

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SOME APPLICATIONS OF A PROPERTY OF THE FUNCTOR**  
*Ef*

MYLES TIERNEY

## SOME APPLICATIONS OF A PROPERTY OF THE FUNCTOR $Ef$

MYLES TIERNEY

The functors mapping cone,  $Cf$ , and its dual,  $Ef$ , whose definitions will be recalled below, seem to have been introduced by Puppe and Nomura in 1958 and 1960 respectively. There, various basic properties of these functors were established. Here we shall prove a “ $3 \times 3$  lemma” for the functor  $Ef$  (with an obvious dual for  $Cf$ ). This will be applied in § 3 to the problem of determining a Postnikov system for  $Ef$  in terms of  $f$ , and to show that any space having a Postnikov decomposition, and whose homotopy is finitely generated, has a decomposition in which the only  $K(\pi, n)$ 's appearing have  $\pi$  a finitely generated free abelian group.

We remark at the outset, that the basic properties of  $Ef$  and  $Cf$ , including the above mentioned  $3 \times 3$  lemma, can be established in a more functorial manner than is attempted here. Since we are mainly interested in applications to Postnikov systems, we have chosen to proceed in as direct a fashion as possible. We shall study the functors  $Ef$  and  $Cf$  in a suitable abstract category in a later paper.

We shall consider the category of topological spaces with basepoint  $x_0$  in  $X$ .  $(X, Y)$  will denote the space of (free) maps topologized by the compact open topology.  $(X, Y)^\cdot$  will denote the space of basepoint preserving maps. We write  $X \wedge Y$  for the smash product of  $X$  and  $Y$ , i.e.  $X \times Y$  with  $X \times y_0 \cup x_0 \times Y$  collapsed to the basepoint.

The adjointness relations

$$(X \wedge Y, Z)^\cdot \approx (X, (Y, Z)^\cdot)$$

and

$$(X \times Y, Z) \approx (X, (Y, Z)),$$

where  $\approx$  means naturally homeomorphic to, are basic for what follows. They hold when, say,  $Y$  is locally compact and regular,  $X$  is Hausdorff [2]. In view of the importance of these relations to us, we should probably work in the category of quasi spaces [7], where this is universally valid. Due to the relative unfamiliarity of these notions, however, we will stick with spaces, and simply make sure the relations are valid when used.

Let  $I$  be the unit interval, and  $S^1$  the circle. Given a space  $X$  with basepoint, we shall abbreviate  $(I, X)^\cdot$ ,  $(S^1, X)^\cdot$ ,  $X \wedge I$ , and  $X \wedge S^1$  by the more usual  $PX$ ,  $\Omega X$ ,  $CX$ , and  $SX$  respectively. We shall write  $e_x: PX \rightarrow X$  for the endpoint projection of the space of based paths on

$X$ , and  $i_x: X \rightarrow CX$  for the injection of  $X$  to the base of the cone on  $X$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a basepoint preserving map, let

$$Ef = \{(x, \alpha) \mid x \in X, \alpha \in PY, \text{ and } fx = e_x\alpha\},$$

and denote by  $\pi f: Ef \rightarrow X$  the map  $\pi f(x, \alpha) = x$ , and by  $if: \Omega Y \rightarrow Ef$  the inclusion of the fibre  $\pi f^{-1}(x_0)$ .  $Cf$  is defined by  $Cf = CX \cup_f Y$ . That is,  $Cf$  is the identification space obtained from the disjoint union  $CX \cup Y$  by identifying the points  $i_x(x)$  and  $f(x)$  for  $x$  in  $X$ . We shall write  $jf: Y \rightarrow Cf$  for the map taking a point  $y$  in  $Y$  to its equivalence class in  $Cf$ , and  $pf: Cf \rightarrow SX$  for the map that collapses the image of  $jf$  to a point. Then,  $\pi f: Ef \rightarrow X$  is a fibration (i. e. satisfies the ACHP) with fibre injection  $if: \Omega Y \rightarrow Ef$ , and  $jf: Y \rightarrow Cf$  is a cofibration (i.e. satisfies the AHEP) with cofibre projection  $pf: Cf \rightarrow SX$ . We shall denote by  $\mathcal{E}f$  the sequence

$$Y \xleftarrow{f} X \xleftarrow{\pi f} Ef \xleftarrow{if} \Omega Y \xleftarrow{\Omega f} \Omega X \xleftarrow{\Omega(\pi f)} \Omega(Ef) \xleftarrow{\dots},$$

and by  $\mathcal{C}f$ , the sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{jf} Cf \xrightarrow{pf} SX \xrightarrow{Sf} SY \xrightarrow{S(jf)} S(Cf) \longrightarrow \dots.$$

