Pacific Journal of
Mathematics

EXTREME POINTS AND DIMENSION THEORY

NEWTON TENNEY PECK

Vol. 25, No. 2 October 1968

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 25, No. 2, 1968

EXTREME POINTS AND DIMENSION THEORY

N. T. PECK

The purpose of this paper is to characterize the topological dimension of a compact metric space X in terms of the extremal structure of the unit ball of the spaces $C(X, R_n)$, where R_n denotes Euclidean n-space with the usual Euclidean norm and $C(X, R_n)$ denotes the space of continuous maps of X into R_n, normed by the sup norm. The main results are that if $n \geqq 2$, the unit ball of $C(X, R_n)$ is always the closed convex hull of its extreme points, and that if the unit ball of $C(X, R_n)$ is actually equal to the convex hull of its extreme points, then the dimension of X is less than n. If n is even, the converse of the second assertion above is shown to be true, and with additional assumptions on X, the converse of the second assertion holds whether n is even or odd.

In the last half of the paper, the corresponding questions for the spaces $C(X, N)$ are studied, where N is an infinite-dimensional strictly convex normed space and $C(X, N)$ is the space of continuous maps of X into N, again with the sup norm. Here it is established that the unit ball of $C(X, N)$ is always the convex hull of its extreme points.

We will be studying spaces $C(X, N)$, where N is either finite-dimensional Euclidean space or an infinite-dimensional strictly convex normed space. If $| \ |$ is the norm on N, $C(X, N)$ is normed by $||f|| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$. Let U_N denote the (closed) unit ball of $C(X, N)$ and let E_N denote the set of extreme points of U_N; then it is clear that E_N is the set of all continuous maps of X into the surface of the unit ball of N. In case N is n-dimensional Euclidean space, we let U_N be represented by U_n; similarly E_N will be represented by E_n. When no confusion can arise we will sometimes drop the subscript N on U_N and E_N.

It is to be emphasized that all the hypotheses on X are not always needed; we elaborate this in the remarks at the end of the paper.

A theorem in Bade [1] states that U_1 is the closed convex hull of E_1 if and only if X is totally disconnected. Phelps [6] proved that U_2 is always the closed convex hull of E_2; a simpler proof was given by Sine [7]. Related results were obtained by Goodner [2] for the case $n = 1$; here, compactness of X was not assumed.

1. Mappings into Euclidean spaces.

We begin with

THEOREM 1. *If $n \geqq 2$, U_n is equal to the closed convex hull of*

E_n.

Proof. Our basic tool is the construction used by Sine in [7], with a suitable modification. By S_{n-1} we will mean the surface of the unit sphere in R_n. If α and β are (small) positive numbers and x_0 is a point of S_{n-1}, let $B(x_0, \alpha) = \{z \in S_{n-1}: |z - x_0| < \alpha\}$ and let $W(x_0, \alpha, \beta)$ equal the convex hull of $(B(x_0, \alpha) \cup \{-\beta x_0\})$. Any set of the form $W(x_0, \alpha, \beta)$ will be called a *wedge*; $-\beta x_0$ will be called the *vertex* of the wedge.

Now let f be in U_n and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let k be a positive integer such that $(1/k) < \varepsilon$; it is not hard to see that wedges $W_1, \cdots, W_k$ can be chosen so that the wedges W_i are pairwise disjoint outside the set $\{z \in R_n: |z| \leqq \varepsilon\}$. (Choose α_i relatively small in comparison with β_i if $W_i = W(x_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$). Let φ_i be the following retraction of the unit ball in R_n onto the unit ball with the (relative) interior of the wedge W_i removed: If z is in W_i, $\varphi_i(z)$ is obtained by projecting z parallel to x_i until it hits the boundary of W_i. If z is not in W_i, $\varphi_i(z) = z$. The number β_i can be chosen $< \varepsilon$; then $|\varphi_i(z)| \leqq \varepsilon$ if $|z| \leqq \varepsilon$.

