Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOME COMPLEMENTED FUNCTION SPACES IN $C(X)$

Daniel Eliot Wulbert

Vol. 24, No. 3 July 1968

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 24, No. 3, 1968

SOME COMPLEMENTED FUNCTION SPACES IN $C(X)$

DANIEL E. WULBERT

et X and Z be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let P be a linear subspace of $C(X)$ which is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Z)$. In this paper conditions, some necessary and some sufficient, are presented which insure that P is complemented in $C(X)$. For example if X is metrizable, P contains a strictly positive function, and the decomposition induced on X by P is lower semi-continuous then P is complemented in $C(X)$.

D. Amir has shown that not all such spaces P are complemented when X is metrizable ([1], see also R. Arens, [4]). However, R. Arens [4] has constructed a class of subspaces of $C(X)$ which are complemented. In § 2 we present classes of complemented subspaces which extend the class exhibited by R. Arens [Theorem 4, Lemma 5, Theorem 8]. A comparison of these results precedes Theorem 8.

Suppose that X is the Stone-Čech compactification of a locally compact completely regular space Y, Z is a compactification of Y which has first countable remainder, and P is the natural embedding of $C(Z)$ in $C(X)$. In § 3 we show that if P is complemented in $C(X)$, then Y is pseudo-compact. This theorem was proved by J. Conway [6] for the case in which Z is the one point compactification of Y.

By introducing the concept of weakly separating in § 2, we are paralleling the concept of a Choquet boundary. Related results and definitions are found in [22].

1. If A and B are subsets of a topological space, cl A will denote the closure of A, and A-B will denote the set of points which are in A but not in B. If E is a normed linear space, $S(E)$ and E^* denote the unit ball in E and the dual of E respectively. If K is a convex subset of a topological vector space, ext K will represent the set of extreme points of K. If g and h are functions such that the range of g is contained in the domain of h, the composite of g and h will be written $h \circ g$. Finally, if X is a topological space and x is in X, the point evaluation functional associated with x is the linear functional x' defined on $C(X)$ by $x'(f) = f(x)$ for each f in $C(X)$. In this paper $C(X)$ will denote the Banach space of all bounded real-valued continuous functions on X normed with the supremum norm.

2. Let P be a subspace of a normed linear space E. We define $D(P) = \{b \text{ in } S(E^*)\colon b \text{ restricted to } P \text{ is in ext } S(P^*)\}$. We say that P is *weakly separating* (with respect to E) if P separates the points

of $D(P)$ intersect ext $S(E^*)$, that is, if g and h are distinct points in this intersection, then there is a p in P such that $g(p) \neq h(p)$. Although we have stated the definition for an arbitrary normed linear space, we are mainly interested in the space $E = C(X)$, where X is a compact Hausdorff space. It follows readily from the definition that a subspace P of $C(X)$ is weakly separating if for any two distinct point evaluation functionals x' and y' whose restrictions to P have norm one, there is a p in P such that $|p(x)| \neq |p(y)|$. In particular, a subspace of $C(X)$ which contains the constants and separates the points of X, or a closed ideal in $C(X)$ is weakly separating.

LEMMA 1. *Let P be a subspace of E. The following are equivalent*:

(i) *P separates the members of $D(P)$*

(ii) *P separates the members of $D(P)$ intersect* ext $S(E^*)$

(iii) ext $S(E^*)$ *contains $D(P)$*.

Proof. (iii) *implies* (i). If P does not separate the elements of $D(P)$, then there must exist distinct elements g and h in $D(P)$ such that the restriction of $g - h$ to P is the zero functional. It follows that $b = (1/2)(g + h)$ agrees with g and h on P. Hence b is in $D(P)$ but not in ext $S(E^*)$.

(ii) *implies* (iii). Now suppose that P separates the elements of $D(P)$ intersect ext $S(E^*)$. Let b be a point in $D(P)$. We are to prove that b is in ext $S(E^*)$. Let $K = \{k$ in $S(E^*)$: k agrees with b on $P\}$. Clearly K is a convex set containing b. Also K is closed, and hence compact, in the weak* topology on E^*. By the Krien-Milman theorem, K has extreme points. We will show that ext K is contained in ext $S(E^*)$. Suppose $k = (1/2)(g + h)$ where k is in ext K and g and h are in $S(E^*)$. Thus for each p in P, $1/2h(p) + 1/2g(p) = k(p) = b(p)$. The restrictions of g and h to P both belong to $S(P^*)$, and the restriction of b is in ext $S(P^*)$. Therefore g and h agree with b on P and both must belong to K. Since k was assumed to be an extreme point of K, we have $g = h = k$. We conclude that ext $S(E^*)$ contains ext K. If b is the only point in K, then b must be in ext $S(E^*)$. Otherwise K must contain two distinct extreme points. Clearly P can not separate these two points of $D(P)$ intersect ext $S(E^*)$. This proves that (ii) implies (iii).