We call  $\mathcal{E}f$  the *Puppe sequence of  $f$* , and  $\mathcal{C}f$  the *co-Puppe sequence of  $f$* , despite the fact that  $\mathcal{E}f$  was investigated by Nomura [4], and  $\mathcal{C}f$  by Puppe [6]. This is in line with recent conventions in category theory, and is explained by the following lemma, which we need later in § 3.

### 2. Lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be spaces with basepoint, and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a basepoint preserving map. Then the following sequences are naturally equivalent:*

- (1)  $(Z, \mathcal{E}f) \cdot$  and  $\mathcal{E}(Z, f) \cdot$  if  $Z$  is locally compact regular and  $Y$  is Hausdorff.
- (2)  $Z \wedge \mathcal{C}f$  and  $\mathcal{C}(Z \wedge f)$  if  $Z$  and  $X$  are countable CW-complexes or  $Z$  is compact.
- (3)  $(\mathcal{C}f, Z) \cdot$  and  $\mathcal{C}(f, Z) \cdot$  if  $X$  is locally compact regular and  $Z$  is Hausdorff. That is, each term in one sequence is naturally homeomorphic to the corresponding term in the other, and the homeomorphisms commute with the maps of the sequences.

The proof is a straightforward application of the adjointness relations on p.1, and will be omitted.

Clearly,  $Ef$  is functorial on maps. That is, given a commutative

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 \\ g_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow g_2 \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y_2 \end{array}$$

there is a map

$$(g_1, g_2): Ef_1 \longrightarrow Ef_2$$

given by  $(g_1, g_2)(x_1, \alpha_1) = (g_1x_1, g_2\alpha_1)$ , and this behaves properly with respect to compositions. With this we may prove the  $3 \times 3$  lemma, which will be applied in § 3.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 \\ g_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow g_2 \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y_2 \end{array}$$

*be a commutative diagram, and consider the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Eg_1 & \xrightarrow{(f_1, f_2)} & Eg_2 \\ & & \pi g_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi g_2 \\ Ef_1 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 \\ (g_1, g_2) \downarrow & & g_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow g_2 \\ Ef_2 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y_2 \quad . \end{array}$$

*Then in the upper left-hand corner, there is a homeomorphism*

$$\varphi: E(f_1, f_2) \longrightarrow E(g_1, g_2) ,$$

*which makes the following diagram commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(f_1, f_2) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & E(g_1, g_2) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & (\pi g_1, \pi g_2) \searrow & \swarrow \pi(g_1, g_2) \\ & & Ef_1 \quad . \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By definition,

$E(f_1, f_2) = \{(x_1, \alpha_1, \eta) \mid (x_1, \alpha_1) \in Eg_1, \eta \in P(Eg_2), \text{ and } (f_1, f_2)(x_1, \alpha_1) = \eta(1)\}$ . But  $\eta: I \rightarrow Eg_2$  is given by a pair  $(\delta_2, h'_2)$ , where  $\delta_2: I \rightarrow X_2$ , and  $h'_2: I \rightarrow PY_2$  satisfy  $g_2\delta_2 = e_{Y_2}h'_2$ . By adjointness,  $h'_2$  corresponds to an  $h_2: I \wedge$

$I \rightarrow Y_2$  with  $h_2(t, 1) = g_2\delta_2(t)$ . Hence,

$E(f_1, f_2) = \text{set of quadruples } (x_1, \alpha_1, \delta_2, h_2)$ , where  $x_1 \in X_1, \alpha_1 \in PY_1, \delta_2 \in PX_2, h_2: I \wedge I \rightarrow Y_2$ , and  $g_1x_1 = \alpha_1(1), f_1x_1 = \delta_2(1), h_2(1, t) = f_2\alpha_1(t)$ , and  $h_2(t, 1) = g_2\delta_2(t)$ .

