Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON A CLASS OF CONVOLUTION TRANSFORMS

ZEEV DITZIAN

Vol. 25, No. 1

September 1968

ON A CLASS OF CONVOLUTION TRANSFORMS

ZEEV DITZIAN

In this paper the convolution transform

(1.1)
$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-t)\varphi(t)dt \equiv (G^*\varphi)(x)$$

whose kernel ${\cal G}(t)$ is the Fourier transform of $[{\cal E}(iy)]^{-1}$ where ${\cal E}(s)$ is defined by

(1.2)
$$E(s) = e^{bs} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - s/a_k) \exp(sRe \, a_k^{-1}) ,$$
$$Reb = b \text{ and } \sum |a_k|^{-2} < \infty$$

will be studied. An inversion theory similar to that achieved when a_k of (1.2) are real will be obtained. The results will show that under certain rather weak conditions, an infinite subsequence $a_{k(i)}$ of a_k can satisfy

$$\min\{|\arg a_{k(i)}|, |\arg - a_{k(i)}|\} \geq \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

Classes of transforms will be introduced that allow the occurrence of $\min\{|\arg a_k|, |\arg - a_k|\} \ge \pi/4$ for all k.

We hope this will partly answer a problem set by Dauns and Widder [1] in Remark 1, page 441.

The inversion operator $P_m(D)$ is defined by

(1.3)
$$P_m(D) = \exp\left((b - b_m)D\right) \prod_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{D}{a_k}\right) \exp\left(\left(Re\frac{1}{a_k}\right)D\right)$$

where $D \equiv d/dx$, $\exp(kD)f(x) = f(x + k)$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty} b_m = 0$.

The inversion formula will be

(1.4)
$$\lim_{i\to\infty} P_{m(i)}(D)f(x) = \varphi(x) .$$

This inversion formula was achieved under general conditions on $\varphi(x)$ in the case a_k were real by I. I. Hirschman and D. V. Widder in a series of papers and in their book, "The convolution transform" [7]. Hirschman and Widder [6] also found a slightly changed version of (1.4) when $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Im} a_k/\operatorname{Re} a_k)^2 < \infty$. A. O. Garder [5] showed that if $a_{2k-1} = \overline{a}_{2k}$ then arg a_{2k} can tend to 0 or π slower than is required in [6]. Dauns and Widder [1] showed that if $a_{2k-1} = -a_{2k}$, $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} a_{2k-1} \in \uparrow$ and $|\arg a_{2k-1}| < (\pi/4) - \eta$, where η is independent of k, then (1.4) can be achieved.

It will be noted that in [1] and [5] the a_k 's were in a special order. The order of the a_k 's, though having no influence on E(s),

may be quite important when treating (1.4) as discussed with some examples in [2] and [4].

We shall define class A(2) (that will depend also on the order of the a_k 's). The sequence $\{a_k\}$ belongs to class A(2) if Re $a_k \neq 0$,

(1.5)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Im} (a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1}))^2 / (|a_{2k-1}|^{-2} + |a_{2k}|^{-2}) < \infty$$

$$(1.6) \qquad (1-\theta)(|a_{2k-1}|^{-2}+|a_{2k}|^{-2})+4\operatorname{Im} a_{2k-1}^{-1}\operatorname{Im} a_{2k}^{-1}>0$$

for $k > k_0$ for some θ , $0 < \theta < 1$ where θ is independent of k, and

(1.7)
$$\frac{(\operatorname{Im} \{\overline{(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1})}a_{2k-1}^{-1}a_{2k}^{-1}\}^2 |a_{2k-1}a_{2k}|^2}{|a_{2k-1}|^2 + |a_{2k}|^{-2} + 4\operatorname{Im} a_{2k-1}^{-1}\operatorname{Im} a_{2k}^{-1}} \leq 1 - \eta$$

for $k \ge k_1$ for some $\eta, 0 < \eta < 1$ where η is independent of k.

A transform belongs to A(2) if there is an order under which $\{a_n\} \in A(2)$. Class A(2) includes the transforms of [1], [5] and [6].

LEMMA 1.1. $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Im} a_k/\operatorname{Re} a_k)^2 < \infty$ implies $\{a_k\} \in A(2)$ (and the order does not matter).

Proof. $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Im} a_k/\operatorname{Re} a_k)^2 < \infty$ implies $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Im} a_k/|a_k|)^2 < \infty$ which implies $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Im} a_k^{-1})^2/|a_k|^{-2} < \infty$ which implies (1.5). To prove that a_k satisfies (1.6) and (1.7) is not difficult.

REMARK. The inversion operator introduced by Hirschman and Widder [6] was slightly different from (1.4) but since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (\operatorname{Re} a_k)^{-1} - \operatorname{Re} a_k^{-1}
ight\} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} rac{(\operatorname{Im} a_k)^2}{|a_k|^2 \operatorname{Re} a_k} < \infty \; ,$$

the difference is a change in b and b_m without changing $\lim_{m\to\infty} b_m = 0$.

LEMMA 1.2. Let $a_{2k-1} = -a_{2k}$, let $\operatorname{Re} a_{2k} > 0$ and $|\arg a_{2k}| < (\pi/4) - \eta_1$ for $k > k_2$, where η_1 satisfies $0 < \eta_1 < \pi/4$ and η_1 is independent of k, then $\{a_k\} \in class \ A(2)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the sum in (1.5) is equal to zero and the right side of (1.7) is equal to zero. $|\arg a_{2k}| < (\pi/4) - \eta_1$ implies (1.6), with $\theta = 1 - 2(\operatorname{Sin}((\pi/4) - \eta_1))^2$, for $k > k_2$.

This shows that the transforms treated in [1] are included in class A(2).

LEMMA 1.3. Let $a_{2k-1} = \overline{a_{2k}}$ and let $\min\{|\arg a_{2k}|, |\arg - a_{2k}|\} < (\pi/4) - \eta_2$ for $k \ge k_2$ where η_2 , $0 < \eta_2 < \pi/4$, is independent of k, then $\{a_k\} \in A(2)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the sum in (1.5) and the right side of (1.7) are equal to zero. One can show that $\min\{|\arg a_{2k}|, |\arg - a_{2k}|\} < (\pi/4) - \eta_2$ implies (1.6) with $\theta = 1 - 2(\operatorname{Sin}((\pi/4) - \eta_2))^2$ for $k \ge k_2$.

Lemma 1.3 shows that the transforms treated by A. O. Garder [5] belong to class A(2). Some cases which do not belong to class A(2) will be treated, among them will be the case when $a_{2k-1} = -a_{2k}$ and min {| arg a_{2k} |, | arg $-a_{2k}$ |} = $\pi/4$ (see Remark 2, [1], p. 442) where estimates different from those achieved for class A(2) will be obtained.

For the definition of G(t)

(1.8)
$$G(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} [E(s)]^{-1} e^{st} dt$$

we have to assume that the integral on the right converges.

For the convergence of (1.8) we shall have to estimate E(iy) and to these estimates the various classes correspond.

2. Estimates for $E_{2m}(s)$ when $\{a_k\} \in \text{class } A(2)$. In previous papers (see [1] and [6] for example) it was found useful and important to estimate $E_m(s)$ which is defined by

(2.1)
$$E_m(s) = e^{b_m s} \prod_{k=m+1}^{\infty} (1 - s/a_k) \exp(s \operatorname{Re} a_k^{-1}) .$$

In order to estimate $E_m(s)$ we shall estimate one term first.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $\{a_k\} \in class A(2)$ then for $k \geq K$

 $(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &|\,(1-iy/a_{2k-1})(1-iy/a_{2k})\,|^2\\ &\geq (1+\alpha y^2/|\,a_{2k-1}\,|^2)(1+\alpha y^2/|\,a_{2k}\,|^2)(1-\alpha^{-1}[(\operatorname{Im}\,(a_{2k-1}^{-1}\\ \,\,+\,a_{2k}^{-1}))^2/(|\,a_{2k-1}\,|^{-2}+|\,a_{2k}\,|^{-2})])\,.\end{aligned}$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and α is independent of k. (α does depend on θ and η of the definition of class A(2)).

Proof. By a simple calculation we get

$$egin{aligned} I_k &\equiv |\,(1-iy/a_{2k-1})(1-iy/a_{2k})\,|^2 = 1\,+\,2y\,\mathrm{Im}\,(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1})\ &+\,y^2 \{|\,a_{2k-1}\,|^{-2} + |\,a_{2k}\,|^{-2} + 4\,\mathrm{Im}\,a_{2k-1}^{-1}\,\mathrm{Im}\,a_{2k}^{-1}\}\ &+\,2y^3\,\mathrm{Im}\,\{a_{2k-1}^{-1}a_{2k}^{-1}\overline{(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1})}\}\,+\,y^4\,|\,a_{2k-1}\,|^{-2}\,|\,a_{k2}\,|^{-2}$$
 .

We assume $K \ge k_1$ and therefore by (1.7) we get

$$rac{(\mathrm{Im}\,\{\!(\overline{a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1})(a_{2k-1}^{-1}\!\cdot\!a_{2k}^{-1})\})^2}{\left[\left(\!1-rac{\gamma}{2}
ight)\!(\!\mid\!a_{2k-1}\!\mid^{-2}+\mid\!a_{2k}\mid^{-2}+4\,\mathrm{Im}\,a_{2k-1}^{-1}\,\mathrm{Im}\,a_{2k}^{-1})
ight]\!\cdot\!\left\lfloor\!\left(\!1-rac{\gamma}{2}
ight)\!\mid\!a_{2k-1}\!\cdot\!a_{2k}\mid^{-2}
ight]} < (1-\eta)\!\left/\!\left(\!1-\eta+rac{\gamma^2}{4}
ight)\!< 1-rac{\gamma^2}{4}\,.$$

It is easy to see that $y^2(A+2By+Cy^2) \ge 0$ whenever A>0, C>0 and $B^2 < AC$. We substitute

$$egin{aligned} &A-\left(1=rac{\eta}{2}
ight)\!||\,a_{2k-1}\,|^{-2}+|\,a_{2k}\,|^{-2}+4 ext{ Im }a_{2k-1}^{-1} ext{ Im }a_{2k}^{-1}\!|\;,\ &B= ext{ Im }\{\!\overline{(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1})}a_{2k-1}^{-1}a_{2k}^{-1}\!|\;\; ext{ and }\ &C=\left(1-rac{\eta}{2}
ight)\!|\,a_{2k-1}a_{2k}\,|^{-2} ext{ .} \end{aligned}$$

We use (1.6), (1.7) and the above calculation to show that, for $k > \max(k_0, k_1), A > 0, C > 0$ and $B^2 > AC$. By omitting $y^2(A + 2By + Cy^2)$ from the right side of the equation defining I_k we obtain

$$\begin{array}{l} (2.3) \qquad I_k \geq 1 + 2y \operatorname{Im} (a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1}) \\ & + \frac{\eta \theta}{2} y^2 (|a_{2k-1}|^{-2} + |a_{2k}|^{-2}) + \frac{\eta y^4}{2} |a_{2k-1} a_{2k}|^{-2} \end{array}$$

by minimum consideration

$$1 + 2y \operatorname{Im} \left(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1}
ight)$$

(2.4)

$$(1) + rac{\eta heta}{4}y^2(||a_{2k-1}||^{-2}+||a_{2k}||^{-2}) \geq 1 - rac{rac{4}{\eta heta}({
m Im}\;(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+||a_{2k}||^{-2}))^2}{(||a_{2k-1}||^{-2}+||a_{2k}||^{-2})}$$

the last term tends to 1 for large k because of (1.5). Using (2.3), (2.4) and letting the coefficients of y^2 and y^4 be smaller, we obtain (2.2) with $\alpha = \eta \theta/4$.