Since the fact that (i) implies (ii) is obvious, the proof is complete.

LEMMA 2. *If P is weakly separating in E, then the weak topology on $D(P)$ induced by P is equivalent to the weak topology induced by E.*

Proof. Clearly, the weak topology induced by P is coarser than the one induced by E. To prove the converse, suppose that g_i is a net of functionals in $D(P)$ which converge with respect to the weak topology induced by P to a functional g which is also in $D(P)$. If g_i does not converge to g with respect to the weak topology induced by E, there will exist a subnet which never intersects some neighborhood (in topology induced by E) of g. Since by Alaoglu's theorem $S(E^*)$ is compact, we may assume the existence of a further subset g_j which converges to a functional h distinct from g. Since g_j is a subset of g_i, h must agree with g on P. Since the norm of h is less than or equal to one, h is in $D(P)$. Since P does not distinguish between g and h, the previous lemma contradicts the hypothesis that P is weakly separating. The lemma is proved.

In the following let X be a compact Hausdorff space.

LEMMA 3. *Let P be a weakly separating subspace of $C(X)$. The following are equivalent*:

(i) *There is a projection of norm one of $C(X)$ onto P,*

(ii) *P is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Z)$ for some compact Hausdorff space Z,*

(iii) *There exist a closed subset Y of X such that P is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Y)$ via the restriction mapping.*

Furthermore, if P is weakly separating there can exist at most one projection of norm one of $C(X)$ onto P.

Proof. (i) *implies* (iii). Let L be a projection of norm one of $C(X)$ onto P. If x' is an evaluation functional in $D(P)$, then $x' \circ L$ is a functional in $S(C(X)^*)$ which agrees with x' on P. Since P is weakly separating in $C(X)$, $x' \circ L = x'$. Hence for each f in $C(X)$, Lf agrees with f on $\{x \text{ in } X\colon x' \text{ is in } D(P)\}$, and therefore on the closure Y of this set. With a simple application of the Tietze Extension Theorem, we see that the restriction map carries P onto $C(Y)$. Furthermore, this restriction mapping does not decrease the norm of points in P. For by Lemma 1 every functional in $D(P)$ can be expressed as either an evaluation functional of a point in Y or as the negative of such a functional, and for p in P, $\|p\| = \sup\{h(p)\colon h \text{ in } D(P)\}$. We have shown that the restriction mapping is an isometric isomorphism of P onto $C(Y)$.

(ii) *implies* (i). Let Z be a compact Hausdorff space, and let L be an isometric isomorphism of P onto $C(Z)$. Let L' denote the adjoint of L. Since L is an isometric isomorphism, L' is an isometric isomorphism of $C(Z)^*$ onto P^*. Furthermore, L' restricted to $\operatorname{ext} S(C(Z)^*)$ is a homeomorphism onto $\operatorname{ext} S(P^*)$ with the weak topologies induced by $C(Z)$ and P respectively. Now for x in $\operatorname{ext} S(P^*)$, let

$H(x)$ be the unique element in ext $S(C(X)^*)$ which agrees with x on P. For z in Z let $E(z)$ denote the evaluation functional of z. Now for f in $C(X)$ consider the function $f \circ H \circ L' \circ E(\cdot)$ defined on Z. By Lemma 2 this function is continuous. The map Q which carries f in $C(X)$ onto $L^{-1}(f \circ H \circ L' \circ E(\cdot))$ is a mapping of norm one of $C(X)$ into P. Furthermore, if p is in P, then $p \circ H \circ L' \circ E(z) = Lp(z)$, for all z in Z. Thus $p \circ H \circ L' \circ E(\cdot) = Lp$, and Q is a projection of $C(X)$ onto P.

It is evident that (iii) implies (ii).

To prove the second part of the lemma, suppose that H and L are two projections from $C(X)$ onto P, both of which have norm one. Let Y be the subset of X constructed in the proof that (i) implies (iii). For any f in $C(X)$, we have shown that Lf, Hf and f all agree on Y. It of course follows that $(H - L)(f)$ vanishes on Y. However, we have shown that the restriction mapping carries P isometrically onto $C(Y)$. Therefore, $(H - L)(f)$ must be the zero function, and $Hf = Lf$ for all f in $C(X)$. This completes the proof.