In this form,  $(\pi g_1, \pi g_2)(x_1, \alpha_1, \delta_2, h_2) = (x_1, \delta_2)$ . In the same way,

$E(g_1, g_2) = \text{set of quadruples } (x_1, \delta_2, \alpha_1, \bar{h}_2)$ , where  $x_1 \in X_1, \delta_2 \in PX_2, \alpha_1 \in PY_1, \bar{h}_2: I \wedge I \rightarrow Y_2$ , and  $f_1x_1 = \delta_2(1), g_1x_1 = \alpha_1(1), \bar{h}_2(1, t) = g_2\delta_2(t)$ , and  $\bar{h}_2(t, 1) = f_2\alpha_1(t)$ .

And again,  $\pi(g_1, g_2)(x_1, \delta_2, \alpha_1, \bar{h}_2) = (x_1, \delta_2)$ . With  $\mu: I \wedge I \rightarrow I \wedge I$  defined by  $\mu(s, t) = (t, s)$ , the map

$$\varphi: E(f_1, f_2) \longrightarrow E(g_1, g_2)$$

defined by  $\varphi(x_1, \alpha_1, \delta_2, h_2) = (x_1, \delta_2, \alpha_1, h_2\mu)$  is the required homeomorphism.

REMARKS. Lemma 2.2 can be extended to homotopy commutative squares as follows: let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 \\ g_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow g_2 \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y_2 \end{array}$$

be a homotopy commutative square with homotopy  $h$  such that  $h(-, 0) = g_2f_1$  and  $h(-, 1) = f_2g_1$ . As in [4], there are maps  $(g_1, g_2, h): Ef_1 \rightarrow Ef_2$ , and  $(f_1, f_2, h): Eg_1 \rightarrow Eg_2$  such that in the following diagram, squares (a) and (b) are strictly commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Eg_1 & \xrightarrow{(f_1, f_2, h)} & Eg_2 \\ & & \downarrow & (b) & \downarrow \\ Ef_1 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 \\ (g_1, g_2, h) \downarrow & (a) & g_1 \downarrow & & g_2 \downarrow \\ Ef_2 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y_2 \end{array}$$

Then the extension says there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\varphi: E(g_1, g_2, h) \longrightarrow E(f_1, f_2, h),$$

which is compatible with the appropriate maps. This is proved by converting  $g_2$  to a fibration, replacing  $f_1$  by a homotopic map giving a strictly commutative diagram, and applying Lemma 2.2. We do not give the proof in detail, since we will need only the commutative case.

3. Applications.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $X$  be a space with basepoint  $x_0$ . A *Postnikov system* for  $X$  consists of spaces  $X_n$  for  $n \geq 0$  together with maps:

$$p_n: X \longrightarrow X_n$$

and

$$k^n: X_n \longrightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}X, n + 2)$$

such that

- (1)  $p_n: X \rightarrow X_n$  induces isomorphisms in homotopy through dimension  $n$ , and  $\pi_i X_n = 0$  for  $i > n$ .
- (2)  $X_0 = x_0$ , and  $X_{n+1} = Ek^n$  for  $n \geq 0$ .
- (3)  $\pi k^n \cdot p_{n+1} = p_n$ .

$k^n$  is called the  $n^{\text{th}}$  *k-invariant* of  $X$ , with respect to the given decomposition.

The author is indebted to E.H. Brown for the following method, which is a mild variation of the one used in [4], for constructing Postnikov systems. For this construction, all spaces will be assumed to be 1-connected. So, given  $X$  1-connected with basepoint  $x_0$ , take  $X_1 = x_0$  (same for  $X_0$ ) and let  $p_1: X \rightarrow X_1$  be the unique projection. Assume by induction that we have constructed  $p_n: X \rightarrow X_n$  such that

(1) is satisfied. Consider the sequence  $X \xrightarrow{p_n} X_n \xrightarrow{jp_n} Cp_n$ . One can show:  $\pi_i Cp_n = 0$  for  $i < n + 2$ , and  $\pi_{n+2} Cp_n \approx \pi_{n+1} X$ . Let  $i_n: Cp_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}X, n + 2)$  be the fundamental class of  $Cp_n$ , constructed by including  $Cp_n$  in a space of type  $K(\pi_{n+1}X, n + 2)$  formed by attaching cells to  $Cp_n$  to kill its homotopy in dimensions greater than  $n + 2$ . Let  $k^n$  be the composite

$$X_n \xrightarrow{jp_n} Cp_n \xrightarrow{i_n} K(\pi_{n+1}X, n + 2).$$

Let  $X_{n+1} = Ek^n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{n+1} & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{p_n} & X_n \xrightarrow{k^n} K(\pi_{n+1}X, n + 2). \end{array}$$