We now estimate $|z - (1/k) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_i(z)|$ for z in the unit ball of R_n. If $|z| \leqq \varepsilon$, then $|\varphi_i(z)| \leqq \varepsilon$ for each i, so

$$\left| z - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_i(z) \right| \leqq 2\varepsilon \ ;$$

if $\varepsilon < |z| \leqq 1$, $\varphi_i(z) = z$ for all but at most one i, so

$$\left| z - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_i(z) \right| \leqq \frac{2}{k} < 2\varepsilon \ .$$

Hence $||f - (1/k) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_i \circ f|| \leqq 2\varepsilon$.

If A is a subset of S_{n-1}, $n \geqq 2$, by a *vector field on* A we will mean a continuous function $\Phi: A \rightarrow S_{n-1}$ such that $\Phi(z)$ is perpendicular to z for all z in A. If n is even, define p on S_{n-1} by

$$p(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_{n-1}, t_n) = (t_2, -t_1, \cdots, t_n, -t_{n-1}) \ .$$

Then p is a vector field on S_{n-1}.

If n is odd, $n \geqq 3$, and the complement of A in S_{n-1} contains at least one point, A admits a vector field. We see this as follows: clearly we may assume that the omitted point p_0 is the "north pole" $(0, 0, \cdots, 1)$. If $z \in S_{n-1}$, $z \neq p_0$, we define $P(z)$ to be the stereographic projection of z on the hyperplane $H = \{t_n = 0\}$, where t_n is the n'th coordinate function: $P(z)$ is the intersection of the line through p_0 and z with H. P is one-to-one and bicontinuous from $S_{n-1} \sim \{p_0\}$ onto H. Let T be a translation of H onto itself: $T(y) = y + y_0$, where y_0

is a nonzero element of H. Now let $Q(z) = (P^{-1} \circ T \circ P)(z)$ for $z \in S_{n-1} \sim \{p_0\}$.

For each z in $S_{n-1} \sim \{p_0\}$, $Q(z)$ can be written uniquely as $\lambda z + V(z)$, where λ is a real number and $V(z)$ is an element of R_n which is perpendicular to z. If $V(z) = 0$, then since $|Q(z)| = |z| = 1$, we have $\lambda = \pm 1$. We cannot have that $\lambda = 1$, since $Q(z) \neq z$ (T is fixed-point free); and if the vector y_0 in the definition of T is small enough, $T(y) - y$ is uniformly small, so λ cannot equal -1. Hence $V(z) \neq 0$, so if we define Φ by $\Phi(z) = (V(z)/|V(z)|)$, Φ is the desired vector field. It is not hard to check that P has the properties claimed for it and that V is continuous, whence Φ is continuous.

For each i, let W_i be the wedge associated with φ_i; W_i is the convex hull of v_i and $B(x_i, \alpha_i)$, where v_i is the vertex of W_i. The preceding remarks imply that there is a vector field Φ_i on $S_{n-1} \sim B(x_i, \alpha_i)$. Observe that for each $i, \varphi_i \circ f$ omits the origin and that $\varphi_i(f(x))/|\varphi_i(f(x))|$ is never in $B(x_i, \alpha_i)$; hence we can define g_i and h_i on X by

$$g_i(x) = \varphi_i(f(x)) + (1 - |\varphi_i(f(x))|^2)^{1/2}\Phi_i\left(\frac{\varphi_i(f(x))}{|\varphi_i(f(x))|}\right),$$

$$h_i(x) = \varphi_i(f(x)) - (1 - |\varphi_i(f(x))|^2)^{1/2}\Phi_i\left(\frac{\varphi_i(f(x))}{|\varphi_i(f(x))|}\right).$$

Then g_i and h_i are in E_n and $\varphi_i \circ f = (g_i + h_i/2)$; hence f is approximated within 2ε by a convex combination of elements of E_n. This completes the proof.

Let $\dim X$ denote the dimension of X as defined in Hurewicz and Wallman [3]. We continue with

THEOREM 2. *For $n \geqq 1$, suppose that U_n is equal to the convex hull of E_n. Then* $\dim X < n$.

Proof. By Theorem VI. 4. of Hurewicz and Wallman, it suffices to prove the following: Let A be a closed subset of X. Then if f is a continuous map of A into S_{n-1}, there is an extension of f to a continuous map of X into S_{n-1}.