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose $\{a_k\} \in class A(2)$. Then for k > K there exist A and B, 0 < A < B < 1 independent of k (but they depend on η and θ) so that for any r, $r < \min(|a_{2k-1}|, |a_{2k}|)$, we shall have:

(a) For
$$|\sigma| \leq Ar$$
 and $|y| \leq Br$

$$egin{aligned} H_k(\sigma) &\equiv |\,(1-(\sigma+iy)/a_{2k-1})(1-(\sigma+iy)/a_{2k})\,|^2\exp{(2\sigma\operatorname{Re}{(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1}))})} \ &\geq 1-2lpha^{-1}rac{\mathrm{Im}{(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1})}}{|\,a_{2k-1}\,|^{-2}+|\,a_{2k}\,|^{-2}}-rac{r^2}{4}(|\,a_{2k-1}\,|^{-2}+|\,a_{2k}\,|^{-2}) \ &-4\sigma^2(\mathrm{Re}{(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1})})^2\ . \end{aligned}$$

(b) For $|\sigma| \leq Ar$ and $|y| \geq Br$

$$egin{aligned} H_k(\sigma) & \geq \left(1 + rac{lpha}{4} y^2 \,|\, a_{2k-1} \,|^{-2}
ight) & \left(1 + rac{lpha}{4} y^2 \,|\, a_{2k} \,|^{-2}
ight) \ & imes \left(1 - rac{2}{lpha} rac{(\operatorname{Im}\, (a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1}))^2}{|\, a_{2k-1} \,|^{-2} + |\, a_{2k} \,|^{-2}}
ight), \end{aligned}$$

where α is that of Lemma 2.1.

Proof. By a simple calculation

$$igg| \Big(1 - rac{\sigma + iy}{a_{2k-1}}\Big) \Big(1 - rac{\sigma + iy}{a_{2k}}\Big) \Big|^2 = igg| \Big(1 - rac{iy}{a_{2k-1}}\Big) \Big(1 - rac{iy}{a_{2k}}\Big) \Big|^2
onumber \ - 2\sigma \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1}
ight) + igg| \sigma^2 (|a_{2k-1}|^{-2} + |a_{2k}|^{-2} + 4\operatorname{Re}a_{2k-1}^{-1}\operatorname{Re}a_{2k}^{-1}]
onumber \ + \sigma^4 |a_{2k-1}a_{2k}|^{-2} + 2\sigma^2 y^2 |a_{2k-1}a_{2k}|^{-2} - 4\sigma y \operatorname{Im}\left(a_{2k-1}^{-1}a_{2k}^{-1}\right)
onumber \ - 2(\sigma^2 + y^2)\sigma \operatorname{Re}\left(\overline{(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1})}a_{2k-1}^{-1}a_{2k}^{-1}
ight)
onumber \ + 2\sigma^2 y \operatorname{Im}\left(\overline{(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1})}a_{2k-1}^{-1}a_{2k}^{-1}
ight)
onumber \ = I_k - 2\sigma \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1}\right) + J_k \ .$$

For the estimation of J_k we shall recall that

$$(2.5) \qquad |(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1})a_{2k-1}^{-1}a_{2k}^{-1}| \leq 2(|a_{2k-1}|^{-3} + |a_{2k}|^{-3})$$

and

$$(2.6) \qquad \begin{array}{l} |a_{2k-1}|^{-2} + |a_{2k}|^{-2} + 4\operatorname{Re} a_{2k-1}^{-1}\operatorname{Re} a_{2k}^{-1} \ge -2 |\operatorname{Re} a_{2k-1}^{-1}\operatorname{Re} a_{2k}^{-1} \\ \geqq -(|a_{2k-1}|^{-2} + |a_{2k}|^{-2}) \end{array}$$

To prove (a) assume $|\sigma| \leq Ar$, $|y| \leq Br$. Using (2.5) and (2.6) and dropping positive terms we obtain for A < B

$$egin{aligned} J_k &\geq (-A^2 - |\, 2AB) r^2 (|\, a_{2k-1}\,|^{-2} + |\, a_{2k}\,|^{-2}) \ &+ (-4(A^2 + B^2)A - 4A^2B) r^8 (|\, a_{2k-1}\,|^{-3} + |\, a_{2k}\,|^{-3}) \ &\geq (-3B^2 - 12B^3) r^2 (|\, a_{2k-1}\,|^{-2} + |\, a_{2k}\,|^{-2}) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing A < B and (for instance) $B = 3^{-2}$ and using Lemma 2.1 with y = 0 we obtain

$$egin{aligned} H_k(\sigma) & \geqq \left(1 - rac{lpha^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}~(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1}))^2}{|~a_{2k-1}~|^{-2} + |~a_{2k}~|^{-2}} - rac{1}{9}r^2 (|~a_{2k-1}~|^{-2} + |~a_{2k}~|^{-2})
ight. \ & - 2\sigma~\mathrm{Re}~(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1})
ight) \exp{(2\sigma~\mathrm{Re}~(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1}))} \ & \geqq 1 - 2lpha^{-1} (\mathrm{Im}~(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1}))^2 [|~a_{2k-1}~|^{-2} + |~a_{2k}~|^{-2}]^{-1} \ & - rac{1}{4}r^2 (|~a_{2k-1}~|^{-2} + |~a_{2k}~|^{-2}) - 4\sigma^2 (\mathrm{Re}~(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1}))^2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

(The coefficients in the above estimation are not the best but they are convenient). To prove (b) (for which we are free to choose A, A < B) we recall that for $A \leq \beta B, 0 < \beta < 1$ and $|\sigma| < Ar$ we

have

$$egin{aligned} &|2\sigma^2 \operatorname{Re} a_{2k-1}^{-1} \operatorname{Re} a_{2k}^{-1}| &\leq eta^2 B^2 r^2 (|a_{2k-1}|^{-2} + |a_{2k}|^{-2}) \ &|2\sigma^2 y \operatorname{Im} \{ \overline{(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1})} a_{2k-1}^{-1} \cdot a_{2k}^{-1} \} | \ &\leq \sigma^2 y^2 \, |a_{2k-1} a_{2k}|^{-2} + 2\sigma^2 (|a_{2k-1}|^{-2} + |a_{2k}|^{-2}) \ , \ &|2y^2 \sigma \operatorname{Re} \{ \overline{(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1})} a_{2k-1}^{-1} a_{2k}^{-1} \} | \ &\leq eta y^4 \, |a_{2k-1} a_{2k}|^{-2} + 2eta y^2 (|a_{2k-1}|^{-2} + |a_{2k}|^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$egin{array}{l} | \, 2\sigma^{3} \operatorname{Re} \, \overline{\{(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1})a_{2k-1}^{-1}a_{2k}^{-1}\}} \, | \ & \leq \sigma^{4} \, | \, a_{2k-1}a_{2k} \, |^{-2} + \, 2\sigma^{2}(| \, a_{2k-1} \, |^{-2} + \, | \, a_{2k} \, |^{-2}) \; . \end{array}$$

Choosing eta so that $5eta^2 + 4eta < lpha/4$ and K so that

$$lpha^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}\;(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+\,a_{2k}^{-1}))^2/(|\,a_{2k-1}\,|^{-2}+\,|\,a_{2k}\,|^{-2}) \leq rac{1}{4}$$

for $k \ge K$ we obtain by the above estimations

$$egin{aligned} H_k(\sigma) &\leq \Big(1+rac{lpha}{2}y^2 \,|\, a_{2k-1} \,|^{-2}\Big) \Big(1+rac{lpha}{2} \,|\, a_{2k} \,|^{-2}\Big) \Big(1-rac{1}{lpha}(\mathrm{Im}\;(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1}))^2\;,\ imes &[|\, a_{2k-1} \,|^{-2} + \,|\, a_{2k} \,|^{-2}]^{-1} - 2\sigma \operatorname{Re}\;(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1})\Big) \cdot \exp\left(2\sigma \operatorname{Re}\;(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1})
ight) \\ &\leq \Big(1+rac{3lpha}{8}y^2 \,|\, a_{2k-1} \,|^{-2}\Big) \Big(1+rac{3lpha}{8}y^2 \,|\, a_{2k} \,|^{-2}\Big) \Big(1-rac{2}{lpha}(\operatorname{Im}\;(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1}))^2\;,\ & imes [|\, a_{2k-1} \,|^{-2} + \,|\, a_{2k} \,|^{-2}]^{-1}\Big) \cdot (1-4\sigma^2(\operatorname{Re}\;(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+a_{2k}^{-1}))^2)\;. \end{aligned}$$

Since $eta<lpha\cdot 4^{-2},\,eta^2<lpha\cdot 4^{-4}$ we obtain (b) easily.