Now there is a canonical null-homotopy of  $jp_n \cdot p_n$ , and hence of  $k^n \cdot p_n$ . Let  $p_{n+1}: X \rightarrow X_{n+1}$  be the lifting of  $p_n$  given *this* null-homotopy. Clearly,  $p_{n+1}$  induces isomorphisms on homotopy through dimension  $n$ . A more involved argument shows  $p_{n+1}$  also induces an isomorphism in dimension  $n + 1$ , which completes the induction step. The advantage of this construction is the following: given 1-connected spaces with basepoint  $X$  and  $Y$ , and a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , there are induced maps in the

previous construction, which make all possible diagrams strictly commutative. In fact, assuming by induction that we have  $f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ , we may set  $f_{n+1} = (f_n, \bar{f}_n)$ , where  $\bar{f}_n$  has been constructed so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & Y_n \\ k_X^n \downarrow & & \downarrow k_Y^n \\ K(\pi_{n+1}X, n+2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_n} & K(\pi_{n+1}Y, n+2) \end{array}$$

commutes. We leave the details to the reader. The fact that we can get induced maps such that  $f_{n+1}$  has the above form for all  $n$  is necessary for applications of Lemma 2.2, and is not true, for example, of the induced maps constructed in [3].

Now consider spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  with given Postnikov systems, and a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , which induces a map on the Postnikov systems of the above form. For example, by the previous construction, any map  $f$  where  $X$  and  $Y$  are 1-connected. We would like to be able to obtain a Postnikov system for  $Ef$  by applying  $E$  to the maps in the system induced by  $f$ . This is not possible in general. However, we can prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If either*

- (i)  $\pi_n f$  is a monomorphism for all  $n$ , or
- (ii)  $\pi_n f$  is an epimorphism for all  $n$ ,

*then a Postnikov system for  $Ef$  may be obtained by applying  $E$  to the maps in the system induced by  $f$ .*

*Proof.* In either case we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ p_n^X \downarrow & & \downarrow p_n^Y \\ X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & Y_n \end{array}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . In case (i), put

$$(Ef)_n = Ef_{n+1} \text{ and } p_n^{Ef} = (p_{n+1}^X, p_{n+1}^Y).$$

In case (ii), put

$$(Ef)_n = Ef_n \text{ and } p_n^{Ef} = (p_n^X, p_n^Y).$$

Consider the induced commutative ladder in homotopy.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \pi_{i+1}X & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i+1}Y & \longrightarrow & \pi_i Ef & \longrightarrow & \pi_i X & \longrightarrow & \pi_i Y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_{i+1}X_n & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i+1}Y_n & \longrightarrow & \pi_i Ef_n & \longrightarrow & \pi_i X_n & \longrightarrow & \pi_i Y_n .
 \end{array}$$

An application of the five lemma to this diagram shows that in either case (i) or case (ii),  $\pi_i(Ef)_n = 0$  for  $i > n$ , and  $p_n^{Ef}$  induces isomorphisms in homotopy through dimension  $n$ . Therefore, in either case, condition (1) in Definition 3.1 is satisfied.

Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Ef_n & \longrightarrow & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & Y_n \\
 (k_X^n, k_Y^n) \downarrow & & k_X^n \downarrow & & k_Y^n \downarrow \\
 E\bar{f}_n & \longrightarrow & K(\pi_{n+1}X, n+2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_n} & K(\pi_{n+1}Y, n+2) .
 \end{array}$$

From the bottom row we obtain the following exact sequence in homotopy.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_{i+1}K(\pi_{n+1}X, n+2) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{i+1}\bar{f}_n} \pi_{i+1}K(\pi_{n+1}Y, n+2) \longrightarrow \pi_i E\bar{f}_n \\
 & \longrightarrow \pi_i K(\pi_{n+1}X, n+2) \xrightarrow{\pi_i \bar{f}_n} \pi_i K(\pi_{n+1}Y, n+2) \longrightarrow \dots .
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $i \neq n+1$  or  $n+2$ ,  $\pi_i E\bar{f}_n = 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_{n+1}E\bar{f}_n & \approx \text{cok } \pi_{n+2}\bar{f}_n \approx \text{cok } \pi_{n+1}f \\
 \pi_{n+2}E\bar{f}_n & \approx \text{ker } \pi_{n+2}\bar{f}_n \approx \text{ker } \pi_{n+1}f .
 \end{aligned}$$

