

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

RELATIVE FUNCTOR REPRESENTABILITY

JOHN LAUHLIN MACDONALD

RELATIVE FUNCTOR REPRESENTABILITY

JOHN L. MACDONALD

This paper deals with the general problem of determining conditions under which the representability of a given functor $G: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ implies the representability of a subfunctor $F: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ of the restriction of G to a subcategory \mathbf{B} of \mathbf{A} . With suitable conditions on \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for the representability of such a functor F can be obtained. A few examples are given which indicate the connection between this case of relative or induced representability and universal algebra.

If \mathbf{A} is a suitably restricted category, then a theorem giving a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for a functor $G: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ to be representable can be proved starting from the same concepts developed for relative representability in the first section. This result on absolute representability is similar to one of Benabou and has as corollary the theorem of Freyd giving a set of conditions for the existence of adjoint functors. We use the convention throughout that the functor $T: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ has an adjoint $S: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ or that T is a coadjoint of S if the Hom functors $\mathbf{A}(S-, -)$ and $\mathbf{B}(-, T-): \mathbf{B}^{op} \times \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ are naturally isomorphic.

1. Minimal factorizations. Suppose that $G: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ is a functor and that \mathbf{Ens} is the category of sets. Let \mathbf{A}_G be the category whose objects are those pairs (A, x) with $A \in \mathbf{A}$ and $x \in GA$ and whose morphisms $\alpha: (A, x) \rightarrow (B, y)$ are those morphisms $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ of \mathbf{A} such that $(G\alpha)x = y$.

\mathbf{A} has *minimal G factorizations* if for each (A, x) in \mathbf{A}_G there is a subobject $\kappa: K \rightarrow A$ of A in \mathbf{A} minimal with respect to the property that $\kappa: (K, k) \rightarrow (A, x)$ for some $k \in GK$. In addition it is required that if α and β are morphisms $(K, k) \rightarrow (B, y)$ then $\alpha = \beta$. We call $x = G(\kappa)k$ a *minimal G factorization of x* .

\mathbf{A} is *well powered* if the class of subobjects of each object is a set. The term *co-well powered* is defined dually. \mathbf{A} is *complete* if each set of objects of \mathbf{A} has a product and any pair of morphisms $\alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow B$ has an equalizer.


$G: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ is *continuous* if G preserves products and equalizers.

LEMMA. *If \mathbf{A} is a well powered complete category and if $G: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ is continuous, then \mathbf{A} has minimal G factorizations.*

Proof. Let $\lambda: E \rightarrow A$ be the intersection of the set $S =$


$\{\rho_i: A_i \rightarrow A\}_{i \in I}$ of all subobjects of A such that $\rho_i: (A_i, x_i) \rightarrow (A, x)$ for some $x_i \in GA_i$.

Let $P = \prod_{i \in I} (A_i)$ with projections ε_i . For the product $\prod_{I \times I} (A)$ with projections p_{ik} there exists a unique pair of morphisms $\alpha, \beta: P \rightarrow \prod_{I \times I} (A)$ such that




commute for each $i, k \in I$. There exists an equalizer κ of α, β with $\lambda = \rho_k \varepsilon_k \kappa: E \rightarrow A$.

For each $\rho_i \in S$ let $x_i \in GA_i$ be an element such that $\rho_i: (A_i, x_i) \rightarrow (A, x)$. Since G preserves products there is a unique $\xi \in \prod_I GA_i = G(\prod_I A_i)$ such that $x_i = (G\varepsilon_i)\xi$ for each $i \in I$. Thus $\varepsilon_i: (P, \xi) \rightarrow (A_i, x_i)$. From the diagram it follows that $p_{ik}\alpha, p_{ik}\beta: (P, \xi) \rightarrow (A, x)$ for each $(i, k) \in I \times I$. Thus $(G\alpha)\xi = (G\beta)\xi$ and since G preserves equalizers there is a unique φ such that



commutes. Thus $\lambda = \rho_i \varepsilon_i \kappa: (E, \varphi\xi) \rightarrow (A, x)$ as required.

If $\mu, \omega: (E, \varphi\xi) \rightarrow (B, y)$, then let $\tau: T \rightarrow E$ be the equalizer in A of μ, ω . Since G preserves equalizers there is a unique δ such that



commutes. Thus $\tau: (T, \delta\varphi\xi) \rightarrow (E, \varphi\xi)$ must be an equivalence since otherwise we would have a contradiction to the minimality of $\lambda: E \rightarrow A$.