Hence, let A and f be as above. Using Tietze's theorem, we can extend f to a continuous $\tilde{f}$ from X into the unit ball of R_n. If $\tilde{f}$ is in the convex hull of E_n, there is a probability measure μ defined on the Borel subsets of U_n with $\mu(E_n) = 1$ (μ has finite support, but we do not need this fact) such that $\Psi(\tilde{f}) = \int_{E_n} \Psi(g)d\mu(g)$ for each continuous linear functional Ψ on $C(X, R_n)$. Let $\{x_j\}$ be a sequence dense in A and let $p_j = f(x_j)$. Define continuous linear functionals Ψ_j by

$$\Psi_j(g) = \langle g(x_j), p_j \rangle \quad \text{for } g \text{ in } C(X, R_n) .$$

(Here, $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product.) Then for each j we have

$$1 = \Psi_j(\tilde{f}) = \int_{E_n} \Psi_j(g) d\mu(g) .$$

If g is in E_n and $g(x_j) \neq p_j$, then $\Psi_j(g) < 1$; since μ is a probability measure it must be the case that

$$\mu\{g \in E_n : g(x_j) \neq p_j\} = 0 .$$

Hence, $\mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \{g \in E_n : g(x_j) \neq p_j\}) = 0$; it follows that there is a g^* in E_n such that $g^*(x_j) = p_j = f(x_j)$ for all j. Since $\{x_j\}$ is dense in A, $g^*(x) = f(x)$ for all x in A. This g^* is the desired extension of f and the proof is complete.

We now show that in case n is even the converse of Theorem 2 holds, and that if $n = 1$, something slightly weaker than the converse of Theorem 2 holds; we also give some related results. Before proceeding, we again note that if n is even, the function p on S_{n-1} defined by

$$p(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_{n-1}, t_n) = (t_2, -t_1, \cdots, t_n, -t_{n-1})$$

is a continuous map of S_{n-1} into S_{n-1} such that $p(z)$ is perpendicular to z for all z in S_{n-1}.

THEOREM 3. *If n is even and* $\dim X < n$, *U_n is equal to the convex hull of E_n.*

Proof. The containment one way is trivial. To show that U_n is contained in the convex hull of E_n, it suffices to show that U_n is in the convex hull of those elements of U_n which omit the origin; for if g is an element of U_n which omits the origin we can define f_1 and f_2 in E_n by

$$f_1(x) = g(x) + (1 - |g(x)|^2)^{1/2} p\left(\frac{g(x)}{|g(x)|}\right) ,$$

$$f_2(x) = g(x) - (1 - |g(x)|^2)^{1/2} p\left(\frac{g(x)}{|g(x)|}\right) .$$

Plainly $g = f_1 + f_2/2$.

Hence suppose $\dim X < n$ and that f is in U_n. By Theorem VI. 1. of Hurewicz and Wallman, the origin is an unstable value of f; by Proposition B of the same section in Hurewicz and Wallman, there is a function h_1 in U_n which omits the origin, such that

(1) If $|f(x)| \geqq (1/3)$, then $h_1(x) = f(x)$,

(2) If $|f(x)| < (1/3)$, then $|h_1(x)| < (1/3)$.

Put $h_2 = 2f - h_1$; then h_2 is in U_n.

Suppose $|h_1(x)| > 3\varepsilon > 0$ for all x in X. Using the same results in Hurewicz and Wallman, we can choose g_2 in U_n such that g_2 omits the origin and such that

(3) If $|h_2(x)| \geqq \varepsilon$, then $g_2(x) = h_2(x)$,

(4) If $|h_2(x)| < \varepsilon$, then $|g_2(x)| < \varepsilon$.

Put $g_1 = 2f - g_2$. Now it is easy to check that $||g_1|| \leqq 1$ and $||g_2|| \leqq 1$; moreover g_1 omits the origin because $||g_1 - h_1|| = ||g_2 - h_2|| \leqq 2\varepsilon$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

For the case $n = 1$, $\dim X = 0$, we have a slightly weaker version of Theorem 3:

THEOREM 4. *If* $\dim X = 0$, *then for every* f *in* U_1 *there is a sequence* $\{h_i\}$ *of elements of* E_1 *such that* $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/2^{i+1})(h_{2i-1} + h_{2i})$, *the convergence being norm convergence.*

We first prove an auxiliary result:

LEMMA 1. *Assume that* $\dim X = 0$ *and that* f *is in* U_1. *Then there are two elements* h_1, h_2 *of* E_1 *such that* $||f - (1/4)(h_1 + h_2)|| \leqq 1/2$.

