Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON INTERCHANGE GRAPHS

BENJAMIN L. SCHWARTZ

Vol. 27, No. 2

February 1968

ON INTERCHANGE GRAPHS

BENJAMIN L. SCHWARTZ

The interchange graph I(G) for an unoriented graph G has been defined by Ore as follows: The vertices of I(G) are the edges of G; and two vertices of I(G) are connected by an edge if and only if they are adjacent (i.e., have a vertex in common) in G. In 1962 Ore raised the problem of determining those graphs for which

$$(1) I(G) = G.$$

This paper solves this problem for finite connected graphs with loops and parallel edges, extending earlier work on the problem.

A loop (an edge whose two ends coincide in a single vertex) is considered adjacent to itself, and hence generates another loop under the I mapping. If two edges of G connect the same two vertices, the corresponding vertices of I(G) are also connected by two distinct edges.

A natural generalization of (1) is the problem of finding the graphs for which, for some fixed positive integer k,

$$(2) I^k(G) = G.$$

Prior work, has shown that for graphs without loops, (1) and (2) are equivalent, and hold if and only if G is a cycle. When loops are present, the result is different and new methods are required. A typical noncycle graph satisfying (1) and (2) is a loop with a line adjoined. It is proved below that the only finite solutions to (2) are either graphs of this type or cycles.

The first step toward solving Ore's original problem [7] and its generalization seems to have been made by Anna Maria Ghirlanda [3], who solved the case in which G has no loops and no multiple edges. Menon [5], using different methods, removed the latter restriction to show that if multiple edges are admitted the same conclusion holds: viz., G must be a cycle. Harary [4] remarked in 1967 that this result "is now well known".

This paper comprehends both these earlier results and extends them to include the case of graphs with loops, for which the new answer does not appear to be so well known, (although B. Clark [2] discovered in 1964 that noncycle solutions to (1) exist). Moreover, whereas the above named earlier studies use techniques that are to some extent advanced, one of the most striking aspects of the demonstration that follows is that in extending and unifying these earlier results, it has been possible to limit the methods entirely to elementary procedures. At present further extensions, e.g., to oriented and/or disconnected graphs, appear to pose a more challenging problem. Some partial results occur in [6], but the difficulties are illustrated by the fact that (1) and (2) are not equivalent for oriented graphs.

2. Notations. Terminology in graph theory is not standardized. This paper will use primarily that of [1]. Upper case Latin letters will be used for graphs, Greek for their edges and vertices, and lower case Latin for nonnegative integers. Graphs with more than one edge allowed between the same two vertices are called *s*-graphs. Figures 1 and 2 are examples of a graph and an *s*-graph respectively, each



FIGURE 2

with its interchange graph. A *k*-vertex of a graph means a vertex of degree k, i.e., a vertex at which k edges meet. (By convention, a loop contributes 1 to the degree of its vertex.) If the highest degree vertex of a graph G is of degree k, we shall say that G is of degree k.

For brevity the term *line* will be used to denote an elementary path, i.e., a connected chain of edges that does not meet the same vertex twice. The number of edges in a line will be called its *length*. By convention, a line of length zero is an isolated vertex; and a line of negative length is the empty graph. It is easy to show that for length greater than 1, an equivalent definition is that a line is a tree of degree 2.

3. Preliminaries. In this section let G be an arbitrary nonempty unoriented finite connected s-graph of m edges.

LEMMA 1. If G has a p-vertex, α , with $p \ge 3$, then I(G) contains

a cycle generated by α . This is immediate from the definition of the I mapping.

LEMMA 2. If G contains a cycle C, then I(G) contains a cycle generated by C. This is also trivial from the definition of I.

COROLLARY 1. If G contains a cycle, then so does $I^k(G)$ for k > 0.

THEOREM 1. If G is a tree, then $I^k(G) \neq G$ for any k > 0.

Proof. a. For graphs of degree 0 and 1 the assertion is trivial. b. If G is of degree 2, it is a line of length m. By definition of the I mapping, $I^{k}(G)$ is a line of length $m - k \neq m$.

c. If G is of degree p > 2, then by Lemma 1, I(G) has a cycle. By Corollary 1, $I^{k}(G)$ also has a cycle and hence is not a tree.

4. The main results. In this section let G be an arbitrary nonempty unoriented finite connected s-graph such that $I^k(G) = G$ for some (known, fixed) k > 0. Let the number of edges and vertices of $I^j(G)$ be denoted respectively m_j and n_j .

THEOREM 2. $m_j = n_j$.

Proof. Since (2) is true for G, it is also true for $I^{j}(G)$ for all j. Hence G and $I^{j}(G)$ are all not trees, by Theorem 1. Now it is well known that $m_{j} + 1 \ge n_{j}$ for any graph K [1; p. 28]. But equality holds if and only if K is a tree [1; p. 152]. Hence, in the present case $m_{j} \ge n_{j}$.