We will say that a subspace P of $C(X)$ has a *weakly separating quotient* if it has the property that for any two distinct points x and y in X such that $p(x) = -p(y)$ for every p in P, then the evaluation functional of x (or equivalently the evaluational functional of y) restricted to P is not an extreme point of $S(P^*)$.

REMARK. Each of the following properties on a subspace P of $C(X)$ imply that P has a weakly separating quotient:

(i) P is weakly separating in $C(X)$,

(ii) P contains a function which is strictly positive,

(iii) for each p in P, $|p|$ is also in P.

A proof for the above remark is straightforward. In particular, any closed ideal in $C(X)$, or any subspace of $C(X)$ which contains the constants has a weakly separating quotient.

In order to state the next theorem we make a few more definitions. Let X be a Hausdorff space and let M be a partition of X into closed subsets. For x in X let $M(x)$ denote the member of M which contains x. Corresponding to the standard definitions we say that M is *lower semi-continuous* if $\{x \text{ in } X\colon M(x) \text{ intersect } U \text{ is nonempty}\}$ is an open set in X for every open set U in X.

If P is a linear space of bounded, continuous functions, then the *P-partition* of X is the partition associated with the following equivalence relation R. A couple (x, y) is in R if and only if $p(x) = p(y)$ for every p in P. Now let $K(P) = \cup\ \{K \text{ contained in } X\colon K \text{ is a member of the } P\text{-partition of } X, \text{ and } K \text{ contains more than one point of } X\}$. We will say that P has a *lower semi-continuous quotient* if the restriction of the P-partition to $\operatorname{cl} K(P)$ is lower semicontinuous.

In the following let X denote a compact Hausdorff space, and let

P be a linear subspace of $(C(X)$ which has a weakly separating quotient.

THEOREM 4. *If there is a projection of norm one of $C(X)$ onto P, then P is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Z)$ for some compact Hausdorff space Z. Conversely, suppose that X is metrizable, and that P has a lower semi-continuous quotient. If P is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Z)$, for some compact Hausdorff space Z, then there is a projection of $C(X)$ onto P which has norm less than or equal three.*

Proof. Let M denote the P-partition of X. Let X/M have the quotient topology, and let $M(\cdot)$ denote the natural mapping of X onto X/M. We observe that X/M is a compact Hausdorff space. Now let Q denote the linear subspace of $C(X)$ consisting of all functions that are constant on each closed subset of X which is a member of M. One can verify that P is contained in Q, and that the mapping which carries q in Q onto the function $q \circ M^{-1}(\quad)$ in $C(X/M)$ is an isometric isomorphism of Q onto $C(X/M)$. The image P' of P under this mapping is a weakly separating subspace of $C(X/M)$ since P has a weakly separating quotient. If there is a projection of norm one from $C(X)$ onto P, then there certainly is a projection of norm one from $C(X/M)$ onto P. By the preceding lemma, we conclude that P', and hence P, is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Z)$ for some compact Hausdorff space Z.

To prove the second part of the theorem, we assume that X is metrizable, P has a lower semi-continuous quotient, and that there is a compact Hausdorff space Z such that P is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Z)$. We maintain the same notation used directly above. Since P' is weakly separating in $C(X/M)$, and P is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Z)$, it follows from the preceding lemma that there is a projection of norm one from Q onto P. To complete the proof it will suffice to show that there is a projection from $C(X)$ onto Q which has norm less than or equal to three. We will prove a stronger result.

Let Y be a metric space. Let K be a partition of Y such that every member of K is a complete subset of Y. A member of K will be called a *plural set* if it contains two distinct points of Y. Let the restriction K' of K to the subset of Y,

$$B = \mathrm{cl} \cup \{A \text{ contained in } Y\colon A \text{ a plural set in } K\}$$

be lower semi-continuous. Assume also that B/K' is paracompact. Let Q denote the subspace of $C(Y)$ consisting of the functions which are constant on each member of K. We recall that by the notation we adopted, $C(Y)$ is the Banach space of all bounded continuous functions on Y. The following lemma establishes the theorem.

LEMMA 5. *There is a projection of $C(Y)$ onto Q which has norm less than or equal to three.*

Proof. In the usual manner we can embed B into the unit ball of $C(B)^*$. With the weak topology on $C(B)^*$ induced by $C(B)$, $C(B)^*$ is a locally convex space, B is embedded onto a homeomorphic image of itself, say B', and the closed convex hull of compact subsets of B' are again compact. Let s denote the composite of the quotient mapping of B onto B/K' with the homeomorphism, h, between B and B'.

