A = (x \times y)$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$. Then

$$\bar{A}^* = \overline{(x \times y)^*} = (\bar{x} \times \bar{y})^* = (\bar{y} \times \bar{x}) = \overline{(y \times x)} = (x \times y)^t = A^t.$$

Hence, by linear extension, $\bar{A}^* = A^t$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.

1 (j). $L(\overline{\mathfrak{K}}, \mathfrak{H})$ —is spanned by the dyads $(x \times \bar{y})$, where $x \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $\bar{y} \in \overline{\mathfrak{K}}$. In this context, we identify the transformation $A \otimes B$ with the transformation $C \rightarrow ACB^t$ for all $C \in L(\overline{\mathfrak{K}}, \mathfrak{H})$, where $A \in \mathfrak{A}(=L(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}))$ and $B \in \mathfrak{B}(=L(\overline{\mathfrak{K}}, \overline{\mathfrak{K}}))$. Behind this identification is the isomorphism $\phi: \mathfrak{H} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{K}} \rightarrow L(\overline{\mathfrak{K}}, \mathfrak{H})$ defined by $\phi(x \otimes y) = (x \times \bar{y})$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{H}, y \in \overline{\mathfrak{K}}$. If for each $A \in \mathfrak{A}, B \in \mathfrak{B}$ we define the linear transformation $\mathbf{O}_{A,B}: L(\overline{\mathfrak{K}}, \mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow L(\overline{\mathfrak{K}}, \mathfrak{H})$ by $\mathbf{O}_{A,B}(C) = ACB^t$ for all $C \in L(\overline{\mathfrak{K}}, \mathfrak{H})$, then $A \otimes B$ corresponds to $\mathbf{O}_{A,B}$ in the sense that $\phi \circ (A \otimes B) \circ \phi^{-1} = \mathbf{O}_{A,B}$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi \circ (A \otimes B) \circ \phi^{-1})(x \times \bar{y}) &= \phi(A \otimes B(x \otimes y)) && \text{definition of } \phi^{-1} \\ &= \phi(A(x) \otimes B(y)) && \text{definition of } A \otimes B \\ &= (A(x) \times \overline{B(y)}) && \text{definition of } \phi \\ &= (A(x) \times \bar{B}(\bar{y})) && \text{from 1 (i).} \\ &= A(x \times \bar{y})\bar{B}^* && \text{from 1 (a).} \\ &= A(x \times \bar{y})B^t && \text{since } \bar{B}^* = B^t, \text{ see 1 (i).} \\ &= \mathbf{O}_{A,B}((x \times \bar{y})) && \text{definition of } \mathbf{O}_{A,B}. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, however, we shall treat $A \otimes B$ as though it were actually equal to the concrete linear transformation $\mathbf{O}_{A,B} = A(\cdot)B^t$. In so doing, we have

$$(x \times y)[(u \times v) = (x \times u) \otimes (\bar{y} \times \bar{v})$$

for vectors x, y, u, v in (not necessarily the same) Hilbert space.

The linear transformation \mathcal{S} (see 1(g).) will prove to be of fundamental importance. For this reason, we isolate some of its properties in

PROPOSITION 1.1. (1) $\mathcal{S}(B)[A] = A^* \otimes B$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}, B \in \mathfrak{B}$.

(2) $\mathcal{S}(T) = \sum_i E_i^* \otimes T(E_i)$ for any and every orthonormal basis $\{E_i\}$ for \mathfrak{A} .

(3) If $T(A^*) = T(A)^*$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ (i.e., if $T \in \mathcal{E}$), then $\mathcal{S}(T) = \sum_i T^*(F_i) \otimes F_i^*$ for any orthonormal basis $\{F_i\}$ for \mathfrak{B} .

(4) If $T(A^*) = T(A)^*$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $\mathcal{S}(T^*) = \mathcal{S}(T)^0$.

(5) \mathcal{J} is an isometric isomorphism from the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ onto the Hilbert algebra $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$.

Proof. From the definition 1 (g). of \mathcal{J} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{J}(B)[A], C \otimes D] &= [(B)[A](C^*), D] \\ &= [C^*, A][B, D] && \text{from 1 (d).} \\ &= [A^*, C][B, D] \\ &= [A^* \otimes B, C \otimes D] && \text{from 1 (f).} \end{aligned}$$

for all $A, C \in \mathfrak{A}$ and all $B, D \in \mathfrak{B}$. This implies Part (1).