Define S_m and $S_m^{(l)}$ (see [7], [2] and [4]) by

(2.7)
$$S_m = \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^{-2}$$

(2.8)
$$S_m^{(l)} = S_m - \max_{k(1) < \cdots < k(l)} \sum_{i=1}^l |a_{k(i)}|^{-2}.$$

Define also r_m by

$$(2.9) r_m = \min_{k>m} |a_k|$$

One can easily see that $S_m^{\scriptscriptstyle(0)}=S_m$ and $S_m^{\scriptscriptstyle(1)}=S_m-r_m^{-2}.$

THEOREM 2.3. Let $\{a_k\} \in class \ A(2)$, then for $m \ge K$, $|\sigma| \le AS_{2m}^{-1/2}$, and $b_{2m} = 0$ we have

 $|E_{\scriptscriptstyle 2m}(\sigma+iy)| \geq \sqrt{2}/2 \ .$

(A being that of Lemma 2.2.)

Proof. To prove (2.10) we use Lemma 2.2(a) whose conditions are satisfied since $S_{2m} > r_{2m}^{-2}$, $S_{2m}^{-1/2} < r_{2m} = \min_{k>2m} |a_k|$. We also recall that for $A_n > 0$ and $\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} A_n < 1/2$ we have

$$\prod_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(1-A_n
ight)\geqq1-\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}A_n\geqqrac{1}{2}$$
 .

Remembering that for large m

$$2lpha^{-1}\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}{({
m Im}\;(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+\,a_{2k}^{-1}))^2/(\mid a_{2k-1}\mid^{-2}+\mid a_{2k}\mid^{-2})}<rac{1}{8}$$

and

$$egin{aligned} 4\sigma^2\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}{(ext{Re}~(a_{2k-1}^{-1}+~a_{2k}^{-1}))^2}&\leq 8A^2S_{2m}\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}{(\mid a_{2k-1}\mid^{-2}+\mid a_{2k}\mid^{-2})}\ &\leq 8A^2<8^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and using Lemma 2.2(a) we conclude the proof of (2.10) in the case where $|\sigma| \leq S_{2m}^{-1/2}$ and $|y| \leq BS_{2m}^{-1/2}$. Using Lemma 2.2(b), (2.10) in the case where $|\sigma| \leq AS_{2m}^{-1/2}$, $|y| \geq BS_{2m}^{-1/2}$ follows by an argumentation similar to that used in the first part. Then:

THEOREM 2.4. Let $\{a_k\} \in A(2), b_{2m} = 0$, then for $m \ge k$, $|\sigma| \le AS_{2m}^{-1/2}$ and $|y| \ge BS_{2m}^{-1/2}$ we have

$$(2.11) \quad |E_{2m}(\sigma+iy)| \geq \frac{3}{4} \Big(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} y^{2n} \cdot \Big(\frac{\alpha}{4}\Big)^n \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} S_{2m}^{(l)}\Big)^{1/2} \\ \geq \frac{3}{4} \Big(1 + \frac{1}{n!} y^{2n} \Big(\frac{\alpha}{4}\Big)^n \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} S_{2m}^{(l)}\Big)^{1/2} \,.$$

Proof. Using (1.5) we can choose, by the method in the proof of Theorem 2.3, m so that

$$(2.12) \qquad \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\alpha} \left[(\operatorname{Im} \left(a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1} \right) \right)^2 / (|a_{2k-1}|^{-2} + |a_{2k}|^{-2}) \right] \right) \ge \frac{9}{16}$$

 $(9/16 \text{ can be replaced of course by any } 1 - \varepsilon).$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{n!}y^{2n}igg(rac{lpha}{2}igg)^n\prod_{l=0}^{n-1}S^{(l)}_{2m}$$

converges for all y since $S_{2m} = S_{2m}^{(0)} > S_{2m}^{(1)} > \cdots > S_{2m}^{(l)}$. By Lemma 2.2 and (2.12) we have

$$| \, E_{{}_{2m}}(\sigma \, + \, iy) \, | \, \geq \, rac{3}{4} \Big(1 \, + \, \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \, y^{{}_{2n}} \Big(rac{lpha}{4} \Big)^n \, \sum\limits_{k(i) \, < \, k(i+1) \atop k(i) \, < \, k(i+1)} \, | \, a_{k(i)} \, \cdots \, a_{k(n)} \, |^{-2} \Big)^{1/2}$$
 .

But we have

$$egin{aligned} I(n,m) &\equiv \sum \limits_{\substack{2m < k(1) \ k(i) < k(i+1)}} | \, a_{k(1)} \, \cdots \, a_{k(n)} \, |^{-2} = rac{1}{n!} \sum \limits_{\substack{k(i) > 2m \ k(i) \neq k(j), j
eq i}} | \, a_{k(1)} \, \cdots \, a_{k(n)} \, |^{-2} \ & \geq rac{1}{n!} \sum \limits_{\substack{k(i) > 2m \ k(i) \neq k(j), j
eq i}} \left(S_{2m} - \sum \limits_{r=1}^{n-1} | \, a_{k(r)} \, |^{-2}
ight) | \, a_{k(1)} \, \cdots \, a_{k(n-1)} \, |^{-2} \ & \geq rac{1}{n!} S_{2m}^{(n-1)} \sum \limits_{\substack{k(i) \geq 2m \ k(i) \neq k(j), j
eq i}} | \, a_{k(1)} \, \cdots \, a_{k(n-1)} \, |^{-2} \, . \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum\limits_{k\,(i)>2m} |\, a_{k\,(i)}\,|^{-2} = \, S_{2m} \, = \, S_{2m}^{_{(0)}}$$
 ,

by induction $I(n, m) \ge 1/n!$. $\prod_{l=0}^{n-1} S_{2m}^{(l)}$, which concludes the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 2.5. Let $\{a_k\} \in A(2), b_{2m} = 0$, and σ satisfies $\operatorname{Re} a_k \neq \sigma$ for all k > n, then for $p, n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ there exist $k_1(p, \sigma, n)$ and $k_2(p, \sigma, n)$ so that

$$(2.13) \hspace{1.5cm} | \hspace{.06cm} E_{2n}(\sigma + i au) |^{_2} \geqq k_{_1}(p, \, \sigma, \, n) + k_{_2}(p, \, \sigma, \, n) au^{_2p} \; .$$

Proof. Since $S_{2m} = o(1)m \to \infty$ we can choose m so that $AS_{2m}^{-1/2} \ge \sigma$ (for A of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4). Combining Theorems 2.3, 2.4 and the fact that $|\prod_{k=2n+1}^{2m} (1 - \sigma + i\tau/a_k))e^{\sigma \operatorname{Re} a_k^{-1}}| \ge \delta$ whenever $\operatorname{Re} a_k \neq \sigma$, we obtain (2.13).

3. Estimates for $E_{m(i)}(s)$ in special cases when $\{a_k\} \notin A(2)$. In this section we shall estimate $E_{2m}(s)$ in case $\{a_k\}$ does not necessarily belong to A(2) but $a_{2k-1} = -a_{2k}$ or $a_{2k-1} = \overline{a}_{2k}$ and some other conditions are satisfied.

First we prove some lemmas concerning the above mentioned cases.

LEMMA 3.1. Let a be a complex number $\operatorname{Re} a \neq 0$, then for all real y and $q \geq 1$

$$I(a) = \left| \left(1 - \frac{iy}{a} \right) \left(1 + \frac{iy}{a} \right) \right|^2 = \left| \left(1 - \frac{iy}{a} \right) \left(1 - \frac{iy}{\overline{a}} \right) \right|^2$$

$$(3.1) \\ \ge \begin{cases} 1 - q \left(\frac{\operatorname{Re} a^2}{|a|^2} \right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) y^4 |a|^{-4} \\ 1 + y^4 |a|^{-4} & \operatorname{Re} a^2 \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Simple calculation yields

$$igg| \Big(1 - rac{iy}{a} \Big) \Big(1 - rac{iy}{a} \Big) \Big|^2 = 1 - q \Big(rac{\operatorname{Re} a^2}{\mid a \mid^2} \Big)^2 \ + \Big(\sqrt{q} rac{\operatorname{Re} a^2}{\mid a \mid^2} + rac{1}{\sqrt{q}} y^2 \mid a \mid^{-2} \Big)^2 + \Big(1 - rac{1}{q} \Big) y^4 \mid a \mid^{-4}$$

from which (3.1) is immediate.

LEMMA 3.2. Let a be complex number, Re $a \neq 0$, then

(3.2)
$$\begin{vmatrix} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma + iy}{a}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma + iy}{a}\right) \right|^{2} \\ = I(a) + 2\sigma^{2}(|a|^{2} - 2(\operatorname{Re} a)^{2} |a|^{-4}) + \sigma^{4} |a|^{-4} \\ + 2\sigma^{2}y^{2} |a|^{-4} + 4\sigma y(\operatorname{Im} a^{2}) |a|^{-4} , \end{vmatrix}$$

(3.3)
$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(1 - \frac{\sigma + iy}{a} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\sigma + iy}{\overline{a}} \right) \right|^2 \\ &= I(a) - 4\sigma \operatorname{Re} a \mid a \mid^{-2} + \sigma^2 (2 \mid a \mid^{-2} + 4(\operatorname{Re} a)^2 \mid a \mid^{-4}) \\ &+ \sigma^4 \mid a \mid^{-4} + 2\sigma^2 y^2 \mid a \mid^{-4} - 4(\sigma^2 + y^2)\sigma \mid a \mid^{-4} \operatorname{Re} a \end{aligned}$$

where I(a) is defined in Lemma 3.1.

Proof. The proof is a corollary of the proof of Lemma 2.2 combined with Lemma 3.1.

LEMMA 3.3. Let Re $a \neq 0$, then for K > 1 there exists A and B, independent of a, 0 < A < B < 1 such that for r < |Re a| we have: (a) For $|\sigma| \leq Ar$ and $|y| \leq Br$

(3.4)
$$\left| \left(1 - \frac{\sigma + iy}{a} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma + iy}{a} \right) \right|^2 \\ \ge 1 - K^{-1} r^2 |a|^{-2} - (\min (0, (\operatorname{Re} a^2) \cdot |a|^{-2}))^2$$

(b) For $|\sigma| \leq Ar_1 \leq Ar$, $|y| \geq Br$ and $\delta > 0$

$$(3.5) \qquad \left| \left(1 - \frac{\sigma + iy}{a} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma + iy}{a} \right) \right|^2 \\ = \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} y^4 | a |^{-4} \right) (1 - 2(\min(0, \operatorname{Re} a^2 / | a |^2))^2 \\ - K^{-1}(r^2 | a |^{-2} + r_1^2 | a |^{-1-\delta} + | a |^{-2+2\delta})) .$$

Proof. To prove (3.4) we use (3.2) and (3.1) with q = 1 and obtain the result by choosing B so that $6B^2 < K^{-1}$, and dropping some positive terms.