Proof. If h_i assumes only the two values ± 1, $h_i = \chi_{A_i} - \chi_{\sim A_i}$, where A_i is an open-and-closed subset of X and χ_T denotes the characteristic function of the set T. If $||f - (1/4)(h_1 + h_2)|| \leqq 1/2$ we must have that $|f - (1/2)| \leqq 1/2$ on $A_1 \cap A_2$, $|f| \leqq 1/2$ on

$$(A_1 \sim A_2) \cup (A_2 \sim A_1) ,$$

and $|f + (1/2)| \leqq 1/2$ on $(\sim A_1) \cap (\sim A_2)$. Using the zero-dimensionality of X, we can find an open-and-closed set A_1 containing $f^{-1}[1/2, 1]$ and contained in $f^{-1}(0, 1]$; we can then find an open-and-closed subset A_2 containing $f^{-1}[0, 1]$ and contained in $f^{-1}(-(1/2), 1]$. With this choice of A_1 and A_2, $||f - (1/4)(h_1 + h_2)|| \leqq 1/2$, and this completes the proof of the lemma.

Turning now to the proof of the theorem, we suppose that f is in U_1. By the lemma, there are elements h_1, h_2 of E_1 such that

$$\left\|f - \frac{1}{4}(h_1 + h_2)\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{2} .$$

Assume that elements $h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{2j-1}, h_{2j}$ of E_1 have been found so that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}(h_{2i-1} + h_{2i}) \right\| \leqq \frac{1}{2^j} .$$

Let

$$H_j = f - \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}(h_{2i-1} + h_{2i}) .$$

Then $\| 2^j H_j \| \leqq 1$; appealing to the lemma again, we find elements h_{2j+1}, h_{2j+2} of E_1 such that

$$\left\| 2^j H_j - \frac{1}{4}(h_{2j+1} + h_{2j+2}) \right\| \leqq \frac{1}{2} ,$$

whence

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}(h_{2i-1} + h_{2i}) \right\| \leqq \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} .$$

This completes the induction step and the proof of the theorem.

We now turn to the case that n is an odd integer, $n \geqq 3$; we would like to prove something like Theorem 3 for such n. The two key elements in the proof of Theorem 3 were the approximation of an f in U_n by a nowhere-vanishing g, and the fact that a nowhere-vanishing g can be written as the midpoint of two elements of E_n. The approximation is always possible, whether n is odd or even, provided $\dim X < n$; but the representation of a nonvanishing g in U_n as the midpoint of two elements of E_n is not always possible, even with $\dim X < n$. For example, if n is odd, let $X = (1/2)S_{n-1}$, the set of points in R_n at distance 1/2 from the origin. Let f be the identity map of X into the unit ball of R_n. Then if $f = g_1 + g_2/2$, with g_1, g_2 in E_n, it is easy to see that if

$$h(z) = \frac{g_1\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) - \frac{z}{2}}{\left| g_1\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) - \frac{z}{2} \right|}$$

for z in S_{n-1}, h is a vector field on S_{n-1}, which is an impossibility.

We do have the following partial result:

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose that X is a compact metric space such that any two continuous maps of X into S_{n-1} are homotopic in $S_{n-1} (n \geqq 2)$. Then if g is an element of U_n which omits the origin, $g = h_1 + h_2/2$, with h_1, h_2 in E_n.*

Before we prove the proposition, we make the following observation (which must be in the literature):

LEMMA 2. *Let X be a compact space and let f, g be two continuous maps of X into S_{n-1}, $n \geqq 2$, such that $\|f - g\| < \sqrt{2}$. Then if there is a continuous g' from X into S_{n-1} such that $g'(x)$ is perpendicular to $g(x)$ for all x in X, there is a continuous f' from X into S_{n-1} such that $f'(x)$ is perpendicular to $f(x)$ for all x in X.*

Proof of the lemma. For each x in X we can write $g'(x)$ uniquely in the form $g''(x) + \lambda(x)f(x)$, where $g''(x)$ is perpendicular to $f(x)$ and $\lambda(x)$ is a scalar between -1 and 1. It is easy to see that g'' is continuous as a function of x. If $g''(y) = 0$ for some y, then $g'(y) = \pm f(y)$; since $g(y)$ is perpendicular to $g'(y)$ we have $|f(y) - g(y)| = \sqrt{2}$, a contradiction. The proof of the lemma is complete if we define $f'(x) = (g''(x)/|g''(x)|)$ for x in X.