Now let $\{E_i\}$ be any orthonormal (o.n.) basis for \mathfrak{A} . If $T = (B)[A]$ for $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $B \in \mathfrak{B}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i E_i^* \otimes T(E_i) &= \sum_i E_i^* \otimes (B)[A](E_i) \\ &= \sum_i [E_i, A]E_i^* \otimes B && \text{from 1 (d).} \\ &= \sum_i [A^*, E_i^*]E_i^* \otimes B \\ &= A^* \otimes B && \text{which, from Part (1)} \\ &= \mathcal{J}(B)[A]. \end{aligned}$$

The dyads $(B)[A]$, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, $B \in \mathfrak{B}$, span the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$, so that (using linearity of \mathcal{J}) for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$, $\mathcal{J}(T) = \sum_i E_i^* \otimes T(E_i)$, which establishes Part (2).

Part (3) follows from (2) and (4) inasmuch as if $\mathcal{J}(T^*) = \mathcal{J}(T)^0$, then $\sum T^*(F_i) \otimes F_i^* = (\sum F_i^* \otimes T^*(F_i))^0 = \mathcal{J}(T^*)^0 = \mathcal{J}(T)$

But Part (4) obtains, since for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, $B \in \mathfrak{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{J}(T^*), A \otimes B] &= [T^*(A^*), B] && \text{definition 1 (g). of } \mathcal{J} \\ &= [T(B)^*, A] \\ &= [T(B^*), A] && \text{if and only if } T(B^*) = T(B)^* \\ &= [\mathcal{J}(T), B \otimes A] && \text{definition 1 (g). of } \mathcal{J} \\ &= [\mathcal{J}(T)^0, A \otimes B]. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\mathcal{J}(T^*) = \mathcal{J}(T)^0$ and Part (4) is proven.

As for demonstrating Part (5), observe that for all $A_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{J}(B_1)[A_1], \mathcal{J}(B_2)[A_2]] &= [A_1^* \otimes B_1, A_2^* \otimes B_2] && \text{from Part (1)} \\ &= [A_1^*, A_2^*] \text{tr}((B_1)[B_2]) && \text{from 1 (d). and 1 (f).} \\ &= \text{tr}((B_1)[A_1] \cdot (B_2)[A_2]^*) \\ &= [(B_1)[A_1], (B_2)[A_2]]. \end{aligned}$$

By linear extension on each argument of the inner product, we have that for all $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$,

$$[\mathcal{J}(T_1), \mathcal{J}(T_2)] = [T_1, T_2]$$

so that \mathcal{J} is an isometry from $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ to $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$. From Part (1) it is easy to see that \mathcal{J} is also an onto transformation as well, since the algebra $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ is spanned by elements of the form $A^* \otimes B$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.1.

Our next result establishes a necessary and sufficient condition for a transformation in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ to be in the cone \mathcal{C} .

PROPOSITION 1.2. A transformation $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is in \mathcal{C} if and only if $\mathcal{J}(T)$ is hermitian.

Proof. Recall that \mathcal{J} maps $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ (isometrically) onto $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$, which has been identified as the algebra of linear operators on the Hilbert space $L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H})$ (see 1(j)). Now for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}, B \in \mathfrak{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & [\mathcal{J}(T)^*, A \otimes B] = \overline{[\mathcal{J}(T), A^* \otimes B^*]} \\ \text{(b)} \quad & = \overline{[T(A), B^*]} \quad \text{definition 1(g) of } \mathcal{J} \\ \text{(c)} \quad & = [T(A)^*, B] \end{aligned}$$

where (a) and (c) follow from the properties of the inner product, viz., $\overline{[Y, Z]} = [Y^*, Z^*]$ for all operators Y and Z . Now,

$$[T(A)^*, B] = [T(A^*), B] \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathfrak{A}, B \in \mathfrak{B},$$

if and only if $T(A)^* = T(A^*)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Finally, $[T(A^*), B]$ is equal to $[\mathcal{J}(T), A \otimes B]$, so that for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}, B \in \mathfrak{B}$,

$$[\mathcal{J}(T) - \mathcal{J}(T)^*, A \otimes B] = 0$$

if and only if $T(A^*) = T(A)^*$. This completes the proof.