To prove (3.5) we use

$$4\sigma y(\operatorname{Im} a^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \mid a \mid^{\scriptscriptstyle -4} \geqq -4 \mid \sigma y \mid \mid a \mid^{\scriptscriptstyle -2} \geqq - \left(rac{1}{eta^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}y^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \mid a \mid^{\scriptscriptstyle -(\mathbf{s}-oldsymbol{\delta})} + 2eta^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\sigma^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \mid a \mid^{\scriptscriptstyle -(1+oldsymbol{\delta})}
ight)$$

and

$$-rac{1}{eta^2}y^2\,|\,a\,|^{_{-(3-\delta)}}+rac{1}{4}y^4\,|\,a\,|^{_{-4}}\geq -rac{4}{eta^4}\,|\,a\,|^{_{-(2-2\delta)}}\,.$$

Choosing $4/\beta^4 \leq 1/K$ or $\beta \geq \sqrt[4]{4K}$ and A so that $2\beta^2 A^2 < K^{-1}$ or $A^2 < 1/4K\sqrt{K}$ or A < 1/2K one can conclude the proof by using Lemma 3.1 (choosing there q = 2 in case Re $a^2 < 0$) and dropping some positive terms.

LEMMA 3.4. Let $\operatorname{Re} a \neq 0$, then for K > 1 there exist A independent of a, 0 < A < 1, such that for $r < |\operatorname{Re} a|$ and $|\sigma| \leq Ar$ we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \left(1 - \frac{\sigma + iy}{a} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\sigma + iy}{\overline{a}} \right) \right|^2 \exp\left(4\sigma \operatorname{Re} a / |a|^2 \right) \\ & \geq \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} y^4 |a|^{-4} \right) (1 - 2(\min\left(0, \operatorname{Re} a^2 / |a|^2)\right)^2 - K^{-1} r^2 |a|^{-2}) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (3.3) of Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.1 with q = 3/2, the estimations

$$egin{array}{ll} -4\sigma^3 \, | \, a \, |^{-4} \, {
m Re} \, a & \geq -\sigma^4 \, | \, a \, |^{-4} - 4\sigma^2 ({
m Re} \, a)^2 \, | \, a \, |^{-4} \; , \ -4y^2\sigma \, | \, a \, |^{-4} \, {
m Re} \, a & \geq -rac{1}{2^5}y^4 \, | \, a \, |^{-4} - 4^3\sigma^2 \, | \, a \, |^{-2} \end{array}$$

and dropping some positive terms we obtain

$$ig| \Big(1 - rac{\sigma + iy}{a} \Big) \Big(1 - rac{\sigma + iy}{ar a} \Big) \Big|^2 \ge 1 + \Big(rac{1}{3} - rac{1}{2^4} \Big) y^4 \, | \, a \, |^{-4} \ - rac{3}{2} (\min \left(0, \, \operatorname{Re} \, a^2 / | \, a \, |^2
ight))^2 - 4^3 A^2 r^2 \, | \, a \, |^{-2} - 4 \sigma (\operatorname{Re} \, a) \, | \, a \, |^{-2} \; .$$

Choosing A so that $4^{3}A^{2} < 1/4K$, which implies

$$-4^{3}A^{2}r^{2} \mid a \mid^{_{-2}} > -rac{1}{4K}r^{_{2}} \mid a \mid^{_{-2}}$$
 , $4 \mid \sigma \mid \mid a \mid^{_{-1}} < rac{1}{4}$,

and

$$\exp\left(4\sigma(\operatorname{Re} a) \mid a \mid^{-2}
ight) \geqq 1 \,+\, 4\sigma(\operatorname{Re} a) \mid a \mid^{-2} - \, 4^2\sigma^2 \mid a \mid^{-2}$$

from which (3.6) follows.

We shall define now two classes of convolution transforms by the function E(s) and the sequence $\{a_k\}$.

DEFINITION 3.1. $\{a_k\} \in \text{class } B(2, \delta)$ if

(3.7)
$$E(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - s^2 a_k^{-2}),$$

(3.8)
$$\sum_{\operatorname{Rea}_k^2 < 0} |a_k|^{-4} (\operatorname{Re} a_k^2)^2 < \infty$$

and

$$(3.9) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\,a_k\,|^{-1-\delta} < \, \infty \ , \qquad \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\,a_k\,|^{-2+\delta} < \, \infty \qquad \text{for some} \ \ \delta > 0 \ .$$

DEFINITION 3.2. $\{a_k\} \in B(2)$ if there is $\delta > 0$ so that $\{a_k\} \in B(2, \delta)$.

DEFINITION 3.3. $\{a_k\} \in \text{class } C(2)$ if

(3.10)
$$E(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - sa_k^{-1})(1 - s \cdot \overline{a}_k^{-1}),$$

if condition (3.8) is satisfied and $\sum |a_k|^{-2} < \infty$.

REMARK. $S_{2m} = 2 \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^{-2}$ in case of class B(2) and C(2). We have to introduce some more notations before being able to prove the estimation on E(s) for transforms of classes B(2) and C(2).

(3.11)
$$Q_m = \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^{-4}$$
.

$$(3.12) Q_m^{(j)} = Q_m - \max_{m < k(1) < \cdots < k(j)} \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^j |a_{k(r)}|^{-4} \right\}.$$

We shall state the estimations for classes B(2) and C(2) together and then outline the proofs.

THEOREM 3.5. If $\{a_k\} \in B(2, \delta)$, then for $m \ge M$ and some A and B we have:

(a) $|\sigma| \leq AS_{2m}^{-1/2}, |y| \leq BS_{2m}^{-1/2} imply$

$$(3.13) | E_{2m}(s) | \ge 3/4.$$

(b)
$$|\sigma| \leq A(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^{-1-\delta})^{-1/1+\delta} and |y| \geq BS_{2m}^{-1/2} imply$$

$$(3.14) | E_{2m}(s) | \ge \frac{3}{4} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} y^{4n} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} Q_m^{(l)} \right)^{1/2}.$$

THEOREM 3.6. If $\{a_k\} \in C(2)$ then for $m \ge M$ there exists an A so that for $|\sigma| \le AS_{2m}^{-1/2}$ (3.14) is valid.

Proof of Theorems 3.5 and 3.6. The proof follows the proof of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 Using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 we have to choose

 $r = S_{2m}^{-1/2}$ and $r_1 = (2 \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^{-1-\delta})^{-1/(1+\delta)}$ (r_1 necessary only in proving Theorem 3.5 from Lemma 3.3). Obviously $r_1 < \min_{k>m} |a_k|, r \le \min_{k>m} |a_k|$. Also we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} | \ a_k |^{-1-\delta} & \geqq \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} | \ a_k |^{-2} \right) \left(\min_{k>m} | \ a_k | \right)^{1-\delta} \\ & \geqq \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} | \ a_k |^{-2} \right)^{(1+\delta)/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} | \ a_k |^{-2} \right)^{(1-\delta)1/2} \left(\min_{k>m} | \ a_k | \right)^{1-\delta} \\ & \geqq \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} | \ a_k |^{-2} \right)^{(1+\delta)/2} \cdot \end{split}$$

This implies

$$r_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \left(2\sum_{k=m+1}^\infty |\, a_k\,|^{-1-\delta}
ight)^{-1/(1+\delta)} \leq (S_{\scriptscriptstyle 2m})^{-1/2} \leq r \; .$$

Choose *m* and *K* so that $\sum_{k>m} (\min(0, \operatorname{Re} a_k^2/|a_k|^2))^2 < \varepsilon_1, 1/K < \varepsilon_1 (K \text{ of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4) and, for proving Theorem 3.5, <math>\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^{-2+2\delta} < \varepsilon_1$. The choice $\varepsilon_1 \leq 1/16$ will yield the number 3/4 in (3.13) (every $1 - \eta$ could be achieved by ε_1 small enough) and the coefficient 3/4 in (3.14).

To complete the proof we have to show

$$\prod_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \left(1 + rac{1}{4} y^4 \,|\, a_k \,|^{-4}
ight) = 1 \,+\, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} rac{1}{n!} y^{4n} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} Q_m^{(l)}$$
 ,

the proof of which follows stepwise that of Theorem 2.4.

The classes in this section are not included in A(2) since (1.6) may fail to be valid. The estimates in this section are weaker in the case where the transforms are also A(2).

THEOREM 3.7. Let $\{a_k\} \in B(2)$ or C(2). Then for σ satisfying Re $a_k \neq 0$ for all k > n, and for $p, n = 0, 1, 2 \cdots$ there exist $k_1(p, \sigma, n)$ and $k_2(p, \sigma, n)$ such that when $\sigma \neq \text{Re } a_k$

$$(3.15) | E_{2n}(\sigma + i\tau) |^2 \ge k_1(p, \sigma, n) + k_2(p, \sigma, n)\tau^{2p}.$$

Proof. Deduced from Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 as Theorem 2.5 is deduced from Theorem 2.4 and 2.3.

4. Estimates for $G_m(t)$. We define $G_m(t)$, in the usual manner, by

(4.1)
$$G_m(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} [E_m(s)]^{-1} e^{st} ds , \qquad G_0(t) = G(t) .$$

We define also:

$$(4.2) \qquad \qquad \alpha(m) = \max \left\{ \operatorname{Re} a_k, -\infty \mid \operatorname{Re} a_k < 0 \text{ and } k > m \right\}.$$

$$(4.3) \qquad \qquad \beta(m) = \min \left\{ \operatorname{Re} a_k, \, \infty \mid \operatorname{Re} a_k > 0 \, \text{ and } \, k > m \right\} \, .$$

We recall that in the cases $\{a_k\} \in A(2), \{a_k\} \in B(2)$ and $\{a_k\} \in C(2)$ we have

$$(4.4) | E_{2n}(\sigma + i\tau) |^2 \ge k_1(p, \sigma, n) + k_2(p, \sigma, n)\tau^{2p} ,$$

for $n, p = 0, 1, 2 \cdots$ and $\alpha(2n) < \sigma < \beta(2n)$.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $E_n(s)$, $P_n(D)$ and $G_n(t)$ be defined by (2.1), (1.3) and (4.1); let (4.4) be satisfied for m(l), a subsequence of m, then:

A. For any σ satisfying $\sigma(m(l)) < \sigma < \beta(m(l))$ we have

(4.5)
$$G_{m(l)}(t) = P_{m(l)}(D)G(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} [E_{m(l)}(s)]^{-1} e^{st} ds .$$

B. Suppose in case $\alpha(m(l)) \neq -\infty$ that $a_{k(1,1)} = \cdots = a_{k(1,m_1+1)}$, $a_{k(2,1)} = \cdots = a_{k(2,m_2+1)}$, \cdots , $a_{k(r,1)} = \cdots = a_{k(r,m_2+1)}$ are all with indices greater than m(l) and $\alpha(m(l)) = \operatorname{Re} a_{k(1,1)} = \operatorname{Re} a_{k(2,1)} = \cdots = \operatorname{Re} a_{k(r,1)}$, then

(4.6)
$$\frac{d^{v}}{dt^{v}}G_{m(l)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{d^{v}}{dt^{v}} \{P_{i}(t)e^{ta_{k(i,1)}}\} + 0(e^{k(t)}) \qquad t \to \infty$$

where $p_i(t)$ are polynomials of order m_i and k is any real number satisfying

$$\max \left\{ \operatorname{Re} a_k, \, -\infty \mid k > m(l), \, \operatorname{Re} a_k < lpha(m(l))
ight\} < k < lpha(m(l))$$
 .