A morphism $x: R \rightarrow A$ admits an image if there exists a smallest subobject $\kappa: K \rightarrow A$ such that x has a factorization $R \rightarrow K \rightarrow A$ with $R \rightarrow K$ epic.

COROLLARY. *In a well powered, complete category every morphism $x: R \rightarrow A$ admits an image.*

Proof. The minimal $G = A(R, -)$ factorization of x is the one required.

COROLLARY. (*Freyd*) *If A is well powered and complete and $J: A \dashrightarrow B$ is continuous, then for every morphism $y: B \dashrightarrow JA$ there is a minimal subobject of A which allows y .*

Proof. Let $G = B(B, J-)$.

2. Absolute representability. The functor $G: A \dashrightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ is representable if there exists an object U in A such that the Hom functor $A(U, -)$ is naturally isomorphic to G . Equivalently, $G: A \dashrightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ is representable if A_G has an initial point (cf. Mac Lane [7]).

THEOREM. *If A is a well powered and complete category, then $G: A \dashrightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ is representable if and only if*

- (i) *G is continuous.*
- (ii) *There exists a set of objects (A_i, a_i) in A_G , indexed by I , such that for each (A, x) in A_G there exists $(A_i, a_i) \dashrightarrow (A, x)$ for some i in I .*

Proof. If $\phi: A(R, -) \dashrightarrow G$ is a natural isomorphism for some $R \in A$, then $(R, \phi_R(1_R))$ is initial in A_G and the continuity of G follows from that of $A(R, -)$.

For the converse we will find an initial point for A_G . Let $B = \prod_{j \in I} (A_j)$ with projections ε_j . There exists a unique $b \in GB$ with j -th component a_j since G is continuous. Thus we have $\varepsilon_j: (B, b) \dashrightarrow (A_j, a_j)$ in A_G . By the Lemma there exists $\kappa: (B', b') \dashrightarrow (B, b)$ giving a minimal G factorization of b . If $(A, x) \in A_G$, then by hypothesis there exists $\varphi: (A_i, a_i) \dashrightarrow (A, x)$ for some $i \in I$. Thus $\varphi\varepsilon_i\kappa: (B', b') \dashrightarrow (A, x)$. If $\beta: (B', b') \dashrightarrow (A, x)$, then $\beta = \varphi\varepsilon_i\kappa$ by the definition of minimal G factorizations. Hence (B', b') is the required initial point.

This theorem has the following result of Freyd [4] as a corollary.

COROLLARY. *Let A be a well powered and complete category and let $J: A \dashrightarrow B$ be a functor. Then J has an adjoint if and only if*

- (i) *J is continuous*
- (ii) *For B in B there is a set of objects $S_B \subseteq A$ such that for $B \dashrightarrow JA$ with $A \in A$ there is $a_i: B \dashrightarrow JA_i$ with $A_i \in S_B$ and $\alpha: A_i \dashrightarrow A$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B & \xrightarrow{a_i} & JA_i \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow J\alpha \\
 & & JA
 \end{array}$$

commutes in \mathbf{B} .

3. Relative representability. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G: \mathbf{A} & \dashrightarrow & \mathbf{Ens} \\
 \uparrow \cong & & \\
 F: \mathbf{B} & \dashrightarrow & \mathbf{Ens}
 \end{array}$$

be a diagram of categories and functors such that $FB \subseteq GB$ for all B in \mathbf{B} and $F\beta$ is the restriction of $G\beta$ to FB for $\beta: B \dashrightarrow B'$ in \mathbf{B} . Such a functor F will be called a *subfunctor* of the restriction of G to \mathbf{B} . Then $\rho \in GB$ is *F distinguished* if $B \in \mathbf{B}$ and $\rho \in FB$.

Now we come to a useful set of conditions *sufficient* to ensure the representability of a subfunctor $F: \mathbf{B} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ of the restriction of a representable functor $G: \mathbf{A} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ to $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{A}$.