We now show that s^{-1} is a lower semi-continuous function carrying points in B/K' onto closed subsets of B'. Let U be an open set in B'. Let

$$W = \{y \text{ in } B/K' \colon s^{-1}(y) \text{ intersect } U \text{ is not empty}\} .$$

To show that s^{-1} is lower semi-continuous we must show that W is open in B/K'. We note that $W = s(U)$. Now since K' is lower semi-continuous and $h^{-1} \circ s^{-1} \circ s \circ h(\cdot)$ carries a point b in B onto the member of K' which contains b, the set

$$V = \{b \text{ in } B \colon h^{-1} \circ s^{-1} \circ s \circ h(b) \text{ intersect } h^{-1}(U) \text{ is not empty}\}$$

is open in B. Hence $h(V) = \{b' \text{ in } B \colon h^{-1} \circ s^{-1} \circ s(b') \text{ intersect } h^{-1}(U) \text{ is not empty}\}$ is open in B'. Since this last set is $s^{-1} \circ s(U)$, $s^{-1} \circ s(U)$ is open. Since B/K' has the quotient topology induced by s, this implies that $s(U)$—and hence W—is open in B/K'. Therefore s^{-1} is lower semi-continuous.

Now since B/K' is paracompact, and since there is a metric on B' (which induces an equivalent topology for B') for which the set $s^{-1}(y)$ is complete for each y in B/K', we have satisfied the hypothesis for a selection theorem proved by E. Michael [20]. This theorem proves the existence of a continuous function t which carries B/K' into $C(B)^*$, and has property that $t(y)$ is contained in the closed convex hull of $s^{-1}(y)$ for each y in B/K'.

We now define a projection from $C(B)$ onto Q' the subspace of functions in $C(B)$ which are constant on members of K'. For f in $C(B)$, let Lf denote the function such that for each b in B,

$$(Lf)(b) = [t(s \circ h(b))](f) .$$

Since t is continuous on B/K', Lf is a continuous function. Since $t(s \circ h(b))$ is in the closed convex hull of $s^{-1} \circ s \circ h(b)$, the norm of $t(s \circ h(b))$ does not exceed one. Thus the maximum of Lf over B does not exceed the maximum of f over B. Finally, one can verify that if q is in Q', $Lq = q$, and that for each f in $C(B)$, Lf is in Q'. We have shown that L is a projection of norm one of $C(B)$ onto Q'.

Since Y is a metric space, there is an operator E of norm one from $C(B)$ into $C(Y)$ such that $R \circ Ef = f$ for every f in $C(B)$. Here R denotes the operator which assigns to each function in $C(Y)$ its restriction to B (R. Arens [3], also Dugundji [8]). Following a construction due to Arens [4], we define an operator J by $Jf = f + E(LRf - Rf)$. The proof of the lemma is completed by verifying that J is a projection of $C(Y)$ onto Q which has norm no greater than three.

In the following corollaries let X denote a compact Hausdorff space.

COROLLARY 6. *Let P be a finite dimensional subspace of $C(X)$ which has a weakly separating quotient. There is a projection of norm one from $C(X)$ onto P if and only if P has a basis $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that* $\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i \| = \max | c_i |$.

COROLLARY 7. *$C(X)$ contains a weakly separating subspace of co-dimension n which has a projection of norm one if and only if X contains n isolated points.*

Proof. To prove the necessity of the condition, let L be a projection of norm one of $C(X)$ onto a weakly separating subspace P of co-dimension n in $C(X)$. Define $Y = \mathrm{cl}\,\{x \text{ in } X\colon x' \circ L = x'\}$. We will show that $X - Y$ contains precisely n points. Since $X - Y$ is open, these points will be isolated. We observe that the range, Q, of $I - L$ has dimension n, and that if q is in Q, then q vanishes on Y. Since the functions in Q take all their nonzero values on $X - Y$, $X - Y$ must contain at least n points. If $X - Y$ contained $n + 1$ points, there would exist $n + 1$ open sets U_i in $X - Y$, and corresponding functions f_i of norm one which vanish off U_i. These functions span an $n + 1$ dimensional subspace of $C(X)$; hence there is a nonzero function f in this span that is also in P. But f vanishes on Y. By Lemma 3, the restriction map is an isometry of P onto $C(Y)$. Hence we arrive at the contradiction that f is the zero function.