C. Suppose $\alpha(m(l)) = -\infty$, then

(4.7)
$$\frac{d^{\mathfrak{v}}}{dt^{\mathfrak{v}}}G_{\mathfrak{m}(l)}(t) = 0(e^{kt}) \quad t \to \infty \text{ for any real } k, k < 0.$$

D. Suppose in case $\beta(m(l)) \neq \infty$ that $a_{r(1,1)} = \cdots = a_{r(1,m_1+1)}$, $\cdots = a_{r(j,1)} = \cdots = a_{r(j,m_j+1)}$ are all with indices greater than m(l) and $\beta(m(l)) = \operatorname{Re} a_{r(1,1)} = \cdots \operatorname{Re} a_{r(j,1)}$, then

(4.8)
$$\frac{d^{\mathbf{v}}}{dt^{\mathbf{v}}}G_{m(l)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{d^{\mathbf{v}}}{dt^{\mathbf{v}}} \{q_i(t)e^{ta_{r(i,1)}}\} + 0(e^{kt}) \qquad t \to -\infty$$

where $q_i(t)$ are polynomials of order m_i and k is a real number satisfying $\beta(m(l)) < k < \min \{ \operatorname{Re} a_k, \infty \mid k > m(l), \operatorname{Re} a_k > \beta(m(l)) \}$.

E. Suppose $\beta(m(l)) = \infty$, then

(4.9)
$$\frac{d^{v}}{dt^{v}}G_{m(l)}(t) = 0(e^{kt}) \qquad t \to -\infty$$

where k is any real positive number. F. For $\alpha(m(l)) < \operatorname{Re} s < \beta(m(l))$ we have

(4.10)
$$\frac{1}{E_{m(l)}(s)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{st} G_{m(l)}(t) dt$$

which implies

$$(4.11) 1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{m(l)}(t) dt .$$

Proof. The proof follows the method used in Hirschman and Widder's book "The convolution transform" [6, p. 108]. Formula (4.4), that was proved for class A(2), B(2) and C(2), is used here instead of the theorems on $E_m(s)$ in [6].

The following result will estimate $G_{2m}(t)$ in the case when m is large near the point t = 0 as well as when $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

THEOREM 4.2. Let $\{a_k\} \in A(2)$ and suppose that for some n $S_{2m}^{(n+1)} \geq L_n S_{2m}$ where $L_n > 0$ is independent of m, then there exist M(n) > 0 and A > 0 such that

$$(4.12) \qquad |G_{2m}^{(n)}(t)| \leq M(n) S_{2m}^{-(n+1)/2} \exp\left(-A \cdot S_{2m}^{-1/2} |t|\right).$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.1.A we have

$$G_{\scriptscriptstyle 2m}(t) = rac{1}{2\pi} {\int_{-\infty}^\infty} rac{e^{-(\sigma+iy)\,t}}{E_{\scriptscriptstyle 2m}(\sigma+iy)} dy$$

and therefore

$$G_{^{2m}}^{_{(n)}}(t) = rac{1}{2\pi} {\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}} rac{(\sigma+iy)^n e^{-(\sigma+iy)\,t}}{E_{_{2m}}(\sigma+iy)} dy \; .$$

Remembering that $S_{2m}^{(n+1)} \geq L_n S_{2m}$ implies $S_{2m}^{(k)} \geq L_n S_{2m}$ for $k \leq n+1$, and using Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 we obtain, choosing $\sigma = A S_{2m}^{-1/2}$ for the case t > 0,

$$egin{aligned} |G_{2m}^{(n)}(t)| &\leq rac{1}{2\pi} \exp{(-AS_{2m}^{-1/2}t)} iggin\{ \int_{-BS_{2m}^{-n/2}}^{BS_{2m}^{-1/2}} rac{(|\sigma|+|y|)^n}{|E_{2m}(\sigma+iy)|} dy \ &+ \int_{|y| \geq BS_{2m}^{-1/2}} rac{(|\sigma|+|y|)^n dy}{|E_{2m}(\sigma+iy)|} iggli &\leq \exp{(-AS_{2m}^{-1/2}t)} iggl\{ rac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} (A+B)^n 2BS_{2m}^{-(n+1)/2} \ &+ 2\sum\limits_{k=0}^n inom{n}{k} S_{2m}^{-k/2} rac{2}{3\pi} \int_{BS_{2m}^{-1/2}}^\infty rac{y^{n-k} dy}{(1+y^{2(n+2)} rac{1}{(n+2)!} (L_{(n)})^{n+1} S_{2m+2}^{n+2} iggl(rac{lpha}{4} iggr)^{n+2} iggr)^{1/2}} \ &\leq M(n) S_{2m}^{-(n+1)/2} \exp{(-AS_{2m}^{-1/2}t)} \ . \end{aligned}$$

The result for t < 0 is achieved choosing $\sigma = -AS_{2m}^{-1/2}$.

REMARK. When $a_{2k-1} = -a_{2k}$ we have $S_{2m}^{(1)} \ge (1/2)S_{2m}$ and therefore Theorem 4.2 for n = 0 includes Lemma 2.4 of [1, p. 432]. Whenever the connection between pair is $0 < \theta_1 \le |a_{2k-1}/a_{2k}| \le \theta_2 < \infty$, where θ_1, θ_2 are fixed for all m, we have $S_{2m}^{(1)} \ge L_1 S_{2m} L_1 > 0$. But in case of n = 0 the restriction $S_{2m}^{(1)} \ge L_1 S_{2m}$ is not necessary as is proved by the following.

THEOREM 4.3. Let $\{a_k\} \in A(2)$, then for some A > 0 we have (4.12) $|G_{2m}(t)| \leq MS_{2m}^{-1/2} \exp(-AS_{2m}^{-1/2} |t|)$.

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 4.2 and using Theorem 2.4 we have for t > 0 (t < 0 can be treated similarly)

$$egin{aligned} &|\,G_{2m}(t)\,| &\leq \exp{(-AS_{2m}^{-1/2}t)}igg\{rac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi}BS_{2m}^{-1/2}\ &+rac{4}{3\pi}\!\!\int_{BS_{2m}^{-1/2}}^{\infty}\!\!rac{dy}{\left(1+rac{1}{2}y^4S_{2m}S_{2m}^{(1)}\!\left(rac{lpha}{4}
ight)^2
ight)^{1/2}}igg\}\cdot\ &+rac{4}{3\pi}\!\!\int_{BS_{2m}^{-1/2}}^{\infty}\!\!rac{dy}{\left(1+rac{1}{2}y^4S_{2m}S_{2m}^{(1)}\!\left(rac{lpha}{4}
ight)^2
ight)^{1/2}}igg\}\cdot\ &\int_{BS_{2m}^{-1/2}}^{\infty}\!\!rac{dy}{\left(1+Ly^4S_{2m}S_{2m}^{(1)}
ight)^{1/2}} &=S_{2m}^{-1/2}(S_{2m}/S_{2m}^{(1)})^{1/4}\!\!\int_{(B/L^{1/4})(S_{2m}/S_{2m}^{(1)})^{1/4}}^{1}rac{1}{\left(1+y^4
ight)^{1/2}}dy\ &\leq 2^dS_{2m}^{-1/2}(S_{2m}/S_{2m}^{(1)})^{1/4}\!\!\int_{B_1(S_{2m}/S_{2m}^{(1)})^{1/4}}^{\infty}\!\!rac{dy}{1+y^2}\ &\leq 2S_{2m}^{-1/2}(S_{2m}/S_{2m}^{(1)})^{1/4}\lim_{\zeta o \infty}\left(rc\ tg\zeta\ -\ rc\ tgB_1(S_{2m}/S_{2m}^{(1)})^{1/4}
ight)\ &\leq 2S_{2m}^{-1/2}(S_{2m}/S_{2m}^{(1)})^{1/4}\lim_{\zeta o \infty}rc\ tg\Big\{rac{1-B_1(S_{2m}/S_{2m}^{(1)})^{1/4}\zeta}{\zeta^{-1}+B_1(S_{2m}/S_{2m}^{(1)})^{1/4}}\Big\} \leq B_2S_{2m}^{-1/2}\ . \end{aligned}$$

From this the proof can be easily concluded.

Lemma 3.2A of [1, p. 434] is generalized by Theorem 4.2 in case $S_{2m}^{(2)} \ge L_2 S_{2m}$ for some L_2 . Case *B* is covered only in part. The following theorem generalizes Lemma 3.2B [1, p. 434].