REMARK. We have just shown that $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ preserves hermitian operators ($T \in \mathcal{C}$) if and only if $\mathcal{J}(T)$ is hermitian. It is not unreasonable to suspect that T preserves positive semidefinite (psd) operators ($T \in \mathcal{C}^+$) if and only if $\mathcal{J}(T)$ is psd. However, this conjecture is false, for if $\mathfrak{A} = L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$, and if $\mathfrak{B} = L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$, then for any multiplicative transformation $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ ($T(AB) = T(A)T(B)$), we have $T \in \mathcal{C}^+$; but $\mathcal{J}(T)$ will always have some negative eigenvalues. For a specific example choose $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B} = L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$, the algebra of operators on \mathcal{H} . Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ be the identity transformation $T(A) = A$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Surely $T \in \mathcal{C}^+$. Now choose the o.n. basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ for \mathcal{H} ; then $\{(e_i \times e_j): i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is an o.n. basis for \mathfrak{A} so that from Proposition 1.1 Part (2), we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T}) = \sum (e_i \times e_j)^* \otimes (e_i \times e_j) = \sum (e_j \times e_i) \otimes (e_i \times e_j).$$

The situation may be represented by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{A} = L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{T = \text{identity}} & \mathfrak{A} = L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) \\ (e_i \times e_j) & & (e_i \times e_j) \\ L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T}) = \text{transpose}} & L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H}) \\ (e_p \times \bar{e}_q) & & (e_q \times \bar{e}_p). \end{array}$$

From 1(i) and 1(j) we conclude that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T})(e_p \times \bar{e}_q) = (e_q \times \bar{e}_p)$ for $(e_p \times \bar{e}_q)$, $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, n$, in the space $L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H})$. That is, if \mathbf{T} is the identity operator on the Hilbert algebra $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$, then $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T})$ is the transpose operator on the Hilbert space $L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H})$. It is easy to see that vectors of the form $(e_p \times \bar{e}_q) - (e_q \times \bar{e}_p)$ in $L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H})$ are eigenvectors for $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T})$ corresponding to the eigenvalue -1 . $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T})$ (which is hermitian due to Proposition 1.2), is therefore not a psd operator on the Hilbert space $L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H})$.

2. The main results. We present a structure theorem which characterizes elements of the cone \mathcal{C} .

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose that $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$. $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T})$ is self-adjoint by Proposition 1.2, with spectral resolution $\sum_i \alpha_i \mathcal{P}(X_i)$, where α_i is real, $\mathcal{P}(X_i) = (X_i][X_i)$ is the orthogonal one-dimensional projection on the unit vector $X_i \in L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H})$, and the X_i 's form an o.n. basis for $L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H})$. Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$: then*

$$\mathbf{T}(A)^t = \sum_i \alpha_i X_i^* A X_i.$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $y \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & [\mathbf{T}(P_x), P_y] = [\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T}), P_x \otimes P_y] \\ (2) \quad & = \sum_i [\alpha_i (X_i][X_i), (x \times x) \otimes (y \times y)] \quad \text{from 1(b)} \\ (3) \quad & = \sum_i [\alpha_i (X_i][X_i), (x \times \bar{y})][x \times \bar{y}] \quad \text{from 1(j)} \\ (4) \quad & = \sum_i \alpha_i \text{tr}((x \times \bar{y})[x \times \bar{y}] \cdot (X_i][X_i)) \quad \text{from 1(c)} \\ (5) \quad & = \sum_i \alpha_i [X_i, (x \times \bar{y})][(x \times \bar{y}), X_i] \\ (6) \quad & = \sum_i \alpha_i \text{tr}((\bar{y} \times x)X_i) \text{tr}(X_i^*(x \times \bar{y})) \\ (7) \quad & = \sum_i \alpha_i \text{tr}((\bar{e} \times X_i^*(x)) \text{tr}(X_i^*(x \times \bar{y})) \quad \text{since} \end{aligned}$$

$$(\bar{y} \times x)X_i = \bar{y} \times X_i^*(x); \quad \text{see 1(a)}$$

$$(8) \quad = \sum_i \alpha_i (\bar{y}, X_i^*(x))(X_i^*(x), \bar{y}) \quad \text{from 1(a)}$$