Proof of the proposition. Define h on X by $h(x) = (g(x)/|g(x)|)$; then h is a continuous map of X into S_{n-1}. By assumption, there are a constant map k of X into S_{n-1} and a continuous map q of $X \times [0, 1]$ into S_{n-1} such that $q(x, 0) = k(x)$, $q(x, 1) = h(x)$ for all x in X. Clearly there is a continuous map k' of X into S_{n-1} such that $k'(x)$ is perpendicular to $k(x)$ for all x in X. (Simply let k' be another constant map, appropriately chosen.)

Let T be the set of all t in $[0, 1]$ such that there is a continuous map g'_t from X into S_{n-1} with $g'_t(x)$ perpendicular to $q(x, t)$ for all x in X. The set T is nonempty, and by the lemma above, T is open and closed in $[0, 1]$. We conclude that there is a continuous h' of X into S_{n-1} such that $h'(x)$ is perpendicular to $h(x)$ for all x in X.

Now define h_1 and h_2 on X by

$$h_1(x) = g(x) + (1 - |g(x)|^2)^{1/2}h'(x) ,$$
$$h_2(x) = g(x) - (1 - |g(x)|^2)^{1/2}h'(x) .$$

It follows that h_1 and h_2 are in E_n and that $g = h_1 + h_2/2$.

Combining Proposition 1 and the techniques used in the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain the following.

COROLLARY. *If n is an integer $\geqq 3$ and if X is a compact metric space of dimension $< n$ such that any two continuous maps of X into S_{n-1} are homotopic in S_{n-1}, then U_n is the convex hull of E_n.*

In particular, if $\dim X < n$ and X is contractible, then U_n is the convex hull of E_n. Hence if $n \geqq 3$ and $\dim X < n - 1$, U_n is the convex hull of E_n. (Use the cone over X; this has dimension $< n$ and is contractible.)

2. Mappings into infinite-dimensional spaces. We now wish to prove Theorem 3 in the case that the range space N is infinite-dimensional. We assume from here on that X is a compact Hausdorff space (metrizability is no longer assumed) and that N is an infinite-dimensional strictly convex normed space.

THEOREM 5. *Let X and N be as above. Then U_N is the convex hull of E_N.*

We shall prove this in the same way that we proved Theorem 3: every element of U_N can be approximated by an element of U_N which omits the zero vector in N: every element of U_N which omits the origin is the midpoint of two elements of E_N. The first assertion is proved in Proposition 2 below; the second assertion is proved in Proposition 3.

PROPOSITION 2. Let X and N be as above. Then if f is in U_N and ε is a positive number, there is g in U_N such that g omits the origin and $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$.

Proof. The set $K = f(X)$ is compact, so by a result of Nagumo [4, Th. 2] there are points $x_1, \cdots, x_r$ in the unit ball of N and a continuous map q of K into the convex hull of $\{x_1, \cdots, x_r\}$ such that $|q(z) - z| < \varepsilon/3$ for z in K. If s is the number $1 - (\varepsilon/3)$, $|s \cdot q(z) - z| < 2\varepsilon/3$ for z in K. Now let v be any element of the unit ball of N which is not in the linear span of $\{x_1, \cdots, x_r\}$. Finally if we define g on X by $g(x) = (\varepsilon/3)v + s \cdot q(f(x))$, g is a continuous map of X into the unit ball of N, g omits the origin, and $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$.

COROLLARY. *Let X and N satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 2. Let f be an element of U_N. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a g in U_N such that g omits the origin, $|g(x)| < \varepsilon$ if $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$, $g(x) = f(x)$ if $|f(x)| \geqq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. The proof of Proposition B § 1 in chapter VI of Hurewicz and Wallman can be used without change, in conjunction with Proposition 2.