THEOREM 4.4. Let $a_k \in A(2)$, $b_{2m} = 0$ and suppose $0 < \theta_1 < |a_{2k}/a_{2k-1}| < \theta_2 < \infty$ where θ_1, θ_2 are independent of k and $|\operatorname{Re} a_k|/|a_k| > \eta$, then for some $A_1 > 0$ and M_1 we have:

$$(4.13) \qquad |G'_{2m}(t)| \leq M_1 S_{2m}^{-1} \exp\left(-A_1 S_{2m}^{-1/2} |t|\right).$$

Proof. Let us split the proof into two cases

ZEEV DITZIAN

(a)
$$S_{2m} - \max_{k>m} \left(|a_{k-1}|^{-2} + |a_{2k}|^{-2} \right) \ge rac{1}{K} S_{2m}$$

and

(b)
$$S_{2m} - \max_{k>m} \left(\mid a_{2k-1} \mid^{-2} + \mid a_{2k} \mid^{-2}
ight) < rac{1}{K} S_{2m} \; .$$

In case (a) (4.13) was proved by Theorem 4.2 for any arbitrary K. We shall choose K > 2. To prove (4.13) in case (b) we define k_0 by

$$\max_{k>m} \left(\mid a_{2k-1} \mid^{-2} + \mid a_{2k} \mid^{-2}
ight) = \mid a_{2k_0-1} \mid^{-2} + \mid a_{2k_0} \mid^{-2}.$$

(In case (b) the choice of k_0 is unique.) Define $g_{k_0}^*(t)$ and $G_{2m+2}(t)$ by:

$$(4.14) g_{k_0}^*(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [(1 - iy/a_{2k_0-1})(1 - iy/a_{2k_0})]^{-1} e^{-iyt} dy$$

$$(4.15) \quad G_{2m+2}^{*}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [(1 - iy/a_{2k_0-1})(1 - iy/a_{2k_0})](E_{2m}(iy))^{-1} e^{-iyt} dy .$$

By [9, p. 255] we have

$$G_{2m}(t) = g^*_{k_0}(t) * G^*_{2m+2}(t)$$
 .

One can calculate $g_{k_0}^*(t)$:

$$g^*_{k_0}(t) = rac{a_{2k_0-1}a_{2k_0}}{a_{2k_0-1}-a_{2k_0}} egin{pmatrix} e^{a_{2k_0}t} & t \geqq 0 \ e^{a_{2k_0}-1t} & t < 0 \end{cases}$$

when Re $a_{2k_0-1} > 0$, Re $a_{2k_0} < 0$.

$$g_{k_0}^*(t) = egin{cases} rac{a_{2k_0-1}a_{2k_0}}{a_{2k_0}-a_{2k_0-1}} [e^{a_{2k_0-1}t}-e^{a_{2k_0}t}] & t < 0 \ 0 & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

when Re $a_{2k_0-1}>0,$ Re $a_{2k_0}>0,$ $a_{2k_0}
eq a_{2k_0-1}.$

$$g^*_{k_0}(t) = egin{cases} -a^2_{2k_0}te^{a_{2k}t} & t < 0 \ 0 & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

when $a_{2k_0} = a_{2k_0-1}$, Re $a_{2k_0} > 0$.

Either $g_{k_0}^*(t)$ or $g_{k_0}^*(-t)$ is of the above form.

$$G^*_{2m+2}(t - \operatorname{Re}(a^{-1}_{2k_0+1} + a^{-1}_{2k_0}))$$

satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 with $S^*_{2m+2} = S_{2m} - |a_{2k_0-1}|^2 - |a_{2k_0}|^2$ and therefore

 $|G^*_{2m+2}(t)| \leq M(S^*_{2m+2})^{1/2} \exp\left(-AS^{*-1/2}_{2m+2} \left| \, t \,+\, \mathrm{Re}\left(a^{-1}_{2k_0+1} \,+\, a^{-1}_{2k_0}
ight)
ight)$.

Integrating by parts

$$egin{aligned} G_{2m}'(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{k_0}^*(u) rac{d}{dt} G_{2m+2}^*(t-u) du \ &= igg\{ \int_{-\infty}^0 + \int_0^\infty igg\} igg(rac{d}{du} g_{k_0}^*(u) igg) G_{2m+2}^*(t-u) du \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\theta_1^2| |a_{2k_0-1}|^2 \leq |a_{2k_0}|^2 \quad ext{and} \quad |a_{2k_0}|^2 \leq |a_{2k_0-1}|^2$$

we have

$$(heta_{1}^{-2}+1) \, | \, a_{2k_0} \, |^{-2} \geqq rac{1}{2} S_{2m} \quad ext{and} \quad (heta_{2}^2+1) \, | \, a_{2k_0-1} \, |^{-2} \geqq rac{1}{2} S_{2m} \; ;$$

therefore

$$\max\left(|\,a_{_{2k_0}}|,\,|\,a_{_{2k_0-1}}|
ight) \leq \left[(2 heta_{_1}^{-2}+2)^{_{1/2}}+(2 heta_{_2}^2+2)^{_{1/2}}
ight]\!S_{_{2m}}^{_{-1/2}}=R_{_1}S_{_{2m}}^{_{-1/2}}$$
 .

By the same method $(\theta_2^{-2} + 1) |a_{2k_0}|^{-2} \leq S_{2m}$ and $(\theta_1^2 + 1) |a_{2k_0-1}|^2 \leq S_{2m}$, from which we deduce

$$egin{aligned} |\operatorname{Re} a_{_{2k_0}}| &\geq \eta \, | \, a_{_{2k_0}}| \geq \eta (heta_{_2}^{-2}+1)^{-1/2}S_{2m}^{-1/2} \ & |\operatorname{Re} a_{_{2k_0-1}}| \geq \eta (heta_{_2}^{+}+1)^{-1/2}S_{2m}^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\min\left(|\operatorname{Re} a_{_{2k_0}}|, |\operatorname{Re} a_{_{2k_0-1}}|
ight) \geq R_2 S_{_{2m}}^{_{-1/2}} > 0$$

where

$$R_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = \eta \! \cdot \! \min \left((heta_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle -2} + 1)^{\scriptscriptstyle -1/2} \!, (heta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} + 1)^{\scriptscriptstyle -1/2} \!
ight)$$
 .

One has to estimate $G_{2m}(t)$ for different cases of $g_{k_0}^*(t)$ of which the case where Re $a_{2k_0} > 0$, Re $a_{2k_{0-1}} > 0$ and $a_{2k_0} \neq a_{2j-1}$ will be done here. The other cases are similar and simpler.

$$rac{dg^*(u)}{du} = egin{cases} a_{2k_0-1}a_{2k_0} & (a_{2k_0-1}\exp{(a_{2k_0-1}u)} - a_{2k_0}\exp{(a_{2k_0}u)}) & u < 0 \ 0 & a_{2k_0} - a_{2k_{0-1}} & u > 0 \ . & u > 0 \ . \end{cases}$$

Let us recall from [8, p. 203] that if f'(t) is continuous and f(t) is complex valued, then

$$rac{f(a) - f(b)}{a - b} = \lambda f'(t_1) + (1 - \lambda) f'(t_2) \qquad t_1, \, t_2 \in (a, \, b) \; \; 0 < \lambda < 1$$

from which it is obvious that

٠

$$rac{f(\zeta_1)-f(\zeta_2)}{\zeta_1-\zeta_2}=\lambda f'(\zeta_3)+(1-\lambda)f'(\zeta_4)\qquad 0<\lambda<1$$

where $\zeta_i = \alpha_i \zeta_1 + (1 - \alpha_i) \zeta_2, 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1$ and i = 3, 4. Substituting $f(\zeta) = \zeta e^{\zeta}, f'(\zeta) = e^{\zeta u} + \zeta u e^{\zeta u}$, we obtain the following estimate for $(d/du)g^*(u)$ when u < 0:

$$\left|\frac{dg^{*}(u)}{du}\right| \leq |a_{_{2k_{0}-1}}a_{_{2k_{0}}}|\left(\exp\left(R_{_{k_{0}}}u\right) + \max\left(|a_{_{2k_{0}-1}}|, |a_{_{2k_{0}}}|\right)|u|\exp\left(R_{_{k_{0}}}u\right)\right)$$

where $R_{k_0} = \min (\operatorname{Re} a_{2k_0-1}, \operatorname{Re} a_{2k_0})$. Therefore we obtain

$$egin{aligned} |G_{2m}'(t)| &= |\,a_{2k_0-1}a_{2k_0}|\!\int_{-\infty}^0 \exp{(R_{k_0}u)}\{1+|\,u\,|\max{(|\,a_{2k_0-1}\,|,|\,a_{2k_0}\,|)}\}\ &\cdot S^{*-1/2}_{2m+2}\exp{(-AS^{*-1/2}_{2m+2}\,|\,t-u\,+\, ext{Re}\,(a^{-1}_{2k_0-1}\,+\,a^{-1}_{2k_0})\,|)}du \;. \end{aligned}$$

Using relations among S_{2m+2}^* , S_{2m} , a_{2k_0} and a_{2k_0-1} one obtains $\exp(-AS_{2m+2}^{*-1/2} | t - u + \operatorname{Re}(a_{2k_0-1}^{-1} + a_{2k_0}^{-1}) |) \leq M_2 \exp(-AS_{2m+2}^{-1/2} | t - u |)$. Using this and the definition of R_1 and R_2 one derives

$$egin{aligned} &|G_{2m}'(t)| \leq M_2 R_1^2 S_{2m}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp{(R_2 S_{2m}^{-1/2} u)} \{1+|u|R_1 S_{2m}^{-1/2}\} S_{2m+2}^{*-1/2} \ &\cdot \exp{(-A S_{2m+2}^{*-1/2} \mid t-u \mid)} du \ . \end{aligned}$$

We have to distinguish two cases t < 0 and $t \ge 0$. Let us prove first the theorem in case t < 0:

$$egin{aligned} |\,G_{2m}'(t)\,| &\leq M_2 R_1^2 S_{2m}^{-1} \exp{(-AtS_{2m+2}^{*-1/2})} \int_{-\infty}^t \{1 - u R_1 S_{2m}^{-1/2} \} S_{2m+2}^{*-1/2} \ &\cdot \exp{\{(R_2 S_{2m}^{-1/2} + AS_{2m+2}^{*-1/2})u\}} du \ &+ M_2 R_1^2 S_{2m}^{-1} \exp{(AtS_{2m+2}^{*-1/2})} \int_t^0 \{1 - u R_1 S_{2m}^{-1/2} \} S_{2m+2}^{*-1/2} \ &\cdot \exp{\{(R_2 S_{2m}^{-1/2} - AS_{2m+2}^{*-1/2})u\}} du \ . \end{aligned}$$

Choosing K so that $AS_{2m+2}^{*-1/2}>2R_2S_{2m}^{-1/2}$ we have

$$|G_{2m}'(t)| \leq M_1 S_{2m}^{-1} \exp{(R_2 t S_{2m}^{-1/2})}$$
 .