THEOREM. *Let \mathbf{A} be well and co-well powered and complete, and let \mathbf{B} be a full subcategory of \mathbf{A} containing a copy of $\coprod B_i$ for each set B_i of its objects. Suppose that F is a product preserving subfunctor of the restriction of $A(R, -)$ to \mathbf{B} , with the property that if ρ is F distinguished, then ρ has an image*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{\rho} & B \\
 \searrow \rho' & & \nearrow \\
 & & B'
 \end{array}$$

where ρ' is F distinguished. Then F is representable by a natural equivalence $\psi: F \dashrightarrow \mathbf{B}(R', -)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbf{B}(R', -) = A(R', -) | \mathbf{B} \\
 \searrow \cong & & \swarrow \sigma^* \\
 & & A(R, -) | \mathbf{B}
 \end{array}$$

commutes for an epic $\sigma: R \dashrightarrow R'$ in \mathbf{A} .

Proof. If $\{B_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a set of objects in \mathbf{B} , then there exists $\coprod_K (B_k)$ in \mathbf{B} with projections $\varepsilon_k: \coprod (B_k) \dashrightarrow B_k$. Then for each $\rho: R \dashrightarrow \coprod (B_k)$ for which $\varepsilon_k \rho: R \dashrightarrow B_k$ is F distinguished for all $k \in K$,

it follows that ρ is F distinguished since F is product preserving.

Let $S = \{X_j: R \twoheadrightarrow B_j\}_{j \in J}$ be the set of all F distinguished quotient objects of R . There exists a unique $\mu: R \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{j \in J} B_j$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \prod_{j \in J} B_j \\ & \searrow X_j & \downarrow \varepsilon_j \\ & & B_j \end{array}$$

commutes in A for each $j \in J$ where ε_j is the projection. But $X_j = \varepsilon_j \mu$ is F distinguished. Hence μ is F distinguished. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \prod B_j \\ & \searrow \mu' & \nearrow i_\mu \\ & & R' \end{array}$$

be an image of μ . Then μ' is F distinguished and epic in A . Thus $(R', \mu') \in \mathbf{B}_F$.

If (C, α) is an object of \mathbf{B}_F , then let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & C \\ & \searrow \alpha' & \nearrow i_\alpha \\ & & C' \end{array}$$

be an image of α . α' is epic and is F distinguished since α is F distinguished. Hence α' represents a member of S . Let $\varepsilon_\alpha: \prod B_j \twoheadrightarrow C'$ be the corresponding projection. Thus we obtain $i_\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha i_\mu: (R', \mu') \twoheadrightarrow (C, \alpha)$ in \mathbf{B}_F from the preceding three diagrams noting that

$$R \xrightarrow{\mu} \prod B_j \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_\alpha} C' = R \xrightarrow{\alpha'} C' .$$

But diagram II gives a minimal $A(R, -)$ factorization of μ . Hence if $i_\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha i_\mu$ and τ are morphisms $(R', \mu') \twoheadrightarrow (C, \alpha)$ in A_F then $i_\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha i_\mu = \tau$. Thus (R', μ') is initial in \mathbf{B}_F . If $\psi: F \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{B}(R', -)$ is the corresponding equivalence, then for $\sigma = \mu'$ it is clear that the required diagram commutes.

The category $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{A}$ is closed under subobjects means that if $B \in \mathbf{B}$ and $A \twoheadrightarrow B$ is a monomorphism in \mathbf{A} , then $A \in \mathbf{B}$.

Finally we obtain a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for relative representability.

THEOREM. *Let A be well and co-well powered and complete and let B be a full subcategory of A closed under subobjects and containing a copy of $\prod B_i$ for each family B_i of its objects. Assume that any*

morphism which is epic and monic is invertible.

If F is a subfunctor of the restriction of $A(R, -)$ to \mathbf{B} , then F is representable by a natural equivalence $\psi: F \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(R', -)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbf{B}(R', -) = A(R', -) | \mathbf{B} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \swarrow \sigma^* \\ & & A(R, -) | \mathbf{B} \end{array}$$

commutes for an epic $\sigma: R \rightarrow R'$ in \mathbf{A} , if and only if

- (i) For each ρ which is F distinguished with image

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\rho} & B \\ & \searrow \rho' & \nearrow \\ & & B' \end{array}$$

it follows that ρ' is F distinguished.

- (ii) F is product preserving.