Now let N be an infinite-dimensional strictly convex normed space. Let B denote the closed unit ball of N and let S denote the boundary of B. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let g be a continuous map of X into $B \sim \{0\}$. We shall show that g is the midpoint of two continuous maps of X into S. To prove this, it is certainly enough to prove the following.

PROPOSITION 3. Let N be an infinite-dimensional strictly convex normed space and let K be a compact subset of the unit ball of N such that K does not contain the origin. Then there are two continuous maps φ_1 and φ_2, defined and continuous on K and assuming values in S, such that for each x in K, $x = \varphi_1(x) + \varphi_2(x)/2$.

Proof. Let K satisfy the hypotheses of the proposition. Then if η is defined on K by $\eta(x) = (x/|x|)$, η is a continuous map of K into S. Since N is infinite-dimensional, S cannot be compact; hence there is a point z in $S \sim (\eta(K) \cup - \eta(K))$. We now define γ on $K \times [0, 2]$ in the following way:

$$\gamma(x, t) = \frac{(1-t)\eta(x) + tz}{|(1-t)\eta(x) + tz|} \qquad \text{for } 0 \leqq t \leqq 1 \, ;$$

$$\gamma(x, t) = \frac{(2-t)z + (t-1)(-\eta(x))}{|(2-t)z + (t-1)(-\eta(x))|} \qquad \text{for } 1 \leqq t \leqq 2 \, .$$

(Note that the norms in the denominators are never zero because of the way z was chosen.) It is clear that γ is continuous on $K \times [0, 2]$ and that γ is a map of $K \times [0, 2]$ into S.

Fix x in K; then it is easily verified that $|2x - \gamma(x, 0)| \leqq 1$ and $|2x - \gamma(x, 2)| > 1$. It follows that there is at least one t in $[0, 2]$ such that $|2x - \gamma(x, t)| = 1$.

We assert that there is at most one such t. Since this is an assertion about a two-dimensional subspace of N, our claim is equivalent to the following lemma, in which $(1, 0)$ plays the role of the point $\eta(x)$ and $(0, 1)/|(0, 1)|$ plays the role of the point z:

LEMMA 3. *Let* $|\ |$ *be any strictly convex norm on the XY-plane. Suppose that* $|(1, 0)| = 1$ *and that* $0 < r \leqq 1$. *Then there is at most one point* (x_1, y_1) *with* $y_1 \geqq 0$ *such that*

$$|(x_1, y_1)| = |2(r, 0) - (x_1, y_1)| = 1 \, .$$

Proof. For a contradiction, we may assume there are two such points $q_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ and $q_2 = (x_2, y_2)$, with $y_1 > y_2 > 0$. (It is immediate from strict convexity that $y_1 \neq y_2$.) Let $(u, 0)$ denote the point of intersection of the x-axis and the line through q_1 and q_2. Explicitly, $u = (y_1 - y_2)^{-1}(y_1 x_2 - y_2 x_1)$ and

$$q_2 = \lambda q_1 + (1 - \lambda)(u, 0) \, , \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda = y_2/y_1 \in (0, 1) \, .$$

We also have

$$q_2 - 2(r, 0) = \lambda[q_1 - 2(r, 0)] + (1 - \lambda)(u - 2r, 0) \, .$$

We can obviously assume that neither the above-mentioned line nor its translate by $-2(r, 0)$ passes through the origin, so the strict convexity of the norm yields $|(u, 0)| > 1$ and $|(u - 2r, 0)| > 1$. These last two points are at most two units apart (since $0 < r < 1$), so we either have $u - 2r < u < -1$ or $1 < u - 2r < u$. Neither of these is possible (a sketch clarifies this); in the first case, for instance, we would have q_2 in the interior of the triangle defined by $q_2 - 2(r, 0)$, q_1 and the origin, which would imply $|q_2| < 1$. (In the second case, we would get $|q_2 - 2(r, 0)| < 1$.)