For t > 0

$$egin{aligned} |\,G_{2m}'(t)\,| &\leq M_2 R_1^2 S_{2m}^{-1} \exp{(-AtS_{2m+2}^{*-1/2})} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^0 \{1 - u R_1 S_{2m}^{-1/2}\} \cdot S_{2m+2}^{*-1/2} \ & \cdot \exp{\{(R_2 S_{2m}^{-1/2} + AS_{2m+2}^{*-1/2})u\}} du &\leq M_1 S_{2m}^{-1} \exp{(-AtS_{2m+2}^{*-1/2})} \ &\leq M_1 S_{2m}^{-1} \exp{(-R_2 S_{2m}^{-1/2}t)} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Estimations similar to those achieved in Theorem 4.2 for $\{a_k\} \in B(2, \delta)$ and $\{a_k\} \in C(2)$ are developed in the following theorems.

THEOREM 4.6. Let $\{a_k\} \in B(2, \delta)$ and $Q_m^{(j)} \ge L(j)Q_m$ for some j, then there exist A > 0 and M > 0 (independent of m) so that for $k \le 2j$:

(4.14)
$$|G_{2m}^{(k)}(t)| \leq MQ_m^{-k/4} \exp\left(-A\left(2\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^{-1-\delta}\right)^{-1/(1+\delta)} |t|\right).$$

THEOREM 4.7. Let $\{a_k\} \in C(2)$ and $Q_m^{(j)} \geq L(j)Q_m$ for some j, then there exist A > 0 and M > 0 (independent of m) so that for $k \leq 2j$:

(4.15)
$$G_{2m}^{(k)}(t) \leq MQ_m^{-k/4} \exp\left(-AS_{2m}^{-1/2} \mid t \mid\right) \,.$$

One can note that in case k = 0 no condition of the form $Q_m^{(j)} \ge L(j)Q_m$ is needed.

Proof of Theorems 4.6 and 4.7. Using Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 (for Theorems 4.6 and 4.7 respectively) we obtain by Theorem 4.1

Using the fact that $Q_m^{-1/4} < ((1/2)S_{2m})^{-1/2}$, as $S_m^2 > Q_m$ (which is achieved by dropping many positive terms) and recalling that

$$S_{2m}^{_{-1/2}} < \left(2 \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \mid a_k \mid^{_{-1-\delta}}
ight)^{^{1/1+\delta}}$$
 ,

we obtain

$$Q_m^{-1/4} < \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^\infty |\, a_k \,|^{-1-\delta}
ight)^{1/1+\, \delta}$$
 .

The completion of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2.

5. Some inversion theorems. In this section we shall show that inversion formulae can be given for $\{a_k\} \in A(2), \{a_k\} \in B(2, \delta)$ and $\{a_k\} \in C(2)$.

THEOREM 5.1. Suppose: (1) G(t) and E(s) are defined by (1.2) and $\{a_k\} \in A(2)$.

(2)
$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-t)\varphi(t)dt$$
.

(3) For some M and K, $|\varphi(t)| \leq Ke^{M|t|}$, where $M < \min |\operatorname{Re} a_n|$.

 $(4) \quad b_{2m} = o(1) \quad m \uparrow \infty.$

Then

(5.1)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} P_{2m}(D) f(x) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \exp\left((b - b_{2m})D\right) \prod_{k=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{D}{a_{2k-1}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{D}{a_{2k}}\right).$$

 $\exp\left((\operatorname{Re} a_{2k-1}^{-1} + a_{2k}^{-1})D\right)f(x) = \varphi(x) \text{ at any point of continuity of } \varphi(t).$

Proof. By steps following those of [1; p. 433]

$$egin{aligned} &|P_{2m}(D)f(x) - arphi(x)| \ &\leq \sup_{|t| < \delta} |arphi(x-t) - arphi(x)| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G_{2m}(t)| \, dt + M_0 \!\!\int_{|t| > \delta} |G_{2m}(t)| \, e^{M|t|} dt \;. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 4.3, the conditions of which are satisfied by the kernel $G_{2m}(t + b_m)$, choosing m so big that $|b_m| < \delta/2$ and $AS_{2m}^{-1/2} > 4M$, we conclude the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 5.2. Suppose: Assumptions (1) and (2) of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied

(3) For $\alpha(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(u) du$ there exist positive M and K so that $|\alpha(t)| \leq k e^{M|t|}$ where $M < \min |\operatorname{Re} a_k|$.

(4) $b_{2m}=o(S_{2m}^{1/2})$ $m
ightarrow\infty$.

(5) $\int_{a}^{h} [\varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x)] dy = o(h) \quad h \to 0.$

Then $\lim_{m\to\infty} P_{2m}(D)f(x) = \varphi(x)$.

Proof. Integrating by parts and since $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{2m}(t)dt = 1$ we obtain $|P_{2m}(D)f(x) - \varphi(x)|$ $> \int_{|x-t| \leq \delta} |\beta(t)| |G'_{2m}(x-t)| dt + \int_{|x-t| \geq \delta} |G'_{2m}(x-t)| |\beta(t)| dt$,

where $\beta(t) = \int_x^t [\varphi(x+u) - \varphi(x)] du$ and therefore $\beta(x+t) = o(t) t \to 0$ and $|\beta(t)| \leq K_1 e^{M|t|}$.

To obtain the inversion result for the case $S_{2m}^{(2)} \ge L(2)S_{2m}$ we use the estimation from Theorem 4.2; while for $|\operatorname{Re} a_k/a_k| > \eta$, $0 < \theta_1 < |a_{2k-1}/a_{2k}| < \theta_2 < \infty$ we use Theorem 4.4, both are applicable to $G_{2m}(t + b_{2m})$.

REMARK 1. In case $a_{2k-1} = -a_{2k}$ (from some k onward) we can drop (5) and write instead

$$\int_{0}^{h} [\varphi(x \pm y) - \varphi(x \pm 0)] dy = o(h) \qquad h \to 0 +$$

(if the numbers $\varphi(x \pm 0)$ exist) and then if we write $b_{2m} = 0$ instead of (4) and drop (6), we shall obtain

(5.2)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} P_{2m}(D)f(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(x+0) + \varphi(x-0)].$$

The proof is similar if we remember that $G_{2m}(t) = G_{2m}(-t)$ and therefore $\int_{-\infty}^{0} G_{2m}(t) dt = 1/2$.

REMARK 2. The condition (3) of Theorem 5.2 seems too strong since for the case where a_k are real the assumption could be dropped. We hope that at least for some classes of $\{a_k\}$ Theorem 5.2 could be proved without (3).

THEOREM 5.3. Suppose: (1) G(t) and E(s) are defined by (1.2) and $\{a_k\} \in B(2, \delta)$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (2) \quad f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-t)\varphi(t)dt.\\ (3) \quad For \ some \ M \ and \ K \ | \ \varphi(t) \ | \le Ke^{M|t|} \ where \ M = \min | \operatorname{Re} a_k | \ .\\ (4) \quad \{(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} | \ a_k |^{-1-\delta})^{1/1+\delta}\}^{\beta} \le KQ_m^{1/4} \ for \ some \ \beta \ge 1.\\ (5) \quad \varphi(x) - \varphi(t) = 0(| \ t - x \ |^{\beta-1}) \ t \to x.\\ nen \end{array}$$

(5.3)
$$\lim_{m\to\infty} P_{2m}(D)f(x) = \varphi(x) \; .$$

Proof. We have

$$egin{aligned} &|P_{2m}(D)f(x)-arphi(x)| = \Big|\Big\{\!\int_{|x-t| \ge \delta} + \int_{|x-t| \le \delta}\!\Big\}\!G_{2m}(x-t)[arphi(t)-arphi(x)dx\Big| \ &\le K_1\!\int_{|t-x| \ge \delta} |G_{2m}(x-t)| \,e^{Mt}dt + arepsilon\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G_{2m}(t)| \,|\,t\,|^{eta-1}dt \ &\le o(1) + arepsilon K_2\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_m^{-1/4} \,|\,t\,|^{eta-1}\exp\left(-A\!\Big(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^{-1-\delta}\Big)^{-1/1+\delta} \,|\,t\,|\Big)dt \ &\le o(1) + arepsilon K_2K\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u\,|^{eta-1}\exp\left(-Au\!\Big)du \le o(1) + arepsilon K_2K \ &m o \infty \ . \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 5.4. Suppose: (1) G(t) and E(s) are defined by (1.2) and $\{a_k\} \in C(2)$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (2) \quad f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-t)\varphi(t)dt. \\ (3) \quad For \ some \ K \ and \ M \mid \varphi(X) \mid \leq Ke^{M\mid t\mid} \ where \ M = \min \mid \operatorname{Re} a_k \mid. \\ (4) \quad S_{2m}^{\beta\mid 2} \leq KQ_m^{1/4} \ for \ some \ \beta \geq 1. \\ (5) \quad \varphi(x) - \varphi(t) = o(\mid t-x \mid^{\beta-1}) \ t \to x. \end{array}$$

$$Then$$

(5.3)
$$\lim_{m\to\infty} P_{2m}(D)f(x) = \varphi(x) .$$

Proof. Similar to that of Theorem 5.3.

REMARK. When β of condition (4) of Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.3 is equal to one, the condition on $\varphi(t)$ is mere continuity at a point t = x.

LEMMA 5.5. If an integer r exists such that for all $n |a_{n+r}| > q |a_n|$ for q > 1, then

$$\left(\sum\limits_{k=m+1}^{\infty} | \, a_k \, |^{-1-\delta}
ight)^{1/1+\,\delta} \leq K Q_m^{1/4} \qquad 0 \leq \delta \leq 1$$

(for $\delta = 1$ we have $S_{2m}^{1/2} \leq KQ_m^{1/4}$).

Proof. Obvious.

COROLLARY 5.5. If the kernel is defined by $a_{2k} = 2^{k-1}(1+i)$ and $a_{2k-1} = -2^{k-1}(1+i)$ then (5.3) is valid at any point of continuity.

6. Examples, remarks and generalizations. In this section we shall show some examples of convolution transform by giving its related sequence $\{a_k\}$. When we say $G(t) \in A(2)$, $B(2, \delta)$ or C(2) we mean that there is an order for which $\{a_k\} \in A(2)$, $B(2, \delta)$ and C(2) respectively.

EXAMPLE 6.1. $\{a_k\}$ defined by $a_{2k-1} = k$, $a_{2k} = q^k e^{i\theta_k}$ for q > 1, $0 < \delta < \pi(1/2)$, $|\theta_k - (\pi/2)| > \delta$, $|\theta_k + (\pi/2)| > \delta$. $\{a_k\} \in A(2)$. The kernel G(t) related to $\{a_k\}$ is not necessarily one of those discussed in [6]; for instance in case $\theta_k = (2/5)\pi$ the result of Theorem 5.2 can be applied as $S_{2m}^{(j)} \ge L(j)S_{2m}$ for all j (j = 2 is needed).