If X contains n isolated points, the space of all functions in $C(X)$ which vanish on these n points is a weakly separating subspace of $C(X)$ (sinces this space is an ideal) of co-dimension n in $C(X)$. It is also clear there is a projection of norm one from $C(X)$ onto this subspace. The proof is completed.

REMARK. R. Arens [4] has constructed an example of two compact metric spaces X and Z such that $C(X)$ contains an isometric isomorphic-copy of $C(Z)$ which has a weakly separating quotient, but which is not complemented in $C(X)$. Hence the assumption that P has a lower semi-continuous quotient cannot be simply omitted from the theorem, (Also see Amir [1]).

The preceding theorem and lemma should be compared to Theorem 2.2 in (R. Arens [4]). Using the notation preceding the lemma, Professor Arens proved that under the following conditions there will exist a projection of norm less than or equal to three of $C(Y)$ onto Q:

(i) K is a partition of Y into closed subsets

(ii) Y and Y/K are metrizable

(iii) the quotient map of Y onto Y/K is upper semi-continuous[1]

(iv) if $\{x_i\}$ is a sequence in Y such that each x_i belongs to a distinct plural set in K, then a member of K which contains a limit point of $\{x_i\}$ is a singleton.

Apropos to property (ii), A. H. Stone has proved ([23]) that a metrizable space is paracompact. Property (iv) above implies that K' is lower semi-continuous. In the special case that Y is a complete metric space, the preceding lemma contains the above theorem of Arens. If Y is compact, the previous theorem includes both of these results.

In the following, let Y be a metrizable space, and K a partition of Y satisfying properties (i), (iii), and (iv) above. For each K_i in K let P_i be a complemented subspace of $C(K_i)$ which contains the constants. Let L_i denote a projection of $C(K_i)$ onto P_i. We assume that $m = \sup\{\| L_i \|\} < \infty$. Finally, let Q denote the subspace of $C(Y)$ consisting of all functions q such that the restriction of q to K_i is a function in P_i.

THEOREM 8. *There is a projection of $C(Y)$ onto Q which has norm less than or equal to $2 + m$.*

Proof. For a set Z let $B(Z)$ denote the space of bounded functions on Z. Let $D = \cup\{K_i$ contained in Y: K_i is a plural set in $K\}$. Let R and R_i denote the restriction map of $B(Y)$ onte $B(\mathrm{cl}\, D)$ and of $B(Y)$ onto $B(K_i)$ respectively (K_i in K). Let E denote a linear mapping of $C(\mathrm{cl}\, D)$ into $C(Y)$ such that E has norm one, and $R \circ E$ is the identity mapping on $C(\mathrm{cl}\, D)$. Let H be the linear mapping of $C(Y)$ into $B(\mathrm{cl}\, D)$ such that $R_i \circ H = L_i \circ R_i$ for all K_i in K. Let I denote the identity on $C(Y)$, and let $L = I + E \circ R(H - I)$. The proof consists of establishing that L is the desired projection. The variation of a function f defined on a set Z is $\mathrm{var}\,(f) = \max\limits_{z \text{ in } Z} f(z) - \min\limits_{z \text{ in } Z} f(z)$.

We proceed by proving four assertions, the last of which establishes the theorem.

Assertion 1. If x_i is in K_i, K_i is in K, y is not in D and x_i con-

[1] Professor Arens has communicated that the assumption that the quotient mapping be upper semi-continuous had been inadvertently omitted from the statement of his theorem.

verges to y, then $\operatorname{var}(R_i f)$ converges to zero for each f in $C(Y)$.

Assertion 2. $\|L_i \circ R_i f - R_i f\| \leqq 1/2(1 + m) \operatorname{var}(R_i f)$.

Assertion 3. If f is in $C(Y)$, Hf is in $C(\operatorname{cl} D)$.

Assertion 4. The operator L is a projection from $C(Y)$ onto Q of norm at most $2 + m$.

If Assertion 1 is false it will be possible be find points z_i in K_i and a function f in $C(Y)$ such that for some r greater than zero, $f(x_i) - f(z_i)$ is greater than r. Since f is continuous, we may assume that there is a neighborhood N of y such that z_i does not belong to N. Put $Z = \{z_i\}$. Since the quotient map q of Y onto Y/K is, by hypothesis, closed $q(\operatorname{cl} Z)$ is closed in Y/K. But $q(x_i) = q(z_i)$ is in $q(\operatorname{cl} Z)$, and $q(x_i)$ converges to $q(y)$ by the continuity of q. Thus $q(y) = \{y\}$ is in $q(\operatorname{cl} Z)$, and $\{y\} = q(z)$ for some z in $\operatorname{cl} Z$. But $\operatorname{cl} Z$ is contained in $Y - N$ so $z \neq y$. This contradicts the assumption that y is not in D.