Proof. Let ρ be F distinguished with image

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\rho} & B \\ & \searrow \rho' & \nearrow i_\rho \\ & & B' \end{array}$$

Now ρ F distinguished is equivalent to the existence of a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\rho} & B \\ & \searrow \sigma & \nearrow \rho_0 \\ & & R' \end{array}$$

The morphism ρ_0 has an image

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R' & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & B \\ & \searrow \rho'_0 & \nearrow i'_\rho \\ & & B'_\rho \end{array}$$

with ρ'_0 epic in \mathbf{A} . From the minimality of i_ρ it follows that there exists φ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & R' & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & B \\
 \rho' \downarrow & & \rho'_0 \downarrow & \nearrow i'_\rho & \\
 B' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B'_\rho & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes. The morphisms σ and ρ'_0 are epic. Thus φ is monic and epic and hence an equivalence. The object $B' \in \mathbf{B}$ since \mathbf{B} is closed under \mathbf{A} subobjects and ρ' factors through σ . Hence ρ' is F' distinguished. The converse follows from the preceding theorem.

4. Applications. Let $\Omega = \cup \Omega(n)$ be a disjoint union of sets indexed by the nonnegative integers. Then Ω is called an *operator set*. A is an Ω algebra if A is a set with functions $\omega: A^n \rightarrow A$ defined for each $\omega \in \Omega(n)$. $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of Ω algebras if α is a set mapping such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A^n & \xrightarrow{\omega} & A \\
 \alpha^n \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow \\
 B^n & \xrightarrow{\omega} & B
 \end{array}$$

commutes for each $\omega \in \Omega(n)$ and each integer n . For fixed Ω let (Ω) be the category of all Ω algebras and their homomorphisms. For further details see Cohn [2].

LEMMA. (a) *There is only one θ algebra structure on the cartesian product of θ algebras so that each of the projections becomes a morphism of θ algebras.*

(b) *If $f: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is any function, and $g: C_2 \rightarrow C_3$ is a θ algebra monomorphism such that gf is a θ algebra morphism, then f is a θ algebra morphism.*

THEOREM. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\Omega) & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathbf{Ens} \\
 \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow T \\
 \mathbf{B} & \xrightarrow{J} & \mathbf{C}
 \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of categories where \mathbf{C} is a full subcategory of θ algebras for some operator set θ , \mathbf{B} is a variety of Ω algebras, and S, T are forgetful functors. Then J has an adjoint.

Proof. The forgetful functor $S: (\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ has an adjoint W by a result of Cohn [2]. Thus there is a natural equivalence

$$\varphi: \mathbf{Ens}(TC, S-) \dashrightarrow (\Omega)(WTC, -) .$$

It is sufficient to show that $C(C, J-)$ is representable for each $C \in \mathbf{C}$. A natural equivalence between $C(C, J-)$ and F a subfunctor of the restriction of $(\Omega)(WTC, -)$ to \mathbf{B} is determined by $\alpha \mapsto \varphi(T\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in C(C, JB)$.

Let $\varphi(T\alpha)$ be an F distinguished morphism with image

$$\begin{array}{ccc} WTC & \xrightarrow{\varphi(T\alpha)} & B . \\ & \searrow \xi & \nearrow i \\ & & B' \end{array}$$

\mathbf{B} is closed under subobjects. Thus $B' \xrightarrow{i} B \in \mathbf{B}$. Under φ^{-1} the diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TC & \xrightarrow{T\alpha} & SB . \\ & \searrow \varphi^{-1}\xi & \nearrow Si \\ & & SB' \end{array}$$

$Si = TJi$. Hence $T\alpha$ and the monomorphism TJi are θ algebra homomorphisms and thus so is $\varphi^{-1}\xi$ by part (b) of the lemma. Thus $\varphi^{-1}\xi = T\alpha'$ for some $\alpha' \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\xi = \varphi(T\alpha')$ is F distinguished.

Let $\amalg B_j \mapsto B_j$ be a product in \mathbf{B} . $J(\amalg B_j)$ is the set theoretic cartesian product of the θ algebras JB_j since $S = TJ$ is forgetful on \mathbf{B} . Thus $J(\amalg B_j) = \amalg JB_j$ by part (a) of the lemma. Hence J and thus F preserve products.

It should be noted that the same result holds by the same type of argument if there are elements of Ω corresponding to infinitary as well as finitary operations.