Continuing with the proof of the theorem, we let $t(x)$ be the unique point in $[0, 2]$ such that $|2x - \gamma(x, t(x))| = 1$. We now claim that t is continuous on K. If not, there are a point x_0 in K and a sequence $\{x_j\}$ converging to x_0 such that $|t(x_j) - t(x_0)| > \varepsilon > 0$ for all j. Taking a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that $\{t(x_j)\}$ converges to $t_0 \neq t(x_0)$. Using the continuity of γ we find that

$$|2x_0 - \gamma(x_0, t_0)| = \lim_j |2x_j - \gamma(x_j, t(x_j))| = 1 ;$$

this contradicts the uniqueness of $t(x_0)$ and the continuity of t is established. It is now clear how φ_1 and φ_2 are to be defined on K:

$$\varphi_1(x) = \gamma(x, t(x)) ,$$
$$\varphi_2(x) = 2x - \gamma(x, t(x)) .$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.

Observe that a much simpler proof is available if N is complex linear. Indeed, if N is complex linear and if x is in the unit ball B of N, $x \neq 0$, define φ_1 and φ_2 by

$$\varphi_1(x) = (1 + (|x|^{-2} - 1)^{1/2} i) \cdot x ,$$
$$\varphi_2(x) = (1 - (|x|^{-2} - 1)^{1/2} i) \cdot x .$$

The modulus of each of the coefficients of x in the above expressions is $|x|^{-1}$, so it follows that for x in $B \sim \{0\}$, $|\varphi_1(x)| = |\varphi_2(x)| = 1$. Plainly, $x = \varphi_1(x) + \varphi_2(x)/2$, and it is equally clear that φ_1 and φ_2 are continuous on $B \sim \{0\}$.

Combining the above proposition, the Corollary to Proposition 2, and the techniques of Theorem 3, we obtain Theorem 5.

We conclude with a question: what are necessary and sufficient conditions on the compact metric space X so that U_n is equal to the convex hull of E_n, if n is an odd integer $\geqq 3$?

Author's note. Since this paper was written, the results have been improved on in several ways. Professor Joram Lindenstrauss has communicated a proof that the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds for the case of $C(X, N)$, where N is any finite-dimensional real vector space, normed in such a way that the extreme points of the unit ball of N form an arcwise connected set. In the proof of Theorem 3 compactness of X appears essential ($|h_1(x)| > 3\varepsilon > 0$ for all x in X), but Professor James L. Cornette has shown that compactness is unnecessary by modifying h_1 slightly. A similar device is used by Professor John Cantwell in a paper to appear in the AMS *Proceedings*; in this paper Cantwell establishes the converse of our Theorem 2 for odd $n, n \geqq 3$, without any additional hypotheses on X. (He shows that for odd $n, n \geqq 3$, each element of U_n is in the convex hull of eight elements of E_n if $\dim X < n$.) For $n = 1$ our Theorem 4 appears best possible, since convex combinations of elements of E_1 assume only finitely many values and there are certainly zero-dimensional compact metric spaces admitting a continuous real-valued function which assumes infinitely many values.

Note that the proof of Theorem 1 shows that the theorem is really a statement about the normed space of all bounded continuous functions from a Hausdorff space X into $R_n, n \geqq 2$. Finally, we remark that the proof of Theorem 2 would have been simpler if $\tilde{f}$ had been written explicitly as a convex combination of elements of E_n; the point here is that the weak form of "representability" of $\tilde{f}$ used in the proof is enough to give the conclusion.

I would like to thank Professors R. H. Szczarba and J. D. Stafney for several helpful conversations, and Professor R. R. Phelps for several communications on the subject. I would also like to thank Professor R. C. Sine for showing me his unpublished manuscript [7]; and I am indebted to the referee for several helpful suggestions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. Bade, *Functional Analysis Seminar Notes*, University of California, Berkeley, 1957 (unpublished).
2. D. B. Goodner, *The closed convex hull of certain extreme points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 256-258.
3. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1941.
4. M. Nagumo, *Degree of mapping in convex linear topological spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **73** (1951), 497-511.
5. N. T. Peck, *Representation of functions in C(X) by means of extreme points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 133-135.
6. R. R. Phelps, *Extreme points in function algebras*, Duke Math. J. **32** (1965), 267-277.
7. R. C. Sine, *On a paper of Phelps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 484-486.

Received June 7, 1966, and in revised form March 10, 1967. This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant NSF-GP-3509.

YALE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Each author of each article receives 50 reprints free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners of publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 25, No. 2 October, 1968