To prove the second assertion, let $c = 1/2 \operatorname{var}(R_i f)$. Since 1 is in P_i, $L_i \circ R_i 1 = 1$. Hence

$$\|L_i \circ R_i f - R_i f\| = \|L_i \circ R_i(f - c) - R_i(f - c)\| \leqq \|L_i - I\| \cdot \|R_i(f - c)\| \leqq (m + 1)(1/2) \operatorname{var}(R_i f) .$$

To prove Assertion 3 let y be a point in $\operatorname{cl} D$. We distinguish two cases. Case 1, y is in D. Let y be in the plural set K_i of the partition K. From the assumption of property (iv) it follows that there is an open set U containing K_i which meets no other plural set in K. Now let f be in $C(Y)$ and let N be a neighborhood of $Hf(y)$. Let V be a neighborhood of y such that $(L_i \circ R_i f)(V \cap K_i)$ is contained in N. Put $W = V \cap U$ and let x be an arbitrary point in W intersect $\operatorname{cl} D$. Then x is in U, and x is in the closed set K_i. This shows that $W \cap \operatorname{cl} D$ is contained in $K_i \cap V$. Hence on $W \cap \operatorname{cl} D$, $Hf = L_i \circ R_i f$. Thus $Hf(W \cap \operatorname{cl} D)$ is contained in $L_i \circ R_i f(K_i \cap V)$ which in turn is contained in N.

Case 2, y is not in D. In this case $\{y\}$ is in K, and $Hf(y) = f(y)$, since each P_i contains the constant functions. Let x_i converge to y. Then

$$|Hf(x_i) - Hf(y)| \leqq |Hf(x_i) - f(x_i)| + |f(x_i) - f(y)| .$$

It is clear that $f(x_i)$ converges to $f(y)$. For the other term we use Assertions 1 and 2 above to write, with x_i in K_i (and K_i in K),

$$\begin{aligned} |Hf(x_i) - f(x_i)| &\leqq |L_i \circ R_i f(x_i) - R_i f(x_i)| \\ &\leqq (1/2)(m+1)\operatorname{var}(R_i f)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since this last term converges to zero, Hf is continuous at y.

To prove Assertion 4, we first observe that linearity and bound for L are obvious. If f is in $C(Y)$ we must show that Lf is in Q. Indeed,

$$R \circ L = R + R \circ H - R = R \circ H\,.$$

Hence

$$R_i \circ L = R_i \circ R \circ L = R_i \circ R \circ H = L_i \circ R_i$$

for each plural set K_i in K. Thus $R_i \circ Lf$ is in P_i for each plural set K_i in K. If K_i is a member of K which is not a plural set then, $R_i \circ Lf$ is in P_i trivially since P_i contains the constants.

Now we must show that if f is in Q then $Lf = f$. Since $R_i f$ is in P_i for all K_i in K, $R_i \circ Hf = L_i \circ R_i f = R_i f$. Thus $R \circ Hf = Rf$, and $Lf = f + E(Rf - Rf) = f$. This completes the proof of the theorem

REMARK. The assumption that Y is metrizable was used only to guarantee the existence of the linear mapping E. If we drop the hypothesis that Y is metrizable and assume outright the existence of a bounded linear mapping E from $C(\operatorname{cl} D)$ into $C(Y)$ such that $R \circ E$ is the identity on $C(\operatorname{cl} D)$, then the same proof establishes the existence of a projection from $C(Y)$ onto Q which has norm less than or equal to $1 + (m+1)\,||E||$.

COROLLARY 9. *Let Y, K, K_i, P_i, and Q be as in the theorem. If each P_i has dimension less than n, then there is a projection of norm at most $n + 1$ from $C(Y)$ onto Q.*

3. Let X be a locally compact, Hausdorff space. A compactification of X is a compact Hausdorff space that contains X (a homeomorphic image of X) as a dense subspace. The Stone-Čech compactification of X will be denoted by βX, and the one-point compactification will be denoted by pX.