Now in case (i),  $\pi_i Ef \approx \text{cok } \pi_{i+1}f$  for all  $i$ , and in case (ii),  $\pi_i Ef \approx \text{ker } \pi_i f$  for all  $i$ . Thus in case (i),  $E\bar{f}_n$  is a space of type  $K(\pi_n Ef, n+1)$ , and in case (ii),  $E\bar{f}_n$  is a space of type  $K(\pi_{n+1} Ef, n+2)$ . So for (i), let  $k_{E\bar{f}}^{n-1}$  be the map  $(k_X^n, k_Y^n)$ , and for (ii) let  $k_{Ef}^n$  be the same map. Then condition (2) in both cases follows from Lemma 2.2 applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & Y_n \\
 k_X^n \downarrow & & \downarrow k_Y^n \\
 K(\pi_{n+1}X, n+2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_n} & K(\pi_{n+1}Y, n+2) .
 \end{array}$$

Condition (3) in either case follows from the functorial nature of  $E$ .

Naturally, given a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  it is rare that  $f$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Nevertheless, some examples of interest do emerge.

EXAMPLES. (1) Consider the functor  $\Omega X = (S^1, X)$ . This is  $Ei$ ,



where  $i : x_0 \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion of the basepoint. The induced map is just the inclusion of the basepoint at every stage.  $\pi_n i$  is a monomorphism for all  $n$ , so that Theorem 3.1 says that a Postnikov system and  $k$ -invariants for  $\Omega X$  are obtained by applying  $\Omega$  to the spaces and maps in a system for  $X$ , as is well known.

(2) Let  $X$  be a space with a Postnikov decomposition. For any  $n \geq 1$ , an  $n$ -connective fibre space over  $X$  is obtained by applying  $E$  to the map  $p_n : X \rightarrow X_n$ , in the given system for  $X$ . Using the obvious decomposition for  $X_n$ , the induced map on Postnikov systems is just given by the maps in the system for  $X$ .  $\pi_j p_n$  is clearly an epimorphism for each  $j$ . Thus, by Theorem 3.1, a Postnikov system for the  $n$ -connective fibre space over  $X$  is given by taking  $n$ -connective fibre spaces over each piece of the Postnikov system for  $X$ . This is relatively clear in any event, and is intended only to be used in our main example, which is the following one.

(3) Let  $m$  be a positive integer, and let  $S^1 \xrightarrow{m} S^1$  be a map of degree  $m$ . Consider the co-Puppe sequence of  $m$ .

$$\mathcal{E}m : S^1 \xrightarrow{m} S^1 \longrightarrow L(m, 2) \longrightarrow S^2 \xrightarrow{m} S^2 \longrightarrow \dots .$$

Here  $L(m, 2) = Cm$  and is a Moore space with one nonvanishing integral cohomology group  $\mathbf{Z}_m$  in dimension 2. Let  $BSU$  be the classifying space for the infinite special unitary group. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(\mathcal{E}m, BSU)^\bullet \approx \mathcal{E}(m, BSU)^\bullet .$$

In particular, in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S^2, BSU)^\bullet & \longrightarrow & (L(m, 2), BSU)^\bullet \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & (S^1, BSU)^\bullet \xrightarrow{(m, 1)^\bullet} (S^1, BSU)^\bullet , \end{array}$$

$(L(m, 2), BSU)^\bullet \approx E(m, 1)^\bullet$ . To simplify notation, let  $(L(m, 2), BSU)^\bullet = BU_m$ . Then  $BU_m$  is the classifying space for complex  $K$ -theory mod  $m$ . The cohomology of these spaces for  $m = p$  a prime is computed in [8]. Now a Postnikov system for  $BU$ —the classifying space for the infinite unitary group—is determined in [5].  $BSU$  is a 2-connective fibre space over  $BU$ , so by (2) we know a Postnikov system for  $BSU$ . In [1], the homotopy groups of  $(S^1, BSU)^\bullet$  are determined. They are  $\mathbf{Z}$  in odd dimensions (except 0 in dimension 1) and 0 in even dimensions.  $\pi_i(m, 1)$  is multiplication by  $m$ , and hence is a monomorphism for each  $i$ . Clearly, the induced maps are given by  $(m, 1)^\bullet$  on each piece of the Postnikov system for  $(S^1, BSU)^\bullet$ , since such a system is given by applying  $(S^1, -)^\bullet$  to a Postnikov decomposition of  $BSU$  by (1). Thus, by Theorem 3.1 we finally obtain a Postnikov

system and  $k$ -invariants for  $BU_m$  by applying the functor  $(L(m, 2), -)$  to the decomposition of  $BSU$ . Further information on these Postnikov systems may be found in [8].