But by definition of the *I* operation, $m_{j-1} = n_j$. Hence $\{m_j\}$ is a monotone nondecreasing sequence. But since $m_k = m_0$, we can conclude that $\{m_j\}$ is actually a constant. Hence, in particular, $m_j = m_{j-1} = n_j$.

COROLLARY 2. G and $I^{i}(G)$ each contain exactly one cycle [1; p. 29].

THEOREM 3. The degree of G is ≤ 2 .

Proof. Since G contains a cycle, so does I(G), by Lemma 2. But if G also had a vertex of degree $p, p \ge 3$, then I(G) would have another cycle, by Lemma 1, contrary to Corollary 2.

THEOREM 4. If G contains no loops, then G is a cycle. This follows immediately from Theorem 3 and Corollary 2. This is Menon's previous result [5].

THEOREM 5. If G contains loops, then it contains exactly one loop and consists of that loop with a line adjoined to its (only) vertex. See Figure 3. (The line may be of length zero.)





Proof. A loop is a cycle. Hence by Corollary 2, there can be only one. By Theorem 3, any additional part of G can attach to the vertex of the loop by only one other edge, and all other vertices must be of degree 2 or 1. Hence the additional part can only be a line.

It follows that only graphs of the type described are eligible candidates to satisfy (2). By direct calculation, we verify that for such graphs, (1) holds, and hence (2) follows.

References

1. Claude, Berge, *The Theory of Graphs and Its Applications*, translated by Alison Doig, John Wiley and Son, 1962.

2. Capt. Bernard Clark, USMC, private communication with the author, 1964.

3. Anna Maria, Ghirlanda, Osservazioni sulle caratteristiche dei grafi o singrammi, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.) **11** (1962-65), 93-106.

4. F. Harary, Review of [8] below. Math. Reviews 33, No. 3959.

5. V. V. Menon, The Isomorphisms Between Graphs and Their Adjoint Graphs, Canadian Mathematics Bulletin 8 (1967), 7-15.

6. Luigi Muracchini, and Anna Maria Ghirlanda, Sul grafo commutato e sul grafo opposoto di un grafo orientato, Atti, Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 14 (1965), 87-97.

7. Oystein Ore, *Theory of Graphs*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Volume 38, Providence, R. I., 1962.

8. A. C. M. van Roij, and H. S. Wilf, *The interchange graph of a finite graph*, Act. Math. Acd. Sci. Hungar. **16** (1965), 263-9.

Received June 26, 1967.

MITRE CORPORATION ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. R. PHELPS University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

F. WOLF

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Each author of each article receives 50 reprints free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners of publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 27, No. 2February, 1968

Leonard E. Baum and George Roger Sell, <i>Growth transformations for</i> <i>functions on manifolds</i>	211
Henry Gilbert Bray, A note on CLT groups	229
Paul Robert Chernoff, Richard Anthony Rasala and William Charles	
Waterhouse, The Stone-Weierstrass theorem for valuable fields	233
Douglas Napier Clark, On matrices associated with generalized	
interpolation problems	241
Richard Brian Darst and Euline Irwin Green, On a Radon-Nikodym theorem	
for finitely additive set functions	255
Carl Louis DeVito, A note on Eberlein's theorem	261
P. H. Doyle, III and John Gilbert Hocking, <i>Proving that wild cells exist</i>	265
Leslie C. Glaser, Uncountably many almost polyhedral wild $(k - 2)$ -cells in	
E^k for $k \ge 4$	267
Samuel Irving Goldberg, Totally geodesic hypersurfaces of Kaehler	
manifolds	275
Donald Goldsmith, On the multiplicative properties of arithmetic	
functions	283
Jack D. Gray, Local analytic extensions of the resolvent	305
Eugene Carlyle Johnsen, David Lewis Outcalt and Adil Mohamed Yaqub,	
<i>Commutativity theorems for nonassociative rings with a finite division</i>	
ring homomorphic image	325
André (Piotrowsky) De Korvin, Normal expectations in von Neumann	
algebras	333
James Donald Kuelbs, A linear transformation theorem for analytic	
Feynman integrals	339
W. Kuich, <i>Quasi-block-stochastic matrices</i>	353
Richard G. Levin, <i>On commutative, nonpotent archimedean</i>	
semigroups	365
James R. McLaughlin, <i>Functions represented by Rademacher series</i>	373
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Singular integrals and positive kernels</i>	379
Harold G. Rutherford, II, <i>Characterizing primes in some noncommutative</i>	
rings	387
Benjamin L. Schwartz, <i>On interchange graphs</i>	393
Satish Shirali, On the Jordan structure of complex Banach *algebras	397
Satish Shirali, <i>On the Jordan structure of complex Banach</i> *algebras Earl J. Taft, <i>A counter-example to a fixed point conjecture</i>	397 405