If K is an arbitrary compactification of X, the linear mapping which carries a function in $C(K)$ onto the unique function in $C(\beta X)$ which agrees with it on X, is an isometric isomorphism of $C(K)$ into $C(\beta X)$. We will therefore assume that $C(\beta X)$ contains $C(K)$.

If Y is a closed subset of a compact Hausdorff space K, I_Y will denote the ideal of functions in $C(K)$ which vanish on Y. Let N denote the non-negative integers with the discrete topology. If K is a compactification of X, the remainder of K (with respect to X) is the topological space $K - X$ equipped with the relative topology from K. In accordance with the usual terminology let $(m) = C(\beta N)$, $(c) = C(pN)$, and $(c_0) = I_{pN-N} = I_{\beta N-N}$, where the ideals are interpreted as subspaces of $C(pN)$ and $C(\beta N)$ respectively.

THEOREM 10. *Let K be a compactification of X which has a first countable remainder. If there is a bounded linear mapping of $C(\beta X)$ into $C(K)$ which acts as the identity on $I_{\beta X-X}$, then X is pseudocompact.*

We first will prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 11. *Let M be a compactification of N which has a first countable remainder. There does not exist a bounded linear mapping of (m) onto any subspace of $C(M)$ which contains (c_0).*

Proof of lemma. Since N is both locally compact and the union of a countable family of compact sets, $M - N$ is a compact set which is the intersection of a countable family U of open sets in M. Let x be a point in $M - N$. Let V be a countable family of open sets in M whose intersections with $M - N$ form a basis for the neighborhood system for x in $M - N$. Let W be the countable family of open sets in M of the form u intersect v, where u is in U and v is in V. It is easy to see that the intersection of the members of W is the singleton containing x. A compactness argument shows that W is in fact a basis for the neighborhood system for x in M. Since N is first countable we have established that M is first countable. Hence M is sequentially compact.

There is a sequence of points in N, say J, which converges to some point k in M. Now suppose B is a subspace of $C(M)$ which contains (c_0). The restriction of functions in B to J union $\{k\}$ carries B onto a Banach space which is either isometrically isomorphic to (c) or to (c_0). In the former case since (c_0) is complemented in (c), there is a bounded linear mapping of B onto (c_0). In either case if there is a bounded linear mapping of (m) onto B, there is a bounded linear mapping, L, of (m) onto (c_0). But no such mapping can exist. For since (c_0) is a separable Banach space and βN is extremally disconnected, L must be weakly compact (Grothendieck [14], p. 168, Cor. 1). Now an application of the open mapping theorem implies the false assertion that (c_0) is reflexive. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of theorem. If X is not pseudocompact there is countable family of disjoint open sets V_i in X such that $\mathrm{cl} \cup \{V_i\} = \cup \{\mathrm{cl}\, V_i\}$. For each i let U_i be an open set such that $\mathrm{cl}\, U_i \subseteqq V_i$, let u_i be in U_i, and let f_i be a continuous function which vanishes off U_i and attains its norm of one at u_i. For a bounded sequence $x = (x_i, x_2 \cdots)$ in (m), let Ax be the unique function in $C(\beta X)$ which agrees with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i f_i$ on X. The mapping A is an isometric isomorphism of (m) onto the range of A. Let L be the hypothesized mapping of the theorem, and let J carry a function in $C(\beta X)$ onto its restriction to $\mathrm{cl}\,\{u_i\}$. Since $\mathrm{cl}\,\{u_i\} - \{u_i\}$ is contained in $K - X$, $\mathrm{cl}\,\{u_i\}$ is homeomorphic to a compactification M of N which has first countable remainder. Let G be the isometric isomorphism of $C(\mathrm{cl}\,\{u_i\})$ onto $C(M)$ induced by this homeomorphism. The proof is completed by verifying that $G \circ J \circ L \circ A$ is a bounded linear mapping of (m) onto a subspace of $C(M)$ which contains (c_0).

The case in which K is the one-point compactification of X was first proved by J. Conway ([6]). Examples to show that pseudocompactness of X is not sufficient to guarantee the existence of a projection from $C(\beta X)$ onto $I_{\beta X - X}$ have been constructed by J. Conway ([6]) and by A. Pełczýnski and V. N. Sudakov ([21]).