Another type of application of Lemma 2.2 is the following. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be spaces with basepoint, and let  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  be a basepoint preserving map. Let  $Z$  be another space, and  $f: Z \rightarrow Eg$  a map. Consider the fibration  $\pi f: Ef \rightarrow Z$ . Then we may decompose  $\pi f$  into a composite of two fibrations each induced by a map into a space involving only  $X$  or  $Y$ . Before doing this, we remark that in a homotopy commutative diagram with homotopy  $h$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & X_2 \\ \varphi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_2 \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & Y_2 \end{array}$$

if  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are homotopy equivalences, so is

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, h) : Ef \longrightarrow Eg \quad ([4] \text{ § 4.2}) .$$

Now, since  $Eg$  is a pullback,  $f$  is determined by two maps  $f_1: Z \rightarrow PY$  and  $f_2: Z \rightarrow X$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & & & & \\ & \searrow f & & \searrow f_1 & \\ & & Eg & \longrightarrow & PY \\ & \searrow f_2 & \downarrow \pi g & & \downarrow e_Y \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

commutative. In the following diagram, let  $\equiv$  denote homotopy equivalent with, and let  $\sim$  in a square mean that square is homotopy commutative. All other squares will be strictly commutative. Since  $PY$  is contractible, the signs  $\equiv$  follow from the previous remark about homotopy equivalences. The equivalence  $E(f_1, g) \approx E(f_2, e_Y)$  follows from Lemma 2.2.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Ef & \xrightarrow{\pi f} & Z & \xrightarrow{f} & Eg & & \\ & \parallel & \parallel & \sim & \downarrow 1 & & \\ Ek \equiv E(f_1, g) \approx E(f_2, e_Y) & \longrightarrow & Ef_1 & \xrightarrow{(f_2, e_Y)} & Eg & & \\ \pi k \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ Ef_2 & \xrightarrow{1} & Ef_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi f_2} & Z & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X \\ k \downarrow & \sim & (f_1, g) \downarrow & & f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \Omega Y & \equiv & Ee_Y & \longrightarrow & PY & \xrightarrow{e_Y} & Y \end{array} .$$

In this diagram,  $k$  is, of course,  $(f_1, g)$  up to the given homotopy equivalence. Thus, up to homotopy equivalence, we have replaced the fibration

$$Ef \xrightarrow{\pi f} Z \quad \text{by} \quad Ek \xrightarrow{\pi k} Ef_2 \xrightarrow{\pi f_2} Z .$$

This has the following obvious application to Postnikov systems. Let  $X$  be a space with a given Postnikov system, and assume the homotopy groups of  $X$  are finitely generated. Consider a piece of the Postnikov system of  $X$  at stage  $n \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{n+1} & & \\ \pi k^n \downarrow & & \\ X_n & \xrightarrow{k^n} & K(\pi_{n+1}X, n+2) . \end{array}$$

Now decompose  $\pi_{n+1}X$  into a direct sum of copies of  $\mathbf{Z}$  and cyclic groups  $\mathbf{Z}_{r_i}$  by the fundamental theorem of abelian groups. For each copy of  $\mathbf{Z}$ , take a map

$$* \longrightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, n+3) .$$

For each  $\mathbf{Z}_{r_i}$ , take a map

$$K(\mathbf{Z}, n+3) \xrightarrow{r_i} K(\mathbf{Z}, n+3)$$

induced from multiplication by  $r_i$ . The product of these is a map

$$g: K(\bigoplus_{\circ} \mathbf{Z}, n+3) \longrightarrow K(\bigoplus_{\circ} \mathbf{Z}, n+3)$$