The preceding theorem has the following result of Lawvere [6] as a corollary.

COROLLARY. *Every algebraic functor has an adjoint.*

Proof. An algebraic functor $\delta^{(f)}: \delta^{(N)} \mapsto \delta^{(M)}$ is determined by a morphism $f: M \mapsto N$ of algebraic theories. $U_N = U_M \delta^{(f)}$ for $U_N: \delta^{(N)} \mapsto \mathbf{Ens}$ the underlying set functor. A commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Omega) & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathbf{Ens} \\ \cong \uparrow & U_N \nearrow & \uparrow U_M \\ \delta^{(N)} & \xrightarrow[\delta^{(f)}]{} & \delta^{(M)} \end{array}$$

is thus obtained. This completes the proof since $\delta^{(N)}$ is a variety of Ω algebras for some operator set Ω .

Let \mathbf{B} be the category of associative R algebras and let \mathbf{C} be the category of Jordan algebras over R . We suppose that (Γ) is the category of all sets having the same number of n -ary operations defined as \mathbf{B} for each $n \geq 0$. If $M(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B})$ is the set of Jordan representations $\mathbf{C} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{B}$, then the representability of $M(\mathbf{C}, -): \mathbf{B} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ follows from that of $\mathbf{Ens}(TC, S-): (\Gamma) \dashrightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ by the relative representability theorem for $S: (\Gamma) \dashrightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ and $T: \mathbf{C} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ the forgetful functors. In terms of universal algebra the representability of $M(\mathbf{C}, -)$ is equivalent to the usual result that there exists a Jordan representation $\mathbf{C} \dashrightarrow UC$ which is universal for any Jordan representation $\mathbf{C} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{B}$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Benabou, *Critères de représentabilité des foncteurs*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **260** (1965), 1-4.
2. P. Cohn, *Universal Algebra*, Harper and Row, New York, 1965.
3. S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane, *General theory of natural equivalences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1945), 231-294.
4. P. Freyd, *Abelian Categories*, Harper and Row, New York, 1964.
5. D. M. Kan, *Adjoint functors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1958), 294-329.
6. F. W. Lawvere, *Functorial semantics of algebraic theories*, Columbia University, Dissertation, 1963; summarized in Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **50** (1963), 869-872.
7. S. Mac Lane, *Categorical algebra*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1965), 40-106.
8. B. Mitchell, *Theory of Categories*, Academic Press, 1965.

Received March 15, 1966, and in revised form June 28, 1966. This is a summary of part of a dissertation submitted to the University of Chicago in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The author is grateful to Professor Saunders Mac Lane for his helpful guidance and encouragement and to Professors P. M. Cohn and P. Freyd for the inspiration provided by their work.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO AND
GOETHE UNIVERSITÄT, FRANKFURT, GERMANY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
Rice University
Houston, Texas 77001

J. P. JANS
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr. and Bertram John Walsh, <i>Integral kernel for one-part function spaces</i>	209
Mario Borelli, <i>Some results on ampleness and divisorial schemes</i>	217
John A. Erdos, <i>Unitary invariants for nests</i>	229
Nathaniel Grossman, <i>The volume of a totally-geodesic hypersurface in a pinched manifold</i>	257
D. M. Hyman, <i>A generalization of the Borsuk-Whitehead-Hanner theorem</i>	263
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Finite groups with small character degrees and large prime divisors</i>	273
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Two solvability theorems</i>	281
William Lee Johnson, <i>The characteristic function of a harmonic function in a locally Euclidean space</i>	291
Ralph David Kopperman, <i>Application of infinitary languages to metric spaces</i>	299
John Lauchlin MacDonald, <i>Relative functor representability</i>	311
Mahendra Ganpatrao Nadkarni, <i>A class of measures on the Bohr group</i>	321
Keith Lowell Phillips, <i>Hilbert transforms for the p-adic and p-series fields</i>	329
Norman R. Reilly and Herman Edward Scheiblich, <i>Congruences on regular semigroups</i>	349
Neil William Rickert, <i>Measures whose range is a ball</i>	361
Gideon Schwarz, <i>Variations on vector measures</i>	373
Ronald Cameron Riddell, <i>Spectral concentration for self-adjoint operators</i>	377
Haskell Paul Rosenthal, <i>A characterization of restrictions of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms</i>	403