COROLLARY 12. *Let X be an extremally disconnected, compact, Hausdorff space, and let P be a subspace of $C(X)$ which contains the constants and separates the points of X. If P is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Z)$ for some compact Hausdorff space Z, then the Šilov boundary of P is an extremally disconnected subset of X which has a pseudo-compact complement.*

Proof. Under the hypothesis of the corollary, the Šilov boundary of P is the set Y of Lemma 3. To show that Y is extremally disconnected, we intend to apply a theorem due to Nachbin (Trans. AMS, 68 (1950), 28–46, 1950), Goodner ([13]), Kelley ([11]) and others. A Banach space B is called injective if every Banach space which contains an isometric isomorphic copy B' of B, admits a projection of norm one onto B'. The theorem we wish to apply states that a Banach space is injective if and only if it is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Z)$, for a compact, extremally disconnected, Hausdorff space Z. Now $C(X)$ is injective and from Lemma 3 there is a projection of norm one from $C(X)$ onto P. From this it can be shown that $C(Y)$ is injective, and hence Y is extremally disconnected.

From Lemma 3 it follows that I_Y is complemented in $C(X)$. Let $G = X - Y$. Since $\mathrm{cl}\, G$ is open in X, $I_{\mathrm{cl}\, G - G}$ is complemented in $C(\mathrm{cl}\, G)$. Since $\mathrm{cl}\, G$ is extremally disconnected, it is the Stone-Čech compactification of G ([10], p. 69, Prob. 6M2). By the theorem, G is pseudocompact (in this case K is the one-point compactification of G), and the corollary is proved.

COROLLARY 13. *If X is a locally compact space such that βX has a first countable remainder, then X is pseudocompact.*

REMARK. Relevant to the last corollary, we observe that if Z is any compact Hausdorff space, there is a pseudocompact, locally compact space X such that $\beta X - X$ is homeomorphic to Z. For let y be a nonisolated point in βN and let $X = (\beta N - \{y\}) \times Z$. From results in ([11]) and ([10], 6M3) we have that X is pseudocompact, and $\beta X = \beta N \times Z$.

The author is grateful to Professor E. Ward Cheney for his interest and suggestions concerning this paper.

REFERENCES

1. D. Amir, *Continuous function spaces with the bounded extension property,* Israel Research Council Bull. **10** (1962) 133-183.
2. ———, *Projections onto continuous function spaces,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964) 396-402.
3. R. Arens, *Extensions of function on fully normal spaces,* Pacific J. Math. **3** (1952), 11-22.
4. ———, *Projections on continuous function spaces,* Duke Math. J. **32** (1965), 469-78.
5. W. W. Comfort, *Retractions and other continuous maps from βX onto $\beta X - X$,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 1-9.
6. J. Conway, *Projections and retractions,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 843-7.
7. D. W. Dean, *Subspaces of $C(H)$ which are direct factors of $C(H)$,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 237-42.
8. J. Dugundji, *An extension of Tietze's theorem,* Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 353-67.
9. N. Dunford, and J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators,* I, New York, 1958.
10. L. Gillman, and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions,* Princeton, 1960.
11. I. Glicksberg, *Stone-Čech compactifications of products,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1959), 369-82.
12. ———, *Some uncomplemented function algebras,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1964), 121-37.
13. D. B. Goodner, *Projections in normed linear spaces,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1950), 89-108.
14. A. Grothendieck, *Sur les applications lineaires faiblement compactes d'espaces du type $C(K)$,* Canad. J. Math. **5** (1953), 129-73.
15. B. Grunbaum, *Projections onto some function spaces,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 316-34.
16. J. R. Isbell, and Z. Semadeni, *Projection constants and spaces of continuous functions,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1963), 38-48.
17. J. L. Kelley, *Banach spaces with the extension property,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952), 323-6.
18. E. Lacey and P. D. Morris, *Continuous linear operators on spaces of continuous functions,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 848-53.
19. E. Michael, *Some extension theorems for continuous functions,* Pacific J. Math. **3** (1953), 789-806.
20. ———, *A selection theorem,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 1404-7.
21. A. Pełczyński and V. N. Sudakov, *Remarks on non-complemented subspaces of the space $m(S)$,* Colloq. Math. **9** (1962), 85-88.

22. R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's Theorem*, Princeton, 1966.

23. A. H. Stone, *Paracompactness and product spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1948), 969-77.

L. Nachbin, *A theorem of the Hahn Banach type for linear* transformations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 28-46.

K. Gęba and Z. Semadoni, *Spaces of continuous functions V*, Studia Math. **19** (1960), 303-320.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

Received August 16, 1967. This research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under grant number AFOSR 1109-67. The author also appreciates the hospitality of the University of Lausanne where he was a guest during the preparation of this manuscript.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Each author of each article receives 50 reprints free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners of publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 24, No. 3 July, 1968