where  $\bigoplus_{\circ} \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\bigoplus_{\circ} \mathbf{Z}$  denote, respectively, a direct sum of as many copies of  $\mathbf{Z}$  as there are finite cyclic groups in the decomposition of  $\pi_{n+1}X$ , and a direct sum of as many copies of  $\mathbf{Z}$  as there are summands of all types in the decomposition of  $\pi_{n+1}X$ . Then,  $K(\pi_{n+1}X, n+2) \equiv Eg$ , and the previous result applies. Namely, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{n+1} & & \\ \pi k^n \downarrow & & \\ X_n & \xrightarrow{k^n} & K(\pi_{n+1}X, n+2) \\ \searrow f & & \downarrow \pi g \\ & & K(\bigoplus_{\circ} \mathbf{Z}, n+3) \xrightarrow{g} K(\bigoplus_{\circ} \mathbf{Z}, n+3) , \end{array}$$

where we set  $f = \pi g \cdot k^n$ . Then, by the previous discussion, there is a map

$$k: Ef \longrightarrow K(\bigoplus_{\circ} \mathbf{Z}, n+2)$$

so that up to homotopy equivalence  $\pi k^n$  can be factored as the composite

$$Ek \xrightarrow{\pi k} Ef \xrightarrow{\pi f} X_n .$$

Thus we have proved,

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $X$  be a 1-connected space with basepoint whose homotopy is finitely generated. Then  $X$  has a decomposition into a tower of induced fibre spaces in which the only  $K(\pi, n)$ 's occurring have  $\pi$  a finitely generated free abelian group.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Bott, *The stable homotopy of the classical groups*, Ann. of Math. **70** (1959), 313-337.
2. S. T. Hu, *Homotopy Theory*, Academic Press, 1959.
3. D. W. Kahn, *Induced maps for Postnikov systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1963), 432-450.
4. Y. Nomura, *On mapping sequences*, Nagoya Math. J. **17** (1960), 111-145.
5. F. Peterson, *Some remarks on Chern classes*, Ann. of Math. **69** (1959), 414-420.
6. D. Puppe, *Homotopiemengen und ihre induzierten Abbildungen I*, Math. Z. **69** (1958), 299-344.
7. E. Spanier, *Quasi-topologies*, Duke, Math. J. **30** (1963), 1-14.
8. M. Tierney, *The cohomology of the classifying space for complex K-theory mod p* (to appear)

Received June 1, 1966. Work on this paper was supported, in part, by the National Science Foundation under grant NSF GP-5565.

RICE UNIVERSITY  
HOUSTON, TEXAS



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. ROYDEN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
Rice University  
Houston, Texas 77001

J. P. JANS  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Each author of each article receives 50 reprints free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners of publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 24, No. 2

June, 1968

John Suemper Alin and Spencer Ernest Dickson, <i>Goldie's torsion theory and its derived functor</i> . . . . .	195
Steve Armentrout, Lloyd Lesley Lininger and Donald Vern Meyer, <i>Equivalent decomposition of <math>R^3</math></i> . . . . .	205
James Harvey Carruth, <i>A note on partially ordered compacta</i> . . . . .	229
Charles E. Clark and Carl Eberhart, <i>A characterization of compact connected planar lattices</i> . . . . .	233
Allan Clark and Larry Smith, <i>The rational homotopy of a wedge</i> . . . . .	241
Donald Brooks Coleman, <i>Semigroup algebras that are group algebras</i> . . . . .	247
John Eric Gilbert, <i>Convolution operators on <math>L^p(G)</math> and properties of locally compact groups</i> . . . . .	257
Fletcher Gross, <i>Groups admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of order <math>2^n</math></i> . . . . .	269
Jack Hardy and Howard E. Lacey, <i>Extensions of regular Borel measures</i> . . . . .	277
R. G. Huffstutler and Frederick Max Stein, <i>The approximation solution of <math>y' = F(x, y)</math></i> . . . . .	283
Michael Joseph Kascic, Jr., <i>Polynomials in linear relations</i> . . . . .	291
Alan G. Konheim and Benjamin Weiss, <i>A note on functions which operate</i> . . . . .	297
Warren Simms Loud, <i>Self-adjoint multi-point boundary value problems</i> . . . . .	303
Kenneth Derwood Magill, Jr., <i>Topological spaces determined by left ideals of semigroups</i> . . . . .	319
Morris Marden, <i>On the derivative of canonical products</i> . . . . .	331
J. L. Nelson, <i>A stability theorem for a third order nonlinear differential equation</i> . . . . .	341
Raymond Moos Redheffer, <i>Functions with real poles and zeros</i> . . . . .	345
Donald Zane Spicer, <i>Group algebras of vector-valued functions</i> . . . . .	379
Myles Tierney, <i>Some applications of a property of the functor <math>Ef</math></i> . . . . .	401