Now for $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{K}$, we have that

$$(w_2, u_1)(w_1, w_2) = [(w_1 \times u_1), (w_2 \times u_2)] \quad (\text{see 1 (c)}). ,$$

so (8) becomes

$$(9) \quad = \sum_i \alpha_i [(X_i^*(x) \times X_i^*(x)), (\bar{y} \times \bar{y})]$$

$$(10) \quad = \sum_i [\alpha_i X_i^*(x \times x) X_i, (P_y)^t] .$$

Since the transpose is a self-adjoint operator, equation (10) becomes

$$(11) \quad = \sum_i [\alpha_i (X_i^* P_x X_i)^t, P_y] .$$

Thus, for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and every $y \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\left[T(P_x) - \left(\sum_i \alpha_i X_i^* P_x X_i \right)^t, P_y \right] = 0 .$$

But then,

$$T(P_x) = \left(\sum \alpha_i X_i^* P_x X_i \right)^t$$

for all $P_x \in \mathfrak{A}$. Since the transpose operator squared is the identity, we may apply it to both sides of the last equation to obtain

$$(12) \quad T(P_x)^t = \sum \alpha_i X_i^* P_x X_i$$

for all $P_x \in \mathfrak{A}$. This result extends from the set of one dimensional orthogonal projections P_x to hermitian operators; this, in turn, extends to arbitrary operators of \mathfrak{A} . Thus, the theorem is proved.

REMARK. Suppose the dimension of $\mathcal{H} = n$ and the dimension of $\mathcal{K} = m$, where \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are the underlying Hilbert spaces for the operator algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} , respectively. Relative to certain ordered bases for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} , each operator of \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} is identified with a certain square matrix. The o.n. basis vectors X_i of $L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ are then realized as certain $n \times m$ matrices; the operator X_i^* is identified with the $m \times n$ conjugate transpose matrix of X_i . Thus, Theorem 2.1 may be interpreted as saying that any linear transformation T , sending the full matrix algebra \mathfrak{A} to the full matrix algebra \mathfrak{B} is of the form

$$T(A) = \left(\sum_i \alpha_i X_i^* A X_i \right)^t$$

for certain real scalars α_i and certain $n \times m$ matrices X_i , if and only

if T preserves hermitian matrices. Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} T(A) &= \left(\sum_i \alpha_i X_i^* A X_i \right)^t \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i X_i^t A^t (X_i^*)^t \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i Y_i^* A^t Y_i \qquad \text{setting } Y_i = (X_i^*)^t \end{aligned}$$

for certain real scalars α_i and certain $n \times m$ matrices Y_i depending on T , characterizes those transformations $T: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ which preserve hermitian matrices.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ where $\mathcal{S}(T)$ is psd in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$. Then $T \in \mathcal{E}^+ \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}(T)$ is psd in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$, $\mathcal{S}(T)$ has spectral resolution $\sum \alpha_i \mathcal{P}(X_i)$ where the scalars α_i are nonnegative, $\mathcal{P}(X_i)$ is the orthogonal one-dimensional projection onto $X_i \in L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H})$ and the X_i 's form an o.n. basis for $L(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H})$. Since $\mathcal{S}(T)$ is psd, it is, *a fortiori*, self-adjoint, so that T is at least an element of the cone \mathcal{E} (Proposition 1.2). But this gives us sufficient leverage to employ the representation of Theorem 2.1. Hence, $T(\cdot)^t = \sum \alpha_i X_i^*(\cdot) X_i$ where the α_i 's are nonnegative scalars. In order to show that T sends psd operators to psd operators (i.e., $T \in \mathcal{E}^+$), it is (necessary and) sufficient to show that T sends one-dimensional orthogonal projections P_x to psd operators; to do this, it is (necessary and) sufficient to show that the operator $T(\cdot)^t$ sends these projections P_x to psd operators. But

$$T(P_x)^t = \sum \alpha_i (X_i^* P_x X_i)$$

from Theorem 2.1. Observe that each term $X_i^* P_x X_i = (P_x X_i)^*(P_x X_i)$ is psd, and hence, so is $\sum_i \alpha_i X_i^* P_x X_i$, the sum of nonnegative multiples of these psd terms. The proof is done.