EXAMPLE 6.2. G(t) defined by $a_{2k-1} = (2k-1)! \ a_{2k} = (2k)! \ e^{i\theta_k}$ where $-\pi < \theta_k < \pi$, $|\theta_k - (\pi/2)| > \delta$, $|\theta_k + (\pi/2)| > \delta$ for some $0 < \delta < \pi/2$ where the a_k 's are arranged in the order of $|a_k|$. Theorem 5.2 does not apply here as one can easily verify that $S_{2m}^{(j)} = o(S_{2m})$ $m \to \infty$ for all j > 0. We can apply Theorem 5.1 and get an inversion formula.

EXAMPLE 6.3. Let c_k be real, $\sum c_k^{-2} < \infty$ and

$$a_{_{2k-1}} = c_k (\sin heta_{_1})^{_{-1}} e^{i heta_2} \,, \qquad a_{_{2k}} = c_k (\sin heta_{_2})^{_{-1}} e^{-i heta_1}$$

where $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < \pi/2, 0 < \delta_1 < \theta_1 + \theta_2 < \pi/2 - \delta_2$. (1.5) is easily veri-

fied. (1.6) is valid also since $\sin^2 \theta_1 + \sin^2 \theta_2 - 4 \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 \ge \eta$ and $\cos^2 \theta_1 > \sin^2 \theta_2$ and therefore $\sin^2 \theta_1 + \sin^2 \theta_2 < 1$ implies

$$\left(1-rac{\eta}{2}
ight)\!(\sin^2 heta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}+\sin^2 heta_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})-4\sin^2 heta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\sin^2 heta_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \geqq rac{\eta}{2}$$
 .

Using $\sin^2 \theta_1 < \cos^2 \theta_2$ and $\sin^2 \theta_2 < \cos^2 \theta_1$ we get after some calculations that $\sin^2(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \sin^2(\theta_1 - \theta_2) < \sin^2 \theta_1 + \sin^2 \theta_2 - 4 \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2$ which implies (1.7). It should be noted that the class defined by $a_{2k-1} = a_{2k}$ and min ($|\arg a_{2k}| |\arg - a_{2k}|) \leq \pi/4 - \delta$ which includes Garder's class of transforms [5] as a very special case, is a special case of this example. Theorem 5.2 can be applied here.

EXAMPLE 6.4. Let c_k be real, $\sum c_k^{-2} < \infty$ and $a_{2k-1} = c_k (\sin \theta_1)^{-1} e^{i\theta_2}$. $a_{2k} = -c_k (\sin \theta_2)^{-1} e^{i\theta_1}$ where either $0 < \theta_1$, $\theta_2 < \pi/2$, $0 < \delta$, $<\theta_1 + \theta_2 < \pi/2 - \delta_2$ or $-(\pi/2) < \theta_1$, $\theta_2 < 0$, $-(\pi/2) + \delta_2 < \theta_1 + \theta_2 < \delta_1 < 0$.

The inqualities used in Example 6.3 for the validity of $\{a_k\} \in A(2)$ can also be used here. It should be noted that the class of transforms defined by Dauns and Widder [1] is the case $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ here.

EXAMPLE 6.5. Let $a_{2n-1} = n^{\gamma}(1+i)$, $a_{2n} = n^{\gamma}(1-i)$, $\gamma > 1/2$. In this case $\{a_k\} \in A(2)$ (since (1.6) is not satisfied) but clearly $\{a_k\} \in C(2)$. In this case β of Theorem 5.4 is easily computed as $S_{2m} = (1 + o(1))4\gamma m^{-2\gamma+1}$, $Q_m = (1 + o(1))4\gamma m^{-4\gamma-1}$ $m \to \infty$ and therefore

$$\left(-\gamma+rac{1}{2}
ight)\!eta \leq -\gamma+rac{1}{4}$$

that is $\beta \ge 1 + 1/2(2\gamma - 1)$. From this one can see easily that: (a) When $\gamma = 1$ it is enough to have at $t = x \varphi(t) - \varphi(x) = o(|t - x|^{1/2})$ for Theorem 5.4.

(b) When $\gamma > 3/4$ it is enough to have $\varphi(t) - \varphi(x) = 0(t - x)$ $t \rightarrow x$ or it is enough for $\varphi(t)$ to have a left and right derivative at t = x.

EXAMPLE 6.6. $a_{2n-1} = n^{\gamma}(1+i)$, $a_{2n} = -n^{\gamma}(1+i)$. For $\gamma > 3/4$ $\{a_k\} \in B(2, 1/3)$. The following remarks will constitute generalizations of the Theorems of § 5 in various directions.

REMARK 6.1. In Theorem 5.1 $|\varphi(t)| \leq K e^{u|t|}$ can be replaced by $\left| \int_{0}^{t} \varphi(t) dt \right| \leq K e^{u|t|}$ if for every $\delta > 0$ if

(6.1)
$$(S_{2m}S_{2m}^{(1)}S_{2m}^{(2)})^{-1/2}\exp(-\delta S_m^{-1/2}) = o(1) \qquad m \to \infty$$

This result can be achieved by a proper change of Theorem 4.2 that will yield now

ZEEV DITZIAN

(6.2)
$$|G'_{2m}(t)| \leq M(S_{2m}S_{2m}^{(1)}S_{2m}^{(2)})^{-1/2} \exp(-AS_{2m}^{-1/2}|t|).$$

REMARK 6.2. In Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 condition (3) can be replaced by $\left|\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(t) dt\right| \leq K e^{M|t|}$ if either $Q_{m}^{(1)} \geq L Q_{m}$ or if for all $\eta > 0$

$$(Q_m^{(1)}Q_m)^{-1/4}\exp\left(-\eta\sum_{m+1}^{\infty}|a_k|^{-1-\delta}\right)^{-1/(1+\delta)} = o(1) \qquad m \to \infty$$

for Theorem 5.3 and $(Q_m^{(1)}Q_m)^{-1/4} \exp(-\eta S_{2m}^{-1/2}) = o(1) \ m \to \infty$ for Theorem 5.4. For the above generalization slight improvements of Theorems 4.6 and 4.7 are needed in case $Q_m^{(1)} \ge LQ_m$ is not satisfied.

REMARK 6.3. If $S_{2m}^{-1/2} \leq KQ_m^{1/4}$, then in Theorem 5.4 $\varphi(t) - \varphi(x) = 0(1)$ $t \to x$ can be replaced by

$$\int_{x}^{x+h} [\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)] dt = o(h) \qquad h \to 0 .$$

REMARK 6.4. If in Theorem 5.3 (5) is replaced by

$$\varphi(t) - \varphi(x+) = o(|t-x|^{\beta-1}) \qquad t \to x+$$

and

$$\varphi(t) - \varphi(x-) = o(|t-x|^{\beta-1}) \qquad t \rightarrow x-$$
,

then

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} P_{2m}(D)f(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(x+) + \varphi(x-)].$$

REMARK 6.5. If in Theorem 5.3 we have

$$\left(\sum\limits_{m+1}\mid a_{k}\mid^{-1-\delta}
ight)^{1/1+\delta} \leq K_{1}Q_{m}$$
 ,

then $\varphi(t) - \varphi(x) = o(1) \ t \rightarrow x$ can be replaced by

$$\int_{x}^{x+h} [\varphi(x \pm t) - \varphi(x \pm 0)dt = o(h) \qquad h \to 0 +$$

and then

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} P_{2m}(D)f(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\varphi(x+0) + \varphi(x-0)].$$

References

1. J. Dauns and D. V. Widder, Convolution transforms whose inversion function have complex roots, Pacific J. Math. (2) 15 (1965), 427-442.

2. Z. Ditzian, Inversion formulae and various properties of integral transforms, Ph. D. thesis, 1965, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel (Hebrew, English summary).

3. _____, On asymptotic estimates for kernels of convolution transforms, Pacific J. Math. 21 (1967), 249-254.

4. Z. Ditzian and A. Jakimovski, Inversion and jump formulae for variation diminishing transforms. (to appear)

5. A. O. Garder, The inversion of a special class of convolution transforms M. A. thesis, 1950, Washington University, St. Louis Missouri.

6. I. I. Hirschman and D. V. Widder, Convolution transforms with complex kernels, Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951).

7. ____, The Convolution Transform, Princeton Press, 1955.

8. R. M. Mcleod, Mean value theorems for vector valued functions, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. (11), 14 (1965) Part 3, 197-211.

9. D. V. Widder, The Laplace Transform, 2nd ed., Princeton Press. 1946.

Received December 20, 1966.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA EDMONTON, CANADA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California

J. P. JANS

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN

NN F. WOLF

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF UTAH MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION OSAKA UNIVERSITY TRW SYSTEMS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 25, No. 1September, 1968

Glen Eugene Bredon, <i>Cosheaves and homology</i>	1
Robin Ward Chaney, A chain rule for the transformation of integrals in	
measure space	33
Colin W. Clark, On relatively bounded perturbations of ordinary differential	
operators	59
John Edwin Diem, A radical for lattice-ordered rings	71
Zeev Ditzian, On a class of convolution transforms	83
Dennis Garoutte and Paul Adrian Nickel, A note on extremal properties	
characterizing weakly λ -valent principal functions	109
Shwu-Yeng Tzeng Lin, <i>Fixed point properties and inverse limit spaces</i>	117
John S. Lowndes, Some dual series equations involving Laguerre	
polynomials	123
Kirti K. Oberai, <i>Sum and product of commuting spectral operators</i>	129
J. N. Pandey and Armen H. Zemanian, <i>Complex inversion for the</i>	
generalized convolution transformation	147
Stephen Parrott, <i>Isometric multipliers</i>	159
Manoranjan Prasad, <i>Note on an extreme form</i>	167
Maciej Skwarczyński, A representation of a bounded function as infinite	
product in a domain with Bergman-Shilov boundary surface	177
John C. Taylor, <i>The Šilov boundary for a lattice-ordered semigroup</i>	185
Donald Reginald Traylor and James Newton Younglove, On normality and	
pointwise paracompactness	193
L. Tzafriri, <i>Quasi-similarity for spectral operators on Banach spaces</i>	197