We come to our final theorem which tells us that the cone \mathcal{E}^+ “generates” the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ in much the same way that the cone of psd operators (in \mathfrak{A} , say) “generates” \mathfrak{A} .

THEOREM 2.3. *Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$. Then for some $K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4 \in \mathcal{E}^+$,*

$$T = (K_1 - K_2) + i(K_3 - K_4)$$

where $i^2 = -1$

Proof. $\mathcal{S}(T)$, an element of the algebra $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{J}(T) = (U_1 - U_2) + i(U_3 - U_4),$$

where each of the U_i 's is psd in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$. Proposition 1.1, Part (5), tells us that $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ is an isometry. Since the (vector space) dimensions of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ and $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ agree, \mathcal{J} is, in fact, one-to-one and onto; thus, \mathcal{J}^{-1} exists as a well-defined linear operator. Applying \mathcal{J}^{-1} to (*) yields

$$T = [\mathcal{J}^{-1}(U_1) - \mathcal{J}^{-1}(U_2)] + i[\mathcal{J}^{-1}(U_3) - \mathcal{J}^{-1}(U_4)].$$

Now let $K_i = \mathcal{J}^{-1}(U_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Corollary 2.2 forces us to conclude that $K_i \in \mathcal{C}^+$ since $\mathcal{J}(K_i) = U_i$ is psd. Thus, for any $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$

$$T = (K_1 - K_2) + i(K_3 - K_4)$$

where each $K_i \in \mathcal{C}^+ \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Hoffman and Kunze, *Linear algebra*, Prentice Hall, New York, 1961.
2. Mostow, Sampson and Meyer, *Fundamental structures of algebra*, McGraw Hill, New York, 1963.

Received April 4, 1966. This work was supported in part by an NSF grant. Portions of this paper derived from the author's doctoral thesis (1965) which was written under Professor J. Feldman at the University of California, Berkeley.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. P. JANS

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
Rice University
Houston, Texas 77001

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

M. J. C. Baker, <i>A spherical Helly-type theorem</i>	1
Robert Morgan Brooks, <i>On locally m-convex $*$-algebras</i>	5
Lindsay Nathan Childs and Frank Rimi DeMeyer, <i>On automorphisms of separable algebras</i>	25
Charles L. Fefferman, <i>A Radon-Nikodym theorem for finitely additive set functions</i>	35
Magnus Giertz, <i>On generalized elements with respect to linear operators</i>	47
Mary Gray, <i>Abelian objects</i>	69
Mary Gray, <i>Radical subcategories</i>	79
John A. Hildebrandt, <i>On uniquely divisible semigroups on the two-cell</i>	91
Barry E. Johnson, <i>AW^*-algebras are QW^*-algebras</i>	97
Carl W. Kohls, <i>Decomposition spectra of rings of continuous functions</i>	101
Calvin T. Long, <i>Addition theorems for sets of integers</i>	107
Ralph David McWilliams, <i>On w^*-sequential convergence and quasi-reflexivity</i>	113
Alfred Richard Mitchell and Roger W. Mitchell, <i>Disjoint basic subgroups</i>	119
John Emanuel de Pillis, <i>Linear transformations which preserve hermitian and positive semidefinite operators</i>	129
Qazi Ibadur Rahman and Q. G. Mohammad, <i>Remarks on Schwarz's lemma</i>	139
Neal Jules Rothman, <i>An L^1 algebra for certain locally compact topological semigroups</i>	143
F. Dennis Sentiilles, <i>Kernel representations of operators and their adjoints</i>	153
D. R. Smart, <i>Fixed points in a class of sets</i>	163
K. Srinivasacharyulu, <i>Topology of some Kähler manifolds</i>	167
Francis C.Y. Tang, <i>On uniqueness of generalized direct decompositions</i>	171
Albert Chapman Vosburg, <i>On the relationship between Hausdorff dimension and metric dimension</i>	183
James Victor Whittaker, <i>Multiply transitive groups of transformations</i>	189